

Contents

Introduction	1
Review: Representations, the Reduced Group C^*-Algebra, and the Universal Group C^*-Algebra	1
Left-Regular Representation	1
A Bit on Representations and C^* -(Semi)norms	2
Using the Left-Regular Representation to Establish Amenability	3
More theory of C^*-Algebras.	9

Introduction

Finally, the last part of my notes on C^* -algebras and amenability as part of my Honors Thesis independent study. Specifically, I am going to focus more on the theory of C^* -algebras, discussing ideas such as amenability and nuclearity in C^* -algebras. There are a few central results I'm going to be working on understanding and proving: almost-invariant vectors, Kesten's criterion, Hulanicki's criterion, nuclearity, and the equivalence of $C_\lambda^*(G)$ and $C^*(G)$.

I will be using a variety of sources more focused on amenability, including but not limited to Volker Runde's *Amenable Banach Algebras*, Kate Juschenko's *Amenability of Discrete Groups by Examples*, and Brown and Ozawa's *C^* -Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*.

Review: Representations, the Reduced Group C^* -Algebra, and the Universal Group C^* -Algebra

Left-Regular Representation

Let Γ be a group. Consider the space $\ell_2(\Gamma)$. For every $s \in \Gamma$, we define the operator

$$\lambda_s(\xi)(t) = \xi(s^{-1}t).$$

The map is linear, well-defined, and an isometry, as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_s(\xi)\|^2 &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |\lambda_s(\xi)(t)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |\xi(s^{-1}t)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{r \in \Gamma} |\xi(r)|^2 \\ &= \|\xi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, each λ_s admits an inverse, $\lambda_{s^{-1}} = \lambda_s^*$. Applying to the orthonormal basis $\{\delta_t\}_{t \in \Gamma}$, we get

$$\lambda_s(\delta_t) = \delta_{st}.$$

Thus, $\lambda_s \circ \lambda_r = \lambda_{sr}$, and we have the unitary representation of Γ , $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$, where $\lambda(s) = \lambda_s$, for $s \in \Gamma$. This is the left-regular representation of Γ .

Note that the left regular representation is a faithful representation, hence injective.

Because the λ operator is linear, we may extend it to the case of any positive finitely supported function,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_f(\xi)(t) &= \left(\sum_{s \in \Gamma} f(s) \lambda_s(\xi) \right)(t) \\ &= \sum_{s \in \Gamma} f(s) \xi(s^{-1}t)\end{aligned}$$

Note that the space of finitely supported functions on Γ , $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]^1$ is a $*$ -algebra, where multiplication is given by convolution:

$$\begin{aligned}f * g(t) &= \sum_{s \in \Gamma} f(s) g(s^{-1}t) \\ &= \sum_{r \in \Gamma} f(tr^{-1}) g(r).\end{aligned}$$

Note that we are using $*$ both to refer to the involution (when as a superscript) as well as the group operation (when not a superscript). This is to maintain coherence with the traditional way that convolution is written. The involution on $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ is given by

$$f^*(t) = \overline{f(t^{-1})}.$$

A Bit on Representations and C^* -(Semi)norms

A C^* -seminorm on a $*$ -algebra is a seminorm such that defined by

- $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$;
- $\|a^*\| = \|a\|$;
- $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$.

If A_0 is a $*$ -algebra, then a representation of A_0 is a pair (π_0, \mathcal{H}) , where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space and $\pi: A_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a $*$ -homomorphism.

Additionally, if A_0 is a $*$ -algebra with representation π_0 , then we have C^* -seminorm

$$\|a\|_{\pi_0} = \|\pi_0(a)\|_{\text{op}}.$$

If π_0 is injective, then $\|\cdot\|_{\pi_0}$ is a C^* -norm. If π_0 is a C^* -norm, then the completion of A_0 with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\pi_0}$ is a C^* -algebra.

The universal norm on A_0 is defined as

$$\|a\|_{\text{u}} = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p(a),$$

where \mathcal{P} is the collection of all C^* -seminorms on A_0 . If $\|a\|_{\text{u}} < \infty$ for all $a \in A_0$, then $\|\cdot\|_{\text{u}}$ is a C^* -seminorm on A_0 . Note that if one of $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is a norm, then $\|\cdot\|_{\text{u}}$ defines a C^* -norm on A_0 .

If we have the unitary representation $u: \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$\pi_u(a) = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} u_s$$

¹Also known as the free vector space over \mathbb{C} with basis Γ .

is a representation of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$. If $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$ is the left-regular representation, then the left-regular group C^* -algebra is the group $*$ -algebra with C^* -norm defined by $\|a\| = \|\pi_\lambda(a)\|$.

