

Basics

Definition: Let A be a C^* -algebra. A *representation* of A is a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi: A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Definition: Two representations $\pi: A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ and $\rho: A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\rho)$ are called unitarily equivalent if there is a unitary map $U: \mathcal{H}_\rho \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pi$ such that

$$\pi(a) = U\rho(a)U^*$$

for all $a \in A$.

Definition: If $\pi: A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ and $\rho: A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\rho)$ be representations. Then, the formula

$$\pi \oplus \rho(a)(h, k) := (\pi(a)h, \rho(a)k)$$

defines the *direct sum* of π and ρ . If π is unitarily equivalent to a direct sum $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$, then we consider $\rho_1 \oplus \rho_2$ to be a decomposition of π in terms of the “smaller” representations.

Definition: A closed subspace \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{H}_π is *invariant* under π if $\pi(a)k \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $a \in A$ and $k \in \mathcal{K}$.

Observe that if \mathcal{K} is an invariant subspace, then the orthogonal complement \mathcal{K}^\perp is also invariant. This follows from the fact that if $y \in \mathcal{K}^\perp$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k, \pi(a)y \rangle &= \langle \pi(a)^*k, y \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi(a^*)k, y \rangle \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$.

Conversely, if \mathcal{K} is invariant, then we can recover $\pi = \pi|_{\mathcal{K}} \oplus \pi|_{\mathcal{K}^\perp}$, via the canonical unitary isomorphism $U: \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{K}^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pi$ given by $(k, y) \mapsto k + y$.

Definition: A representation π is *irreducible* if there are no closed invariant subspaces apart from $\{0\}$ and \mathcal{H}_π .

Lemma: A representation π of a C^* -algebra A is irreducible if and only if $\pi(A)' = \mathbb{C}I_{\mathcal{H}}$, where $\pi(A)'$ denotes the commutant of $\pi(A)$.

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{V} is a nontrivial invariant subspace for π . Then, the orthogonal projection $P_{\mathcal{V}}$ commutes with every $\pi(A)$ and is not a scalar multiple of $I_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Now, suppose there is a non-scalar operator T commuting with $\pi(A)$. Then, either the real or imaginary part of T is a self-adjoint operator S that commutes with $\pi(A)$. From the continuous functional calculus, since $\sigma(S)$ is not one point, there are some nonzero continuous $f, g \in C(\sigma(S))$ such that $fg = 0$. Then, since $f(S), g(S) \in C^*(S)$, and $f(S), g(S)$ commute with $\pi(A)$, it follows that $\overline{f(S)\mathcal{H}}$ and $\overline{g(S)\mathcal{H}}$ are nonzero mutually orthogonal invariant subspaces, so π is reducible. \square

Definition: If π is a representation of the C^* -algebra A , then we call the subspace

$$[\pi(A)\mathcal{H}_\pi] = \overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(a)h \mid h \in \mathcal{H}_\pi, a \in A\}$$

the *essential subspace* of \mathcal{H}_π . The representation π is called *nondegenerate* if the essential subspace \mathcal{K} is equal to \mathcal{H}_π .

Note that the representation π being nondegenerate is equivalent to $\pi(1) = I_{\mathcal{H}_\pi}$ if A has an identity, or $\pi(e_i) \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{H}_\pi}$ strongly for any approximate identity $(e_i)_{i \in I}$.

The essential subspace is always invariant, and π is equivalent to $\pi|_{\mathcal{K}} \oplus 0$. Generally, if I is an ideal in A , then the subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(a)h \mid h \in \mathcal{H}_\pi, a \in I\}$$

is invariant, but π is not zero on \mathcal{K}^\perp unless I is an essential ideal.¹ Any nondegenerate representation of an ideal I extends canonically to a nondegenerate representation π of A on the same space.

The Gelfand–Naimark–Segal Construction

Definition: An element a of a C^* -algebra A is called *positive* if there is $b \in A$ with $a = b^*b$. Equivalently, a is positive if and only if $\sigma(a) \subseteq [0, \infty)$.

There are a few useful identities for positive elements. Specifically, the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\|a\|^2 1_A &\geq a^*a \\ \|a\|^2 b^*b - b^*a^*ab &\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Definition: A linear functional $\rho: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *positive* if $\rho(a) \geq 0$ whenever $a \geq 0$. A positive linear functional of norm 1 is called a *state*.

