

Tree Based Methods

Tree Based Methods

- The next few sections of the course will focus on tree based methods.
- There are 3 main methods:
 - Decision Trees
 - Random Forests
 - Boosted Trees

Tree Based Methods

- Each of these methods stems from the basic decision tree algorithm.
- We will cover each of these methods in their own section and then test your new skills with a project exercise after learning about all 3 method types.

Tree Based Methods

- Related Reading in ISLR
 - Chapter 8 covers tree-based methods.

Decision Trees

Theory and Intuition: History

Tree Based Methods


- While the use of basic decision trees for modeling choices and outcomes have been around for a very long time, statistical decision trees are a more recent development.
- Be careful to note the difference here!

Tree Based Methods

- The general term “decision tree” can refer to a flowchart mapping out outcomes:

Tree Based Methods

- The general term “decision tree” can refer to a flowchart mapping out outcomes:



Tree Based Methods


- Decision Tree Learning refers to the statistical modeling that uses a form of decision trees, where node splits are decided based on an information metric.
- Let's dive deeper into the developments that lead to the ability to create predictions based on decision trees.

Tree Based Methods

- Fundamentally, decision trees and other tree based methods rely on the ability to split data based on **information** from features.
- This means we need a mathematical definition of **information** and the ability to measure it.


Tree Based Methods

- Claude Shannon is known as the “father of information theory”.
- Published “A Mathematical Theory of Communication” in 1948 in Bell System Technical Journal.



Tree Based Methods

- Later published as “*The Mathematical Theory of Communication*”
- Worked in many fields:
 - Circuit Design
 - Cryptography
 - Wearable Computers
 - Artificial Intelligence



Tree Based Methods

- The ability to measure and define information will become more important as we learn the mathematics of how tree based methods are constructed.
- We will revisit this idea later on, for now, let's move on to the development of decision trees.

Tree Based Methods

- 1963: First publication of regression tree algorithm by Morgan and Sonquist




Tree Based Methods

- 1963: Morgan and Sonquist created piecewise-constant model with splits.




Tree Based Methods

- 1963: Piecewise-constant regression tree




Tree Based Methods

- 1963: Piecewise-constant regression tree




Tree Based Methods

- 1963: Piecewise-constant regression tree





Tree Based Methods

- 1963: Piecewise-constant regression tree





Tree Based Methods

- 1963: Piecewise-constant regression tree





Tree Based Methods

- 1963: Piecewise-constant regression tree



Tree Based Methods

- 1963: Piecewise-constant regression tree



Tree Based Methods

- In the 1963 paper, splits at each node t were decided based on **node impurity**, which was simply defined as an error metric:

$$\phi(t) = \sum_{i \in t} (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

Tree Based Methods

- 1972: Robert Messenger and Lewis Mandell publish first classification tree algorithm with “*A model search technique for predictive nominal scale multivariate analysis.*”
- Split condition was named Theta Automatic Interaction Detection (THAID)

Tree Based Methods

- 1980: Gordon Kass publishes CHAID decision tree technique.
- Based on further work built on top of THAID algorithm from 1970s.
- CHAID: Chi-square automatic interaction detection .

Tree Based Methods


- 1970s: Leo Breiman and Charles Stone from Berkeley and Jerome Friedman and Richard Olshen from Stanford started developing the Classification and Regression tree (CART) based algorithms.

Tree Based Methods

- 1984: The CART book (Breiman et al.) is officially published, including a software implementation.
- CART was a huge leap forward in the practical usage of decision tree algorithm.
- CART based methods quickly became a standard (including scikit-learn!)

Tree Based Methods

- CART introduces many concepts:
 - Cross validation of Trees
 - Pruning Trees
 - Surrogate Splits
 - Variable Importance Scores
 - Search for Linear Splits



Tree Based Methods

- 1986: John Ross Quinlan developed ID3 decision tree algorithm based on the “gain ratio”.
- 1990s: Improved on ID3 with C4.5 (still very popular).
- 2000s: Released highly optimized commercial version C5.0 with various improvements.

Tree Based Methods

- Many of these improvements of basic decision trees were incorporated to other tree based methods such as random forests and gradient boosted trees.
- Let's move on to understanding the fundamental ideas behind a decision tree!



Decision Trees



Theory and Intuition: Decision Tree Basics

Decision Trees

- To begin understanding a decision tree, we first need to review some terminology about the decision tree components.


