Normed Vector Spaces

Vector Spaces

Throughout, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . A **vector space** over \mathbb{F} is a nonempty set V equipped with two operations: vector addition and scalar multiplication.

$$V \times V \xrightarrow{+} V$$
 $(v, w) \mapsto v + w$ Vector Addition $F \times V \to V$ $(\alpha, v) \mapsto \alpha v$ Scalar Multiplication

The vector space is an Abelian group, where $u, v, w \in V$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$, we have:

(i)
$$u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w$$

(ii)
$$\exists 0_v \in V$$
 with $\forall v \in V$, $0_v + v = v + 0_v = v$

(iii)
$$(\forall v \in V)(\exists w \in V)$$
 with $v + w = 0_v$

(iv)
$$\forall v, w \in V, v + w = w + v$$

(v)
$$\alpha(v+w) = \alpha v + \alpha w$$
, $(\alpha + \beta)v = \alpha v + \beta v$

(vi)
$$\alpha(\beta w) = (\alpha \beta) w$$

(vii)
$$1 \cdot v = v$$

Remarks:

- (a) 0_V is unique and known as the zero vector.
- (b) The vector w in (iii) is unique, and denoted -v.

(c)
$$0 \cdot v = 0_v$$

(d)
$$(-1) \cdot v = -v$$

(e) Property (iv) follows from all the other axioms.

(f) For
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $n \cdot v = \underbrace{v + v + \dots + v}_{n \text{ times}}$

Subspaces

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} . A **subspace** is a nonempty subset $W \subseteq V$ satisfying the following:

(i)
$$w \in W, \alpha \in \mathbb{F} \to \alpha w \in W$$
.

(ii)
$$w_1, w_2 \in W \Rightarrow w_1 + w_2 \in W$$
.

Remark: 0_{ν} is always a member of any subspace; a subspace is also a vector space.

Proposition: Intersection of Subspaces

If $\{W_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a family of subspaces of V, then, $\bigcap W_i$ is a subspace of V.

Proposition: Union of Subspaces

It is not the case that the union of subspaces of V also a subspace. For example, consider \mathbb{R}^2 with the traditional vector space operations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + x' \\ y + y' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x \\ \alpha y \end{pmatrix}$$

If $W_1, W_2 \in V$ are subspaces such that $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace, then $W_1 \subseteq W_2$ or $W_2 \subseteq W_1$.

Generated Subspaces

Let $S \subseteq V$ be any subset of a vector space V. Then,

$$\operatorname{span}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}, v_1, \dots, v_n \in S \right\}$$

Remarks:

- $\operatorname{span}(S) \subseteq V$ is a subspace.
- $\operatorname{span}(S) = \bigcap W$, where $S \subseteq W$ and $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace. Thus, $\operatorname{span}(S)$ is the "smallest" subspace containing S, or the subspace generated by S.

Proposition: Quotient Group on Vector Space

Let V be a vector space, and let $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace. Define $u \sim_W v \leftrightarrow u - v \in W$.

- (1) \sim_W is an equivalence relation.
- (2) If $[v]_W$ denotes the equivalence class of v, then $[v]_W = v + W = \{v + w | w \in W\}$.
- (3) $V/W := \{[v]_W | v \in V\}$ is a vector space with $[v_1]_W + [v_2]_W = [v_1 + v_2]_W$ and $\alpha[v]_W = [\alpha v]_W$.

Proof of (1):

- Reflexive: $u \sim_W u$, since $u u = 0 \in W$.
- Transitive: Suppose $u \sim_W v$, and $v \sim_W z$. Then, $u v \in W$, and $v z \in W$. So, $(u v) + (v z) \in W$, so $u z \in W$. Whence, $u \sim_W z$.
- Symmetric: If $u \sim_W v$, then $u v \in W$, so $-1 \cdot (u v) \in W$, so $v u \in W$. Whence, $v \sim_W u$.

Proof of (2):

$$[v]_{W} = \{u \in V \mid u \sim_{W} v\}$$

$$= \{u \in V \mid u - v \in W\}$$

$$= \{u \in V \mid u = v + w \text{ some } w \in W\}$$

$$= \{v + w \mid w \in W\}$$

$$= v + W$$

Proof of (3): Prove that the operation is well-defined.

Bases

Let V be a vector space and $S \subseteq V$ be a subset.