Lemma: Let f be a positive linear functional on a C^* -algebra A . Then, for all $a, b \in A$, we have

$$f(b^*a) = \overline{f(a^*b)}$$

and

$$|f(b^*a)|^2 \leq f(b^*b)f(a^*a).$$

Proof. To see the first identity, we let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and observe that

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq f((\lambda a + b)^*(\lambda a + b)) \\ &= |\lambda|^2 f(a^*a) + \bar{\lambda} f(a^*b) + \lambda f(b^*a) + f(b^*b).\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $|\lambda|^2 f(a^*a) + f(b^*b)$ is always real, we must have

$$\operatorname{Im}(\bar{\lambda} f(a^*b) + \lambda f(b^*a)) = 0$$

for all λ . By taking $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = i$, we get equality of imaginary and real parts of $f(a^*b)$ and $\overline{f(b^*a)}$.

As for the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we observe that if $\lambda = \overline{x f(b^*a)}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\leq x^2 |f(b^*a)|^2 f(a^*a) + x |f(a^*b)|^2 + x |f(b^*a)|^2 + f(b^*b) \\ &= x^2 |f(b^*a)|^2 f(a^*a) + 2x |f(b^*a)|^2 + f(b^*b).\end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side is a quadratic in x that is always greater than or equal to 0, so

$$4|f(b^*a)|^4 - 4|f(b^*a)|^2 f(a^*a)f(b^*b) \leq 0.$$

□

To understand the GNS construction, we start by taking a state τ on a C^* -algebra A . Then, defining

$$N_\tau = \{a \in A \mid \tau(a^*a) = 0\},$$

we observe that $\tau(b^*a) = 0$ if either a or b are in N_τ . In particular, we get the inner product on A/N_τ given by

$$\langle a + N_\tau, b + N_\tau \rangle = \tau(b^*a).$$

Define \mathcal{H}_τ to be the Hilbert space completion of A/N_τ . Since $\|a\|^2 b^*b - b^*a^*ab$ is of the form c^*c , we have

$$\|a(b + N_\tau)\|^2 = \tau(b^*a^*ab)$$

¹An essential ideal is one that has nonzero intersection with any other closed ideal of A .

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \|a\|^2 \tau(b^*b) - \tau(c^*c) \\
&\leq \|a\|^2 \tau(b^*b) \\
&= \|a\|^2 \|b + N_\tau\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, this means that the elements of A act as bounded operators on A/N_τ , which we extend to operators $\pi_\tau(a)$ in the completion. This gives a nondegenerate representation π_τ of A on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_τ .

Lemma: Suppose A is a non-unital C^* -algebra, and $\rho \in S(A)$. Then, if $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is an approximate identity for A , $\rho(e_i) \rightarrow 1$. Furthermore, the formula $\tau(a + \lambda 1) = \rho(a) + \lambda$ defines a state τ on the unitization \tilde{A} .

Proof. Since approximate identities in C^* -algebras are increasing, ρ is positive, and $\rho(e_i) \leq 1$ for each e_i , it follows that $(\rho(e_i))_{i \in I}$ converges to L for some $L \leq 1$. Now, since

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i^2 &= (e_i^{1/2})^* e_i e_i^{1/2} \\
&\leq (e_i^{1/2})^* e_i^{1/2} \\
&= e_i.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $a \in A$, Cauchy–Schwarz gives

$$\begin{aligned}
|\rho(e_i a)|^2 &\leq \rho(e_i^2) \rho(a^* a) \\
&\leq \rho(e_i) \|a\|^2 \\
&\leq L \|a\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This holds for all $a \in A$ if and only if $L \geq 1$ as $\|\rho\| = 1$, so since $L \leq 1$ it follows that $\rho(e_i) \rightarrow 1$.

By the earlier equation, we also have that $|\rho(a)|^2 \leq \rho(a^* a)$. Combining with the identity $\rho(a^*) = \overline{\rho(a)}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau((\lambda 1 + a)^*(\lambda 1 + a)) &= \tau(|\lambda|^2 1 + \bar{\lambda} a + \lambda a^* + a^* a) \\
&= |\lambda| + 2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda} \rho(a)) + \rho(a^* a) \\
&\geq |\lambda| - 2|\lambda| |\rho(a)| + \rho(a^* a) \\
&\geq |\lambda|^2 - 2|\lambda| |\rho(a)| + |\rho(a)|^2 \\
&\geq (|\lambda| - |\rho(a)|)^2 \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, τ is positive and has $\tau(1) = 1$. □

Definition: If $\pi: A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ is a representation, then a vector $h \in \mathcal{H}_\pi$ is called cyclic for π if the set

$$[\pi(A)h] := \{\pi(a)h \mid a \in A\}$$

spans a dense subspace of \mathcal{H}_π .