Decision Trees

- Recall our simple regression tree:




Decision Trees

- Recall our simple regression tree:




Decision Trees

- Splitting




Decision Trees

- Nodes:




Decision Trees

- Root Node:




Decision Trees

- Leaf (Terminal) Nodes:




Decision Trees

- Parent and Children Nodes:




Decision Trees

- Tree Branches (Sub Trees):




Decision Trees

- Pruning:




Decision Trees

- Pruning:



Decision Trees

- Let's now move on to constructing a tree!



Decision Trees

Theory and Intuition: Gini Impurity

Gini Impurity

- Before we explore how **splitting criterion** is used in constructing decision trees, let's explore the most common information measurement for decision trees, **gini impurity**.

Gini Impurity

- **Gini impurity** is a mathematical measurement of how “pure” the information in a data set is.
- In regards to classification, we can think of this as a measurement of class uniformity.
- Let’s see how this relates to the simplest case of two classes...

Gini Impurity

- Gini Impurity for Classification:
 - For a set of classes **C** for a given dataset **Q**:

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Gini Impurity


- Gini Impurity for Classification:
 - For a set of classes C for a given dataset Q , p_c is probability of class c .

$$p_c = \frac{1}{N_Q} \sum_{x \in Q} \mathbb{1}(y_{class} = c) \quad G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Gini Impurity

- Gini Impurity for Classification:

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$



Gini Impurity

- Gini Impurity for Classification:


$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$



Gini Impurity

- Gini Impurity for Classification:

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$




Gini Impurity

- Gini Impurity for Classification:

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$


Class Red
 $(2/4)(1 - 2/4) = 0.25$



Gini Impurity

- Gini Impurity for Classification:

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$



Class Red

$$(2/4)(1 - 2/4) = 0.25$$


Class Blue

$$(2/4)(1 - 2/4) = 0.25$$

Gini Impurity

- Gini Impurity for Classification:

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$



Class Red
 $(2/4)(1 - 2/4) = 0.25$

+

Class Blue
 $(2/4)(1 - 2/4) = 0.25$




Gini Impurity
 $0.25 + 0.25 = 0.5$

Gini Impurity

- “Maximum” Impurity Possible

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$



Class Red

$$(2/4)(1 - 2/4) = 0.25$$



Class Blue

$$(2/4)(1 - 2/4) = 0.25$$




Gini Impurity

$$0.25 + 0.25 = 0.5$$

Gini Impurity

- Data is more “pure” (less impurity)

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$



Class Red
 $(1/4)(1 - 1/4) = 0.1875$

+

Class Blue
 $(3/4)(1 - 3/4) = 0.1875$


↓

Gini Impurity
 $0.1875 + 0.1875 = 0.375$

Gini Impurity

- Data is completely “pure” (no impurity)

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$



Class Red
 $(0/4)(1 - 0/4) = 0$

+

Class Blue
 $(4/4)(1 - 4/4) = 0$

↓

Gini Impurity
 $0 + 0 = 0$

Gini Impurity

- If the goal of a decision tree is to separate out classes, we can use **gini impurity** to decide on data split values.
- We want to **minimize** the gini impurity at leaf nodes.
- Minimized impurity at leaf nodes means we are separating classes effectively!

Gini Impurity

- If the goal of a decision tree is to separate out classes, we can use **gini impurity** to decide on data split values.
- We want to **minimize** the gini impurity at leaf nodes.
- Minimized impurity at leaf nodes means we are separating classes effectively!

Gini Impurity

- In the next lecture we will construct a basic example of using gini impurity from a data set to calculate feature gini impurity.
- Afterwards, we'll explore splitting various feature types and deciding which feature should be the root node.

Decision Trees

Theory and Intuition: Gini Impurity in Trees

Decision Trees

- Let's begin to understand how the ordering of nodes is decided and how splits are conducted within a tree.
- We'll start by exploring how a decision tree is constructed from a training data set using **gini impurity**.

Decision Trees

- When first constructing a tree, we need to decide what feature will be used as the root node.
- We can use **gini impurity** to compare the information contained within features for the training data.
- Let's explore this concept further...