- (1) S is said to be spanning for V if span(S) = V.
- (2) S is linearly independent if, for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} v_{j} = 0_{v}$ with $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{F}$, $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in S$, then $\alpha_{1} = \alpha_{2} = \cdots = \alpha_{n} = 0$.
- (3) S is a basis for V if S is linearly independent and spanning for V.

Proposition: Existence of Basis

Every vector space admits a basis. If $B_0 \subseteq V$ is linearly independent, $\exists B \subseteq V$ such that B is a basis and $B \supseteq B_0$.

Background: A relation on a set X is a subset $R \subseteq X \times X$. If R is reflexive $(x \sim x)$, transitive $(x \sim y, y \sim z \rightarrow x \sim z)$, and antisymmetric $(x \sim y, y \sim x \rightarrow x = y)$, then R is an ordering, and we write $x \leq y$.

If \leq is an ordering of X such that $\forall x, y \in X$, $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$, then \leq is a total (or linear) ordering.

Let \leq be an ordering of X, let $Y \subseteq X$. An upper bound for Y is an element $u \in X$ such that $y \leq u \ \forall y \in Y$. A maximal element in X is an element $m \in X$ such that $x \in X$, $x \geq m \to x = m$.

Example: $\mathbb N$ under the division ordering defines $a \le b \Leftrightarrow a|b$. If we want to find the maximal elements of $A = \{2, 6, 9, 12\}$, we would see that they are 9 and 12 (since no element of A can be divided by 9 and 12). Meanwhile, $\mathbb N$ itself has no maximal elements.

This leads us to ask: given an ordered set, (X, \leq) , does X admit maximal elements.

Zorn's Lemma (or Axiom): Let (X, \leq) be an ordered set. Suppose that every totally ordered subset, $Y \subseteq X$ has an upper bound in X. Then, X admits at least one maximal element.

The proof of Zorn's Lemma relies on the Axiom of Choice (and Zorn's Lemma is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice).

Proof: Let $X = \{D \mid B_0 \subseteq D \subseteq V\}$ with D linearly independent. Since $B_0 \subseteq X$, $X \neq \emptyset$. Define $D, E \in X$, $D \subseteq E \Leftrightarrow D \subseteq E$. We will show that X has a maximal element.

Consider any totally ordered subset, $Y = \{D_i\}_{i \in I}$. Consider $D = \bigcup D_i$. Clearly, $B_0 \subseteq D \subseteq V$. Suppose $\sum \alpha_k v_k = 0_V$ with $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in D$. Therefore, $\exists D_j$ with $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in D_j$ because Y is totally ordered. However, by definition, D_j is a linearly independent set — therefore, $\alpha_k = 0$. Thus, D is linearly independent.

Since D is linearly independent, and $B_0 \subseteq D$, it must be the case that $D \in X$. D is also an upper bound for Y. So, by Zorn's Lemma, X has a maximal element, B.

So, $B_0 \subseteq B \subseteq V$, B is independent, and B is maximal in X. We claim that B is a basis for V. Suppose toward contradiction that $\exists v \in V$ such that $v \notin \text{span}(B)$. Consider $B' = B \cup \{v\}$.

Then, $B_0 \subseteq B'$, and B' is linearly independent — if $\sum \alpha_k v_k + \alpha v = 0$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in B$, then either:

- If $\alpha = 0$, then $\alpha_k v_k = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_k = 0$.
- If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $\sum \alpha_k v_k = -\alpha v$, which means $v \in \text{span}(B)$. \perp

Thus, we have a linearly independent set, B', with $B \subseteq B'$, and $B_0 \subseteq B'$. Therefore, $B' \in X$. However, this contradicts the maximality of B. Therefore, span(B) = V, and B is a basis for V.

Examples: Vector Spaces

(1) n-Dimensional Vectors:

$$\mathbb{F}^{n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{pmatrix} \mid x_{j} \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} + y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} + y_{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha x_{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = \{e_{1}, \dots, e_{n}\}$$

where e_i denotes the unit vector at position i.

(2) $m \times n$ Matrices:

$$\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \mid a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$
$$(a_{ij}) + (b_{ij}) = (a_{ij} + b_{ij})$$
$$\alpha(a_{ij}) = (\alpha a_{ij})$$
$$B = \{e_{ij}\}$$

where e_{ij} denotes a matrix of 0 everywhere except column i and row j.

