1. Consider the problem of predicting how well a student does in her second year of college/university, given 1 point how well she did in her first year. Specifically, let x be equal to the number of "A" grades (including A-. A and A+ grades) that a student receives in their first year of college (freshmen year). We would like to predict the value of y, which we define as the number of "A" grades they get in their second year (sophomore year). Refer to the following training set of a small sample of different students' performances (note that this training set may also be referenced in other questions in this quiz). Here each row is one training example. Recall that in linear regression, our hypothesis is $h_ heta(x)= heta_0+ heta_1x$, and we use m to denote the number of training examples. У 4 1 3 0 1 For the training set given above, what is the value of m? In the box below, please enter your answer (which should be a number between 0 and 10). 2. For this question, assume that we are 1 point using the training set from Q1. Recall our definition of the cost function was $J(heta_0, heta_1) = rac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^m (h_{ heta}(x^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$. What is J(0,1)? In the box below, please enter your answer (Simplify fractions to decimals when entering answer, and '.' as the decimal delimiter e.g., 1.5). 0.5 3. Suppose we set $heta_0=-2, heta_1=0.5$ in the linear regression hypothesis from Q1. What is $h_ heta(6)$? 1 point **4.** Let f be some function so that 1 point $f(heta_0, heta_1)$ outputs a number. For this problem, f is some arbitrary/unknown smooth function (not necessarily the cost function of linear regression, so f may have local optima). Suppose we use gradient descent to try to minimize $f(heta_0, heta_1)$ as a function of $heta_0$ and $heta_1$. Which of the following statements are true? (Check all that apply.) lacksquare If the first few iterations of gradient descent cause $f(heta_0, heta_1)$ to increase rather than decrease, then the most likely cause is that we have set the learning rate lpha to too large a value. \square No matter how $heta_0$ and $heta_1$ are initialized, so long as lpha is sufficiently small, we can safely expect gradient descent to converge to the same solution. ightharpoonup If $heta_0$ and $heta_1$ are initialized at the global minimum, then one iteration will not change their values. \square Setting the learning rate α to be very small is not harmful, and can only speed up the convergence of gradient descent. 5. Suppose that for some linear regression problem (say, predicting housing prices as in the lecture), we have 1 point some training set, and for our training set we managed to find some $heta_0$, $heta_1$ such that $J(heta_0, heta_1)=0$. Which of the statements below must then be true? (Check all that apply.) For these values of $heta_0$ and $heta_1$ that satisfy $J(heta_0, heta_1) = 0$, we have that $h_{ heta}(x^{(i)}) = y^{(i)}$ for every training example $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$ \square We can perfectly predict the value of y even for new examples that we have not yet seen. (e.g., we can perfectly predict prices of even new houses that we have not yet seen.) \square For this to be true, we must have $heta_0=0$ and $heta_1=0$ so that $h_{ heta}(x)=0$ lacksquare This is not possible: By the definition of $J(heta_0, heta_1)$, it is not possible for there to exist $heta_0$ and $heta_1$ so that $J(heta_0, heta_1)=0$