1 Backround

For a function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ the Jacobi-Matrix at point x_0 is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x_0) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_1(x_0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} f_1(x_0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_n(x_0) & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} f_n(x_0) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$

and the derivative of f at x_0 is then the mapping

$$f'(x_0) : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n, x \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x_0) \cdot x.$$

Definition 1.1

We call the mapping

$$\phi : (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (r, \theta) \mapsto \phi(r, \theta) := (r\cos(\theta), t\sin(\theta))$$

polar coordinates.

It holds at point (r_0, θ_0) that

$$|det(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(r_0, \theta_0))| = r_0.???$$

Definition 1.2

For a set M and an equivalenz relation $\sim$ we call

$$[m] := \{n \in M \mid n \sim m\} \subset M$$

an equivalenz class. We call $M/\sim$ the set of equivalenz classes from M wrt. $\sim$. It is also called quotient set.

Note that

$$M = \bigcup_{[a] \in M/\sim} [a]$$

and that the mapping

$$M \to M/\sim, \quad m \mapsto [m]$$

is canonical, meaning that every element in M is mapped to a unique equivalenz class.

Remark 1.1

One can show that the mapping

$$\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$$

is a group homomorphism and its kernel is $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. Further, one can show that $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $S^1 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid ||x||_2 = 1\}$.

CHAPTER 1

FIRST ORDER PDES

Definition 0.1

We call F :???

$$F(D^k u(x), ..., Du(x), u(x), x) = 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$$

PDE of order $|k| := \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ and $D^k u = (\partial^{k_1} \cdots \partial^{k_n}) u$

Definition 0.2

Classical Solution???

Remark 0.1

Some Notation:
We write $\dot{u} := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u$ and if $u : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we write $(1, b) \nabla u = \dot{u} + b \nabla_x u$.
We also often write $b \nabla u := \langle b, \nabla u \rangle$.

---

1 Homogeneous Transport

Consider the PDE

$$\dot{u} + b \nabla u = 0$$

which is called linear transport equation. We first assume that u is differentiable and satisfies the equation above, i.e. is a classical solution. For fixed initial values $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ the function

$$z(s) := u(x_0 + sb, t_0 + s)$$

is differentiable (because u is). One can now show that its derivative vanishes

$$z'(s) = b \nabla_x u(x_0 + sb, t_0 + s) + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x_0 + sb, t_0 + s)}_{= \dot{u}(x_0 + sb, t_0 + s)} \cdot 1 \overset{PDE}{=} 0.$$

Thus u is constant on the linear function $x(s) := x_0 + sb$. Therefore, u is constant along all lines with direction $(b, 1)$. Further, u is completely determined by all values on these parallel lines??? (WHy not $z(s, t) = u(x_0 + sb, t_0 + t)$???)

> **Definition 1.1**
>
> We call for such a transport equation the following
>
> $$\dot{u} + f(u) = 0, \quad u(x,0) = u_0(x)$$
>
> Cauchy problem. Here $\dot{u} + f(u) = 0$ is called conservation law and f is the flux (stems from inflow and outflow) function.

For initial values (x_0, t_0) we can now solve the PDE, this is because the solution u is constant on $x(t)$ and thus

$$u(x(t), t) = u(x_0 + tb, t) \overset{const}{=} u(x_0, 0) = g(x_0) = g(x - tb)$$

where we used that $x = x_0 + tb$ is equivalent to $x_0 = x - tb$. This if g is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^n$ then the above solves the PDE. If g is not differentiable there does not exist a solution in a classical sense!

2 Inhomogenous linear transport Equation

We now consider

$$\dot{u} + b\nabla u = f$$

with initial values $(x_0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and again we define $z(s) := u(x_0 + sb, s)$ yielding

$$z'(s) = b\nabla u(x_0 + sb, s) + \dot{u}(x_0 + sb, s) \overset{PDE}{=} f(x_0 + sb, s)$$

Because we now $z(0) = u(x_0, 0) = g(x_0)$ we actually have to solve the IVP of an ODE

$$z'(s) = f(x_0 + sb, s), \quad z(0) = g(x_0).$$

We can integrate and determine $z(s)$ completely??? Only if the ODE is solvable???
The solution to the ODE tells us the value $z(s) = u(x_0 + sb, s)$ on the line $x(t) := s_0 + sb$. Using this, we can now write the solution down explicitly

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x(t), t) = z(t) &= z(0) + \int_0^t z'(s)ds \\
&= g(x_0) + \int_0^t f(x_0 + sb, s)ds \\
&= g(x - tb) + \int_0^t \underbrace{f(x - tb - sb, s)}_{=f(x+(s-t)b,s)} ds
\end{aligned}
$$

which looks like the variation of constant approach from ODEs. In the following chapters, we want to generalize this approach for solving PDEs using ODEs.

3 Scalar Conservation Law

A scalar conservation law is a homogeneous non-linear transport equation with one space dimension

$$\dot{u}(x,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(u(x,t)) \overset{(*)}{=} \dot{u}(x,t) + f'(u(x,t))\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x,t) = 0,$$

where (*) only works if f is differentiable. THen we have on every compact interval $[a,b]$ (why???) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_a^b u(x,t)dx = \int_a^b \dot{u}(x,t)dx \overset{PDE}{=} -\int_a^b \frac{\partial}{\partial x}f(u(x,t))dx = f(u(a,t)) - f(u(b,t)).$$

The change of a quantity in an interval $[a,b]$ thus soly depends on the in- and outflow of that quantity at the boundary with speed f at time t.???

4 Reminder

Definition 4.1

A set X and a system of sets $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X) = \{A \mid A \subseteq X\}$ with

- $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{O}$

- $\mathcal{O}' \subset \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{O}'} U \in \mathcal{O}$

- $U, V \in \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow U \cap V \in \mathcal{O}$

is called topology on X and $(X, \mathcal{O})$ is called topological space.

Definition 4.2

We call a topological space $(X, \mathcal{O})$ second countable if there exists a family $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{O}$, that is a basis of $\mathcal{O}$, i.e.
$$\forall U \in \mathcal{O} \forall x \in U \exists B \in \mathcal{B} : \quad x \in B \subset U.$$

A topological space $(X, \mathcal{O})$ is called Hausdroff, if

$$\forall x, y \in X \text{ with } x \neq y \exists U_x, U_y \text{ open areas around } x \text{ and } y \text{ repectively:} \quad U_x \cap U_y = \emptyset.$$

Definition 4.3

A C^r-Atlas of dimension m on a Set X is a family of Maps $(U_i, \varphi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $U_i \subset X$ and $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} U_i = X$ and homöormorphismen $\varphi : i : U_i \to \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open such that for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ we have that
$$\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1} : \varphi_i(U_i \cap U_j) \to \varphi_j(U_i \cap U_j)$$
is in $C^{1,r} := \{u \in C^r \mid D^\alpha u \in C^r, |\alpha| \leq r\}$.

Definition 4.4

A $C^{r,1}$ Manifold X of dimension m is a Hausdorff, second countable topological space with a at most $C^{1,r}$-Atlas $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\}$ where $\varphi_i : U \to \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ are Homöomorphism are and

Definition 4.5

A topological space $(X, \mathcal{O})$ is called connected if the only subsets of X, that are open and closed are $\emptyset$ and X itself. Local Connected means that for every $x \in X$ every ball around x contains a connected area of X.

Theorem 4.6

1. Non empty subsets X of $\mathbb{R}$ are connected $\iff$ X is a bounded or unbounded intervall.
2. $\mathbb{R}$ is connected and also locally connected.

Proof. 1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ be connected and not empty. Assume that there exists an intervall in X, where there is an element, that is not in X, i.e.

$$\exists a, b \in X \text{ with } a < b: \quad x \in (a, b) \text{ with } x \notin X.$$

Then we have that

$$U := (-\infty, x) \cap X = (-\infty, x] \cap X, \quad \text{and} \quad V := (x, \infty) \cap X = [x, \infty) \cap X.$$

Both equalities above hold, because we assumed that $x \notin X$. Because U and V are open and closed at the same time we get that we can make a disjoint decomposition of open sets: $X = U \cup V$, which leads to a contradiction, because X is no longer connected!

This yields that the above constructed $x \notin X$ cannot exist. Thus, all elements in $(a, b) \subset X$ have to be in X.

2. Global:

Choose $\inf A = -\infty$ and $\sup A = \infty$. Then

$$\forall x \in (\inf X, \sup X) \exists a, b \in X: \quad x \in (a, b)$$

i.e. its always contained in an interval. This yields

$$(\inf X, \sup X) \subset X \subset [\inf X, \sup X]$$

which leads to the conclusion that X has to be either $(\inf X, \sup X), [\inf X, \sup X), (\inf X, \sup X]$ or $[\inf X, \sup X]$.

2. Local:

We can use that a interval I is connected $\iff$ $I = A \cup B$ of non empty subsets where at least one is not open.

Let $a \in A, b \in B$ with $a < b$ and define $c := \inf B \cap [a, b]$. If A is open then $c \in B$ and thus $[a, c) \subset A$. Because $c \notin A$ we get that B is not open and thus I is connected. $\qquad \square$

Definition 4.7

A mapping $f : V \to U$ between two open vector spaces is called Diffemorphism if

- Bijective

- everywhere continuous differentiable

- the inverse f^{-1} everywhere continuous differentiable.

Definition 4.8

A Homöorphism is a bijective mapping φ that is continuous and its inverse φ^{-1} is continuous.

Definition 4.9

Let X be a topological space, then we call a Homöormorphism $\phi : U \to V$, where $U \subset X$ open and $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open, a Map. We call U definition space and n the dimension of the Map.

Intuition: A Map assigns to every point $x \in U$ a unique coordinate $\phi(x) := (x_1, ..., x_n) \in V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every coordinate we can reconstruct a unique point.

Definition 4.10

On a topological space $(X, \mathcal{O})$ we call two maps $\phi_1 : U_1 \to V$ and $\phi_2 : U_2 \to V$, with $U_1, U_2 \subset X$ open and $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open compatible, if

$$\left(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1}\right)\big|_{U_1 \cap U_2} \text{ is a diffeomorphism, i.e. bijective, continuous and its inverse is continuous.}$$

The reasoning behind the diffeomorphism (???) is that we dont want information to be lost.

Remark 4.1

Note that $(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1})^{-1} = \phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$, i.e. no matter the order $\phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1}$ and $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$ we get a continuous bijective mapping from on of the definition spaces U_1 to the other U_2, because

$$\phi_1 \circ \phi_2^{-1} : U_1 \to U_2 \text{ and } \phi_2 \circ \phi_1^{-1} : U_2 \to U_1.$$

Definition 4.11

For a topological space $(X, \mathcal{O})$ we call a family of maps $\phi_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $X \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_i$ is a cover of X an Atlas, if the maps are pairwise compatible.