(3) Functions with domain Ω :

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) = \{ f \mid f : \Omega \to \mathbb{F} \}$$
$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$
$$(\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x)$$

(4) Bounded functions with domain Ω :

$$\ell_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) \mid ||f||_{u} \le \infty \}$$
$$||f||_{u} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|$$

Exercises:

• Triangle Inequality: $||f + g||_u \le ||f||_u + ||g||_u$

• Scalar Multiplication/Absolute Homogeneity: $\|\alpha f\|_u = |\alpha| \|f\|_u$

• Positive Definite: $||f||_u = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$

Proof of Triangle Inequality: Given $x \in \Omega$,

$$|(f+g)(x)| = |f(x) + g(x)|$$

$$\leq |f(x)| + |g(x)|$$

$$\leq ||f||_{u} + ||g||_{u}$$

Therefore.

$$\sup |(f+g)(x)| \le ||f||_u + ||g||_u$$
$$||f+g||_u \le ||f||_u + ||g||_u$$

(5) Continuous functions on closed and bounded intervals:

$$C([a, b], \mathbb{F}) = \{f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ continuous}\}\$$

Check that $C([a, b], \mathbb{F}) \subseteq \ell_{\infty}([a, b], \mathbb{F})$ is a subspace.

(6) Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be any function. Let $\mathcal{P} : a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = b$.

$$\operatorname{var}(f; \mathcal{P}) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})|$$

$$\operatorname{var}(f) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \operatorname{var}(f; \mathcal{P})$$

$$\operatorname{BV}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \mid \operatorname{var}(f) < \infty\}$$

$$\|f\|_{\operatorname{BV}} = |f(a)| + \operatorname{var}(f)$$

BV([a, b]) is a vector space.

Question: Is $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} \in BV([0,1])$?

(7) Suppose $K \subseteq V$ is a *convex* subset of a vector space: $v, w \in K, t \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow (1 - t)v + tw \in K$. Let $Aff(K) = \{f : K \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is affine}\}$, where f is affine if $\forall v, w \in K, t \in [0, 1], f((1 - t)v + tw) = (1 - t)f(v) + tf(w)$.

Exercise: Show that $Aff(K) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(K, \mathbb{R})$ is a subspace.

(8) Let S be defined as

$$S = \{(a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \mid a_k \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

Under pointwise operations, S is a vector space.

$$(a_k)_k + (b_k)_k = (a_k + b_k)_k$$
$$\alpha(a_k)_k = (\alpha a_k)_k$$

Note 1: $S = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{F})$.

Note 2: $c_{00} \subseteq \ell_1 \subseteq c_0 \subseteq c \subseteq \ell_\infty \subseteq S$.

- $c_{00} = \{(a_k)_k \mid \text{finitely many } a_k \neq 0\}$
- $c_0 = \{(a_k)k \mid (a_k)_k \to 0\}$

- $c = \{(a_k)_k \mid (a_k)_k \to a < \infty\}$
- $\ell_{\infty} = \{(a_k)_k \mid ||(a_k)_k||_u < \infty\}$
- $\ell_1 = \{(a_k)_k \mid \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| = a < \infty \}$
- (9) $C_C(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ are all subspaces.
 - $C_C(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ compactly supported}\}: f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{F} \text{ is compactly supported if } \exists [a, b] \text{ such that } x \notin [a, b] \Rightarrow f(x) = 0.$
 - $C_0(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ continuous, } \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} f(x) = 0 \}$
- (10) Let S be any non-empty set.

$$\mathbb{F}(S) := \{ f : S \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ finitely supported} \}$$

$$\mathsf{supp}(f) = \{ x \in S \mid f(x) \neq 0 \}$$

We claim that $\mathbb{F}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(S, \mathbb{F})$ is a subspace. Consider $e_t : S \to \mathbb{F}$ defined as follows:

$$e_t(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & s = t \\ 0 & s \neq t \end{cases}.$$

We claim that $\xi = \{e_t\}_{t \in S}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{F}(S)$.

Indeed, given $f \in \mathbb{F}(S)$, we know that $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\} \subseteq S$. Therefore, $f = \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) e_{t_k} \in \operatorname{span}(\xi)$. Therefore, ξ is spanning for $\mathbb{F}(S)$. Suppose $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{t_k} e_{t_k} = \emptyset$ for some $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}$, $t_k \in S$.

