#### Due: April 20, 2024

### #1 Line 'Em Up

Arrange the following complexity classes in an ascending chain, ordered by containment.

$$\mathcal{O}(\log n), \mathcal{O}(n), \mathcal{O}(n^2), \mathcal{O}(n^n), \mathcal{O}(n^3), \mathcal{O}(n\log n), \mathcal{O}(n!)$$

I'll start for you...

$$\mathcal{O}(\log n) \subseteq \dots$$

### #2 Representation (again)

For each of the following objects, describe a plausible representation for those objects as strings. N.b. you may assume the string encodings of <u>tuples</u> and <u>lists</u> to be primitive. For example, you do not need to describe how to represent "a list of integers" as "a delimiter-separated contingency of signed binary numbers". Just say "a list of integers."

- 1. An undirected graph G.
- 2. An assignment of truth values to a finite collection of boolean variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ .
- 3. A deterministic finite automata D.

# #3 Analyze This

Consider the following language of descriptions of DFAs that accept all strings.

$$All_{DFA} = \{ \langle D \rangle \mid D \text{ is a DFA and } L(D) = \Sigma^* \}.$$

I will first give an algorithm that decides this language.

#### #3.1 The Algorithm

For our purposes, it is enough to presume the input has Q as some list of states and that it's easy (i.e., constant-time) to check if  $\delta$  connects state q to state q'. Our strategy is to start at state  $q_0$  and "mark each state it can reach" with a stone. Then repeat until we have marked no new states. More explicitly:

- 1. Write the state  $q_0$  to some intermediate work tape (Call it WT1).
- 2. Do one sweep of the  $\delta$  portion of the input-tape and, for each state q' such that  $\delta$  maps  $q_0$  to q', add q' to a new section of WT1.
- 3. Repeat the above process for each state just added, making sure to not add duplicate states. For example, if  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  were reachable from  $q_0$ , Then do a linear sweep of the  $\delta$  portion of the input tape checking for all (new) states reachable from  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ . Add these states to a new section of WT1, making sure they do not already occur on WT1.
- 4. Repeat until no new states are added.

5. Check if all states on WT1 are accepting states—do a linear pass of WT1 and check if each state q on WT1 is in the F portion of the input tape.

#### #3.2 The Analysis

Perform a worst-case complexity analysis of the above algorithm. Is  $All_{DFA}$  in P? Justify your analysis by analyzing each "part" of computation done in the algorithm.

# #4 Prove a language is in P

Show that each of the following languages are in P. If possible, separate your description of the algorithm from its complexity analysis.

- 1.  $\{\langle G, V' \rangle \mid G = (V, E) \text{ is a graph, } V' \subseteq V \text{ and no two vertices of } V' \text{ are incident with each other } \}$ .
- 2.  $\{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ is a graph and } G \text{ contains a triangle (a 3-clique)} \}$ .