

Assessment 4: Machine Learning Project

This document supplies detailed information on assessment tasks for this unit.

Key information

- Due: Wednesday 25 September 2019 by 11.30pm (AEST)
- Weighting: 30%

Learning Outcomes

This assessment assesses the following Unit Learning Outcomes (ULO) and related Graduate Learning Outcomes (GLO):

Unit Learning Outcome (ULO)	Graduate Learning Outcome (GLO)
ULO 2: Perform linear regression, classification using logistic regression and linear Support Vector Machines.	GLO 1: Discipline knowledge and capabilities GLO 5: Problem solving
ULO 3: Perform non-linear classification using Support Vector Machines with kernels, Decision trees and Random forests.	GLO 1: Discipline knowledge and capabilities GLO 5: Problem solving
ULO 4: Understand the concept of maximum likelihood and Bayesian estimation.	GLO 1: Discipline knowledge and capabilities GLO 5: Problem solving
ULO 5: Construct a multi-layer neural network using backpropagation training algorithm.	GLO 1: Discipline knowledge and capabilities
ULO 6: Perform model selection and compute relevant evaluation measure for a given problem.	GLO 2: Communication

Purpose

This assessment is an extensive machine learning project. You will be given a specific data set for analysis and will be required to develop and compare various classification techniques. You must demonstrate skills acquired in data representation, classification and evaluation. You will use a lot of concepts learnt in this unit to come up with a good solution for a given human activity recognition problem.

Instructions

The [dataset](#) consists of training and testing data in "train" and "test" folders. Use training data: X_train.txt labels: y_train.txt and testing data: X_test.txt labels: y_test.txt. There are other files that also come with the dataset and may be useful in understanding the dataset better.

Please read the pdf file "dataset-paper.pdf" to answer Part 1.

Part 1: Understanding the data (2 Marks)

Answer the following questions briefly, after reading the paper

- What is the objective of the data collection process? **(0.5 Marks)**
- What human activity types does this dataset have? How many subjects/people have performed these activities? (0.5 Marks)
- How many instances are available in the training and test sets? How many features are used to represent each instance? Summarize the type of features extracted in 2-3 sentences. (0.5 Marks)
- Describe briefly what machine learning model is used in this paper for activity recognition and how is it trained. How much is the maximum accuracy achieved? **(0.5 Marks)**

Part 2: K-Nearest Neighbour Classification (5 Marks)

Build a K-Nearest Neighbour classifier for this data.

- Let K take values from 1 to 50. Show a plot of cross-validation accuracy with respect to K. **(1 Mark)**
- Choose the best value of K based on model performance P. **(2 Marks)**
- Using the best K value, evaluate the model performance on the supplied test set. Report the confusion matrix, multi-class averaged F1-score and accuracy. **(2 Marks)**

[Hints: To choose the best K value, you have to do the following:

- For each value of K, use 10 fold cross-validation to compute the performance P.
- The best hyperparameter will be the one that gives maximum validation performance.
- Performance is defined as: $P = \text{'f1-score'}$ if $fID=0$, $P = \text{'accuracy'}$ if $fID=1$. Calculate fID using modulus operation $fID = SID \% 2$, where SID is your student ID. For example, if your student ID is 356289 then $fID = (356289 \% 2) = 1$ then use 'accuracy' for selecting the best value of K.]

Part 3: Multiclass Logistic Regression with Elastic Net (5 Marks)

Build an elastic-net regularized logistic regression classifier for this data.

- Elastic-net regularizer takes in 2 parameters: alpha and l1-ratio. Use the following values for alpha: $1e-4, 3e-4, 1e-3, 3e-3, 1e-2, 3e-2$. Use the following values for l1-ratio: 0, 0.15, 0.5, 0.7, 1. Choose the best values of alpha and l1-ratio based on model performance P. **(2 Marks)**
- Draw a surface plot of F1-score with respect to alpha and l1-ratio values. **(1 Mark)**
- Use the best value of alpha and l1-ratio to re-train the model on the training set and use it to predict the labels of the test set. Report the confusion matrix, multi-class averaged F1-score and accuracy. **(1+1=2 Marks)**

[Hints: To choose the best alpha/l1-ratio value, you have to do the following:

- For each value of hyperparameter, use 10 fold cross-validation to compute the performance P.
- The best hyperparameter will be the one that gives maximum validation performance.
- Performance is defined as: $P = \text{'accuracy'}$ if $fID=0$, $P = \text{'f1-score'}$ if $fID=1$. Calculate fID using modulus operation $fID = SID \% 2$, where SID is your student ID. For example, if your student ID is 356289 then $fID = (356289 \% 2) = 1$ then use 'f1-score' for selecting the best value of alpha/l1-ratio.]