Proposition: If ρ is a state on a C^* -algebra A , then there is a unit cyclic vector h_ρ in \mathcal{H}_ρ such that

$$\rho(a) = \langle \pi_\rho(a) h_\rho, h_\rho \rangle$$

for all $a \in A$.

Conversely, if h is a unit cyclic vector for a representation $\pi: A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$, then the map $\tau: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\tau(a) = \langle \pi(a)h, h \rangle$$

is a state on A . The map $a \mapsto \pi(a)h$ induces a unitary isomorphism between \mathcal{H}_τ and \mathcal{H}_π such that $\pi(a) = U\pi_\tau(a)U^*$.

Proof. If A is unital, then we set $h_\rho = 1 + N_\rho$ as our cyclic vector. It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi(A)h_\rho] &= \{\pi(a) + N_\rho \mid a \in A\} \\ &= A/N_\rho, \end{aligned}$$

which is norm-dense in \mathcal{H}_ρ .

If A is non-unital, we may use the lemma to extend ρ to $\tau: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow \tilde{A}$ induces an isometry V of \mathcal{H}_ρ into \mathcal{H}_τ mapping $a + N_\rho$ to $a + N_\tau$. This isometry is such that

$$V\pi_\rho(a) = \pi_\tau(a)V$$

for all $a \in A$. We may identify \mathcal{H}_ρ with the subspace $V\mathcal{H}_\rho$ of \mathcal{H}_τ , since \mathcal{H}_ρ is then essential subspace $[\pi_\tau(a)\mathcal{H}_\tau]$ of $\pi_\tau|_A$, with $\pi_\tau|_A = \pi_\rho \oplus 0$. The projection of $1 + N_\tau$ onto \mathcal{H}_ρ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\rho(a)h_\rho &= \pi_\tau(a)(1 + N_\tau) \\ &= a + N_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, h_ρ is cyclic for π_ρ , and has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_\rho(a)h_\rho, h_\rho \rangle &= \langle \pi_\tau(a)(1 + N_\tau), 1 + N_\tau \rangle \\ &= \tau(a) \\ &= \rho(a). \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose h is a unit cyclic vector for $\pi: A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$. Let τ be a positive functional of norm at most 1; then, τ has norm equal to $\|h\|^2 = 1$ since $\pi(e_i)h \rightarrow h$ for any approximate identity $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ of A . Next,

$$\begin{aligned} N_\tau &= \{a \in A \mid \langle \pi(a^*a)h, h \rangle = 0\} \\ &= \{a \in A \mid \pi(a)h = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

meaning there is a well-defined linear map $U_0: A/N_\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pi$ given by $U_0(a + N_\tau) = \pi(a)h$. The map U_0 is isometric, since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_0(a + N_\tau), U_0(b + N_\tau) \rangle &= \langle \pi(b^*a)h, h \rangle \\ &= \tau(b^*a) \\ &= \langle a + N_\tau, b + N_\tau \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, U_0 extends to an isometry U on the completion \mathcal{H}_τ of A/N_τ , and maps onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(a)h \mid a \in A\}$, which is equal to \mathcal{H}_π since h is cyclic. Thus, U is unitary.

We find that

$$\begin{aligned} U\pi_\tau(a)(b + N_\tau) &= U(ab + N_\tau) \\ &= \pi(ab)h \\ &= \pi(a)(\pi(b)h) \\ &= \pi(a)U(b + N_\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\pi_\tau(a)$ is unitarily equivalent to $\pi(a)$ for each a . □

Remark: The completion of A/N_ϕ , which we denoted \mathcal{H}_ϕ , is often denoted as $L_2(A, \phi)$.

Proposition: Let ϕ and ψ be positive linear functionals with $\psi \leq \phi$ inducing representations π_ϕ and π_ψ .

Then, there is a unique operator $T \in \pi_\phi(A)' \subseteq B(H_\phi)$, with $0 \leq T \leq I$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= \phi(T\pi_\phi(x)) \\ &= \langle T\pi_\phi(x)\xi_\phi, \xi_\phi \rangle_\phi,\end{aligned}$$

where ξ_ϕ denotes the cyclic vector for ϕ .

Proof. We define a sesquilinear form F on \mathcal{H}_ϕ such that

$$\psi(y^*x) = F(\pi_\phi(x)\xi_\phi, \pi_\phi(y)\xi_\phi).$$

This is a bounded sesquilinear form that is necessarily positive. Thus, there is some $T \in B(\mathcal{H}_\phi)$ with $0 \leq T \leq I$ such that $F(\eta, \zeta) = \langle T\eta, \zeta \rangle_\phi$ for all $\eta, \zeta \in \mathcal{H}_\phi$.