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{lpha_{t_k}} e_{t_k}\right) = \mathbb{O}(t_1)$$
 $lpha_{t_1} = 0.$

Similarly, $\alpha_{t_j} = 0$ for j = 1, ..., n. Therefore, ξ is linearly independent. Since ξ is linearly independent and spanning, ξ forms a basis for $\mathbb{F}(S)$.

Note: The free vector space, $\mathbb{F}(S)$, displays the universal property.

There are functions $\iota: S \to \mathbb{F}(S)$, where $\iota(t) = e_t$, and given any map $\varphi: S \to V$ for V a vector space over \mathbb{F} , $\exists !$ linear map $T_{\varphi}: \mathbb{F}(S) \to V$ such that $\iota \circ T_{\varphi} = \varphi$.

$$S \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{F}(S)$$

$$\downarrow^{T_{\varphi}}$$

$$\downarrow^{V}$$

Proof: Every $f \in \mathbb{F}(S)$ has a unique expression $f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(t_k) e_{t_k}$, where $\text{supp}(f) = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$. Therefore,

$$T_{\varphi}(f) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(t_k) \varphi(t_k)$$

Exercise: Show T_{φ} is linear and unique.

Exercise 2: Suppose V is a vector space over \mathbb{F} with basis B. Show that $\mathbb{F}(B) \cong V$. Remember that $V \cong W$ if $\exists \ T : V \to W$ such that T is bijective and linear.

Normed Spaces

To every vector $v \in V$, we want to assign a length to v, ||v||.

A **norm** on a vector space V is a map

$$\|\cdot\|:V\to\mathbb{R}^+$$
$$v\mapsto\|v\|>0$$

such that

- (i) Homogeneity: $\|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \|v\|$
- (ii) Triangle Inequality: $||v + w|| \le ||v|| + ||w||$

(iii) Positive definiteness: $||v|| = 0 \Rightarrow v = \mathbb{O}_V$.

If $p: V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies (i) and (ii), then p is a **seminorm**.

The pair $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a normed space.

Two norms, $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ are called **equivalent** if $\exists c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with, $\forall v \in V$,

$$||v|| \le c_1 ||v||'$$

 $||v||' \le c_2 ||v||$

Note: On \mathbb{R}^n , all norms are equivalent.

Exercise: If p is any seminorm on V, then $|p(v) - p(w)| \le p(v - w)$.

Notation: If V is a normed space, then $B_V = \{v \in V \mid ||v|| \le 1\}$, and $U_V = \{v \in V \mid ||v|| < 1\}$ are the closed and open unit ball respectively.

Examples of Normed Spaces

(1) Given $V = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$, we have different norms:

$$\begin{split} \|x\|_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \\ \|x\|_\infty &= \max_{1 \le j \le n} |x_j| \\ \|x\|_2 &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2\right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

In general, for $1 \le p < \infty$,

$$||x||_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

Exercise: Show that $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ are norms. Show that $\lim_{p\to\infty}\|x\|_p=\|x\|_\infty$

We want to show that $\|\cdot\|_p$ defines a norm for $1 \le p < \infty$. If $1 \le p < \infty$, its conjugate index $q \in [1, \infty]$ whereby $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. For example, if p = 1, then $q = \infty$, and if $p = \infty$, then q = 1.

Lemma 1: For $1 , <math>p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $f: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = \frac{1}{p}t^p - t + \frac{1}{q}$. Then, $f(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Proof 1: We can see that $f'(t) = t^{p-1} - 1$. Then, f'(t) = 0 at t = 1; f'(t) > 0 for t > 1 and f'(t) < 0 for $t \in [0, 1)$.

So, since $f(t) \ge f(1)$ for all $t \ge 0$, and f(1) = 0, $f(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Lemma 2: For $1 , <math>p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $z, y \ge 0$, $xy \le \frac{1}{p}x^p + \frac{1}{q}y^q$.

