A.1. Acceptance testing Acceptance testing for the functional and non-functional requirements, for the project "Web Interface to Interact with Assets

Functional requirements:

Map Display with Asset Integration

| Function | Description | Input data | Expected output | Justification | Test |
|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------|
| | | | | | Result |
| Map display | Verify map loads | CSV with valid | Map displays | Ensures accurate | Passed |
| | with asset markers. | latitude/longitude | assets at correct | visualisation of asset | |
| | | data. | locations. | data. | |
| | Managing missing | CSV with missing | Error message: | Validates system | Passed |
| | latitude/longitude | coordinates. | "Invalid asset | handles incomplete | |
| | values. | | location" | data gracefully. | |
| | Dynamic map | Updated CSV data. | Map updates with | Ensures real-time map | Passed |
| | updates. | | new asset | reflects updated asset | |
| | | | locations. | data. | |

Asset management

| Function | Description | Input data | Expected output | Justification | Test Result |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|----------------|
| Add asset | Verify new asset addition | Asset data: name, category, location | Asset appears in asset list | Confirms the system can register new assets. | Passed |
| | Invalid asset input | Missing fields (e.g., no category) | Error message: "Missing required fields" | Ensures system handles and reports invalid inputs. | Passed |
| Edit asset | Ensure asset updates are saved | Edited asset information | Updated asset information displayed | Validates user modifications are properly saved. | Passed |
| Approve Modification | Admin approves asset changes | Asset update request | Status changes to "Approved" | Ensures administrative control over asset changes. | Passed |
| Delete Asset | Verify asset deletion. | Asset ID | Asset is removed from system | Confirms assets can be securely and permanently deleted. | Passed |

Data integration

| Function | Description | Input data | Expected output | Justification | Test |
|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------|
| | | | | | Result |
| CSV upload | Validate asset data | CSV file with valid | Data imported | Verifies the system can | Passed |
| | from CSV | data | successfully | extract and store asset | |
| | | | | data. | |
| | Handle duplicate | CSV with duplicate | Error message: | Ensures data integrity | Passed |
| | records | entries | "duplicate | by preventing | |
| | | | record" | duplicate records. | |
| API | Ensure external data | API request with | Database | Validates compatibility | Passed |
| integration | sync | valid asset data | updates with new | with external systems. | |
| | | | data | | |
| Data | Validate asset input | CSV with invalid | Error message: | Ensures system only | Passed |
| validation | integrity | fields (wrong | "invalid input | accepts valid data. | |
| | | format) | format" | | |

User Interface

| Function | Description | Input data | Expected output | Justification | Test |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------|
| | | | | | Result |
| Search | Verify asset search | Asset name or | Relevant asset | Ensures efficient | Passed |
| | functionality | category | displayed | retrieval of asset | |
| | | | | information. | |
| Invalid search | Direct incorrect | Random invalid | Message: "No | Confirms system can | Passed |
| | search terms | input | results found" | handle invalid queries. | |
| Accessibility | Ensure compliance | Screen reader | Accessible and | Validates system | Passed |
| check | with WCAG 2.1 | navigation | usable UI | meets accessibility | |
| | | | | standards. | |
| Responsive | Justify mobile | Access system via | Consistent UI | Ensures usability | Passed |
| design | responsiveness | mobile, tablet or | across devices | across different | |
| | | desktop | | devices. | |

Non-functional requirements

Performance C Scalability

| Function | Description | Input data | Expected output | Justification | Test |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| | | | | | Result |
| Load speed | Evaluate map | CSV with 10,000 | Map renders | Ensures quick data | Passed |
| | rendering time | asset records | within 3 seconds | display for large | |
| | | | | datasets. | |
| System load | Supervise | 500 concurrent | No performance | Verifies system | Passed |
| | concurrent users | users accessing | degradation | stability under heavy | |
| | | system | | user load. | |

Security

| Function | Description | Input data | Expected output | Justification | Test |
|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------|
| | | | | | Result |
| Authentication | Ensure secure login | Valid | Access granted | Approve user identity | Passed |
| | | username/password | | before granting | |
| | | | | access. | |
| Unauthorised | Restrict access to | Invalid credentials | Error message: | Ensures restricted | Passed |
| Access | sensitive areas | | "Access denied" | areas are secure. | |
| Data | Ensure secure data | Simulate data | Data remains | Confirms compliance | Passed |
| encryption | transmission | interception | encrypted (AES- | with industry | |
| | | | 256, SSL/TLS) | encryption standards. | |

A.2: Unit Testing:

Unit Testing Justification Explanation

testRedirect()

- Purpose: This verifies that the redirect() function sets the correct HTTP header.
- Justification: Ensures navigation works as intended when redirecting users.

testRedirectToReferer()

- Purpose: Confirms the function redirects the users to the page they came from using \$_SERVER['HTTP_REFERER'].
- Justification: Important for preserving user flow or back-navigation behaviour.

```
// Test flash function - Setting and retrieving flash messages
public function testFlashSetAndGet()
{
    flash('success', 'Operation successful');
    $this->assertEquals('Operation successful', flash('success'));

// Ensure the message is removed after retrieval
$this->assertNull(flash('success'));
}
```

testFlashSetAndGet()

- Purpose: Test the settings and then begins retrieving a flash message.
- Justification: Ensures that the flash messages behave as expected-available once and then cleared (a common pattern in session messaging).