Remark 4.2

We only want to consider Hausdorff spaces, because ???.
The topological space

$$X := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} O_i \cup (\{0^*\} \cup 0) \cup (\{0^*\} \cup (O \setminus \{0\}))$$

where $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} O_i$ is an open cover of $\mathbb{R}$ and 0^* is some element, that is not in $\mathbb{R}$, and $O \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open area around 0. The two maps

$$\phi_1 : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0^*\} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto 1 \text{ and } \phi_2 : (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0^*\}) \cup \{0^*\} \to \mathbb{R}, x \mapsto \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} + 0 \mathbf{1}_{\{0^*\}}$$

are an Atlas of X, as their definition space is a cover of X.
This is space, that we do not want to consider, because its not a Hausdorff space, because for arbitrary $V \subset \mathbb{R}$ open area of 0 and $W \subset X$ open area of 0^* there exists $O \subset \mathbb{R}$ open area of 0 with $0^* \in O$.

Definition 4.12: Manifold

We call a topological Hausdorff space with an Atlas differentiable Manifold.

The Idea here is that using an open cover of the topological space X, for each open set, we map using a map to an open subset of euklidean space, i.e. this what we call locally euklidean.

5   Non-characteristic Hypersurfaces

We now consider $F : W \to \mathbb{R}$ with $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega$ open as the first order PDE

$$F(\nabla u(x), u(x), x) = 0$$

where the solution $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined on the open domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and has the boundary condition

$$u(y) = g(y), \quad \forall y \in \Sigma := \{x \in \Omega \mid \varphi(x) = \varphi(x_0)\}$$

on the level set of a function φ.
In this section we will show that we can reformulate the boundary condition of the Cauchy problem as

$$u(y) = g(y), \quad \forall y \in \Omega \cap H, \text{ where } H := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid xe_n = x_0 e_n = 0\}$$

is the unique hyperplane through $x_0 \in \Omega$ that is orthogonal to $e_n = (0, ..., 0, 1)$.
If $\nabla \varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ we can assume w.l.o.g that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \varphi(x_0) \neq 0$ which leads wit the inverse function theorem to the conclusion that

$$x \mapsto \Phi(x) := (x_1, ..., x_{n-1}, \varphi(x))$$

is continuous differentiable and for every x in an open neighborhood of x_0 it holds that $x = \Phi^{-1}(x)$. Then it holds that

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(x_0) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad ye_n = (\Phi(x))_n = \varphi(x).$$

We call this straightening of the boundary at x_0. Then for a function $v : \Omega' \to \mathbb{R}$ and $u := v \circ \Phi$ we get that

$$\nabla u(x) = \nabla v(\Phi(x)) = \nabla v(y)\Phi'(\Phi^{-1}(y)),$$

where Φ' is the Jacobi Matrix. Thus, we get the relation that u is a solution of $F(\nabla u(x), u(x), x) = 0 \iff v$ is a solution of

$$G(\nabla v(y), y(y), y) := F(\nabla v(y)\Phi'(\Phi^{-1}(y)), v(y), \Phi^{-1}(y)) = 0$$

Next, we ask ourselves the question what information we can gather about u using the Hyperplane H? Due to the fact that the Hyperplane is orthogonal to e_n, we can compute the partial derivatives of u on the hyperplane in $n - 1$ directions, i.e. for $x_0 \in H$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{u(x_0 + he_i) - u(x_0)}{h} \stackrel{Boundray}{=} \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{g(x_0 + he_i) - g(x_0)}{h} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g(x_0), i = 1, ..., n - 1.$$

This does not work for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} g(x_0)$, because g is only defined on $H \cap \Omega$ which is orthogonal to e_n.

Remark 5.1

For future purposes we define

$$p_{0,i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x_0), \quad p_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x), \quad p_0 := (p_{0,1}, ..., p_{0,n}), \quad p := (p_1, ..., p_n)$$

and

$$z = u(x), \quad z_0 := u(x_0).$$

We then only write

$$F(\nabla u(x_0), u(x_0), x_0) = F(p_0, g(x_0), x_0) = 0.$$

Whether or not the PDE has a solution hence depends on F and the initial condition g. Next, follows a simple criterion for the existence of a solution.

Definition 5.1

Consider the PDE $F(p, z, x) = 0$ with $2n + 1$ variables and suppose there exists a solution (p_0, z_0, x_0). We call the hyperplane $H := \{x \mid x_n = x_{0,n}\}$ non-characteristic at $x_0 := (x_{0,1}, ..., x_{0,n})$ if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_n} F(p_0, z_0, x_0) \neq 0.$$

Example 5.1

Consider

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} = 0, \quad u(x_1, 0) = g(x_1)$$

i.e. the PDE is for $n = 2$ given by $F(p_1, p_2, z, x_1, x_2) = p_1 = 0$. We then see that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} F(p, z, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} p_1 = 0$$

which implies that the non-characteristic property does not hold. This now leads to two observations

- The PDE and the initial condition are only compatible if g is constant, i.e. we need

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} g(x) = 0, \quad x \in H \cap \Omega.$$

  to hold

- ???

Theorem 5.2

Let $F : W \to \mathbb{R}$, $g : H \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous differentiable and $x_0 \in \Omega \cap H$, $z_0 := g(x_0)$ and

$$\forall i = 1, .., n - 1 : \quad p_{0,i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g(x_0).$$

If there exists a $p_{0,n}$ with $F(p_0, z_0, x_0) = 0$ and H is non-characteristic at x_0, then for $\Omega_{x_0} \subset \Omega$ open neighborhood of x_0 we have that

$$\exists x \in \Omega_{x_0} \cap H : \quad F(q(x), g(x), x) = 0, \quad q_i(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g(x), i = 1, ..., n - 1, \quad q(x_0) = p_0.$$

Proof. We define the function $l : (x, q_n) \mapsto F(q_1(x), ..., q_{n-1}(x), q_n, g(x), x)$, then by assumption of the PDE we have that

$$l(x_0, p_{0,n}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} l(x_0, p_{0,n}) \neq 0$$

although the second condition stems from the fact that we assumed that H is not characteristic at x_0. The non negativity then yields that the inverse exists wrt. the partial derivative and we can apply the implicit theorem, i.e. there exist $\Omega_{x_0} \subset \Omega \cap H$, $W \subset \mathbb{R}$, $O \subset (\Omega \cap H) \times \mathbb{R}$ open neighborhoods around $x_0/l(x_0, p_{0,n})/(x_0, p_{0,n})$, then there exists a $q_n : V \times W \to Y$ such that

$$\forall z \in W : \quad l^{-1}(\{z\}) \cap O = Graph(q_n(\cdot, z)).$$

???   □

6   Method of Characteristics

We now want to find a more general approach for the chartacteristics. Up to now we have done it in every example by hand. In general, we want to solve the PDE on some curve along the domain. These curvers will be later ODEs. Let $x(s)$ be some curve on the domain and define $z(s) := u(x(s))$ as the solution along that curve. We also need to consider $p(s) := \nabla u(x(s))$, the gradient along this curve, as this appears in the PDE F. The big question is now, how we should choose this curve $s \mapsto x(s)$? We begin by noticing that

$$p_i'(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \frac{\partial u(x(s))}{\partial x_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 u(x(s))}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} x_j'(s).$$

Using this we now want to reformulate the total derivative of $F(\nabla u(x), u(x), x)$:

$$0 = \frac{d}{ds} F(\nabla u(x), u(x), x)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} F(p, u(x), x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} p_j + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} F(p, u(x), x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F(p, u(x), x).$$

Due to commutivity $\partial_i \partial_j u = \partial_j \partial_i u$ and the above equality with zero we get that the above is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} F(p, u(x), x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} p_i = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} F(p, u(x), x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F(p, u(x), x).$$

Next, we want to eliminate the explicit dependence of u. As the curve x was chosen up to now as arbitrary, if one compares with the equation with p_i', we can simplify, if we choose

$$x_j'(s) := \frac{\partial F(p, u(x), x)}{\partial p_j}$$

i.e. yielding

$$p_i'(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} F(p, u(x), x)}_{=x_j'(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} p_i = -\frac{\partial}{\partial u} F(p, u(x), x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F(p, u(x), x).$$

CHAPTER 2

GENERAL CONCEPTS

1 Divergence Theorem

Now follows a generalization of fundamental theorem of calculus, i.e. partial integration to higher dimensions. In order for this to be feasible, we assume that $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is some compact space which then implies that $\partial\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. We can show that its a submanifold, which we now define.

Definition 1.1

For $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and $f : U \to \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ the Graph of f is defined as

$$graph(f) := \{(x, y) \in U \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \mid y = f(x)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We neglect the order of the components in $\mathbb{R}^n$???

Definition 1.2

A subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called k-dimensional submanifold if it is a k-dimensional graph locally, i.e.

$$\forall x \in A \exists O_x \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ open area around } x : \quad A \cap O_x \text{ is a } k\text{-dimensional Graph.}$$

Definition 1.3

A Graph or submanifold is in C^l if the function f of the Graph is in $f \in C^l$.

Remark 1.1

The Graph $(x, f(x))$ of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as a parametrization

$$\phi : U \to graph(f), x \mapsto (x, f(x)), \quad U \subset \mathbb{R}^m, graph(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$$

and for $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n)$ the Jacobian of the parametrization is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(x_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & \cdots & & 1 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_1(x_0) & & \cdots & & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} f_1(x_0) \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_n(x_0) & & \cdots & & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} f_n(x_0) \end{pmatrix}$$

and is of full rank, due to the identity matrix.

Example 1.1

Counterexample of regular parametrization.

Definition 1.4

Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subset with regular parametrization $\phi : U \to A$ with $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and $f \in C^0(A, \mathbb{R}^m???)$, then we define

$$\int_A f d\sigma := \int_U f \circ \phi \cdot det((\phi')^T \phi') d\mu$$

We will now show that the choice of parametrisation is independend of this Integral, which is indeed what is what we want to hold true. Further, one can interpret $det((\phi')^T \phi')$ as a correction of the distortion of the parametrization.

Lemma 1.5

Let $A := graph(\lambda)$, then $\int_A f d\sigma$ is independent of the choice of the regular parametrization.

Proof. First, consider the parametrization of the graph, i.e.

$$\phi : U \to graph(f), x \mapsto (x, f(x)), \quad U \subset \mathbb{R}^m, graph(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+m}.$$

and second another Parametrization

$$\psi : V \to graph(f), \quad V \subset \mathbb{R}^m.$$

We then define $\Upsilon := \phi^{-1} \circ \psi : U \to V$, which we need to show is continuously differentiable, which is not

trivial (*). Then we get that

$$\int_A f d\sigma = \int_U f \circ \phi \cdot det((\phi')^T \phi') d\mu$$

$$\stackrel{\substack{Jacobi\\Trafo}}{=} \int_V det(\Upsilon') \left((f \circ \phi) \sqrt{det((\phi')^T \phi')} \right) \circ \Upsilon d\mu$$

$$= \int_V (f \circ \phi \circ \Upsilon) \sqrt{det((\phi')^T \phi')} det(\Upsilon') d\mu$$

$$= \int_V (f \circ \phi \circ \Upsilon) \sqrt{det((\phi')^T \phi')} det(\Upsilon')^2 d\mu$$

$$= \int_V (f \circ \phi \circ \Upsilon) \sqrt{det((\phi')^T \phi')} det((\Upsilon')^T \Upsilon') d\mu$$

$$= \int_V (f \circ \phi \circ \Upsilon) \sqrt{det((\phi')^T \phi' \cdot (\Upsilon')^T \Upsilon')} d\mu$$

$$= \int_V (f \circ \phi \circ \Upsilon) \sqrt{det(((\phi \circ \Upsilon)')^T (\phi \cdot \Upsilon)')} d\mu$$

$$= \int_V (f \circ \psi) \sqrt{det((\psi')^T \psi')} d\mu$$

In the Jacobi transformation we need that Υ is continuously differentiable and bijective on open sets and Υ' is invertible.