Proof 2: We know from Lemma 1, $t \leq \frac{1}{p}t^p + \frac{1}{q}$. Multiply by y^q to get

$$ty^q \le \frac{1}{p}t^p y^q + \frac{1}{q}y^q.$$

Set $t = xy^{1-q}$. Then,

$$xy^{1-q}y^q \le \frac{1}{p}x^py^{p-pq}y^q + \frac{1}{q}y^q.$$

Since $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, p - pq = -q, so

$$xy \leq \frac{1}{p}x^p + \frac{1}{q}y^q$$
.

With these two lemmas in mind, we get two important inequalities.

Hölder's Inequality: For $1 \le p \le \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. Then, for $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$,

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j\right| \le \|x\|_p \|y\|_q.$$

Proof of Hölder's Inequality: For p = 1, the solution is as follows:

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j \right| \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_j| |y_j|$$

$$\le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_j| ||y||_{\infty}$$

$$= ||x||_{\theta} ||y||_{\infty},$$

and similarly for $p = \infty$, q = 1.

For $1 , assume <math>||x||_p = ||y||_q = 1$.

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} y_{j} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_{j}| |y_{j}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{p} |x_{j}|^{p} + \frac{1}{q} |y_{j}|^{q} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|^{p} \right) + \frac{1}{q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|^{q} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$$

$$= 1$$

If $||x||_p = 0$ or $||y||_q = 0$, then $x = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ or $y = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$, the inequality still holds.

Assume $||x||_p \neq 0$, $||y||_p \neq 0$. Set

$$x' = \frac{x}{\|x\|_{\rho}}$$
$$y' = \frac{y}{\|y\|_{\rho}}.$$

It can be verified that $\|x'\|_p = 1 = \|y'\|_q$. Therefore,

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j' y_j' \right| \le 1$$

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{x_j}{\|x\|_p} \frac{y_j}{\|y\|_q} \right| \le 1$$

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j \right| \le \|x\|_p \|y\|_q$$

Minkowski's Inequality: Given $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} = 1$,

$$||x + y||_p \le ||x||_p + ||y||_p$$

Proof of Minkowski's Inequality: We can verify for p = 1, $q = \infty$, and vice versa.

Assume 1 . Then,

$$\begin{split} \|x+y\|_{\rho}^{p} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}+y_{j}|^{p} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_{j}+y_{j}||x_{j}+y_{j}|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_{j}||x_{j}+y_{j}|^{p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}||x_{j}+y_{j}|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}+y_{j}|^{pq-q}\right)^{1/q} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}+y_{j}|^{pq-q}\right)^{1/q} \\ &= \|x\|_{\rho} \|x+y\|_{\rho}^{p/q} + \|y\|_{\rho} \|x+y\|_{\rho}^{p/q} \\ &= (\|x\|_{\rho} + \|y\|_{\rho}) \|x+y\|_{\rho}^{p-1} \end{split}$$

Divide by $||x + y||_p^{p-1}$ to get desired inequality.

(2) $\ell_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$ with $\|\cdot\|_u$. This includes subspaces that inherit the norm, such as

$$C([a, b]) \subseteq \ell_{\infty}(\Omega)$$
$$\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \supseteq C_{0}(\mathbb{R}) \supseteq C_{C}(\mathbb{R})$$

Exercise: Show that $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace

(3) $\Omega=\mathbb{N}$, $\boldsymbol{\ell}_{\infty}=\boldsymbol{\ell}_{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$ with $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. Subspaces that inherit the norm are

$$c_{00} \subseteq c_0 \le \ell_{\infty}$$
.

(4) ℓ_1 with $\|\cdot\|_1$,

$$||(a_k)_k||_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|.$$

(5) C([a, b]) with

$$||f||_1 = \int_a^b |f(x)| dx.$$

(6) Let $1 \le p < \infty$.

$$\ell_p = \left\{ (a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p < \infty \right\}$$

is a normed space with

$$\|(a_k)_k\|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

We will show that the triangle inequality holds for this norm.

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k + b_k|^p\right)^{1/p} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + b_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n + b_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\ell_p^n}$$

$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\ell_p^n}$$

$$\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} \right\| + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\ell_p^n}$$

$$\leq \left\| (a_k)_k \right\|_p + \left\| (b_k)_k \right\|_p.$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ (by the definition of an infinite series), we find that $\|(a_k)_k + (b_k)_k\|_p \le \|(a_k)_k\|_p + \|(b_k)_k\|_p$.