Now, for $x, y, z \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T\pi_\phi(x)\pi_\phi(z)\xi_\phi, \pi_\phi(y)\xi_\phi \rangle_\phi &= \psi(y^*(xz)) \\ &= \psi((x^*y)^*z) \\ &= \langle T\pi_\phi(z)\xi_\phi, \pi_\phi(x^*)\pi_\phi(y)\xi_\phi \rangle_\phi \\ &= \langle \pi_\phi(x)T\pi_\phi(z)\xi_\phi, \pi_\phi(y)\xi_\phi \rangle_\phi.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $T\pi_\phi(x) = \pi_\phi(x)T$. □

Notice that if $\psi \leq \phi$, then $\omega = \phi - \psi$ is positive linear functional such that $\phi = \psi + \omega$, meaning that π_ϕ is unitarily equivalent to

$$\mathcal{H}_\phi = [(\pi_\psi \oplus \pi_\omega)(A)(\xi_\psi \oplus \xi_\omega)],$$

and T is the compression of the projection onto the first coordinate.

Recall that the state space $S(A)$ is a w^* -compact convex subset of A^* , so it is equal to the closed convex hull of its extreme points by Krein–Milman. These extreme points are known as pure states.

Proposition: If ϕ is a state on A , then π_ϕ is irreducible if and only if ϕ is a pure state.

Proof. If π_ϕ is irreducible, then for any positive linear functional $\psi \leq \phi$, it must be the case that ψ is a multiple of ϕ since there are no sub-representations for π_ϕ . In particular, this means that $\psi = \phi$, so ϕ is pure.

Now, let ϕ be pure. Suppose there is a projection P in $\pi_\phi(A)'$ with $P \neq 0, I$. Then, $P\xi_\phi \neq 0$, as else we would have

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \pi_\phi(x)P\xi_\phi \\ &= P[\pi_\phi(x)\xi_\phi]\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$, whence P would be equal to zero. Similarly, we must have $(I - P)\xi_\phi \neq 0$. For each $x \in A$, let

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1(x) &= \langle \pi_\phi P\xi_\phi, P\xi_\phi \rangle_\phi \\ &= \phi(P\pi_\phi(x)) \\ \phi_2(x) &= \langle \pi_\phi(I - P)\xi_\phi, (I - P)\xi_\phi \rangle \\ &= \phi((I - P)\pi_\phi(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Then, ϕ_1, ϕ_2 are positive linear functionals on A , with $\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2$, $\phi_1 = \lambda\phi$, and $\phi_2 = (1 - \lambda)\phi$ for some $0 < \lambda < 1$, following from the fact that ϕ is pure. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $x \in A$ with $\|\pi_\phi\xi_\phi - P\xi_\phi\| < \varepsilon$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x^*x) &= \|\pi_\phi(x)\xi_\phi\|^2 \\ &= \|P\xi_\phi\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\|(I - P)\pi_\phi \xi_\phi - P\xi_\phi\| < \varepsilon,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda)\|P\xi_\phi\|^2 &= \phi_2(x^*x) \\ &= \|(I - P)\pi_\phi \xi_\phi\|^2 \\ &< \varepsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

and since ε is arbitrary, we have $\lambda = 1$, which means no such P exists. Thus, $\pi_\phi(A)' = \mathbb{C}I$, and so π_ϕ is irreducible. \square

Corollary: If A is a C^* -algebra and $x \in A$, then there is an irreducible representation π of A with $\|\pi(x)\| = \|x\|$.

Proof. Let π be represented via the pure state ϕ . There is a pure state on A with $\phi((xx^*)^2) = \|(xx^*)^2\|$, emerging from extending the isomorphism $C^*((xx^*)^2) \cong C_0(\sigma((xx^*)^2))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 &= \langle xx^*, xx^* \rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \|xx^*\| \\ &= \|\pi(x)x^*\| \\ &\leq \|\pi(x)\|\|x\| \\ &\leq \|x\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so the inequalities are equalities, and $\|\pi(x)\| = \|x\|$. \square

Spectrum of a C^* -Algebra

Definition: If A is a C^* -algebra, the *spectrum* of A , denoted \hat{A} , is the set of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of A .