4. testGenerateSecret()

- Purpose: Verifies the generated secret is at least 32 characters long and in the correct Base 32 format.
- Justification: Critical for a 2FA security secrets must follow the TOTP standard format.

testGetQRCodeUrl()

- Purpose: Confirms the QR code URL is properly constructed for OTP apps like Google Authenticator.
- Checks: Presence of label, secret, and the issuer in the URL.
- Justification: Ensures interoperability with OTP apps and helps with onboarding 2FA users.

- testVerifyCodeSuccess() and testVerifyCodeFail()
 - Purpose:
 - testVerifyCodeSucess(): Confirms that a valid TOTP code is accepted.
 - testVerifyCodeFail(): Confirms that an invalid code is rejected.
 - Justification: These are critical security tests. A valid OTP must authenticate, and invalid ones must be blocked. It also proves that the time-based tokens are functioning correctly.

```
// Test base32_decode function - Decode a valid base32-encoded string

public function testBase32Decode()

{
    $input = 'JBSWY3DPEHPK3PXP';
    $expectedOutput = "\x48\x65\x6C\x6C\x6F\x21\x21"; // Binary representation of "Hello!!"

    $this->assertEquals($expectedOutput, base32_decode($input));
}

// Test base32_decode function - Invalid characters should return false

public function testBase32DecodeInvalidChars()

{
    $this->assertFalse(base32_decode('JBSWY3DPEHPK3P!'));
}
```

- 7. testBase32Decode() and testBase32DecodeInvalidChars()
 - Purpose:
 - testBase32Decode(): Ensures correct decoding of Base32-encoded secrets.
 - testBase32DecodeInalidChars(): Ensures invalid characters return false.
 - Justification: Vital for verifying encoding/decoding logic that is used in OTP operations and ensuring the integrity of user's data.

```
// Utility function to generate a valid TOTP code for testing
private function generateTOTP($secret)

{

    $key = base32_decode($secret);
    $time = floor(time() / 30); // 30-second window

    // Generate hash using HMAC-SHA1 based on the time window

$timeBytes = pack('N*', 0) . pack('N*', $time);

$hash = hash_hmac('sha1', $timeBytes, $key, true);

// Extract dynamic offset from the hash

$offset = ord($hash[19]) & 0xF;

// Convert the hash to an integer

$binary = ((ord($hash[$offset]) & 0xFF) << 24) |
    ((ord($hash[$offset + 1]) & 0xFF) << 16) |
    ((ord($hash[$offset + 2]) & 0xFF);

// Get the last 6 digits of the binary number as the OTP

$otp = $binary % 10000000;

// Return the OTP as a zero-padded 6-digit string
return str_pad($otp, 6, '0', STR_PAD_LEFT);

}

// Return the OTP as a zero-padded 6-digit string
return str_pad($otp, 6, '0', STR_PAD_LEFT);
}
```

- 8. generateTOTP()
 - Purpose: Internal helper to generate a valid TOTP based on the current time and secret.
 - Justification: Enables accurate, repeatable test of the verify() function.

A.3: Code Inspection

Code Inspection with justification

1. 2FA Check:

- Checks If 2FA is Required Via session variable
- Normalize the current page name (Lowercase, trimmed)
- Only allows access to "2FA" or "index" Pages when 2FA is required
- Otherwise redirects to 2fa.php with an error message

2. Account verification:

- Verifies if user account is verified or if user is admin
- Non-verified and non-admin users get redirected to index.php
- Helps prevent unverified account from accessing protected areas.

3. Login Check:

- Fallback for non-logged-in users.
- Redirects to login page with appropriate

A.4: Peer Review

| Team Member | Tasks Allocated | Achievements | Score |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|
| Adam | Team leadership, GitHub | Coordinated test efforts, refined | 10 |
| | setup, testing coordination, | acceptance tests, supported team | |
| | code inspection. | with technical issues and debugging | |
| Aliya | Test documentation | Refined documentation templates, | 10 |
| | formatting, acceptance | ensured quality assurance, actively | |
| | testing, proofreading, | helped with multiple stages of the | |
| | meeting coordination. | project. | |
| Yaasmeen | Unit testing, static web | Reviewed static pages, corrected test | 10 |
| | review, peer review, final | failures, and ensured code met | |
| | test execution. | functional requirements. | |
| Zainah | Meeting minutes, unit | Maintained organized documentation, | 10 |
| | testing, code inspection, | contributed to test debugging and | |
| | repository checking. | ensured repository structure was | |
| | | complete. | |
| Humairah | Test documentation | Implemented unit tests, checked | 10 |
| | formatting, debugging, | ethical compliance, and contributed to | |
| | static page improvements. | documentation improvements. | |
| Azeem | GitHub repository setup, | Ensured final testing alignment, helped | 10 |
| | acceptance test | inspect codebase, contributed to | |
| | development final | documentation and repo structure. | |
| | verification. | | |
| Shuaib | Unit test logic, test failures | Developed and corrected unit tests, | 10 |
| | debugging, final testing | reviewed project quality in final stages. | |
| | execution. | | |
| Bilal | Initial documentation, | Reviewed and refined test documents, | 10 |
| | testing reviews, bug fixes, | participated in final project checks and | |
| | final proofreading. | submission readiness. | |

Reflection

As a team, we collaborated effectively and shared the workload equally during Part Two of the project. Everyone contributed to tasks such as testing, documentation, and debugging. Although testing and bug fixing were the most challenging parts, we supported each other and completed everything on time. We are proud of our teamwork and believe better time management in the testing phase could improve future projects.

Part B:

https://github.com/ahuss418/Enterprise-Pro/tree/main/Software%20New