Continuously differentiable: For $\Upsilon := \phi^{-1} \circ \psi$ the continuously differentiability it is not clear, because ϕ^{-1} is only defined on A which is non-euclidean space, i.e. the chain rule does not apply directly. Hence, define

$$\Pi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k, (x, y) \mapsto x$$

then it holds that $\Pi \circ \phi(x) = \Pi(x, \lambda(x)) = x$, i.e. $\Pi \circ \phi = Id$ which implies that

$$\Pi \big|_A = \phi^{-1}, \quad \text{as } \Phi^{-1} \text{ is only defined on } A.$$

which in turn implies that $\Upsilon = \phi^{-1} \circ \psi = \Pi_A \circ \psi$ which is by definition a composition of continuous differentiable functions. $\qquad \square$

2   Distributions

If a differentiable function is a dsitribtion, the two types of derivatives are equal. Furtherm distributions are differentiable (even infinitely often). The price we pay for that is that two distributions cannot be multiplied. An important tool will be a familiy of functions $(\lambda_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \geq 0}$ called mollifier with the two properties that

$$supp\lambda_\epsilon = \overline{B_\epsilon(0)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \int \lambda_\epsilon d\mu = 1.$$

An example for such a mollifier is

$$\lambda(x) := \begin{cases} Ce^{\frac{1}{|x|^2-1}} & , |x| < 1 \\ 0 & , |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

which is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n$, its support is $\overline{B_\epsilon(0)}$ and it is non-negative. Note that this example already proves the existence of a test function, because we can choose C in such a way that its integral is 1. If we rescale x and λ we get the mollifier

$$\lambda_\epsilon(x) := \epsilon^{-n}\lambda(x/\epsilon)$$

which satisfies the properties??? We call it standard mollifier.
We also call mollifiers approximate identity, because of the following: For any $f \in C^0(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ with $0 \in \Omega$ it holds with continuity that

$$\forall x \in B_\epsilon(0): \quad f(x) \approx f(0).$$

This implies that

$$\int_\Omega f\lambda_\epsilon d\mu = \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} f\lambda_\epsilon d\mu \approx \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} f(0)\lambda_\epsilon d\mu = f(0).$$

In the next Lemma we show that there is even an equality as $\epsilon \searrow 0$.

Lemma 2.1

Let $f \in C(\Omega)$ and $(\lambda_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \geq 0}$ a mollifier. The following family

$$f_\epsilon(x) := \int_\Omega f(y)\lambda_\epsilon(x - y)d^n y$$

is smooth and converges uniformly on any compact subset of Ω to f as $\epsilon \searrow 0$. Same for derivatives???

Proof. 1.
Let $A \subset \Omega$ be compact then it holds that

$$\exists \epsilon > 0 \forall x \in A: \quad B_\epsilon(0) \subset \Omega.$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
|f_\epsilon(x) - f(x)| &= |\int_\Omega f(y)\lambda_\epsilon(x - y)d^n y - f(x)| \\
&= |\int_\Omega f(y)\lambda_\epsilon(x - y)d^n y - \underbrace{\int_\Omega \lambda_\epsilon(x - y)d^n y}_{=1} f(x)| \\
&= |\int_\Omega (f(y) - f(x))\lambda_\epsilon(x - y)d^n y \\
&\leq \sup_{y \in B_\epsilon(0)} |f(y) - f(x)|.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that continuous functions are uniformly continuous on a compact subset we get convergence $f_\epsilon \to f$ as $\epsilon \searrow 0$. 2.
If f is smooth we can compute the derivatives of f_ϵ in the following way: Warum 2ϵ ball??? □

For $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the convolution as

$$(g * f)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x - y)f(y)d^n y = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(z)f(x - z)d^n z,$$

which can be shown to be linear, commutative and associative.

Remark 2.1

The advantage of convolution compared to pointwise multiplication is that it behaves nicely with differentiation. One can show that

$$\partial^\alpha(g * f) = (\partial^\alpha g) * f = g * (\partial^\alpha f).$$

Further one can show that convolution is volume preserving under the coordinate transform $z = y - x$ and $y = y$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (f * g)(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - y)g(y)dxdy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -(-1)f(z)g(y)dzdy$$

$$= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(z)dz\right)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y)dy\right).$$

Lemma 2.2

Suppose f and g are rotationally symmetric around symm. around a and b respectively, i.e. for every O orthogonal transformation holds $f(a + x) = f(a + Ox)$. It then follows that $f * g$ is rotationally symmetric around $a + b$.

Proof.

$$(f * g)(a + b + Ox) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(a + b + Ox - y)g(y)dy$$

$$\overset{(*)}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(a + b + Ox - (Oz + b))g(Oz + b)dz$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(a + O(x - z))g(Oz + b)dz$$

$$\overset{VSS}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(a + x - z)g(b + z)dz$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(a + x - (y' - b))g(b + y' - b)dy'$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(a + x - y' + b)g(b + y' - b)dy'$$

$$= (f * g)(a + b + x)$$

Although we used in $(*)$ that $dy = dz$. This is due to $y(z) := Oz + b$ has the jacobian $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}y(z_0) = O$ and thus with the Jacobi-transformation theorem we have $det(O) = 1$. $\qquad\square$

For every $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ we define

$$F_f : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}, \phi \mapsto \int_\Omega f\phi d\mu$$

and will see that information wrt. derivatives is retained in this linear form. The idea of distributions is to consider not just functions integrated against test functions, but all linear forms F defined on $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$??? To evade the difficulty of defining the topology on $C^\infty(\Omega)$, we use an equivalent criterion. $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is the set of functions $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ that satisfy $f_n \to f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) : \Longleftrightarrow$

- $\exists K \subset \Omega$ compact $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$: $supp f_n \subset K$

- $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$: $sup_K |\partial^\alpha f_n - \partial^\alpha f| \to 0$, $n \to \infty$.

Remark 2.2

We define the seminorm

$$\| \cdot \|_{K,\alpha} : C_0^\infty(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}, \phi \mapsto \|\phi\|_{K,\alpha} := \sup_{x \in K} |\partial^\alpha \phi(x)|.$$

It is a seminorm, as constant functions $f \equiv const.$ have $\|f\|_{K,\alpha} = 0$, but they are not zero!
Note that convergence $\|f_n - f\|_{K,\alpha} \to 0$ is stronger than $\|f_n - f\|_\infty$. This can be seen by the following counterexample.

Example 2.1

Define $f_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sin(nx) \mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(x)$. Then we have that

$$\|f_n(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

and because $\frac{1}{n}$ converges to zero, we get converge in $\| \cdot \|_\infty$. But on the other hand for $\alpha = 1$ and $n = 1$ we have

$$\sup_{x \in [-1,1]} |\partial_x f_n(x)| = \sup_{x \in [-1,1]} |\cos(nx)\mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(x) + \frac{1}{n}\sin(nx)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\mathbf{1}_{[-1,1]}(x)|$$

$$\geq \sup_{x \in [-1,1]} |\cos(nx)| = 1,$$

i.e. it is bounded by below by 1 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus not convergent to zero.

Definition 2.3

On an open $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we define $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ the space of all linear maps

$$F : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$$

which are continiuous wrt. seminorms $\| \cdot \|_{K,\alpha}$ as the space of distributions, i.e.

$$\forall K \subset \Omega \text{ compact } \exists \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_M, M < \infty, C_1, ..., C_M > 0 \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega) : |F(\phi)| \leq C_1 \|\phi\|_{K,\alpha_1} + ... + C_M \|\phi\|_{K,\alpha_M}.$$

Note that with continuity we get that

$$\phi_n \to \phi \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F(\phi_n) \to F(\phi) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

and

$$\forall \phi \in D(\Omega) : \quad F_n(\phi) \to F(\phi) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_n \to F \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

Example 2.2

First, we show that $F : L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a distribution. We show continuity:
$\forall K \subset \Omega$ compact and $\forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ with $supp\phi \subset K$:

$$|F_f(\phi)| \leq \int_K |f||\phi|dx \leq \sup_{x \in K} |\phi(x)| \int_K |f|dx = \sup_{x \in K} |\phi(x)| \underbrace{\|f\|_{L^1(K)}}_{\leq const.}$$

Next, one can show that

$$\delta : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi \mapsto \phi(0)$$

is a distribution.???

Lemma 2.4

If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ satisfies $F_f(\phi) \geq 0 \ \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ then it follows $f \geq 0$ a.e. and $L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}'(\Omega), f \mapsto F_f$ is injective.

Proof. For a mollifier $(\lambda_\epsilon)_{\epsilon > 0}$ it holds that

$$\|\lambda_\epsilon * f - f\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \lambda_\epsilon(y)f(x-y)d^ny - f(x) \right| d^nx$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \lambda_\epsilon(y) |f(x-y) - f(x)| d^nyd^nx$$

$$\leq \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_\epsilon(y) |f(x-y) - f(x)| d^nxd^ny$$

$$\leq \sup_{y \in B_\epsilon(0)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_\epsilon(y) |f(x-y) - f(x)| d^nx$$

$$\leq \sup_{y \in B_\epsilon(0)} \lambda_\epsilon(y) \|f(\cdot - y) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$$

For step functions

$$f(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{1}_{Q_i}(x), \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^n Q_i = ???$$

Then using triangle inequality we get

$$\|\lambda_\epsilon * f - f\|_1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\lambda_\epsilon * a_i - a_i\|_{L^1(Q_i)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sup_{y \in B_\epsilon(0)} \|a_i - a_i\|_{L^1(Q_i)} ???$$

and because the step function lie dense in $L^1(\Omega)$ in the limit and choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small we get that the supremum gets arbitrary small. Nur lim inf gegen Null gezeigt??? Muss schnell genug gegen 0???.   □

Let $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ then every test function on Ω' is also a test function on Ω. If we restrict a distribution on Ω only to Ω' it is again a distribution. We call this restriction. Using restrictions we can define the support of a distribution. The compliment of the support of a distribution is the union over all stes on which the distribution/restriction vanishes:

$$(suppF)^C = \bigcup_{\Omega' \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)} \{\Omega' \subset \Omega \mid F(\phi) = 0, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega')\}$$

The support of a delta distribution is $\{0\}$ and for a $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ is the support in the classical sense.