Decision Trees

- Gini Impurity for Classification:
 - For a set of classes **C** for a given dataset **Q**:

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees

- Gini Impurity for Classification:
 - For a set of classes C for a given dataset Q , p_c is probability of class c .

$$p_c = \frac{1}{N_Q} \sum_{x \in Q} \mathbb{1}(y_{class} = c) \quad G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees

- Gini Impurity for Classification:
 - For a set of classes C for a given dataset Q , p_c is probability of class c .

$$p_c = \frac{1}{N_Q} \sum_{x \in Q} \mathbb{1}(y_{class} = c)$$

$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c (1 - p_c)$$



Decision Trees

- Let's take a look at this data set:

X - URL Link	Y-Spam
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
No	No
No	No
No	Yes
No	No
Yes	No

Decision Trees

- Create a decision tree to predict spam.

X - URL Link	Y-Spam
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
No	No
No	No
No	Yes
No	No
Yes	No

Decision Trees

- Only one X feature to use for a node.


X - URL Link	Y-Spam
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
No	No
No	No
No	Yes
No	No
Yes	No

URL

Decision Trees

- Predict if email is spam if it contains a URL:


X - URL Link	Y-Spam
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
No	No
No	No
No	Yes
No	No
Yes	No



Decision Trees

- Predict if email is spam if it contains a URL:


X - URL Link	Y-Spam
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
No	No
No	No
No	Yes
No	No
Yes	No



Decision Trees

- Predict if email is spam if it contains a URL:


X - URL Link	Y-Spam
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
No	No
No	No
No	Yes
No	No
Yes	No



Decision Trees

- Recall the gini impurity formula:


X - URL Link	Y-Spam
Yes	Yes
Yes	Yes
No	No
No	No
No	Yes
No	No
Yes	No



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Treat Yes Spam and No Spam as C classes:



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Treat Yes Spam and No Spam as c classes:
- Left Leaf Node:
 - $(\frac{2}{3})(1 - \frac{2}{3})$



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Treat Yes Spam and No Spam as c classes:
- Left Leaf Node:
 - $(\frac{2}{3})(1 - \frac{2}{3}) + (\frac{1}{3})(1 - \frac{1}{3})$



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Treat Yes Spam and No Spam as c classes:
- Left Leaf Node:
 - $(\frac{2}{3})(1 - \frac{2}{3}) + (\frac{1}{3})(1 - \frac{1}{3})$
 - Left Leaf Gini=0.44



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Treat Yes Spam and No Spam as c classes:
- Left Leaf Node:
 - $(\frac{2}{3})(1 - \frac{2}{3}) + (\frac{1}{3})(1 - \frac{1}{3})$
 - Left Leaf Gini=0.44
- Right Leaf Node:
 - $(\frac{1}{4})(1 - \frac{1}{4}) + (\frac{3}{4})(1 - \frac{3}{4})$
 - Right Leaf Gini=0.375



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Now calculate gini impurity of URL feature.
- Weighted Average of both:
 - Left Leaf Gini=0.44
 - Right Leaf Gini=0.375



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Total Emails: $(2+1) + (1+3) = 7$
 - Left Leaf Gini=0.44
 - Right Leaf Gini=0.375



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Total Emails: $(2+1) + (1+3) = 7$
- Left Leaf Gini=0.44
- Right Leaf Gini=0.375
- Left Emails: 3
- Right Emails: 4



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Total Emails: $(2+1) + (1+3) = 7$
- Left Leaf Gini=0.44
- Right Leaf Gini=0.375
- Left Emails: 3
- Right Emails: 4
- $(3/7)*0.44 + (4/7)*0.375$



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Total Emails: $(2+1) + (1+3) = 7$
- Left Leaf Gini=0.44
- Right Leaf Gini=0.375
- Left Emails: 3
- Right Emails: 4
- $(3/7)*0.44 + (4/7)*0.375$
- Gini Impurity: 0.403



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees


- Gini Impurity for URL feature: 0.403



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees

- But what if we had multiple features?



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees

- We still have more issues to consider:
 - Multiple Features
 - Continuous Features
 - Multi-categorical Features
- We can incorporate the gini impurity to each of these issues to solve for best root nodes and best split parameters for leaves.

