1. You are working on a spam classification system using regularized logistic regression. "Spam" is a positive class (y = 1) and "not spam" is the negative class (y = 0). You have trained your classifier and there are m = 1000 examples in the cross-validation set. The chart of predicted class vs. actual class is:

	Actual Class: 1	Actual Class: 0
Predicted Class: 1	85	890
Predicted Class: 0	15	10

1 point

For reference:

- Accuracy = (true positives + true negatives) / (total examples)
- Precision = (true positives) / (true positives + false positives)
- Recall = (true positives) / (true positives + false negatives)
- E_1 score = (2 * precision * recall) / (precision + recall)

What is the classifier's F_1 score (as a value from 0 to 1)?	
Enter your answer in the box below. If necessary, provide at least two values after the decimal point.	
0.16	
Cumpage a massive detect is eveilable for training a learning algorithm. Training an a let of data is likely	
Suppose a massive dataset is available for training a learning algorithm. Training on a lot of data is likely to give good performance when two of the following conditions hold true.	
Which are the two?	
✓ We train a learning algorithm with a	
large number of parameters (that is able to	
learn/represent fairly complex functions).	
\checkmark The features x contain sufficient	
information to predict \boldsymbol{y} accurately. (For example, one	
way to verify this is if a human expert on the domain	
can confidently predict y when given only x).	
We train a learning algorithm with a	
small number of parameters (that is thus unlikely to	
overfit).	
We train a model that does not use regularization.	
Suppose you have trained a logistic regression classifier which is outputing $h_{ heta}(x)$.	
Currently, you predict 1 if $h_ heta(x) \geq ext{threshold}$, and predict 0 if $h_ heta(x) < ext{threshold}$, where currently the	
threshold is set to 0.5.	
Suppose you decrease the threshold to 0.3. Which of the following are true? Check all that apply.	
✓ The classifier is likely to now have higher recall.	
The classifier is likely to have unchanged precision and recall, but	
lower accuracy.	
The classifier is likely to now have higher precision.	
The classifier is likely to have unchanged precision and recall, but	
higher accuracy.	
Suppose you are working on a spam classifier, where spam	
emails are positive examples ($y=1$) and non-spam emails are	
negative examples ($y=0$). You have a training set of emails	
in which 99% of the emails are non-spam and the other 1% is	
spam. Which of the following statements are true? Check all	
that apply.	
\square If you always predict spam (output $y=1$),	
your classifier will have a recall of 0% and precision	
of 99%.	
✓ If you always predict non-spam (output	
y=0), your classifier will have an accuracy of	
99%.	
✓ If you always predict non-spam (output	
y=0), your classifier will have a recall of	
0%.	
lacksquare If you always predict spam (output $y=1$),	
your classifier will have a recall of 100% and precision	
of 1%.	
Which of the following statements are true? Check all that apply.	
It is a good idea to spend a lot of time	
It is a good idea to spend a lot of time collecting a large amount of data before building	

for predicting whether an example is positive or

✓ Using a **very large** training set

negative.

makes it unlikely for model to overfit the training

☐ If your model is underfitting the

training set, then obtaining more data is likely to

help.

▼ The "error analysis" process of manually

examining the examples which your algorithm got wrong

can help suggest what are good steps to take (e.g., developing new features) to improve your algorithm's

performance.