We now want to define as many operations as possible on distributions and thus extend operations on functions. The idea is to compare F_f and F_{Af}, where A is some operation. If we can write the operation in a way that only depends on the distribution and not directly on the function it is a suitable definition of be generalized. First, we consider the multiplication by a smooth function $g \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and $F : L^1_{loc}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$

$$F_{fg}(\phi) = \int_\Omega (gf)\phi dx = \int_\Omega f(g\phi)dx = F_f(g\phi).$$

Thus we define the multiplication by a smooth function $g \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ in the following way.

Definition 2.5

For $g \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ define
$$gF : \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi \mapsto F(g\phi).$$

Remark 2.3

Remember that for two topoligical spaces X and Y the mapping $f : X \to Y$ is called an embedding of two topological spaces, if it is bijective, continuous and its inverse is continuous. ...???

Note that the producti of a distribution with a non-smooth function is not defined, because then $g\phi$ is not a test function.

The most important operation for distributions is its derivative. Integrating by parts yields

$$F_{\partial_i f}(\phi) = \int_\Omega \partial_i f \phi d^n x = - \int_\Omega f \partial_i \phi d^n x = -F_f(\partial_i \phi).$$

Definition 2.6

For all $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ we define
$$\partial_i F : \mathcal{D}' \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi \mapsto -F(\partial_i \phi).$$

Hence, test functions are infinitely differentiable.

Remark 2.4

These two new operations are distributions again and are clearly linear. One would need to show as well that they obey the continuity definition.

We now want to extend convolutions to distributions. Observe that

$$F_{g*f}(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g*f)\phi d^n x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x-y)f(y)\phi(x)d^n y d^n x$$
$$\overset{Fubini}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x)g(x-y)d^n x f(y)d^n y = F_f(\phi * Pg),$$

although $(Pg)(z) := g(-z)$ is the point reflection operator.

Definition 2.7

We define for $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$g * F : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi \mapsto F(\phi * Pg).$$

One would need to check that this is again a distribution and it is even a regular distribution, i.e. $\phi * Pg \in (L_{loc}^1(\Omega))'$.

Lemma 2.8

Let $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a test function and a distribution $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then their convolution satisfy

$$g * F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and we can write it as

$$g * F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}, \quad x \mapsto F(T_x Pg),$$

where $(T_x \phi)(y) := \phi(y - x)$ is the translation operator.
Finally, it holds that $supp\, g * F \subset supp\, g + supp\, F$.

Proof. We first show existence and continuity of $g * F$.
Existence:

$$suppT_x Pg = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y \in suppPg(\cdot - x)\} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y \in suppg(x - \cdot)\}$$
$$= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x - y \in suppg(\cdot)\} = x - supp\, g$$

Thus, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have that $F(T_x Pg)$ is well defined, because $supp\, g$ is well defined.
Continuity:
Fist, we see that $(T_x Pg)(y) = Pg(y - x) = g(x - y)$, g is continuous and continuity implies uniform continuity on a compact subset, we have that $x \mapsto T_x Pg$ is continuous wrt. $\|\cdot\|_{K,0}$. Remember, that $K \subset supp\, T_x Pg$ is compact. We now need to show that

$$\frac{T_{x+\epsilon h} - T_x}{\epsilon} g \overset{uniformly}{\to} T_x \left(\sum_{i=1}^n -h_i \partial_i g \right), \quad \epsilon \to 0, \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This is due to the following: Remember that with Taylor and the mean value theorem

$$\frac{g(x - \epsilon h) - g(x)}{\epsilon} \overset{uniformly}{\to} -h \langle h, \nabla g(y) \rangle, \quad \epsilon \to 0$$

Using the fact that

$$\frac{T_{x+\epsilon h} - T_x}{\epsilon} g = T_x \frac{T_{\epsilon h} - Id}{\epsilon} g = T_x \frac{T_{\epsilon h} g - g}{\epsilon} = T_x \frac{g(\cdot - \epsilon h) - g(\cdot)}{\epsilon}$$

it follows that

$$\frac{T_{x+\epsilon h} - T_x}{\epsilon} g \overset{uniformly}{\to} T_x \langle h, \nabla g(x) \rangle = T_x \left(\sum_{i=1}^n -h_i \partial_i g \right).$$

Due to the fact that F is a distribution, i.e. continuous we get that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \quad F(\frac{T_{x+\epsilon h} - T_x}{\epsilon} g) \rightarrow F(T_x \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} -h_i \partial_i g \right)), \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

which means that $x \mapsto F(\frac{T_{x+\epsilon h} - T_x}{\epsilon} g) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R})$, for $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We now show the equality. Above it was shown that $x \mapsto T_x P g$ is smooth on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. wrt. the semi-norm $\| \cdot \|_{K,\alpha}$. We choose

$$K \subset supp \, T_x P g = x - supp \, g$$

as a compact subset. Thus a finite disjoint decomposition exists

$$K := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E_i.$$

Then the Riemann sum is given by

$$S_n := \sum_{i=1}^{n} (T_{x_j} P g)(\cdot) \phi(x_i) |E_i|.$$

Due to $supp \, T_x P g = x - supp \, g$ we have that $T_x P g \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Multiplying with constants and a finite sum still leads to $S_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It then follows that for $x \in supp \phi$

$$\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (T_x P g)(\cdot) \phi(x) d^n x - S_n \|_{K,\alpha} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |E_i| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|(T_x P g)(\cdot) \phi(x) - (T_{x_i} P g)(\cdot) \phi(x_i) \|_{K,\alpha} d^n x$$

$\square$

CHAPTER 3

LAPLACE EQUATION

We begin by solving the Laplace equation that is given by

$$\Delta u = f$$

....

1   Fundamendal Solution

This equation is invaraint wrt. all rotations and translations. This leads to the notion that our solution should also have these conditions. We defeine $r := |x| := \sqrt{x^T x}$ which defines the length of the position vector, i.e. the solution should only depend on this r. Further, define $u(x) := v(r)$. Then for $w(r) := v'(r)$

$$\nabla_x u(x) = v'(r) \nabla_x r = v'(r) \frac{2x}{2|x|}$$

which leads to

$$\Delta_x u(x) = \nabla_x \cdot \nabla_x u(x) = \nabla_x \cdot (v'(r) \frac{x}{|x|}) = v''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} v'(r) = 0.$$

We see that this is only an ODE in r. Solving it is not hard: First it can be seen that

$$v''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} v'(r) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (r^{n-1} v'(r))' = \frac{v'(r)}{n-1} r^{n-2} + v'' r^{n-1} = 0.$$

Then we define $C_1 := r^{n-1} v'(r)$ which can also be written as $v'(r) = C_1 r^{1-n}$. This formulation now leads t0

$$v(r) = \begin{cases} C_2 + \frac{C_1}{2-n} r^{2-n} & , n \neq 2 \\ C_2 + C_1 \log r & , n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that for the solution the constant C_2 can be chosen arbitrarly as it will vanish whilst applying the Laplace operator. With this in mind we define

$$\Phi(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{C_1}{2-n} r^{2-n} & , n \neq 2 \\ C_1 \log r & , n = 2. \end{cases}$$

We now need to determine C_1.

Definition 1.1

The solution of the Laplace equation is

$$\Phi(x) := \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2\pi}\log|x| & ,n=2 \\ \frac{1}{n(n-2)\omega_n}\frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} & ,n\geq 3 \end{cases}$$

where ω_n denotes the volume of the n-dimensional unit ball.

Theorem 1.2

For $f \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the solution of the Poisson equation $\Delta u = f$ is given by the convolution

$$u(x) = (\Phi * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x-y)f(y)d^n y = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(z)f(x-z)d^n z.$$

Proof. The first equality in the theorem comes from the substitution $z = x - y$. Note that the second integral is twice continuous differentiable, because we can pull in in the derivative in the Integral due to the fact that $f \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(z)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}f(x-z)d^n z.$$

We will now write $\Delta_x u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(y)\Delta_x f(x-y)d^n y$. The trick now will be to decompose the integral into a open area around zero (where there is a singularity) and everything else. Then we will let the radius of that open area go to zero. Then the integral evaluated at the singularity will be zero, as it will be a zero set. Define for $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\Delta_x u(x) = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} \Phi(y)\Delta_x f(x-y)d^n y}_{=:J_\epsilon} + \underbrace{\int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \Phi(y)\Delta_x f(x-y)d^n y}_{=:I_\epsilon}$$

Step 1 I_ϵ:
We want to show that the integral

$$|I_\epsilon| := \left| \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \Phi(x)\Delta_y f(y-x)d^n x \right| \leq \|\Delta_y f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} |\Phi(x)|d^n x$$

is finite and converges to zero. Note that using the Co-Area theorem we have that

$$B_\epsilon(0) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid l(x) := |x| < \epsilon\}$$

and due to $1 = |\nabla l(x)|$ we get that

$$\int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \phi(x)d^n x = \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} \phi(x)|\nabla l(x)|d^n x = \int_0^\epsilon \int_{\partial B_r(0)} \phi d\sigma dr.$$

We now want to do a Jacobain transformation to the space of S^{n-1}. For this define the map $T : S^{n-1} \to \partial B_r(0), s \mapsto T(s) := rs$. But, we have the problem, that the Jabobian is only defined for subsets for euclidean spaces! Thus we need to introduce two new maps. First, $\psi : V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to \partial B_r(0)$ and $\phi : U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to S^{n-1}$, where U, V are open subsets. Both are regular parametrizations. Then the write for the composition

$$F := \psi^{-1} \circ T \circ \phi : U \to V.$$

Its Jacobian we do can calculate. Fist, using the chain rule

$$DF(x) = D(\psi^{-1})(T(\phi(x)))DT(\phi(x))D\phi(x).$$

Then we use that for $y \in U$:

$$Id = D(\psi \circ \psi^{-1})(y) = D\psi(\psi^{-1}(y))D\psi^{-1}(y) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad D\psi^{-1}(y) = (D\psi(\psi^{-1}(y)))^{-1}.$$

Using this in the above we get that

$$\begin{aligned} DF(x) &= D(\psi^{-1})(T(\phi(x)))DT(\phi(x))D\phi(x) \\ &= (D\psi(\psi^{-1}(T(\phi(x)))))^{-1}DT(\phi(x))D\phi(x) \\ &= (D\psi(F(x)))^{-1}DT(\phi(x))D\phi(x) \end{aligned}$$

We then have that

$$DF(x) = (D\psi(F(x)))^{-1}DT(\phi(x))D\phi(x) \iff D\psi(F(x))DF(x) = DT(\phi(x))D\phi(x)$$

We now use the general fact that $AB = C$ implies that

$$(AB)^T AB = B^T A^T AB = C^T C$$

which leads to

$$\det(B^T B)\det(A^T A) = \det(C^T C) \iff \det(A^T A) = \frac{\det((C^T C))}{\det((B^T B))}.$$

We do this so complicated, as the images are manifolds, i.e. n-1 dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and thus we cannot calculate the determinant, as their Jacobians are not square matrices. Now using $DT(\phi(x)) = Id \cdot r$ we get that

$$\det(DF^T DF) = \frac{\overbrace{\det(Id \cdot r)^2}^{=r^{2(n-1)}}\det(D\phi^T D\phi)}{\det(D\psi(F)^T D\psi(F))}.$$

