



Assessment Report on

"MBTI Personality Type Prediction using NLP"

submitted as partial fulfillment for the award of

BACHELOR OF TECHNOLOGY DEGREE

SESSION 2024-25 in

CSE(AI)-B

By

Group No. 7

Ashish Srivastava

Harshit Patel

Harsh

Divyanshu chaudhary

Under the supervision of

"Shivansh Prasad"

KIET Group of Institutions, Ghaziabad

1. Introduction

With the increasing availability of user-generated content on social media, analyzing personality traits using written text has become a fascinating research area in Natural Language Processing (NLP). This project focuses on predicting the MBTI (Myers-Briggs Type Indicator) personality types of individuals based on their writing style. Using a dataset of user posts and machine learning models, the project aims to automatically classify a user's MBTI type using NLP techniques.

2. Problem Statement

To classify a user's MBTI personality type (e.g., INTP, ENFJ, ISTJ) based on their written text using NLP and supervised machine learning models. This can be helpful for psychological analysis, personalized recommendations, and HR assessments.

3. Objectives

- Preprocess raw text data from social media posts for model training.
- Convert textual data into numerical format using TF-IDF.
- Train a Logistic Regression classifier to predict personality types.
- Evaluate model performance using standard classification metrics.
- Visualize prediction results using a confusion matrix heatmap.

4. Methodology

• Data Collection:

The dataset was obtained from Kaggle, containing MBTI types and users' posts.

• Data Preprocessing:

- Removing URLs, punctuation, and stopwords.
- Converting text to lowercase and applying stemming.
- Combining multiple posts into one per user.

• Feature Extraction:

Applying TF-IDF vectorization to convert text into feature vectors.

• Model Building:

- Encoding MBTI labels numerically (0–15).
- Splitting data into training and test sets.
- Training Logistic Regression on vectorized data.

• Model Evaluation:

- Calculating accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score.
- Creating and visualizing a confusion matrix with Seaborn heatmap.

5. Data Preprocessing

The text data was cleaned and prepared using the following steps:

- Converted text to lowercase.
- Removed hyperlinks, special characters, and punctuation.
- Removed common English stopwords (like "the", "and", etc.).
- Applied stemming to reduce words to their root forms.
- Transformed cleaned text into numerical vectors using TF-IDF.

6. Model Implementation

Logistic Regression was chosen for its effectiveness in multi-class classification and interpretability. The model was trained on TF-IDF features extracted from the processed user posts and used to predict MBTI types on the test set.

7. Evaluation Metrics

The performance of the model was evaluated using:

- Accuracy Correct predictions over total predictions.
- **Precision** Proportion of correct positive predictions.
- **Recall** Proportion of actual positives correctly predicted.
- **F1 Score** Harmonic mean of precision and recall.
- **Confusion Matrix** Used to visualize classification results using a heatmap.

8. Results and Analysis

- The Logistic Regression model showed reasonable accuracy in predicting MBTI types.
- The confusion matrix showed how the model performed across 16 classes.
- Certain personality types were more frequently misclassified due to textual similarity.
- Precision and recall scores highlighted how well the model detected specific MBTI types.

9. Conclusion

This project demonstrates the potential of using NLP and machine learning to classify personality types based on written text. Despite being a simple baseline using Logistic Regression, the results were promising. Further improvements can include deep learning models like LSTM or BERT and addressing class imbalance in the dataset for better accuracy.

10. References

- scikit-learn documentation
- pandas documentation
- Seaborn visualization library
- Kaggle MBTI Dataset
- Research papers on MBTI and NLP

[] from google.colab import drive
drive.mount('/content/drive')

→ Drive already mounted at /content/drive; to attempt to forcibly remount, call drive.mount("/content/drive", force_remount=True).

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
import re
from sklearn.model selection import train test split
from sklearn.feature extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
from sklearn.metrics import classification report, accuracy score, confusion matrix
import seaborn as sns
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
# Load dataset
df = pd.read csv("/content/mbti 1.csv")
# Inspect data
print(df.head())
print(df['type'].value counts())
# Preprocessing function
def clean text(text):
    text = text.lower()
   text = re.sub(r'http\s+', '', text)
text = re.sub(r'[^a-z\s]', '', text)
    text = re.sub(r'\s+', ' ', text).strip()
    return text
df['clean posts'] = df['posts'].apply(clean text)
# Map personality types to numbers and reverse map
types = list(df['type'].unique())
type to id = {t: i for i, t in enumerate(types)}
id to type = {i: t for t, i in type to id.items()}
df['label'] = df['type'].map(type to id)
# Split data
X train, X test, y train, y test = train test split(
    df['clean posts'], df['label'], test size=0.2, random state=42)
# TF-IDF Vectorizer with stopwords
vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(max features=5000, stop words='english')
X train tfidf = vectorizer.fit transform(X train)
X test tfidf = vectorizer.transform(X test)
# Logistic Regression classifier
```

