

Library Management System (LMS)	- Group 13- Ahmet Ayan (21327661) Burak Enes Atalar (21426658) Arafat Yılmaz (21328581)
Risk Management Report	Date: 04/04/2017

Library Management System(LMS) Risk Management Report

Risks forecasted in planning	How it was handled / mitigated
1) It is preferable to have a project with an .exe extension instead of being web-based.	The project will serve as a program with an extension of .exe in the beginning. When enough people and finance are available, web and mobile will also be transitioned.
2) Failure to obtain a simple interface due to the intention to adapt to various systems (mobile, etc.).	Examining the documentation needed to use C # in good quality and minimizing the complexity of the project.
3) The project language is selected in C #, which is not much experienced, so that it can count on the database of the project, web, mobile compatibility.	Take C # lessons and take our inexperience by taking the ideas of those who have experience with this dill.
4) The project does not have a sufficiently powerful server due to financial difficulties and the quality of use can not reach the desired level.	The project will serve for small regions with a small server once. Over time it will grow and expand.

Library Management System (LMS)	- Group 13- Ahmet Ayan (21327661) Burak Enes Atalar (21426658) Arafat Yılmaz (21328581)
Risk Management Report	Date: 04/04/2017

Risks NOT forecasted in planning, but observed	How it was handled / mitigated
1) When the project was designed, it was decided that it would be free software from the beginning. However, as the project progressed, the costs increased exponentially, making the completely free use of the project dangerous.	Free quality service for users is our primary purpose, so the basic functions of the software are kept free and only a small part is charged.
2) The ability to deal with a variety of book providers for the intended rich content is insufficient and remains relatively simple.	To participate in various symposiums and exhibitions, to provide written content and to make various agreements.
3) Predicted use of card payment system is confusing	Through the agreements we have made with the banks, the payment process is reduced in terms of both users and admins.