Due to the fact that F has a quadratic Jaconian we have

$$|\det(DF)| = r^{n-1}\sqrt{\frac{\det(D\phi^T D\phi)}{\det(D\psi(F)^T D\psi(F))}} \tag{3.1}$$

Let A_i be a cover of $\partial B_r(0)$ and O_i a cover of S^{n-1}, then due to $\psi \circ F = T \circ \phi$ the following calculation holds

for any $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\int_{B_\epsilon(0)} f(x)d^n x \overset{Co-Area}{=} \int_0^\epsilon \int_{\partial B_r(0)} f d\sigma dr$$

$$= \int_0^\epsilon \sum_i \int_{A_i} \underbrace{h_i f}_{=:f_i} d\sigma dr$$

$$= \int_0^\epsilon \sum_i \int_{V_i} f_i \circ \psi \sqrt{\det(D\psi^T D\psi)} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dr$$

$$\overset{JacobiTransform}{=} \int_0^\epsilon \sum_i \int_{U_i} (f_i \circ \psi \circ F)\sqrt{\det((D\psi(F))^T D\psi(F))}|\det(DF)| d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dr$$

$$= \int_0^\epsilon \sum_i \int_{U_i} (f_i \circ T \circ \phi)\sqrt{\det((D\psi(F))^T D\psi(F))}|\det(DF)| d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dr$$

$$\overset{Eq.3.1}{=} \int_0^\epsilon \sum_i \int_{U_i} (f_i \circ T \circ \phi)\sqrt{\det((D\psi(F))^T D\psi(F))} r^{n-1}\sqrt{\frac{\det(D\phi^T D\phi)}{\det(D\psi(F)^T D\psi(F))}} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dr$$

$$= \int_0^\epsilon \sum_i \int_{U_i} (f_i \circ T \circ \phi) r^{n-1}\sqrt{\det(D\phi^T D\phi)} d\mu_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dr$$

$$= \int_0^\epsilon \sum_i \int_{O_i} (f_i \circ T) r^{n-1} d\sigma dr$$

$$= \int_0^\epsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} (f \circ T) r^{n-1} d\sigma dr$$

Then it holds that

$$\int_{B_\epsilon(0)} |\Phi(y)| d^n y \overset{above}{=} \int_0^\epsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Phi \circ T| d\sigma r^{n-1} dr = \int_0^\epsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Phi(rx)| d\sigma(x) r^{n-1} dr.$$

We see that for all $x \in S^{n-1}$ it follows $|x| = 1$ and thus

$$\Phi(xr) = \Phi(re), \quad \text{where } e \text{ is any unit vector.}$$

Due to the fact that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} 1 d\sigma(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |x|^2 d\sigma(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} F\nu d\sigma = \int_{B_1(0)} div F d^n x$$

$$= \int_{B_1(0)} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} x_i d^n x = n\lambda^n(B_1(0)) =: n\omega_n$$

it finally follows

$$\int_{B_\epsilon(0)} |\Phi(y)| d^n y = \int_0^\epsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} |\Phi(rx)| d\sigma(x) r^{n-1} dr = \int_0^\epsilon r^{n-1} |\Phi(re)| \int_{S^{n-1}} 1 d\sigma(x) dr$$

$$= \int_0^\epsilon r^{n-1} |\Phi(re)| n\omega_n dr$$

$$= \begin{cases} \int_0^\epsilon r |\log(r)| dr & , n = 2 \\ \int_0^\epsilon r^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-2)r^{n-2}} dr & , n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}(|\log(\epsilon)| + \frac{1}{2}) & , n = 2 \\ \int_0^\epsilon r \frac{1}{n-2} dr = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2(n-2)} & , n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

Note that in the case $n = 2$ we have $\omega_n = \pi$. Both terms are finite! And because the upper bounds depend on ϵ it follows that $I_\epsilon \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Step 2 J_ϵ:

First notice that for $z := x - y$ it holds that $\sum_j \partial f(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} z = \partial_i f(x - z) = -\sum_j \partial f(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} z$ and thus $|\Delta_x f(x - y)| = |\Delta_y f(x - y)|$. It follows

$$|J_\epsilon| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} |\Phi(y)| |\Delta_y f(x - z)| d^n y$$

$$\overset{\phi \Delta f = (\phi \nabla f)' - \nabla \phi \nabla f}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} |\nabla_y \Phi(y)| |\nabla f(x - z)| d^n y - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} (|\Phi(y)| |\nabla f(x - z)|)' d^n y$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} |\nabla_y \Phi(y)| |\nabla f(x - z)| d^n y - \int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} |\Phi(y)| |\nabla f(x - z)| N d\sigma(y)$$

$$=: K_\epsilon - L_\epsilon.$$

First with the same arguments as above it follows

$$|L_\epsilon| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} |\Phi(y)| d\sigma(y) \leq \begin{cases} C\epsilon |\log(\epsilon)| & , n = 2 \\ C\epsilon & , n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

This clearly converges to zero as $\epsilon \to 0$. For K_ϵ we have that

$$K_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} \nabla_y \Phi(y) \nabla_y f(x - y) d^n y$$

$$= \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus B_\epsilon(0) \Delta_y \Phi(y) f(x - y) d^n y}_{=0} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\epsilon(0)} (\nabla_y \Phi(y) f(x - y))' d^n y$$

$$= - \int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} \nabla_y \Phi(y) f(x - y) N d\sigma(y).$$

Note that $\nabla \Phi(y) = -\frac{y}{n\omega_n |y|^n}$ and $N = -\frac{y}{|y|}$. Further, due to the fact that

$$r^n n\omega_n = n \int_{B_r(0)} 1 d^n x = \int_{B_r(0)} \nabla \cdot x d^n x = \int_{\partial B_r(0)} x N d\sigma(x)$$

$$= \int_{\partial B_r(0)} x \frac{x}{|x|} d\sigma(x) = \int_{\partial B_r(0)} |x| d\sigma(x) = r \int_{\partial B_r(0)} d\sigma(x) = r\sigma_n(r)$$

The above is equivalent to $\sigma_n(r) = n\omega_n r^{n-1}$. This finally leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_\epsilon &= -\int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} \nabla_y \Phi(y) f(x-y) N d\sigma(y) \\
&= \int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} \frac{y}{n\omega_n |y|^n} f(x-y)(-1)\frac{y}{|y|} d\sigma(y) \\
&= -\int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} \frac{|y|}{n\omega_n \epsilon^n} f(x-y) d\sigma(y) \\
&= -\frac{\epsilon}{n\omega_n \epsilon^n} \int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} f(x-y) d\sigma(y) \\
&= -\underbrace{\frac{1}{\sigma_n(\epsilon)}}_{\to 1, \epsilon \to 0} \underbrace{\int_{\partial B_\epsilon(0)} f(x-y) d\sigma(y)}_{\to -f(x), \epsilon \to 0} \to -f(x), \quad \epsilon \to 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

because f is continuous. Thus in total we have shown that

$$
\Delta_x u(x) = J_\epsilon + I_\epsilon = J_\epsilon + K_\epsilon - L_\epsilon \leq |J_\epsilon| + K_\epsilon + |L_\epsilon| \to -f(x), \quad \epsilon \to 0.
$$

□

Thus due to the fact that we can write for every $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f * \delta_0)(\phi) = \delta_0(\phi * Pf) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\phi * Pf)(x) d\delta_0(x) \\
&= (\phi * Pf)(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)\phi(x) d^n x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta_x u(x))\phi(x) d^n x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -\Delta_x(\Phi * f)\phi(x) d^n x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ((-\Delta_x \Phi) * f)\phi(x) d^n x \\
&= (f * (-\Delta_x \Phi))(\phi),
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that $-\Delta_x \Phi = \delta_0$ in the distributional sense.

2   Mean Value Property

The value of u at the center of any $B_r(0)$ with compact closure ($\bar{A} := \{x \in \Omega \mid \forall \epsilon > 0 : \mathcal{U}_\epsilon(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$, i.e. open balls, that are close to A are also have a overlap with A) in Ω is equal to the mean of u on the boundary of the ball. In the following we will show that this porperatry holds for any harmonic function. Remember, these were functions that satisfy $\Delta u = 0$. One can also show that if this mean value property holds for a function u then it is harmonic. We will also show that the mean on $B_r(x)$ is the mean over the means of u on $\partial B_{r'}(x)$ for all $r' \in [0, r]$. I.e. after having shown both directions, the mean value property is equivalent to functions beeing harmonic.

Lemma 2.1

- Let $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ be harmonic on an open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing $\overline{B_r(x)}$. THe mean of u on $B_r(x)$ and on $\overline{B_r(x)}$ is equal to $u(x)$, i.e. the center.

- If for $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ the means on all Balls $B_r(x)$ with compact closure in Ω or all means on the boundaries of such balls is equal to $u(x)$ then u is harmonic.

Proof. Define for $x \in \Omega$ the mean of u on $\partial B_r(x) \subseteq \Omega$ as

$$S_x(r) := \frac{1}{r^{n-1} n \omega_n} \int_{\partial B_r(x)} u(y) d\sigma(y) = \frac{1}{r^{n-1} n \omega_n} \int_{\partial B_1(x)} (u \circ T)(y) r^{n-1} d\sigma(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} (u \circ G)(ry) d\sigma(y) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} u(x + ry) d\sigma(y)$$

where $T : \partial B_1(x) \to \partial B_r(x), y \mapsto ry$ and $G : \partial B_r(0) \to \partial B_r(x), y \mapsto x + y$. The transformation with T has already been discussed. Transforming with G is only a translation and its Jabobian is trivially Id. Note that one would need to use parametrizations in order to calculate the Jacobian on euclidean spaces.
With the divergence theorem we get

$$\frac{d}{dr} S_x(r) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} \frac{d}{dr} u(x + ry) d\sigma(y) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} \nabla u(x + ry) y d\sigma(y)$$

Note that y is on $\partial B_1(0)$ a normal vector, i.e. $|y| = 1$ and if we consider $B_1(0)$ then it is an outward pointing normal vector. If we now transform back to $\partial B_r(x)$ then $\frac{y-x}{r} = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$ is an outward pointing normal vector! Thus we get

$$\frac{d}{dr} S_x(r) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} \nabla u(x + ry) y d\sigma(y) = \frac{1}{n\omega_n} \int_{\partial B_1(0)} \nabla u(x + ry) y d\sigma(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r^{n-1} n \omega_n} \int_{\partial B_r(x)} \nabla u(y) \underbrace{\frac{y - x}{|y - x|}}_{=N} d\sigma(y) = \frac{1}{r^{n-1} n \omega_n} \int_{B_r(x)} \underbrace{\nabla \cdot \nabla u(y)}_{=\Delta u(y)} d^n y.$$

