

Problem (Problem 1): Describe the topology of the Grassmanian $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ in a uniform way, so that \mathbb{RP}^n becomes the special case of $\text{Gr}(1, n)$.

Solution: We let elements of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ be defined as equivalence classes of linearly independent k -tuples of vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , where $(v_1, \dots, v_k) \sim (w_1, \dots, w_k)$ if $\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$.

By extending (v_1, \dots, v_k) and (w_1, \dots, w_k) to ordered bases $\mathcal{B}_1 = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$, we see that these k -tuples are equivalent if and only if there is a change of basis transformation Q with matrix representation

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is a $k \times k$ invertible matrix, and B is a $(n - k) \times (n - k)$ matrix. The subgroup of all such $Q \subseteq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, which we call P , is the stabilizer of $\text{Gr}(k, n)$ as we have defined it, so by the orbit-stabilizer theorem (seeing as $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on all ordered bases of \mathbb{R}^n), we obtain $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})/P$, where the latter coset space is given the quotient topology.

Note that this definition comports with the definition of \mathbb{RP}^n as the space of one-dimensional subspaces, as the invertible 1×1 matrices are precisely the nonzero scalars.

Problem (Problem 2): Fix an inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . Show that the map $V \mapsto V^\perp$ induces a C^∞ diffeomorphism $\text{Gr}(k, n) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(n - k, n)$.

Solution: We know that, since there is an inner product, we may express the smooth atlas of $\text{Gr}(n, k)$ by $\{(U_V, \varphi_V)\}$, where

$$U_V = \{W \in \text{Gr}(k, n) \mid W \cap V^\perp = 0\},$$

and $\varphi = P_{V^\perp} P_V|_{U_V}^{-1}$ is the sequence of projections. By pre-composing with the map $V \mapsto V^\perp$, we get the atlas $\{(U_{V^\perp}, \varphi_{V^\perp})\}$ for $\text{Gr}(n - k, n)$ consisting of charts of the form

$$\begin{aligned} U_{V^\perp} &= \{W \in \text{Gr}(n - k, n) \mid W \cap V = 0\} \\ \varphi_{V^\perp} &= P_V P_{V^\perp}|_{U_{V^\perp}}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Since the maps $\varphi_V \circ (V \mapsto V^\perp) \circ \varphi_{V^\perp}^{-1}$ are a composition of smooth bijections with smooth inverses, we see that this is a C^∞ diffeomorphism between $\text{Gr}(k, n) \cong \text{Gr}(n - k, n)$.

Problem (Problem 3): Prove that a C^k map which is a C^1 diffeomorphism is necessarily a C^k diffeomorphism.

Problem (Problem 4): Recall that a topological space is paracompact if every open cover admits a locally finite refinement. Prove that a connected, paracompact manifold of dimension one is either \mathbb{R} or S^1 , depending on whether it is compact or not.

Solution: Let M be a connected, paracompact manifold with dimension 1, and let $\{(U_i, \varphi_i)\}_{i \in I}$ be an atlas for M , where φ_i are homeomorphisms between U_i and \mathbb{R} .

Let $\{V_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a locally finite refinement of $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$, where the restrictions $\psi_j := \varphi_i|_{V_j}$ are homeomorphisms to $O_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We see that for any $p \in M$, since the family of V_j with $p \in V_j$, which we call $\mathcal{V}_p = \{V_j \mid p \in V_j\}$, is finite, the intersection $\bigcap \mathcal{V}_p$ is open; similarly, the intersection $\bigcap \mathcal{O}_p \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is open, where $\mathcal{O}_p = \{\varphi|_{V_j}(V_j) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid V_j \in \mathcal{V}_p\}$.

We see that $M = \bigcup_{p \in M} \bigcap \mathcal{V}_p$. Note that for any distinguished point p_1 , the corresponding sets $\bigcap \mathcal{V}_{p_1}$ and $\bigcup_{p \neq p_1} \bigcap \mathcal{V}_p$ must have nonempty (open) intersection, by the assumption that M is connected. Thus, the corresponding union $\bigcup_{p \in M} \bigcap \mathcal{O}_p$ is an open and connected subset of \mathbb{R} . We may similarly map $\bigcup_{p \in M} \bigcap \mathcal{O}_p$ into S^1 by composing with the quotient map.