Decision Trees

Theory and Intuition: Gini Impurity Part Two

Decision Trees

- We explored how to calculate gini impurity for a binary categorical feature (only consisting of two categories).
- Now let's explore the following:
 - Continuous numeric features
 - Multi-categorical features ($N > 2$)
 - Choosing a root node feature

Decision Trees

- Imagine a continuous feature:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
10	Yes
40	No
20	Yes
50	No
30	No



Decision Trees

- Let's calculate the feature gini impurity:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
10	Yes
40	No
20	Yes
50	No
30	No



Decision Trees

- First sort data:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
10	Yes
40	No
20	Yes
50	No
30	No



Decision Trees

- Calculate potential split values for node:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
10	Yes
20	Yes
30	No
40	No
50	No

Decision Trees

- Calculate potential split values for node:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
10	Yes
20	Yes
30	No
40	No
50	No

Words $\leq N$

Decision Trees

- Use averages between rows as values:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
15	Yes
20	Yes
30	No
40	No
50	No

Words $\leq N$

Decision Trees

- Perform each potential split:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
15	Yes
20	Yes
25	No
30	No
35	No
40	No
45	No
50	No

Words ≤ 15

Decision Trees

- Calculate gini impurity for each split:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
15	Yes
20	Yes
30	No
40	No
50	No

Words ≤ 15

Decision Trees

- Calculate gini impurity for each split:


X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
15	Yes
20	Yes
30	No
40	No
50	No

Words ≤ 15

Decision Trees

- Calculate gini impurity for each split:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
10	Yes
15	Yes
20	Yes
30	No
40	No
50	No



$$G(Q) = \sum_{c \in C} p_c(1 - p_c)$$

Decision Trees

- Calculate gini impurity for each split:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
15	Yes
20	Yes
30	No
40	No
50	No

A red arrow points from the value '15' in the first row to the text 'Gini=0.3'.

$$\text{Gini} = 0.3$$

Decision Trees

- Repeat for all possible splits:

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam	
15	10	Yes  Gini=0.3
25	20	Yes  Gini=0
35	30	No  Gini=0.26
45	40	No  Gini=0.4
	50	No

Decision Trees

- Choose lowest impurity split value


X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
10	Yes
20	Yes
25	
30	No
40	No
50	No

A red arrow points from the value '25' in the X column to the text 'Gini=0'.

Decision Trees

- Choose this as split value for node.

X - Words in Email	Y-Spam
10	Yes
20	Yes
25	Yes
30	No
40	No
50	No



$$G(Q) = 0$$

Decision Trees

- We have now calculated gini impurity for features that are:
 - Binary categories
 - Continuous numeric
- Finally, let's explore calculating gini impurity for a feature that is mult categorial.

Decision Trees

- Multicategorical feature:

X - Sender	Y-Spam
Abe	Yes
Bob	Yes
Claire	No
Abe	No
Bob	No

Decision Trees


- Calculate gini impurity for all combinations:

X - Sender	Y-Spam
Abe	Yes
Bob	Yes
Claire	No
Abe	No
Bob	No

Decision Trees

- Calculate gini impurity for all combinations:


X - Sender	Y-Spam
Abe	Yes
Bob	Yes
Claire	No
Abe	No
Bob	No



Decision Trees

- Calculate gini impurity for all combinations:


X - Sender	Y-Spam
Abe	Yes
Bob	Yes
Claire	No
Abe	No
Bob	No



Decision Trees

- Calculate gini impurity for all combinations:


X - Sender	Y-Spam
Abe	Yes
Bob	Yes
Claire	No
Abe	No
Bob	No



Decision Trees

- Calculate gini impurity for all combinations:


X - Sender	Y-Spam
Abe	Yes
Bob	Yes
Claire	No
Abe	No
Bob	No



Decision Trees

- Choose lowest impurity split combination.

X - Sender	Y-Spam
Abe	Yes
Bob	Yes
Claire	No
Abe	No
Bob	No



Decision Trees

- Now we can split any type of feature.
- How does the decision tree decide on the root node of a multi-feature dataset?
- Calculate the gini impurity values of each feature and choose the lowest impurity value to split on first.

Decision Trees


- By choosing the feature with the lowest resulting gini impurity in its leaf nodes, we are choosing the feature that best splits the data into “pure” classes.

Decision Trees

- We should also note, by using **gini impurity** as a measurement of the effectiveness of a node split, we can perform automatic feature selection by mandating an impurity threshold for an additional feature based split to occur.


Decision Trees

- A large overfitted tree:




Decision Trees

- Add minimum gini impurity decrease




Decision Trees

- Add minimum gini impurity decrease




Decision Trees

- Add minimum gini impurity decrease




Decision Trees

- We can also mandate a max depth:



Decision Trees

- We can also mandate a max depth:



Decision Trees

- Let's begin to explore these various hyperparameters with code!

Decision Trees

Coding Part One: The Data



00-Decision-Trees.ipynb

Decision Trees

Coding Part Two: Creating the Model



00-Decision-Trees.ipynb