The universal group C^* -algebra is defined as the norm completion of

$$\|a\|_{\max} = \sup \left\{ \|\pi(a)\|_{\text{op}} \mid \pi: \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \text{ is a representation} \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(a)\| &= \left\| \pi \left(\sum_{s \in \Gamma} a_s \delta_s \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{s \in \Gamma} a_s \pi(\delta_s) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \|a_s \pi(\delta_s)\| \\ &= \sum_{s \in \Gamma} |a_s|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$ is a norm, we must have $a = 0$ if and only if $\|a\|_{\max} = 0$. The full group C^* -algebra admits a universal property.

Proposition: Let Γ be a discrete group. If $u: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, then there is a contractive $*$ -homomorphism $\pi_u: C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ that satisfies $\pi_u(\delta_s) = u(s)$.

Using the Left-Regular Representation to Establish Amenability

If $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of Γ , then a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is called invariant for π if $\pi(g)(\xi) = \xi$ for all $g \in \Gamma$.

Proposition: The left-regular representation for Γ admits an invariant vector if and only if Γ is finite.

Proof. Let Γ be finite. Since Γ is finite, all functions $a: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are square-summable. Thus, $\xi = \mathbb{1}_\Gamma$ is square-summable, and since $s\Gamma = \Gamma$ for all $s \in \Gamma$, we have $\mathbb{1}_\Gamma$ is invariant for λ .

Now, let $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$ be the left-regular representation, and suppose there is $\xi \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$ such that for all $s \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\lambda_s(\xi) = \xi.$$

In particular, this means that for any $t \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s(\xi)(t) &= \xi(s^{-1}t) \\ &= \xi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all $s \in \Gamma$, we have that $\xi = c \mathbb{1}_\Gamma$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$. However, since $\xi \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$, we must have that $\sum_{t \in \Gamma} |c|^2 < \infty$, which only holds if Γ is finite. \square

An almost-invariant vector for a representation $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$, as the name suggests,^{II} a sequence (or net) of unit vectors $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ such that

$$\lim_{i \in I} \|\pi(g)(\xi_i) - \xi_i\| = 0.$$

^{II}I'm only mostly being facetious here.

Theorem: A group Γ is amenable if and only if the left-regular representation has an almost-invariant vector.

Proof. Let Γ be amenable, and let F_i be a Følner sequence, where $\frac{|sF_i \Delta F_i|}{|F_i|} \rightarrow 0$ for all $s \in \Gamma$.

Define $\xi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_i}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_s(\xi_i) - \xi_i\|^2 &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |\lambda_s(\xi_i)(t) - \xi_i(t)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \left| \lambda_s \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_i} \right)(t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_i}(t) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{sF_i}(t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_i}(t) \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{|sF_i \Delta F_i|}{|F_i|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, λ has an almost-invariant vector.

Suppose there exists an almost-invariant vector $(\xi_i)_i \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$. It is sufficient to construct an approximate mean. Since $\xi_i \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$, we have that $\xi_i^2 \in \ell_1(\Gamma)$. Setting $\mu_i = \xi_i^2$, we plug this into the expression for an approximate mean, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_s(\mu_i) - \mu_i\|_{\ell_1} &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \left| \lambda_s(\xi_i^2)(t) - \xi_i^2(t) \right| \\ &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |(\lambda_s(\xi_i)(t) - \xi_i(t))(\lambda_s(\xi_i)(t) + \xi_i(t))| \\ &= \|(\lambda_s(\xi_i) - \xi_i)(\lambda_s(\xi_i) + \xi_i)\|_{\ell_1} \\ &\leq \|\lambda_s(\xi_i) - \xi_i\|_{\ell_2} \|\lambda_s(\xi_i) + \xi_i\|_{\ell_2} \\ &\leq 2\|\lambda_s(\xi_i) - \xi_i\|_{\ell_2} \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, μ_i is an approximate mean. □

Using the criterion of almost invariant vectors, we may show that a group is amenable if and only if the trivial representation — defined by $1_\Gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, 1_\Gamma(g) = 1$ is what is known as weakly contained in the left-regular representation.

A representation $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is weakly contained in another representation $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$, denoted $\pi < \rho$, if for every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, finite $E \subseteq \Gamma$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there are $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\left| \langle \pi(g)(\xi), \xi \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \rho(g)(\eta_i), \eta_i \rangle \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem: A discrete group Γ is amenable if and only if $1_\Gamma < \lambda$, where λ is the left-regular representation.