(7) $BV([a,b]) = \{f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} \mid Var(f) < \infty\}$ with the norm $||f||_{BV} = |f(a)| + Var(f)$ is a normed space:

$$||f||_{BV} = 0$$
$$|f(a)| = 0$$
$$Var(f) = 0$$

given $t \in (a, b]$, look at the partition $a < t \le b$. Then,

$$Var(f) \ge |f(t) - f(a)| + |f(b) - f(t)|$$

$$f(t) = 0$$

$$f = \mathbb{O}_f.$$

(8) $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ with

$$||a||_{\text{op}} = \sup_{\|\xi\|_{\ell_2^n} \le 1} ||a\xi||_{\ell_2^m}$$

is a normed vector space. If $||a||_{op} = 0$, then

$$ae_j = 0$$
 $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$

take the dot product with $i \neq j$

$$ae_j \cdot e_i = a_{ij}$$
$$= 0$$

so $a_{ij} = 0$ for all a_{ij} , so a is the 0 matrix.

(9) Let V, W be vector spaces over \mathbb{F} . Then, $\mathcal{L}(V, W) = \{T \mid T : V \to W \text{ linear}\}$, where $T(\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2) = \alpha T(v_1) + \beta T(v_2)$.

 $\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ is a vector space with operations

$$(T+S)(v) = T(v) + S(v)$$
$$(\alpha T)(v) = \alpha T(v).$$

Notation: $\mathcal{L}(V) := \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ is all linear operators on V. $\mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F}) = V'$ is all linear functionals.

Suppose V and W are normed vector spaces. If $T: V \to W$, set

$$||T||_{op} := \sup_{\|v\|_{V} \le 1} ||T(v)||_{W},$$

$$\mathbb{B}(V, W) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) \mid ||T||_{op} \le \infty\},$$

where $\mathbb{B}(V,W)$ is referred to as the set of all bounded linear maps from V to W. $\mathbb{B}(V,W)$ with $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{op}}$ is a normed space.

• Homogeneity:

$$\begin{split} \|\alpha T\|_{[op]} &= \sup_{\|v\|_{V} \le 1} \|\alpha T(v)\|_{W} \\ &= \sup_{\|v\|_{V} \le 1} |\alpha| \|T(v)\|_{W} \\ &= |\alpha| \sup_{\|v\|_{V} \le 1} \|T(v)\|_{W} \\ &= |\alpha| \|T\|_{\text{op}}. \end{split}$$

• Triangle Inequality: for $||v||_V \le 1$,

$$|| (T+S) (v) ||_{W} = || T(v) + S(v) ||_{W}$$

$$\leq || T(v) ||_{W} + || S(v) ||_{W}$$

$$\leq || T ||_{op} + || S ||_{op}$$

so

$$||T + S||_{op} = \sup_{||v|| \le 1} ||T + S(v)||$$

 $\le ||T||_{op} + ||S||_{op}$

• Positive Definite: If $||T||_{op} = 0$, then T(v) = 0 for all $v \in V$, $||v|| \le 1$.

Let $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$. Then, $\frac{v}{\|v\|} \in B_V$.

$$T\left(\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\|v\|}T(v) = 0$$

$$T(v) = 0$$

Special Cases: $\mathbb{B}(V) = \mathbb{B}(V, V), V^* = \mathbb{B}(V, \mathbb{F}).$

Exercise: $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m) = \mathbb{B}(\ell_2^n, \ell_2^m)$.

(10) Inner Product Spaces (expanded upon below).

Inner Product Spaces

An inner product on a vector space V is a pairing

$$V \times V \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathbb{F}$$

that satisfies

- (i) $\langle v_1 + v_2, w \rangle = \langle v_1, w \rangle + \langle v_2, w \rangle$, $\langle \alpha v, w \rangle = \alpha \langle v, w \rangle$.
- (ii) $\langle v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, v \rangle}$
- (iii) $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$.
- (iv) If $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$, then v = 0.

The pair $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is known as an inner product space.

Remarks: $\langle v, w_1 + w_2 \rangle = \langle v, w_1 \rangle + \langle v, w_2 \rangle, \langle v, \alpha w \rangle = \overline{\alpha} \langle v, w \rangle.$

If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on a linear space V, then set

$$||v||_2 := \langle v, v \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Exercise: $\|\alpha v\|_2 = |\alpha| \|v_2\|, \|v\|_2 = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0.$

 $v, w \in (V, \langle, \cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ are orthogonal if $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.