Part 4: Support Vector Machine (RBF Kernel) (6 Marks)

Build a SVM (with RBF Kernel) classifier for this data.

- SVM with RBF takes 2 parameters: gamma (length scale of the RBF kernel) and C (the cost parameter). Use the following values for gamma: $1e-3, 1e-4$. Use the following values for C: 1, 10, 100, 1000. Choose the best values of gamma and C based on model performance P. **(2 Marks)**
- Draw a surface plot of F1-score with respect to gamma and C. Describe the graph. **(1+1=2 Mark)**
- Use the best value of gamma and C to re-train the model on the training set and use it to predict the labels of the test set. Report the confusion matrix, multi-class averaged F1-score and accuracy. **(1+1=2 Marks)**

[Hints: To choose the best gamma/C value, you have to do the following:

- For each value of hyperparameter, use 10 fold cross-validation to compute the performance P.
- The best hyperparameter will be the one that gives maximum validation performance.
- Performance is defined as: $P = \text{'f1-score'}$ if $fID=0$, $P = \text{'precision'}$ if $fID=1$, $P = \text{'accuracy'}$ if $fID=2$. Calculate fID using modulus operation $fID = SID \% 3$, where SID is your student ID. For example, if your student ID is 356289 then $fID = (356289 \% 3) = 0$ then use 'f1-score' for selecting the best value of gamma/C.]

Part 5: Random Forest (6 Marks)

Build a Random forest classifier for this data.

- Random forest uses two parameters: the tree-depth for each decision tree and the number of trees. Use the following values for the tree-depth: 300,500,600 and the number of trees: 200,500,700. Choose the best values of tree-depth and number of trees based on model performance P. **(2 Marks)**
- Draw a surface plot of F1-score with respect to tree-depth and number of trees. Describe the graph. **(1+1=2 Marks)**
- Use the best value of tree-depth and number of trees to re-train the model on the training set and use it to predict the labels of the test set. Report the confusion matrix, multi-class averaged F1-score and accuracy. **(1+1=2 Marks)**

[Hints: To choose the 'tree-depth'/'number of trees' value, you have to do the following:

- *For each value of hyperparameter, use 10 fold cross-validation to compute the performance P.*
- *The best hyperparameter will be the one that gives maximum validation performance.*
- *Performance is defined as: $P = \text{'f1-score'}$ if $fID=0$, $P = \text{'precision'}$ if $fID=1$, $P = \text{'accuracy'}$ if $fID=2$, $P = \text{'recall'}$ if $fID=3$. Calculate fID using modulus operation $fID = SID \% 4$, where SID is your student ID. For example, if your student ID is 356289 then $fID = (356289 \% 4) = 1$ then use 'precision' for selecting the best value of 'tree-depth'/'number of trees'.]*

Part 6: Discussion (6 Marks)

- Write a brief discussion about which classification method achieved the best performance and your thoughts on the reason behind this. **(2 Marks)**
- Which method performed the worst and why? **(2 Marks)**
- Do you have any suggestions to further improve model performances? **(2 Marks)**

Submission details

Deakin University has a strict standard on plagiarism as a part of Academic Integrity. To avoid any issues with plagiarism, students are strongly encouraged to run the similarity check with the *Turnitin* system, which is available through Unistart. A Similarity score MUST NOT exceed 39% in any case.

Late submission penalty is 5% per each 24 hours from 11.30pm, 25th of September. No marking on any submission after 5 days (24 hours X 5 days from 11.30pm 25th of September)

Be sure to downsize the photos in your report before your submission in order to have your file uploaded in time.

Extension requests

Requests for extensions should be made to Unit/Campus Chairs well in advance of the assessment due date. If you wish to seek an extension for an assignment, you will need to apply by email directly to Chandan Karmakar (karmakar@deakin.edu.au), as soon as you become aware that you will have difficulty in meeting the scheduled deadline, but at least 3 days before the due date. When you make your request, you must include appropriate documentation (medical certificate, death notice) and a copy of your draft assignment.

Conditions under which an extension will normally be approved include:

Medical To cover medical conditions of a serious nature, e.g. hospitalisation, serious injury or chronic illness. Note: Temporary minor ailments such as headaches, colds and minor gastric upsets are not serious medical conditions and are unlikely to be accepted. However, serious cases of these may be considered.

Compassionate e.g. death of close family member, significant family and relationship problems.

Hardship/Trauma e.g. sudden loss or gain of employment, severe disruption to domestic arrangements, victim of crime. Note: Misreading the timetable, exam anxiety or returning home will not be accepted as grounds for consideration.