Now, if M is compact, then $\bigcup_{p \in M} \bigcap \mathcal{V}_p$ covers M , so there is a finite subcover $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcap \mathcal{V}_{p_i}$, so that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcap \mathcal{O}_{p_i}$ fully covers the corresponding range, meaning that, composing with the quotient map $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcap \mathcal{O}_{p_i}$, we have that $M \cong S^1$. Similarly, if M is non-compact, then $\bigcup_{p \in M} \bigcap \mathcal{O}_p$ is an open and connected subset of \mathbb{R} that does not admit any finite subcover, hence it is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

Problem (Problem 5): In this problem, we prove a weak version of the Whitney Embedding Theorem.

- Find a C^∞ function λ on \mathbb{R}^n with values in $[0, 1]$ such that λ takes the value 1 on the closed ball $B(0, 1)$, and vanishes outside the closed ball $B(0, 2)$.
- Suppose M is a compact C^k manifold of dimension n . Find a C^k atlas $\{U_i, \varphi_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that $\varphi_i(U_i)$ contains $B(0, 2)$, and such that M is covered by the union of $\varphi_i^{-1}(B(0, 1))^\circ$.
- Let λ_i be defined by $\lambda \circ \varphi_i$ on U_i , and 0 outside U_i . Let $f_i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by $\lambda_i \circ \varphi_i$ on U_i and zero otherwise. Use these functions to embed M as a submanifold of some Euclidean space.

Problem (Problem 6): Use the ideas of the previous exercise to prove that a C^k manifold admits a C^k partition of unity subordinate to any locally finite cover.

Problem (Problem 7): Let X and Y be topological spaces, and let $C(X, Y)$ be the set of continuous maps from X to Y . Equip $C(X, Y)$ with the compact-open topology, where the basic open sets are

$$U_{K,V} = \{f \mid f(K) \subseteq V\},$$

where $K \subseteq X$ is compact and $V \subseteq Y$ is open.

If Y is a metric space, and if X is compact, prove that this topology is the same as the topology of uniform convergence.

Solution: Let Y be a metric space, and let X be compact. Assume X is nonempty. We will show that a net $(f_\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow f$ in the compact-open topology if and only if $(f_\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

If $(f_\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow f$ uniformly, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists α_0 such that for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ and for all $x \in X$,

$$d(f_\alpha(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon/2,$$

meaning that, in particular, $f_\alpha(X) \subseteq U(f(x), \varepsilon)$ for all $x \in X$, so by setting $V = U(f(x_0), \varepsilon)$ for a distinguished $x_0 \in X$ and the compact subset $X \subseteq X$, we see that $(f_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq U_{X,V}$ for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, so $(f_\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow f$ in the compact-open topology.

Now, let $(f_\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow f$ in the compact open topology; by definition, this means that for all basic open neighborhoods $U_{K,V}$, there exists $\alpha_{K,V}$ such that for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{K,V}$, we have $f_\alpha \in U_{K,V}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f is uniformly continuous, for all $x \in X$, there is a pre-compact open neighborhood U_x of x such that $f(U_x) \subseteq U(f(x), \varepsilon/3)$; in particular, notice that $f(\overline{U_x}) \subseteq U(f(x), \varepsilon/2)$.

The sets $\{U_x\}_{x \in X}$ cover X , so since X is compact, there are x_1, \dots, x_n such that U_{x_1}, \dots, U_{x_n} cover X .

We let $V_i = U(f(x_i), \varepsilon/2)$ be the corresponding open balls such that $f(\overline{U_{x_i}}) \subseteq V_i$, meaning there exist $\alpha_{U_{x_i}, V_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ such that for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_{U_{x_i}, V_i}$, $f_\alpha \in U_{U_{x_i}, V_i}$. Since the α are directed, there is $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_{U_{x_i}, V_i}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, meaning that for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, we have $f_\alpha \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_{U_{x_i}, V_i}$. In particular, we see that for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$, and for all $x \in X$, $d(f_\alpha(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon/2$.