Proof. We show that $1_\Gamma < \lambda$ is equivalent to the existence of an almost invariant vector for λ . We assume λ admits an almost-invariant vector. It is sufficient to show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every finite set $E \subseteq \Gamma$, there are $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$ such that

$$\left| 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \lambda_t(\eta_i), \eta_i \rangle \right| < \varepsilon$$

for every $t \in E$. If we take $n = 1$ and $\eta_1 = \xi$, where ξ is almost-invariant for all $g \in E$ — i.e., $\|\lambda_g(\xi) - \xi\|_{\ell_2} < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in E$. Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_g(\xi) - \xi\|^2 &= \langle \lambda_g(\xi) - \xi, \lambda_g(\xi) - \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \lambda_g(\xi) \rangle + \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle) \\ &= 2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle) \\ &= 2 \operatorname{Re}(1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle) \\ &\leq 2|1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle|^2 &= (1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle)(1 - \overline{\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle}) \\ &= 1 - \overline{\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle} - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle + |\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq 2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle) \\ &= \|\lambda_g(\xi) - \xi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that

$$|1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle| \leq \|\lambda_g(\xi) - \xi\| < \varepsilon.$$

We start by showing that $1_\Gamma < \lambda$ if and only if for every finite $S \subseteq \Gamma$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\|\lambda_s(\xi) - \xi\|_{\ell_2} < \varepsilon.$$

In the forward direction, we see that there exists a unit vector ξ such that $|1 - \langle \lambda_s(\xi), \xi \rangle| < \varepsilon^2/2$, meaning $\|\lambda_s(\xi) - \xi\| < \varepsilon$ by above. Similarly, if $\|\lambda_s(\xi) - \xi\| < \varepsilon$, then $1_\Gamma < \lambda$.

Now, we assume $1_\Gamma < \lambda$. Thus, for a finite $E \subseteq \Gamma$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $f \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$ with $\|f\|_{\ell_2} = 1$ such that $\|\lambda_s(f) - f\| < \varepsilon$ for all $s \in E$.

Setting $g = |f|^2$, we have $g \in \ell_1(\Gamma)$. From Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_s(g) - g\|_{\ell_1} &\leq \left\| \lambda_s\left(\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\ell_2}}\right) + \frac{f}{\|f\|_{\ell_2}} \right\|_{\ell_2} \|\lambda_s(f) - f\| \\ &\leq 2\|\lambda_s(f) - f\|_{\ell_2} \\ &< 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Γ admits an approximate mean, hence is amenable. □

Having obtained some more resources on Kesten's criterion, we can now prove that.

Definition. Let $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$ be the left-regular representation. Then, for a finite set $E \subseteq \Gamma$, we define the Markov operator $M(E)$ by

$$M(E) = \sum_{t \in E} \lambda_t.$$

Note that since λ_t is an isometry for each t , we have

$$\|M(E)\|_{\text{op}} = \left\| \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{t \in E} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|E|} \left\| \sum_{t \in E} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{t \in E} \|\lambda_t\|_{\text{op}} \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

so the Markov operator is a bounded operator (indeed, a contraction).

Theorem (Kesten's Criterion): Let Γ contain a finite symmetric generating set S . Then, Γ is amenable if and only if

$$\|M(S)\|_{\text{op}} = 1.$$

Proof. Let Γ be amenable. Then, λ admits an almost-invariant vector, $(\xi_n)_n \subseteq S_{\ell_2(\Gamma)}$, such that

$$\|\lambda_s(\xi_n) - \xi_n\|_{\ell_2} \rightarrow 0$$

for all $s \in \Gamma$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n) \right\|_{\ell_2} - \|\xi_n\|_{\ell_2} \right| &\leq \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n) - \xi_n \right\|_{\ell_2} \\
&= \frac{1}{|S|} \left\| \left(\sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n) - |S| \xi_n \right\|_{\ell_2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \|\lambda_t(\xi_n) - \xi_n\|_{\ell_2} \\
&\rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

meaning that

$$\sup_{\xi \in S_{\ell_2(\Gamma)}} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi) \right\| = \|\xi\|,$$

and so the norm of the Markov operator is 1.