The above only holds for $\overline{B_r(x)}$ compact in Ω, because ???. If u is harmonic, i.e. by definition $\Delta u \equiv 0$, then it follows that $\frac{d}{dr} S_x(r) = 0$ for all such r, i.e. $S_x(r)$ is constant in r. This just means that the average on $\partial B_r(x)$ does not depend on r. Further, because u is continuous it follows that $S_x(r) \to u(x), r \to 0$. THus means that the mean is the center value, because $S_x(0) = u(x)$ and $S_x(\cdot)$ is constant.
Now it holds that

$$\int_{B_r(x)} u(y) d^n y = \int_{B_r(0)} u(x+y) d^n y = \int_{B_r(0) \cap \{y_n > 0\}} u(x+y) d^n y + \int_{B_r(0) \cap \{y_n < 0\}} u(x+y) d^n y + \underbrace{\int_{B_r(0) \cap \{y_n = 0\}} u(x+y) d^n y}_{=0}.$$

In order to calculate these two integrals we need to do a Jacobi tranformation. We take the mapping

$$\phi_+ : \{(z, s) \in B_r^{n-1}(0) \times (0, r) \mid |z| < s\} \to B_r(0) \cap \{y_n > 0\}, (z, s) \mapsto \left(z, \sqrt{s^2 - |z|^2}\right)$$

and

$$\phi_- : \{(z, s) \in B_r^{n-1}(0) \times (0, r) \mid |z| < s\} \to B_r(0) \cap \{y_n > 0\}, (z, s) \mapsto \left(z, -\sqrt{s^2 - |z|^2}\right).$$

which gives us

$$\int_{B_r(0)\cap\{y_n>0\}} u(x+y)d^ny = \int_0^r \int_{B_s^{n-1}(0)} u\left(x+\left(z,\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}\right)\right) \underbrace{|\det(D\phi_+(z,s))|}_{\overset{(*)}{=}\frac{s}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}}} d^{n-1}zds.$$

We now need to find a parametrization ψ that satisfies $det((\psi')^T\psi') = \frac{s}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}}$ and then we can write it as an integral over a manifold. Fot that define

$$\psi_+ : B_s^{n-1}(0) \to \partial B_s^n(0) \cap \{y_n > 0\}, z \mapsto \left(z, \sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}\right).$$

This gives us

$$\int_{B_r(0)\cap\{y_n>0\}} u(x+y)d^ny = \int_0^r \int_{B_s^{n-1}(0)\cap\{y_n>0\}} u\left(x+\underbrace{\left(z,\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}\right)}_{=\psi_+(z)}\right) |\det((\psi'_+)^T\psi'_+)|d^nzds$$

$$= \int_0^r \int_{\partial B_s^{n-1}(0)\cap\{y_n>0\}} u(x+y)d\sigma(y)ds.$$

Doing this analougously for ϕ_- and defining an respective ψ_- we get in total that

$$\int_{B_r(x)} u(y)d^ny = \int_{B_r(0)\cap\{y_n>0\}} u(x+y)d^ny + \int_{B_r(0)\cap\{y_n<0\}} u(x+y)d^ny$$

$$= \int_0^r \int_{\partial B_s^{n-1}(0)\cap\{y_n>0\}} u(x+y)d\sigma(y)ds + \int_0^r \int_{\partial B_s^{n-1}(0)\cap\{y_n<0\}} u(x+y)d\sigma(y)ds$$

$$= \int_0^r \int_{\partial B_s^{n-1}(0)} u(x+y)d\sigma(y)ds$$

$$= \int_0^r \int_{\partial B_s^{n-1}(x)} u(y)d\sigma(y)ds$$

altough we used in the last step a translation on manifolds, which has Jacobain Id.
Now we can finally show that due to the fact that $S(\cdot) \equiv u(x)$ is constant we get

$$\frac{1}{r^n\omega_n} \int_{B_r(x)} u(y)d^ny = \frac{n}{r^n n\omega_n} \int_0^r \frac{s^{n-1}}{s^{n-1}} \int_{\partial B_s^{n-1}(x)} u(y)d\sigma(y)ds$$

$$= \frac{n}{r^n} \int_0^r s^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^{n-1}n\omega_n} \int_{\partial B_s^{n-1}(x)} u(y)d\sigma(y)ds$$

$$= \frac{n}{r^n} \int_0^r s^{n-1}S(s)ds = u(x)\frac{n}{r^n}[\frac{1}{n}s^n]_0^r = u(x).$$

Now we show the other direction:
Let $\overline{B_r(x)} \subset \Omega$ for every $r > 0$ be compact and assume the mean value property holds, i.e.

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^n} \int_{B_r(x)} u(y)d^ny = \frac{1}{\omega_n r^n} \int_{B_r(x)} u(y)d^ny \overset{above}{=} \frac{n}{r^n} \int_0^r s^{n-1}S_x(s)ds.$$

Because u is constant in r it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{d}{dr}\frac{n}{r^n}\int_0^r s^{n-1}S_x(s)ds \\
&= -\frac{n^2}{r^{n+1}}\int_0^r s^{n-1}S_x(s)ds + \frac{n}{r^n}\frac{d}{dr}\int_0^r s^{n-1}S_x(s)ds \\
&\stackrel{???}{=} -\frac{n^2}{r^{n+1}}\int_0^r s^{n-1}S_x(s)ds + \frac{n}{r^n}r^{n-1}S_x(r) \\
&= -\frac{n^2}{r^{n+1}}\frac{1}{n}r^n S_x(r) + \frac{n}{r}S_x(r)ds = -\frac{n}{r^n}S_x(r) + \frac{n}{r}S_x(r) \\
&\Longleftrightarrow\ u(x) = S_x(r).
\end{aligned}
$$

The above shows that the mean on $\partial B_r(x)$ is equalt to $u(x)$. We have shown that $S'(r)$ is the mean of Δu on $B_r(x)$ and because $S(\cdot)$ is constant it follows

$$
S'(r) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \int_{B_r(x)} \Delta u\, d^n y = 0.
$$

Finally, $S(\cdot)$ is twice differentiable, because $u \in C^2(\Omega)$.
We now want to show that $\Delta u \equiv 0$. For that, assume $\exists x \in \Omega$ such that $\Delta u(x) \neq 0$. Because Δu is continuous

$$
\forall \xi > 0 \exists y \in B_\epsilon(x): \quad |\Delta u(y) - \Delta u(x)| < \xi.
$$

Choose $\xi := \frac{|\Delta u(x)|}{2}$. It follows

$$
|\Delta u(y) - \Delta u(x)| < \frac{|\Delta u(x)|}{2}.
$$

- Case 1: $\Delta u(x) > 0$

$$
\Delta u(y) \geq \Delta(x) - |\Delta u(y) - \Delta u(x)| > \Delta u(x) - \frac{|\Delta u(x)|}{2} = \frac{\Delta u(x)}{2} > 0.
$$

- Case 2: $\Delta u(x) < 0$

$$
\Delta u(y) = \Delta u(X) - \Delta u(x) + \Delta u(y) < \Delta u(x) + |\Delta u(y) - \Delta u(x)| \leq \Delta u(x) + \frac{|\Delta u(x)|}{2} = \frac{\Delta u(x)}{2} < 0.
$$

In total it was shown that $\frac{|\Delta u(x)|}{2} > 0$ and

$$
\forall y \in B_\epsilon(x): |\Delta u(y)| \geq \frac{|\Delta u(x)|}{2}.
$$

Ultimiately this leads to

$$
\left|\int_{B_r(x)} \Delta u(y) d^n y\right| \geq \frac{|\Delta u(x)|}{2}\int_{B_r(x)} d^n y > 0,
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus it follows that $\Delta u \equiv 0$.
  (*)

$$D\phi_+(z,x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & \cdots & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ \frac{2|z|}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}} & \cdots & \frac{2|z|}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}} & \frac{s}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}} & & \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Id & & & 0 \\ \frac{2|z|}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}} & \cdots & \frac{2|z|}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}} & \frac{s}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

This yields

$$\det(D\phi_+(z,x)) = \frac{2|z|}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}}\det(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & Id & \end{pmatrix}) + \cdots + \frac{2|z|}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}}\det(\begin{pmatrix} & Id & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}) + \frac{s}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}}\det(Id)$$

$$= \frac{s}{\sqrt{s^2-|z|^2}}.$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.2

Let u be a smooth harmonic function on an open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $B_r(x)$ with closure in Ω. Then $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ it holds that

$$|\partial^\alpha u(x)| \le C(n,|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B_r(x)})}, \quad C(n,|\alpha|) = 2^{|\alpha|(1+|\alpha|)}n^{|\alpha|}.$$

Proof. First, its clear that all partial derivatives of a harmonic function are harmonic again. We show the assertion via induction but only consider the induction start:

$$|\partial_i\partial^\alpha u(x)| \overset{meanvalue}{=} |\frac{2^n}{\omega_n r^n}\int_{B_{r/2}(x)}\partial_i\partial^\alpha u\, d^n x|$$

$$\overset{Div.Thm.}{=} |\frac{2^n}{\omega_n r^n}\int_{\partial B_{r/2}(x)}\partial^\alpha u N d\sigma|$$

$$\le |\frac{2^n}{\omega_n r^n}|\|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_{r/2}(x))}|\overbrace{\int_{\partial B_{r/2}(x)}\underbrace{1_{n-1}}_{=1}N\, d\sigma}^{=n\omega_n(r/2)^{n-1}}|$$

$$= \|\partial^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\partial B_{r/2}(x))}\frac{2n}{r}, \quad \forall i = 1,...,n.$$

This shows the assertion for $|\alpha| = 1$, i.e. the induction start is $C(n,1) = 2n$.

$\square$

Theorem 2.3: Liouvilles

On $\mathbb{R}^n$ a bounded harmonic function is constant.

Proof. The previous corolarry has shown that a smooth (???) harmonic function is bounded in the following way

$$|\partial^\alpha u(x)| \le C(n,|\alpha|)r^{-|\alpha|}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{B_r(x)})}.$$

This goes to zero as $r \to \infty$, i.e. all partial derivatives of order α dissapear. Thus u is constant.

$\square$

We now want to transfer the mean value property to distributions. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ be harmonic, then $\forall B_r(x) \subset \Omega$ and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ it holds

$$\int_{B_r(x)} u(y) \frac{\psi(|y-x|)}{n|y-x|^{n-1}\omega_n} d^n y = \int_0^r \int_{\partial B_s(x)} u(y) \frac{\psi(|y-x|)}{n|y-x|^{n-1}\omega_n} d\sigma(y) ds$$

$$= \int_0^r \frac{\psi(s)}{ns^{n-1}\omega_n} \int_{\partial B_s(x)} u(y) d\sigma(y) ds = \int_0^r \psi(s) u(x) ds = u(x) \int_0^r \psi(s) ds.$$

Theorem 2.4: Weak Mean Value Property

Let $U \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ be a harmonic distribution on an open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For each ball $B_r(x) \subset \Omega$ and each $\psi \in C_0^\infty((0,r))$ with $\int_0^r \psi d\mu = 0$ the distribution U vanishes on the followsing test function $f \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with

$$y \mapsto f(y) := \frac{\psi(|y-x|)}{n|y-x|^{n-1}\omega_n}, \quad supp\, f \subset B_r(x) \subset \Omega,$$

i.e. $U_f = 0$.