We start by understanding the irreducible representations of $K(\mathcal{H})$. Recall the characterization of $K(\mathcal{H})$ as

$$K(\mathcal{H}) = \overline{\text{span}}\{h \otimes \bar{k} \mid h, k \in \mathcal{H}\},$$

where

$$h \otimes \bar{k}(\ell) = \langle \ell, k \rangle h.$$

Let $\pi: K(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ be an irreducible representation, and let $e \in \mathcal{H}$ be a unit vector. Then, $e \otimes \bar{e}$ is the projection of \mathcal{H} onto the span of e , meaning $P = \pi(e \otimes \bar{e})$ is the projection onto the closed subspace $P\mathcal{H}_\pi$. Let $\xi \in B(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ be fixed, and consider

$$[\pi(K(\mathcal{H}))\xi] = \overline{\text{span}}\{\pi(T)\xi \mid T \in K(\mathcal{H})\}.$$

This is a nonzero invariant subspace, so by irreducibility, $[\pi(K(\mathcal{H}))\xi]$ is all of \mathcal{H}_π . Define $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\pi$ by

$$Uh = \pi(h \otimes \bar{e})\xi.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ug, Uh \rangle &= \langle \pi(g \otimes \bar{e})\xi, \pi(h \otimes \bar{e})\xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi, \pi((g \otimes \bar{e})^*(h \otimes \bar{e}))\xi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle \xi, \pi((e \otimes \bar{g})(h \otimes \bar{e}))\xi \rangle \\
&= \langle \xi, \pi(\langle h, g \rangle(e \otimes \bar{e}))\xi \rangle \\
&= \langle g, h \rangle \langle \xi, \pi(e \otimes \bar{e})\xi \rangle \\
&= \langle g, h \rangle \|\xi\|^2 \\
&= \langle g, h \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(h \otimes \bar{k})\xi &= \pi(h \otimes \bar{k})\pi(e \otimes \bar{e})\xi \\
&= \langle e, k \rangle \pi(h \otimes \bar{e})\xi \\
&= \langle e, k \rangle U h,
\end{aligned}$$

we have that every element of the spanning set is in the range of U . Thus, U is a unitary, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(h \otimes \bar{k})(Ug) &= \pi((h \otimes \bar{k})(g \otimes \bar{e}))\xi \\
&= \langle g, k \rangle \pi(h \otimes \bar{e})\xi \\
&= \langle g, k \rangle U h \\
&= U(\langle g, k \rangle h) \\
&= U((h \otimes \bar{k})g).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, this means we have $\pi(T)U = UT$ for all $T \in K(\mathcal{H})$. Thus, π is unitarily equivalent to the identity, meaning that the spectrum of $K(\mathcal{H})$ is simply the identity.

Similar to how the analysis of commutative C^* -algebras depends on the identification between characters and maximal ideals on the C^* -algebra, given by $\phi \mapsto \ker(\phi)$. Similarly, we are interested in the ideals that are the kernels of irreducible representations, known as primitive ideals.

Proposition: Let A be a C^* -algebra. Then,

- (a) every closed ideal in A is the intersection of the primitive ideals that contain it;
- (b) if I is a primitive ideal, and J, K are ideals such that $J \cap K \subseteq I$, then either $J \subseteq I$ or $K \subseteq I$.

Proof.

- (a) Let $a \in A$ with $a \notin I$. Then, consider $a + I \in A/I$. There is an irreducible representation of A/I such that $\|\pi(a + I)\| = \|a + I\| \neq 0$. Yet, composing with the quotient map, this yields an irreducible representation $\pi \circ q$ with $a \notin \ker(\pi \circ q)$.
- (b) Let π be an irreducible representation with $\ker(\pi) = I$. If $J \not\subseteq I$, then $\pi(J) \neq 0$, so $[\pi(J)\mathcal{H}] =: \mathcal{V}$ is nonzero. Since J is an ideal, \mathcal{V} is invariant, but this means $[\pi(J)\mathcal{H}] = \mathcal{H}$. Yet, this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(K)(\pi(J)\mathcal{H}) &\subseteq \pi(K \cap J)\mathcal{H} \\
&\subseteq \pi(I) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

whence $K \subseteq \ker(\pi)$.

□

References

- [RW98] Iain Raeburn and Dana P. Williams. *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^* -algebras*. Vol. 60. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, pp. xiv+327. ISBN: 0-8218-0860-5. DOI: [10.1090/surv/060](https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/060). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/060>.

- [Bla06] B. Blackadar. *Operator algebras*. Vol. 122. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, pp. xx+517. ISBN: 978-3-540-28486-4; 3-540-28486-9. DOI: [10.1007/3-540-28517-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-28517-2). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-28517-2>.