## #1 Equivalency of DFAs

Consider the following language:

$$\mathsf{EQ}_{\mathsf{DFA}} = \{ (D_1, D_2) \mid D_1 \text{ and } D_2 \text{ are equivalent DFAs} \}$$

Recall that two DFAs are equivalent if their languages are identical. Theorem 4.5 of Sipser shows that EQ<sub>DFA</sub> is decidable by way of language closure properties. In this problem, we'll consider a more direct approach.

1. Consider the following proof that EQ<sub>DFA</sub> is decidable by way of constructing a deciding Turing machine that recognizes the language.

*Proof.* Define M, a deciding Turing machine that decides  $\mathsf{EQ}_{\mathsf{DFA}}$  as follows.

- (a) M has inputs  $\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle$  for DFAs  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ .
- (b) For every possible string  $w \in \Sigma^*$ , if  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  have differing acceptance behavior on w, i.e., one rejects and the other accepts, then reject.
- (c) Otherwise,  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  have the same acceptance behavior on all strings: accept.

This construction has a fatal flaw! In a few sentences, describe what the flaw is.

2. We can patch up this flaw by noting that we don't have to test all strings. Determine a bound on the size of strings we need to test to determine if two DFAs are equal. In a few sentences, argue why this bound is correct.

Hint: Simulate the input string w on  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  in a step-by-step, pairwise fashion. How many different state pairs are possible? Use the pigeon-hole principle to argue that strings over a certain length will necessarily incur a repetition.

## #2 Prefix-free

1. Call a language L prefix-free if for all  $w \in L$ , there does not exist a  $w' \in L$  such that  $w \neq w'$  and w' is a prefix of w. For example ab is a prefix of abcde and thus abcde would not be in a prefix-free language if ab was also in the language. Give a deciding procedure to determine if the language of a DFA D is prefix free. Argue the correctness of your construction in a few sentences.