#1 Sublinear Space Complexity

We want to consider TMs that use <u>sublinear-space</u>—which does not make sense under our previous definition of space complexity, as we assume these machines will also want to read their inputs.

Redefine space complexity as follows:

Definition 1. let M be a deterministic, deciding TM such that:

- 1. M has a read-only input tape, and
- 2. M has a read/write work tape.

The <u>space complexity</u> of M is the function $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$, where f(n) is the maximum number of tape cells <u>on the work-tape</u> that M scans on any input of length n. For nondeterministic N, f(n) is the same but for any branch of computation for any length of input n.

So we only count what M does on its work tape—this lets us consider "sublinear" languages which use less-than-linear space on their work tape.

Next:

Redefine SPACE and NSPACE according to the new definition of space complexity. Then:

$$\mathsf{L} = \operatorname{SPACE}(\log n)$$

$$\mathsf{NL} = \operatorname{NSPACE}(\log n)$$

#2 Example

Here is a neat example:

Recall this language that you are surely bored of by now.

$$A = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \ge 0\}$$

A few questions:

- 1. We've solved this two ways before. What were they?
- 2. Are these two ways logarithmic in space complexity?
- 3. There is a third way: keep track of how many 0's and 1's there are in binary. Is this logarithmic?

#3 Complexity Classes and their Complements

A very important concept that did not turn up as much as I thought it would is that of co-complexity classes.

Consider a few examples.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{coP} &= \{A \mid \overline{A} \in \mathsf{P}\} \\ \operatorname{coNP} &= \{A \mid \overline{A} \in \mathsf{NP}\} \\ \operatorname{coNL} &= \{A \mid \overline{A} \in \mathsf{NL}\} \end{aligned}$$

(Hopefully we see the pattern.)

Another open problem in CS: Does NP = coNP? You will think about this in your lab.

#4 Interesting things about NL that I didn't have time to teach

A few facts.

- $NL \subseteq P$. See Sipser §8.5.
- PATH is NL-complete. See Sipser §8.5.
- L = NL. See Sipser §8.6.

#5 Where we stop

We know the following:

$$\mathsf{L}\subseteq\mathsf{NL}=\mathsf{coNL}\subseteq P\subseteq\mathsf{NP}\subseteq\mathsf{PSPACE}=\mathsf{NPSPACE}\subseteq\mathsf{EXPTIME}$$

I will expect you to be able to explain most of these (except those about NL and coNL).