Special consideration

You may be eligible for special consideration if circumstances beyond your control prevent you from undertaking or completing an assessment task at the scheduled time.

See the following link for advice on the application process: <http://www.deakin.edu.au/students/studying/assessment-and-results/special-consideration>

Assessment feedback

The results with comments will be released within 15 business days from the due date.

Referencing

You must correctly use the Harvard method in this assessment. See the Deakin [referencing guide](#).

Academic integrity, plagiarism and collusion

Plagiarism and collusion constitute extremely serious breaches of academic integrity. They are forms of cheating, and severe penalties are associated with them, including cancellation of marks for a specific assignment, for a specific unit or even exclusion from the course. If you are ever in doubt about how to properly use and cite a source of information refer to the referencing site above.

Plagiarism occurs when a student passes off as the student's own work, or copies without acknowledgement as to its authorship, the work of any other person or resubmits their own work from a previous assessment task.

Collusion occurs when a student obtains the agreement of another person for a fraudulent purpose, with the intent of obtaining an advantage in submitting an assignment or other work.

Work submitted may be reproduced and/or communicated by the university for the purpose of assuring academic integrity of submissions: <https://www.deakin.edu.au/students/study-support/referencing/academic-integrity>

Part 1	Excellent	Good	Fair	Unsatisfactory
Understand the data by reading the provided research article and answer four questions asked in the Part 1 of the assignment.	Successfully answered all four questions.	Successfully answered at least three questions.	Successfully answered only two questions.	Failed to answer any question satisfactorily.
Part 2	Excellent	Good	Fair	Unsatisfactory
Build a K-Nearest Neighbor classifier for this data: * Let K take values from 1 to 50. Show a plot of cross-validation accuracy with respect to K. * Choose the best value of K based on model performance P. * Using the best K value, evaluate the model performance on the supplied test set. Report the confusion matrix, multi-class averaged F1-score and accuracy.	Successfully completed all three tasks.	Successfully completed any two of the three tasks and satisfactorily tried one of the remaining tasks.	Successfully completed only one of the three tasks and satisfactorily tried one of the remaining tasks.	Failed to complete any given task.
Part 3	Excellent	Good	Fair	Unsatisfactory
* Elastic-net regularizer takes in 2 parameters: alpha and l1-ratio. Choose the best values of alpha and l1-ratio from the provided set in the assignment based on model performance P. * Draw a surface plot of F1-score with respect to alpha and l1-ratio values. * Use the best value of alpha and l1-ratio to re-train the model on the training set and use it to predict the labels of the test set. * Report the confusion matrix, multi-class averaged F1-score and accuracy.	Successfully completed all four tasks.	Successfully completed any three of the four tasks.	Successfully completed any two of the three tasks.	Failed to complete any given task.

Part 4	Excellent	Good	Fair	Unsatisfactory
Build a SVM (with RBF Kernel) classifier for this data. * SVM with RBF takes 2 parameters: gamma (length scale of the RBF kernel) and C (the cost parameter). Choose the best values of gamma and C from the provided set of values in the assignment based on model performance P. * Draw a surface plot of F1-score with respect to gamma and C. * Describe the graph. * Use the best value of gamma and C to re-train the model on the training set and use it to predict the labels of the test set. * Report the confusion matrix, multi-class averaged F1-score and accuracy.	Successfully completed all five tasks.	Successfully completed any three of the five tasks and attempted the rest.	Successfully completed any two of the five tasks.	Failed to complete any given task.
Part 5	Excellent	Good	Fair	Unsatisfactory
Build a Random forest classifier for this data. * Random forest uses two parameters: the tree-depth for each decision tree and the number of trees. Choose the best values of tree-depth and number of trees from the provided set of values in the assignment based on model performance P. * Draw a surface plot of F1-score with respect to tree-depth and number of trees. * Describe the graph. * Use the best value of tree-depth and number of trees to re-train the model on the training set and use it to predict the labels of the test set. * Report the confusion matrix, multi-class averaged F1-score and accuracy.	Successfully completed all five tasks.	Successfully completed any three of the five tasks and attempted the rest.	Successfully completed any two of the five tasks.	Failed to complete any given task.

SIT720 Machine Learning
Assessment Task 4: Individual ML project



Part 6	Excellent	Good	Fair	Unsatisfactory
* Write a brief discussion about which classification method achieved the best performance and your thoughts on the reason behind this. * Which method performed the worst and why? * Do you have any suggestions to further improve model performances?	Successfully completed all three tasks.	Successfully completed any two of the three tasks.	Successfully completed any one of the three tasks and made attempt to address others.	Failed to complete any given task.