These are not full lecture notes. These are an outline for my lecture. I've omitted complicated LaTeX machinery like typing rules—I can remember these on the day of lecture.

# #1 Natural deduction Rules of propositional logic

How to read natural deduction rules; Logical rules for:

1.  $\top$ ,  $\bot$ ,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ , and  $\Rightarrow$ .

# #2 The syntax of the untyped lambda calculus

Grammar

$$x \in \Sigma^*$$
 
$$M ::= x \mid \lambda x.M \mid MM$$

# #3 Natural deduction & Operational semantics

# #3.1 Substitution

Define substitution of N over x in M, written M[N/x], inductively as:

$$x[N/x] = N$$
  
 $(\lambda y.M)[N/x] = \lambda y.(M[N/x])$   
 $(M_1 M_2)[N/x] = M_1[N/x] M_2[N/x]$ 

(We follow the Barendregt convention and ignore the very-real challenge of variable capture.)

## #3.2 Beta reduction

For lambda terms M, N define  $M \to_{\beta} N$  as:

$$\overline{(\lambda x.M)[N/x] \to_{\beta} M[N/x]}$$

and the other congruence rules.

## #3.3 Reflexive, transitive closures

We can define  $\rightarrow_{\beta}^*$  as the RTC of the  $\rightarrow_{\beta}$  relation.

## #3.4 A comparison to "traces" and "configuration histories"

We can think of  $\rightarrow_{\beta}^*$  as expressing the same idea as the <u>yields</u> relation  $\Rightarrow^*$  on TM configurations.

#### #3.5 Normal forms, normalization

We say a term M is in <u>normal form</u> if there does not exist N such that  $M \to_{\beta} N$ . We say that a term M <u>diverges</u> if it has no normal form. We say that a calculus is <u>normalizing</u> if all terms have normal forms.

To the students: do you remember this claim in Lab 13A?

Claim 1. A Turing machine that halts does not repeat a configuration. OR: A Turing machine that repeats a configuration does not halt.

Draw a comparison.

# #4 The Simply Typed Lambda Calculus

Doubtful I'll get this far.

## #4.1 Type systems: what are they good for?

Type errors and nonsensical terms, etc.

# #4.1.1 Divergence

Define  $\Omega = \lambda x.xx$  and then consider the reduction of  $\Omega\Omega$ .

$$(\lambda x.x x)(\lambda x.x x) \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.x x)(\lambda x.x x) \rightarrow_{\beta} \dots \rightarrow_{\beta} (\lambda x.x x)(\lambda x.x x) \rightarrow_{\beta} \dots$$

So this term diverges. We can think of this as a type error: In the term  $\lambda x.x.x$ , x is behaving as both a function and an applicand. A type system can help us rule out this "type error".

### #4.2 Typing Rules

Let's define the grammar of types and terms with types.

$$\begin{split} x \in \Sigma^* \\ M ::= x \mid \lambda x : T.M \mid M \, M \\ T ::= \circ \mid T \to T \end{split}$$

And now we introduce a relation  $\Gamma \vdash M : T$  where the environment  $\Gamma$  has the following rules:

...

and  $\Gamma \vdash M : T$  has the rules:

• •

# #5 $\,$ The Curry-Howard Correspondence: Minimal logic and the STLC with products and sums

Implication, products, sums, etc.