Suppose

$$\left\| \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}} = 1,$$

or

$$\left\| \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}} = |S|.$$

Proposition: If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a self-adjoint operator, then

$$\|T\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), x \rangle|.$$

Proof. We have that

$$|\langle T(x), x \rangle| \leq \|T(x)\| \|x\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|T\|_{\text{op}} \|x\|^2 \\ &= \|T\|_{\text{op}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we seek to establish the opposite direction. Note that since T is self-adjoint, we know that $\langle T(x), x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$, so by the polarization identity, we have that

$$\langle T(x), y \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle).$$

Note that we know that

$$\|T\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{x, y \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), y \rangle|.$$

Now, we set $\alpha = \sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), x \rangle|$. Note that for any nonzero $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle T\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right), \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\rangle \right| &\leq \alpha \\ |\langle T(x), x \rangle| &\leq \alpha \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, we may assume that $\langle T(x), y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, as we may multiply $\langle T(x), y \rangle$ by $\text{sgn}(\langle T(x), y \rangle)$. Thus, by the polarization identity and the fact that T is self-adjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(x), y \rangle &= \frac{1}{4}(\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle) \\ |\langle T(x), y \rangle| &= \left| \frac{1}{4}(\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(|\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle| + |\langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle|) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4}(\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{4}(2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2) \\ &= \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $\|T\|_{\text{op}} \leq \sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), x \rangle|$. □

Now, since S is symmetric, we have that $M(S)$ is self-adjoint. Therefore, we know that there is some $\xi_n \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{n} &< \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (|\xi_n|), |\xi_n| \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, rearranging, we have

$$1 - \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (|\xi_n|), |\xi_n| \right\rangle < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since $M(S)$ is a self-adjoint operator, we have that $\text{Re} \left(\left\langle \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle \right) = \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle$. This gives

$$\left\| \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi) - \xi \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \|\lambda_t(\xi) - \xi\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \sqrt{2} |1 - \langle \lambda_t(\xi), \xi \rangle| \\
&= \sqrt{2} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \langle \lambda_t(\xi), \xi \rangle \right| \\
&\rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, λ admits an almost-invariant vector. □

Next, we turn to Hulanicki's Criterion.

Definition. Let $f \in \ell_1(\Gamma)$. Then, we define the bounded operator

$$\lambda_{f(t)} = \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \lambda_t.$$

Theorem: If Γ is a discrete group, then Γ is amenable if and only if for every positive finitely-supported $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\sum f(t) \leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.$$

Proof. Suppose Γ is amenable. Let $f \geq 0$ be a finitely supported function, and let $(F_n)_n$ be a Følner sequence such that for every $g \in \text{supp}(f)$, we have

$$\frac{|g F_n \Delta F_n|}{|F_n|} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let $\xi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_n|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_n}$. Note that $\|\xi_n\|_{\ell_2} = 1$.

We will use the fact that

$$\sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), x \rangle| \leq \|T\|_{\text{op}}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left\langle \left(\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle \right| &= \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \langle \lambda_t(\xi_n), \xi_n \rangle \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{t, s \in \Gamma} f(t) \xi_n(t^{-1}s) \xi_n(s) \right| \\
&\leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|,
\end{aligned}$$

meaning

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \left(\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle \right| \leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|.$$

Notice that ξ_n is an almost-invariant vector for λ , meaning that $\xi_n(t^{-1}s) \rightarrow \xi_n(s)$. Therefore, this means

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{t, s \in \Gamma} f(t) \xi_n(t^{-1}s) \xi_n(s) \right| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{t, s \in \Gamma} f(t) |\xi_n(s)|^2 \right| \\
&= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \left| \sum_{s \in \Gamma} |\xi_n(s)|^2 \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \\
&\leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This establishes that there is some $C > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \leq C \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.$$

To show that $C = 1$, we note that, by the definition of convolution, we must have

$$\left(\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \right)^n = \sum_{t \in \Gamma} (f * \dots * f)(t),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_{f(t)})^n &= \left(\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \lambda_t \right)^n \\
&= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} (f * \dots * f)(t) \lambda_t \\
&= \lambda_{(f * \dots * f)(t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \right)^n &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} (f * \dots * f)(t) \\
&\leq C \|\lambda_{(f * \dots * f)(t)}\| \\
&= C \left(\|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}} \right)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

This means we have

$$\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \leq C^{1/n} \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.$$

Since n is arbitrary, this means $C = 1$.

Now, if for all finitely supported f , we have

$$\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.$$

If $f = \mathbf{1}_E$ for some finite $E \subseteq \Gamma$, we see that

$$\left\| \sum_{t \in E} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}} = |E|,$$

so by Kesten's criterion, we have that Γ is amenable. □

More theory of C^* -Algebras.

We begin this section with an overview of positive maps, completely positive maps, and extensions. These will be useful for understanding the theorem that a group is amenable if and only if the reduced group C^* -algebra is nuclear. The ultimate goal here is to prove Arveson's extension theorem (i.e., that $B(\mathcal{H})$ is injective with respect to completely positive maps).