Proof. Due to the fact that U is harmonic it holds that

$$0 = (\Delta U)_g = U_{\Delta g} = U_f,$$

if we show that $\exists g \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\Delta g = f$.
Because by assumption ψ is integrable ($\int_\Omega \psi d\mu = \int_0^r \psi d\mu = 0$) there exists a $\Psi \in C_0^\infty((0,r))$ such that $\Psi' = \psi$. Now define

$$g(y) := v(|y-x|), \quad v(t) := \int_r^t \frac{\Psi(s)}{ns^{n-1}\omega_n} ds.$$

This function has compact support in $B_r(x) \subset \Omega$, because of the following. As we defined $\Psi \in C_0^\infty((0,r))$ it holds that $\Psi(s) = 0$ for all $s \geq r$ and $\exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $\Psi(s) = 0$ for all $s \leq \epsilon$. Finally, we get that for $y \neq x$

$$\Delta_y g(y) = \nabla_y \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} |y-x| v'(y) \right) = \nabla_y \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|} v'(y)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{|y-x|} v'(y) + v''(y) \frac{y_i - x_i}{|y-x|} \right)$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{|y-x|} v'(y) + v''(y)$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{|y-x|} v'(y) + \frac{\psi(s)}{ns^{n-1}\omega_n} + \frac{\Psi(s)}{ns^{n-1}\omega_n} \frac{1-n}{|y-x|}$$

$$= \frac{n-1}{|y-x|} v'(y) + \frac{\psi(s)}{ns^{n-1}\omega_n} - \frac{\Psi(s)}{ns^{n-1}\omega_n} \frac{n-1}{|y-x|}$$

$$= \frac{\psi(s)}{ns^{n-1}\omega_n} = f(y).$$

$\square$

Lemma 2.5

On an open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for each harmonic distribution $U \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ there exists $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ harmonic such that $H = F_u$.

Proof. First, we shall find a u as it was proposed in the lemma. For every $x \in \Omega$ choose a ball $B_r(x) \subset \Omega$ and a test function $\phi \in C_0^\infty((0,r))$ with $\int_0^r \phi(s)ds = 1$. Then we define

$$u(x) := u(g_x), \quad g_x(y) := \frac{\phi(|y-x|)}{n|y-x|^{n-1}\omega_n}.$$

Now we need to show that u is well defined, i.e. independent of the choice of r and ϕ. For that define

$$g_{x,1}(y) := \frac{\phi_1(|y-x|)}{n|y-x|^{n-1}\omega_n}, \quad g_{x,2}(y) := \frac{\phi_2(|y-x|)}{n|y-x|^{n-1}\omega_n},$$

for $\phi_1 \in C_0^\infty((0,r_1))$ and $\phi_2 \in C_0^\infty((0,r_2))$. We want to show that $U(g_{x,1}) = U(g_{x,2})$ which is equivalent by linearity to $U(g_{x,1} - g_{x,2}) = 0$. For ease of notation define

$$f(y) := \frac{\phi_1(|y-x|) - \phi_2(|x-y|)}{n|y-x|^{n-1}\omega_n}.$$

Thus we need to show that $U(f) = 0$, where $\phi := \phi_1 - \phi_2$. We do this by showing that the conditions from the mean value theorem are satisfied. It holds that

$$\int_0^{\max r_1,r_2} \phi ds = \int_0^{r_1} \phi ds - \int_0^{r_2} \phi_2 ds = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

Thus u is independent from ϕ and r. Additionally it holds that

$$g_x(y) = g_0(y - x) = (T_x g_0)(y)$$

which leads to

$$u(x) = U(g_x) = U(T_x g_0) = U(t_x P g_0) = (g_0 * U)(x)$$

due to the fact that $g_0(\cdot)$ is symmetric, i.e. $g_0 = P g_0$. Thus because we can write any distribution as a function

$$g * F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad x \mapsto F(T_x P g)$$

that is in C^∞ it follows that u is smooth.
Next, we show that the distribution $\tilde{U} = F_u$ satisfies the weak Mean value property. With the above $\tilde{U} = F_u = g_0 * U$???

Note that the function that we characterized in the mean value property theorem satisfies the following three properties

1. It only depends on the distance $|y - x|$ to the center $x \in \Omega$

2. *supp* $f \subset B_x(r)$

3. $U(f) = 0$

Clearly the first property is equivalent to f is invariant under all rotains around the center. Remember that we have shown that for two functions f and g that are rotationally symmetric around a and b repsectively (i.e. for any orthonagonal transformation $O \in O(n, \mathbb{R})$ it holds $f(a+b) = f(a + Ox)$) follows

$$(f * g)(a + b + x) = (f * g)(a + b + Ox),$$

i.e. rotationally symmetric wrt. $a + b$. Thus, if we take $g_0 * f$ it is rotationally symmetric around the same center as f, because g_0 is rotaionally symmetric around 0.

Since $\phi \in C_0^\infty((0, r))$ the function

$$f(y) = \frac{\phi(|y - x|)}{n|y - x|^{n-1}\omega_n}$$

vanishes on for all $y \in B_\epsilon^n(\epsilon)$, if we choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough.

Note that we used different test functions for f and g_0. For the first we have $\psi \in C_0^\infty((0, r))$ with $\int \psi d\mu = 0$ and for the second we have $\phi \in C_0^\infty((0, r))$ with $\int \phi d\mu = 1$. If g_0 has its support on $B_\xi(0)$ with $\xi < \epsilon$ then $supp\ B_\xi(x)$. This and the fact that $\int_\Omega \phi(x)\psi(y - x)d\mu = 0$ gives us all the conditions we need to to use the weak mean value property in order for the following calculation

$$\tilde{U}(f) = (g_0 * U)(f) = U(f * Pg_0) = U(f * g_0) = 0.$$

Thus, $\tilde{U}$ satisfies the weak mean value property.

The next step is to show that u is harmonic.

Let $\phi_\epsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a mollifier. Because $B_r(x)$ has compact closure in $\Omega\ \exists R > r$ such that $B_R(x) \subset \Omega$. Further, for any $0 < r_1 < r_2 < R$ and $\epsilon > 0$ small enough the function

$$\varphi(t) := \phi_\epsilon(t - r_1) - \phi_\epsilon(t - r_2),$$

has compact support in $(0, R)$ and a vanishing integral

$$\int \varphi(t)d\mu = \int \phi_\epsilon(t - r_1)d\mu - \int \phi_\epsilon(t - r_2)d\mu = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

We define

$$f_x^\epsilon(y) := \frac{\varphi(|y - x|)}{n|y - x|^{n-1}\omega_n}, \quad y \in B_\epsilon(x).$$

For sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ f_x^ϵ has compact support in Ω and is symmetric wrt. x. Since satisfies all the conditions from the weak mean value theorem, as $\epsilon \to 0$, it follows that with

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \leftarrow \tilde{U}(f_x^\epsilon) &= \int_\Omega u(y)f_x^\epsilon(y)dy = \int_\Omega u(y)\frac{\varphi(|y - x|)}{n|y - x|^{n-1}\omega_n}dy \\
&= \frac{1}{n\omega_n}\int_{B_\epsilon(x)} u(y)\frac{\varphi(|y - x|)}{|y - x|^{n-1}}dy = \frac{1}{n\omega_n}\int_0^\epsilon \int_{\partial B_r(x)} u(y)\frac{\varphi(|y - x|)}{|y - x|^{n-1}}d\sigma(y)dr \\
&= \int_0^\epsilon \varphi(r)\frac{1}{nr^{n-1}\omega_n}\int_{\partial B_r(x)} u(y)d\sigma(y)dr \\
&= \int_0^\epsilon \varphi(r)S_x(r)dr \\
&= \int_0^\epsilon \phi_\epsilon(r - r_1)S_x(r)dr - \int_0^\epsilon \phi_\epsilon(r - r_2)S_x(r)dr \\
&\to S_x(r_1) - S_x(r_2), \quad \epsilon \to 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

we get $0 = S_x(r_1) - S_x(r_2)$. In the limit we have

$$S_x(r_1) = S_x(r_2) \to u(x), t \to 0.$$

Thus u satisfies the mean value property and is thus equivalently harmonic.
Finally, we show that $U = \tilde{U}$. For that define

$$\eta(t) := \phi_{\epsilon/3}\left(t - \frac{2}{3}\epsilon\right), \quad \text{has support } (\epsilon/3, \epsilon)$$

and $\int \eta d\mu = 1$. Define the mollifier

$$f_x^{\eta,\epsilon}(y) := \frac{\eta(|y - x|)}{n|y - x|^{n-1}\omega_n}.$$

Then $(f_0^{\eta,\epsilon}(\cdot))_{\epsilon \geq 0}$ is a sequence of smooth mollifiers. It then finally follows with $W := \tilde{U} - U$ that for any test
function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

$$\tilde{U}(\psi) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \tilde{U}(\psi * f_0^{\eta,\epsilon}) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega u(y)(\psi(y) * f_0^{\eta,\epsilon})(y)dy$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega u(y) \int_\Omega \psi(x) f_0^{\eta,\epsilon}(y - x)dxdy$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega \psi(x) \int_\Omega u(y) f_0^{\eta,\epsilon}(y - x)dydx$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega \psi(x)\tilde{U}(f_x^{\eta,\epsilon})dx$$

$$= \int_\Omega \psi(x)u(x)dx$$

$$= \int_\Omega \psi(x)U(g_x)dx$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega \psi(x)U(f_x^{\eta,\epsilon})dx$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_\Omega \psi(x)U(f_0^{\eta,\epsilon}(\cdot - x))dx$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \psi * U(f_0^{\eta,\epsilon})$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} U(\psi * f_0^{\eta,\epsilon}) = U(\psi)$$

Although we used that for a mollifier $(\lambda_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \geq 0}$ it holds

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \psi * \lambda_\epsilon = \psi, \quad \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

$\square$

Theorem 2.6: Harnack

Let Ω' be an open path-connected domaina, i.e.

$$\forall x, y \in \Omega' \exists \gamma : [0,1] \to \Omega' \text{ continuous: } \gamma(0) = x \text{ and } \gamma(1) = y,$$

with compact closure in the open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists $C(\Omega, \Omega') > 0$ such that any non-negative harmonic function u on Ω satisfies

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega'} u(x) \leq C \inf_{x \in \Omega' u(x)}$$

and

$$\forall x, y \in \Omega' : \frac{1}{C} u(y) \leq u(x) \leq C(y).$$

Proof. Let Ω' be an open domain that is path connected and has compact slosure in the open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Define

$$g(x) := \inf_{y \in \partial\Omega} \|y - x\|$$

Since $\bar{\Omega}'$ is compact in Ω it is disjoint from $\partial\Omega$ which implies that $g > 0$. Let

$$r := \frac{1}{2} \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}'} g(x).$$

By construction it holds that for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}'$ we have $B_{2r}(x) \subset \Omega$. Next, let $x, y \in \Omega'$ be arbitrary with $\|x - y\| < r$. It the follows that for any $z \in B_r(y)$ it holds

$$\|z - x\| \leq \|z - y\| + \|y - x\| < 2r.$$

Thus $B_r(y) \subset B_{2r}(x)$. Since u is harmonic and non-negative it follows

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2^n r^n \omega_n} \int_{B_{2r}(x)} u \, d\mu \geq \frac{2^{-n}}{r^n \omega_n} \int_{B_r(y)} u \, d\mu = 2^{-n} u(y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in \Omega'$ with $\|x - y\| < r$.

