

**Gebze Technical University  
Computer Engineering**

**CSE 222 - 2018 Spring**

**HOMEWORK 4**

**Ahmet DENİZLİ  
161044020**

Course Assistant:

# 1. Part

## 1.1 A) Write an iterative function which performs this task. Analyze its complexity.

```
LinkedList<Integer> countIncreasingElements(Node head)
{
    // Traverse the list and keep track of max increasing
    // and current increasing lengths
    int curr_len = 1, max_len = 1;
    int total_count = 1, res_index = 0;
    Node curr=head;
    while ( curr.next!=null)                                → O(n)
    {
        // Compare curr.data with curr.next.data
        if (curr.data < curr.next.data)
            curr_len++;
        else {
            // compare maximum length with curr_len.
            if (max_len < curr_len)
            {
                max_len = curr_len;
                res_index = total_count - curr_len;
            }

            curr_len = 1;
        }
        total_count++;
        curr=curr.next
    }

    // Checks if curr_len greater then max_len
    if (max_len < curr_len) {
        max_len = curr_len;
        res_index = total_count - max_len;
    }

    // Traverse the list again to get longest increasing sublist
    int i = 0;
    LinkedList<Integer> new_list = new LinkedList<Integer>();
    for (Node curr=head; curr!=null; curr=curr.next)        → O(n)
    {                                                         (n=res_index+max_len)
        // go to first index of sublist
        if (i == res_index)
        {
            // loop until max greater then 0.
            while (max_len > 0)
            {
                new_list.add(curr.data)
                curr = curr.next;
                max_len--;
            }
            break;
        }

        i++;
    }
    // Returns longest increasing sublist
    return new_list;
}
```

Complexity equation:

Best Case :  $O(n)$  time complexity for this algorithm which there is no sorted sublist in this list.

Worst Case :  $O(2n) \in O(n)$  time complexity for this algorithm which this list is sorted.

## 1.2 B) Write a recursive function for the same purpose. Analyze its complexity by using both the Master theorem and induction.

```
int[] find_sublist_rec(Node head, int total_count){
    int curr_len = 1, res_index = 0;
    if(head == null){
        res_index = total_count - curr_len;
        return new int[] {res_index, 1};
    }

    for (Node curr=head; curr.next!=null; curr=curr.next) →  $O(n/2)$  (Average)
    {
        // Compare curr.data with curr.next.data
        if (curr.data < curr.next.data)
            curr_len++;
        else {
            total_count++;
            int[] news = find_sublist_rec(curr.next, total_count); →  $T(n/2)$ 
            // compare maximum length with len. (Average)
            if (news[1] > curr_len)
                return news;
            else{
                res_index = total_count - curr_len;
                return new int[] {res_index, curr_len};
            }
        }
        total_count++;
    }
    res_index = total_count - curr_len;
    return new int[] {res_index, curr_len};
}
```

```

LinkedList<Integer> getSubList(Node head){
    int[] sublist_info = find_sublist_rec(head, 1);           →  $T(n) = T(n/2) + O(n/2)$ 

    int index = sublist_info[0], len = sublist_info[1], i= 0;

    LinkedList<Integer> new_list = new LinkedList<Integer>();

    for (Node curr=head; curr!=null; curr=curr.next)         →  $O(n)$       (Average)
    {
        if (i == index)
        {
            // loop until sublist length smaller then 0.
            while (len > 0)
            {
                new_list.add(curr.data);
                curr = curr.next;
                len--;
            }
            break;
        }

        i++;
    }
    return new_list;
}

```

Complexity of getSubList method :  $O(n) + T(n)$

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + O(n/2)$$

**Using master theorem for complexity equation:**

The parameters are:

$$a = 1, b = 2, f(n) = n \in \Omega(n^{\log_2(1)+1})$$

It is the case 3 of Master theorem. The resulting complexity is thus:

$$T(n) = \Theta(n)$$

Then Complexity of getSubList method :  $O(n) + T(n) = O(n) + \Theta(n) \rightarrow O(n)$

**Using induction:**

Suppose, we come (somehow) to a guess:  $T(n) = O(n)$

Using the definition of upper bound "O" we want to prove:

$$T(n) \leq cn \quad \text{for some suitable } c > 0$$

State an induction hypothesis (i.e. let the guess be true for  $n/2$ ):  $T(n/2) \leq c(n/2)$

$$T(n) \leq 2(c(n/2)) + n/2$$

$$T(n) \leq cn + n/2$$

$$= (2c + 1) * n/2$$

$$T(n) \leq cn$$

$$n \geq n_0 = 1 \text{ and } c \geq 2 \quad \text{then } T(n) = O(n)$$

Then Complexity of getSubList method :  $O(n) + T(n) = O(n) + O(n) \rightarrow O(n)$

## 2. Part - Describe and analyze a $\Theta(n)$ time algorithm that given a sorted array searches two numbers in the array whose sum is exactly x.

```
int l = 0, r = size - 1;
while (l < r) {
    if (Arr[l] + Arr[r] == sum)
        return true;
    else if (Arr[l] + Arr[r] < sum)
        l++;
    else
        r--;
}
return false
```

1) Initialize leftmost and rightmost index variables to find the candidate elements in the sorted array.

2) Loop while  $l < r$ .

3) No candidates in whole array - return 0

Best Case :  $O(1)$  time complexity for this algorithm which sum of first and last number of array is equal to x which is searched.

Worst Case :  $O(n)$  time complexity for this algorithm which sum of middle numbers of array is equal to x which is searched or there is no candidates in whole array.

### 3. Part - Calculate the running time of the code snippet below

```
for (i=2*n; i>=1; i=i-1)      → O(2n)
    for (j=1; j<=i; j=j+1)    → O(n)
        for (k=1; k<=j; k=k*3) → O(log n)
            print("hello")
```

Runnig time :

→  $O(2n * n * \log n) = O(2n^2 * \log n) = O(n^2 * \log n)$

### 4. Part - Write a recurrence relation for the following function and analyze its time complexity T(n).

```
float aFunc(myArray,n){
    if (n==1){                      -> O(1)
        return myArray[0];
    }
    //let myArray1,myArray2,myArray3,myArray4 be predefined arrays
    for (i=0; i <= (n/2)-1; i++){    -> O(n/2)
        for (j=0; j <= (n/2)-1; j++){ -> O(n/2)
            myArray1[i] = myArray[i];
            myArray2[i] = myArray[i+j];
            myArray3[i] = myArray[n/2+j];
            myArray4[i] = myArray[j];
        }
    }
    x1 = aFunc(myArray1,n/2);        -> T(n/2)
    x2 = aFunc(myArray2,n/2);        -> T(n/2)
    x3 = aFunc(myArray3,n/2);        -> T(n/2)
    x4 = aFunc(myArray4,n/2);        -> T(n/2)

    return x1*x2*x3*x4;
}
```

Recurrence relation for the following function :

$T(n) = \Theta(1)$  if  $n=1$ ;

$T(n) = 4*T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2/4)$  if  $n > 1$ ;

Using master theorem for complexity equation:

The parameters are:

$a = 4, b = 2, f(n) = n^2/4 \in \Theta(n^{\log_2(4)})$

It is the case 2 of Master theorem. The resulting complexity is thus:

$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_2(4)} * \log(n))$