



Chapter 8

Abstract Classes

Slides prepared by Rose Williams, *Binghamton University*



Copyright © 2006 Pearson Addison-Wesley. All rights reserved.

Introduction to Abstract Classes

- The **Employee** base class and two of its derived classes, **HourlyEmployee** and **SalariedEmployee** were defined
- The following method is added to the **Employee** class
 - It compares employees to to see if they have the same pay:

```
public boolean samePay(Employee other)
{
    return (this.getPay() == other.getPay());
}
```

Introduction to Abstract Classes

- There are several problems with this method:
 - The `getPay` method is invoked in the `samePay` method
 - There are `getPay` methods in each of the derived classes
 - There is no `getPay` method in the `Employee` class, nor is there any way to define it reasonably without knowing whether the employee is hourly or salaried

Introduction to Abstract Classes

- The ideal situation would be if there were a way to
 - Postpone the definition of a **getPay** method until the type of the employee were known (i.e., in the derived classes)
 - Leave some kind of note in the **Employee** class to indicate that it was accounted for
- Surprisingly, Java allows this using abstract classes and methods

Introduction to Abstract Classes

- In order to postpone the definition of a method, Java allows an *abstract method* to be declared
 - An abstract method has a heading, but no method body
 - The body of the method is defined in the derived classes
- The class that contains an abstract method must be an *abstract class*

Abstract Method

- An abstract method is like a placeholder for a method that will be fully defined in a descendent class
- It has a complete method heading, to which has been added the modifier **abstract**
- It cannot be private
- It has no method body, and ends with a semicolon in place of its body

```
public abstract double getPay();  
public abstract void doIt(int count);
```

Abstract Class

- A class that has at least one abstract method is called an *abstract class*
 - An abstract class must have the modifier **abstract** included in its class heading:

```
public abstract class Employee
{
    private instanceVariables;
    . . .
    public abstract double getPay();
    . . .
}
```


Abstract Class

- An abstract class can have any number of abstract and/or fully defined methods
- If a derived class of an abstract class adds to or does not define all of the abstract methods, then it is abstract also, and must add **abstract** to its modifier
- If a class is not an abstract class then it is called a *concrete class*

Pitfall: You Cannot Create Instances of an Abstract Class

- An abstract class can only be used to derive more specialized classes
 - While it may be useful to discuss employees in general, in reality an employee must be a salaried worker or an hourly worker
- An abstract class constructor cannot be used to create an object of the abstract class
 - However, a derived class constructor will include an invocation of the abstract class constructor in the form of **super**

Tip: An Abstract Class Is a Type

- Although an object of an abstract class cannot be created, it is perfectly fine to have a parameter of an abstract class type
 - This makes it possible to plug in an object of any of its descendent classes
- It is also fine to use a variable of an abstract class type, as long as it names objects of its concrete descendent classes only

Why have abstract classes?

- Suppose you wanted to create a class **Shape**, with subclasses **Oval**, **Rectangle**, **Triangle**, **Hexagon**, etc.
- You don't want to allow creation of a "Shape"
 - Only *particular* shapes make sense, not *generic* ones
 - If **Shape** is abstract, you can't create a **new Shape**
 - You *can* create a **new Oval**, a **new Rectangle**, etc.
- Abstract classes are good for defining a general category containing specific, "concrete" classes

Why have abstract methods?

- Suppose you have a class `Shape`, but it *isn't* abstract
 - `Shape` should *not* have a `draw()` method
 - Each subclass of `Shape` *should* have a `draw()` method
- Now suppose you have a variable `Shape figure`; where `figure` contains some subclass object (such as a `Star`)
 - It is a *syntax error* to say `figure.draw()`, because the Java compiler can't tell in advance what kind of value will be in the `figure` variable
 - A class “knows” its superclass, but doesn't know its subclasses
 - An object knows its class, but a class doesn't know its objects
- **Solution:** Give `Shape` an *abstract* method `draw()`
 - Now the class `Shape` is abstract, so it can't be instantiated
 - The `figure` variable cannot contain a (generic) `Shape`, because it is impossible to create one
 - Any object (such as a `Star` object) that *is* a (kind of) `Shape` *will* have the `draw()` method
 - The Java compiler can depend on `figure.draw()` being a legal call and does not give a syntax error

A problem

- `class Shape { ... }`
- `class Star extends Shape {
 void draw() { ... }
 ...
}`
- `class Crescent extends Shape {
 void draw() { ... }
 ...
}`
- `Shape someShape = new Star();`
 - This is legal, because a Star *is* a Shape
- `someShape.draw();`
 - This is a syntax error, because *some* Shape might not have a `draw()` method
 - Remember: ***A class knows its superclass, but not its subclasses***

A solution

- abstract class Shape {
 void draw();
}
- class Star extends Shape {
 void draw() { ... }
 ...
}
- class Crescent extends Shape {
 void draw() { ... }
 ...
}
- Shape someShape = new Star();
 - This is legal, because a Star *is* a Shape
 - However, Shape someShape = new Shape(); is *no longer* legal
- someShape.draw();
 - This is legal, because every actual instance *must* have a draw() method