```
clf = LogisticRegression(max iter=300, solver='saga', n jobs=-1, random state=42)
clf.fit(X train tfidf, y train)
# Evaluate on test set
y pred = clf.predict(X test tfidf)
print("Accuracy:", accuracy score(y test, y pred))
print("\nClassification Report:\n", classification report(y test, y pred, target names=types))
# Confusion matrix plot
conf mat = confusion matrix(y test, y pred)
plt.figure(figsize=(12,10))
sns.heatmap(conf mat, xticklabels=types, yticklabels=types, annot=True, fmt='d', cmap='Blues')
plt.xlabel('Predicted')
plt.ylabel('Actual')
plt.title('MBTI Personality Type Classification - Confusion Matrix')
plt.show()
# Part 2: User input for prediction
def clean text(text):
    import re
    text = text.lower()
    text = re.sub(r'http\S+', '', text)
    text = re.sub(r'[^a-z\s]', ' ', text)
    text = re.sub(r'\s+', '', text).strip()
    return text
while True:
    user text = input("\nEnter text to predict MBTI personality type (or type 'exit' to quit): ")
    if user text.lower() == 'exit':
        print("Exiting... Goodbye!")
        break
    cleaned input = clean text(user text)
    input tfidf = vectorizer.transform([cleaned input])
    pred label = clf.predict(input tfidf)[0]
    pred type = id to type[pred label]
    print(f"Predicted MBTI personality type: {pred type}")
```

				MOTI	Dorce	an alita	, Time	Class	cificat	ion (Confin	ion M	latrix					
				MDII	Perso	manty	у Туре	Class	silicat	ion - C	Jonius	SION IV	latrix					
NFJ	200	4	14	14	1	0	52	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	300
- ENTP	11	77	19	12	1	0	9	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
TN -	10	5	238	8	0	0	26	1	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Ē-	18	1	16	139	2	0	14	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-	25
ENT)	4	2	3	12	17	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
ENF)	14	0	4	2	0	5	11	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	-	200
NFP -		4	14	7	0	3	314	6	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0		
Actual FP ENFP	9	6	5	10	0	0	21	73	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Act ISFP -	5	1	2	3	0	0	25	1	14	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	=	150
ISTP	4	2	15	6	0	0	8	1	0	31	0	0	0	0	0	0		
ISFJ -	10	0	5	2	1	0	12	1	0	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	_	100
IST]	1	1	7	7	0	1	11	1	1	1	2	11	0	0	0	0		
ESTP	0	1	7	1	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0		
ESFP	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	50
ESTJ	1	1	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
ESFJ		2	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		-
	1	1	1	9	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10			1	-	0

```
type
                                                            posts
⊕ 0 INFJ
              'http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qsXHcwe3krw|||...
              'I'm finding the lack of me in these posts ver...
    1 ENTP
    2 INTP 'Good one <u>https://www.youtube.com/wat...</u>
3 INTJ 'Dear INTP, I enjoyed our conversation the o...
    4 ENTJ 'You're fired.|||That's another silly misconce...
    type
    INFP
            1832
    INFJ
            1470
    INTP
            1304
           1091
    INTJ
            685
    ENTP
    ENFP
            675
    ISTP
            337
    ISFP
             271
    ENTJ
             231
    ISTJ
             205
    ENFJ
             190
    ISFJ
            166
    ESTP
             89
    ESFP
              48
    ESFJ
              42
    ESTJ
              39
    Name: count, dtype: int64
    Accuracy: 0.6536023054755044
```

Classification	Renort:			
ctassification	precision	recall	f1-score	support
INFJ	0.65	0.69	0.67	288
ENTP	0.71	0.57	0.63	135
INTP	0.67	0.81	0.74	293
CTNI	0.62	0.72	0.67	193
ENTJ	0.71	0.39	0.50	44
ENFJ	0.45	0.12	0.19	41
INFP	0.61	0.85	0.71	370
ENFP	0.73	0.58	0.65	125
ISFP	0.74	0.26	0.39	53
ISTP	0.74	0.46	0.57	67
ISFJ	0.87	0.29	0.43	45
ISTJ	0.69	0.25	0.37	44
ESTP	1.00	0.13	0.24	15
ESFP	0.00	0.00	0.00	8
ESTJ	0.00	0.00	0.00	7
ESFJ	0.00	0.00	0.00	7
accuracy			0.65	1735
macro avg	0.57	0.38	0.42	1735
weighted avg	0.66	0.65	0.63	1735