As we have required $\bar{\Omega}'$ to be compact, i.e. meaning that there exists a cover of finite many balls $B_1, ..., B_N$ with radii $\frac{r}{2}$ with

$$\forall k = 1, ..., N - 1 : B_{k+1} \cap B_k \neq \emptyset.$$

Let $B_k := B_{r/2}(x_k)$, then $\forall z_k \in B_{k+1} \cap B_k$ holds

$$\|x_k - z_k\| + \|z_k - x_{k+1}\| < \frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} = r,$$

which implies that $B_r(x_{k+1}) \subset B_{2r}(x_k)$. Thus, for all $k = 1, ..., N - 1$:

$$u(x_k) = \frac{1}{2^n r^n \omega_n} \int_{B_{2r}(x_k)} u \, d\mu \geq \frac{2^{-n}}{r^n \omega_n} \int_{B_r(x_{k+1})} u \, d\mu = 2^{-n} u(x_{k+1})$$

which is equivalent to $2^n u(x_k) \geq u(x_{k+1})$. Doing this N times yields

$$u(x_N) \leq ... \leq 2^{n(N-1)} u(x_1).$$

Now choose $x, y \in \Omega'$ arbitrary and the cover in such a way that $x \in B_{r/2}(x_1)$ and $y \in B_{r/2}(x_N)$. Because Ω' is path connected there exist a sequence of open balls $B_{k_1}, ..., B_{k_n}$ that connect these points. For ease of notation let $k_1 = 1$ and $k_n := N$. Then it follows that

$$\|x - x_1\| < \frac{r}{2} < r \implies B_{r/2}(x_1) \subset B_r(x) \implies 2^n u(x) \geq u(x_1).$$

and

$$\|y - x_N\| < \frac{r}{2} < r \implies B_{r/2}(y) \subset B_r(x_N) \implies 2^n u(x_N) \geq u(y)$$

which finally leads to

$$u(y) \leq 2^n u(x_N) \leq ... \leq 2^{nN} u(x_1) \leq 2^{n(N+1)} u(x).$$

As the above holds for arbitrary $x, y \in \Omega'$ and its an open set the following immediatly follows

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega'} u(x) \leq 2^{n(N+1)} \inf_{x \in \Omega'} u(x).$$

$\square$

Lemma 2.7: Harnack's Principle

On an open and path connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ a monotone sequence of harmonic functions $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly on all compact subsets $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, i.e.

$$\exists u \forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq N, x \in \Omega' : \quad |u_n(x) - u(x)| < \epsilon,$$

if and only if

$$\exists x \in \Omega : (|u_n(x)|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is bounded.}$$

Proof. "$\Rightarrow$":
Let $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be uniformly convergent on every compact subset $\Omega' \subset \Omega$. Then with analysis it follows $(u_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges for all $x \in \Omega$. Pointwise convergence implies boundedness.
"$\Leftarrow$":
Let $(|u_n(x)|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be bounded for a $x \in \Omega$. By assumption it is monotone. Boundedness an monotonicity imply pointwise convergence, i.e. $(u_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges. To show uniform convergence on Ω', we need to show that $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly a chauchy sequence on Ω'. Because Ω is a domain

$$\exists \Omega'' : \Omega' \subset \Omega'' \subset \Omega, \text{ with } x \in \Omega''.$$

Define $v_{n,m}(x) := u_n(x) - u_m(x)$, which is harmonic and non negative, because $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-negative. Thus Harnacks inequality implies that

$$\sup_{y \in \Omega''} v_{n,m}(y) \leq C \inf_{y \in \Omega''} v_{n,m}(y).$$

which implies for all $y \in \Omega''$:

$$v_{n,m}(y) \leq C \inf_{y \in \Omega''} v_{n,m}(y).$$

Due to the fact that $x \in \Omega''$ it follows also that for all $y \in \Omega''$:

$$v_{n,m}(y) \leq C v_{n,m}(x).$$

Finally, due to $\Omega' \subset \Omega''$ it follows

$$\sup_{y \in \Omega'} v_{n,m}(y) \leq C v_{n,m}(x).$$

Now due to the fact that $(u_n(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a convergent sequence, it is a cauchy sequence, i.e.

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq m \geq N: \quad u_n(x) - u_m(x) = v_{n,m}(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{C}.$$

Thus

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq m \geq N: \quad \sup_{y \in \Omega'} v_{n,m}(y) \leq C v_{n,m}(x) < \epsilon,$$

which is the uniform cauchy criterion on Ω'. $\qquad \square$

3 Maximum Principle

Theorem 3.1: Strong Maximum Principle

Let u be harmonic on a path-connected open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and taking its maximum. Then u is constant.

Proof. Let u be a harmonic function that takes its maximum at $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ on an open path connected domain. The MVP implies

$$\forall y \in B_r(x) \subset \Omega: \quad \frac{1}{r^n \omega_n} \int_{B_r(x)} |u(x) - u(y)| d^n y \overset{Max.}{=} \frac{1}{r^n \omega_n} \int_{B_r(x)} u(x) - u(y) d^n y \overset{MVP}{=} 0.$$

Hence u is constant on $B_r(x)$, i.e. $\forall y \in B_r(x): \max_{z \in \Omega} u(z) = u(y) =: M$.
Define $\{z \in \Omega \mid u(z) = M\}$. We have shown in the above that $A \neq \emptyset$ because $B_r(x) \subset A$. We will show that A is closed an open an non-empty. This implies due to (*) that $A = \Omega$.
Closed: Because the preimage of closed sets for continuous functions is again closed it follows that $u^{-1}(\{M\}) = A$ is closed.
Open: Let $a \in A$ be arbitrary. Since Ω is open there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_r(a) \subset \Omega$. Due to the fact that $a \in A$ it follows that $u(a) = M$ is the global maximum. With the arguments above it follows that

$$\forall y \in B_r(a) \subset \Omega: \quad \frac{1}{r^n \omega_n} \int_{B_r(a)} |u(a) - u(y)| d^n y \overset{Max.}{=} \frac{1}{r^n \omega_n} \int_{B_r(a)} u(a) - u(y) d^n y \overset{MVP}{=} 0.$$

Hence u is constant on $B_r(a)$. As $a \in A$ was arbitrary it follows that A is open.
All the above arguments imply that u is constant on Ω where it takes its maximum.
(*): Ω is path connected implies Ω is connected, which is defined as

$$\nexists B, C \neq \emptyset \text{ open with } B \cap C = \emptyset \text{ and } \Omega = B \cup C.$$

Since A is closed it follows that A^C is closed. Because $\Omega = A \cup A^C$ and Ω is connected one of the sets has to be empty. As $A \neq \emptyset$ it follows $A^C = \emptyset$. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 3.2: Weak Maximum Principle

Let u be harmonic on a bounded open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ that extends continuously to $\partial \Omega$. THen maximum of u is then taken on $\partial \Omega$.

Proof. Because Ω is bounded it follows that $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$ is closed and bounded, which implies with Heine Borel that $\bar{\Omega}$ is compact. A continuous function on a compact set attains its maximum value M at some point $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. There are now two cases:

- Case 1: If $x \in \partial\Omega$ the assertion is shown.

- Case 2: If $x \in \Omega$ the strong Maximum Principle can be applied an yields that $\forall z \in \Omega : u(z) = M$. Because U extends continuously to $\partial\Omega$ it follows that also $\forall y \in \partial\Omega$: $u(y) = M$.

In both cases the maximum is attained on $\partial\Omega$. $\square$

Since $-u$ is again harmonic if u is, the strong and weak minimum principle immediatly follows. We will now generalise the Maximum principle.

Theorem 3.3

Let L be an elliptic differntial operator on a bounded open domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with coefficients $a_{i,j}, b_i$ extending continuously and ellipticly to $\partial\Omega$. Then every twice differentiable solution $u \in C^2$ of $Lu \geq 0$ that extends continuously to $\partial\Omega$ takes its maximum on $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Because the coefficients are continuos the function $g : (x,k) \mapsto \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x)k_i k_j$ is contious on the compact set $\bar{\Omega} \times S^{n-1} \subset \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. A continuous function attains its minimum on compact sets. Thus g attains its minimum $\lambda > 0$, as L is elliptic, this minimum is positve. This implies uniform ellipticity.
Define $v(x) := e^{x_1 \alpha}, \alpha > 0, x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, then with uniform ellipticity we get that

$$Lv = \alpha(\alpha a_{11}(x) + b_1(x))v(x) \geq \alpha(\alpha\lambda + b_1(x))v > 0,$$

for $\alpha > 0$ large enough, because b_i are bounded and continuous on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\lambda > 0$. With linearity it follows for all $\epsilon > 0$ that

$$L(u + \epsilon v) = \underbrace{Lu}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{\epsilon Lv}_{>0} > 0, \text{ on } \Omega \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

To show: $w_\epsilon := u + \epsilon v$ cannot have an interior maximum.
Assume w_ϵ attains its maximum at some $x \in \Omega$. Since $w_\epsilon \in C^2$ it clearly holds that

- $\nabla w_\epsilon(x_0) = 0$

- The Hessian $Hw_\epsilon(x_0)$ is negative semi-definit, i.e. all eigenvalues $\mu_1, ..., \mu_n$ are non-positive.

Then there exists $B \in O(n)$ orthogonal such that $HW_\epsilon(x_0) = B^T \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mu_n \end{pmatrix} B$. Using this we can now

reformulate the differential operator.

$$Lw_\epsilon(x_0) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} w_\epsilon(x_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i(x_0) \cdot 0$$

$$= trace(A(x_0)Hw_\epsilon(x_0)) = trace(A(x_0)B^T \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \mu_n \end{pmatrix} B)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x_0) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_k b_{ki} b_{kj}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \underbrace{\mu_k}_{\leq 0} \underbrace{\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ij}(x_0) b_{ki} b_{kj}}_{\geq \lambda > 0} \leq 0$$

which is a contradiction. Thus Lw_ϵ attains its maximum on $\partial\Omega$. Then

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x) + \epsilon \inf_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} v(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}}(u(x) + \epsilon v(x)) \overset{above}{=} \max_{x \in \partial\Omega}(u(x) + \epsilon v(x)) \leq \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} u(x) + \epsilon \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} v(x)$$

$$\iff \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x) \leq \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} u(x) + \epsilon \underbrace{(\max_{x \in \partial\Omega} v(x) - \inf_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} v(x))}_{=const.}.$$

Due to the fact that $\epsilon > 0$ was chosen arbitrary it follows that

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} u(x) = \max_{x \in \partial\Omega} u(x).$$

$\square$

With the same proof idea one can show that $Lu \leq 0$ implies that u takes its minima on the boundary. Note that if $Lu = 0$ holds then both minima and maxima are on the boundary.

4   Green's Function