1. Suppose *m*=4 students have taken some class, and the class had a midterm exam and a final exam. You have collected a dataset of their scores on the two exams, which is as follows:

midterm exam	(midterm exam)^2	final exam
89	7921	96
72	5184	74
94	8836	87
69	4761	78

You'd like to use polynomial regression to predict a student's final exam score from their midterm exam score. Concretely, suppose you want to fit a model of the form $h_{\theta}(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2$, where x_1 is the midterm score and x_2 is (midterm score)^2. Further, you plan to use both feature scaling (dividing by the "max-min", or range, of a feature) and mean normalization.

What is the normalized feature $x_2^{(4)}$? (Hint: midterm = 69, final = 78 is training example 4.) Please round off your answer to two decimal places and enter in the text box below.

-0.47

2. You run gradient descent for 15 iterations

1 point

1 point

with lpha=0.3 and compute

J(heta) after each iteration. You find that the

value of $J(\theta)$ decreases quickly then levels

off. Based on this, which of the following conclusions seems

most plausible?

- \bigcirc Rather than use the current value of lpha, it'd be more promising to try a larger value of lpha (say lpha=1.0).
- igotimes lpha = 0.3 is an effective choice of learning rate.
- Rather than use the current value of lpha, it'd be more promising to try a smaller value of lpha (say lpha=0.1).

Suppose you have m=23 training examples with n=5 features (excluding the additional all-ones feature for the intercept term, which you should add). The normal equation is $\theta=(X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty$. For the given values of m and n, what are the dimensions of θ , X, and y in this equation?

1 point

- $\bigcirc \hspace{0.2cm} X$ is 23 imes 6 , y is 23 imes 6 , heta is 6 imes 6
- $\bigcirc \hspace{0.2cm} X$ is 23 imes 5 , y is 23 imes 1 , heta is 5 imes 1
- $\bigcirc \hspace{0.2cm} X$ is 23 imes 5, y is 23 imes 1, heta is 5 imes 5
- lacksquare X is 23 imes 6, y is 23 imes 1, heta is 6 imes 1

4. Suppose you have a dataset with m=50 examples and n=200000 features for each example. You want to use multivariate linear regression to fit the parameters θ to our data. Should you prefer gradient descent or the normal equation?

1 point

- The normal equation, since gradient descent might be unable to find the optimal heta.
- \bigcirc Gradient descent, since it will always converge to the optimal θ .
- igotimes Gradient descent, since $(X^TX)^{-1}$ will be very slow to compute in the normal equation.
- The normal equation, since it provides an efficient way to directly find the solution.

5. Which of the following are reasons for using feature scaling?

1 point

- ☐ It is necessary to prevent gradient descent from getting stuck in local optima.
- $\ \square$ It speeds up solving for heta using the normal equation.
- ✓ It speeds up gradient descent by making it require fewer iterations to get to a good solution.