The Pythagoran theorem states that for $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$ mutually orthogonal, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|v_i\|^2.$$

For two vectors $v, w \in V$, $P_w(v) = \frac{\langle v, w \rangle}{\langle w, w \rangle} w$.

Exercise: Check that $\langle P_w(v), v - P_w(v) \rangle$, meaning

$$||v||^2 = ||P_w(v)||^2 + ||v - P_w(v)||^2$$

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: In any inner product space,

$$|\langle v, w \rangle| \leq ||v|| \cdot ||w||$$
.

Proof of Cauchy-Schwarz: From the exercise,

$$||v|| \ge ||P_w(v)||$$

$$||v|| \ge \left\| \frac{\langle v, w \rangle}{\langle w, w \rangle} w \right\|$$

$$= \frac{|\langle v, w \rangle|}{||w||^2} ||w||$$

therefore,

$$||v||||w|| \ge |\langle v, w \rangle|$$

The triangle inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Proof of Triangle Inequality:

$$||v + w||_{2}^{2} = \langle v + w, v + w \rangle$$

$$= \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$= ||v||^{2} + ||w||^{2} + \langle v, w \rangle + \overline{\langle v, w \rangle}$$

$$= ||v||^{2} + ||w||^{2} + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle v, w \rangle$$

$$\leq ||v||^{2} + ||w||^{2} + 2|\langle v, w \rangle|$$

$$\leq ||v||^{2} + ||w||^{2} + 2||v|||w||$$

$$= (||v|| + ||w||)^{2}.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

Take square roots on both sides.

(1) $\ell_2^n = \mathbb{F}^n$ with

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \overline{y_i}.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz is found as

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \overline{y_{j}} \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

(2) ℓ_2 with

$$\langle (a_j)_j, (b_j)_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \overline{b}_j.$$

We can see that for any finite n, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in ℓ_2^n states

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \overline{b_{j}} \right| &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we see that $\langle (a_j)_j, (b_j)_j \rangle$ is convergent.

(3) C([a, b]) with

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx.$$

(4) Let $V = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Recall that if

$$a=(a_{ij})_{i,j},$$

then

$$a^* = (\overline{a_{ii}})_{i,j}$$
.

Let $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Tr}((a_{ij})) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$.

- $Tr(I_n) = n$
- $Tr(a + \alpha b) = Tr(a) + \alpha Tr(b)$
- Tr(ab) = Tr(ba)

Then, if $Tr(a^*a) = 0$, then $a = \mathbb{O}_{M_n}$.

$$a^*a = (\overline{a_{ji}})_{i,j}(a_{ij})_{i,j}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \overline{ki} a_{kj}\right)_{i,j}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(a^*a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{a_{ki}} a_{ki}$$

$$= \sum_{i,k=1}^n |a_{ki}|^2$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2.$$

If $Tr(a^*a) = 0$, then $a_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j.

We define

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{\mathsf{HS}} = \mathsf{Tr}(b^*a).$$

(i)
$$(b_1 + b_2)^* = b_1^* + b_2^*$$

(ii)
$$(\alpha b)^* = \overline{\alpha} b^*$$

(iii)
$$(b_1b_2)^* = b_2^*b_1^*$$

(iv)
$$b^{**} = b$$

The norm is defined as

$$||a||_{HS} = \langle a, a \rangle^{1/2}$$

= $Tr(a^*a)^{1/2}$
= $\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2\right)^{1/2}$

Metric Spaces

We looked at normed spaces, where we attach a length $\|v\|$ to very vector v. We can also speak of the distance between two vectors, defined as $d(v, w) = \|v - w\|$.

Notice that the following hold:

•
$$d(v, w) \geq 0$$

•

$$d(v, w) = ||v - w||$$

$$= ||(-1)(w - v)||$$

$$= |-1||w - v||$$

$$= ||w - v||$$

•

$$d(u, w) = ||u - w||$$

$$= ||u - v + v - w||$$

$$\leq ||u - v|| + ||v - w||$$

$$= d(u, v) + d(v, w).$$

• d(v, v) = ||v - v|| = 0. If d(v, w) = 0, then ||v - w|| = 0, so v - w = 0, so v = w.

In Real Analysis I, we studied the properties (such as convergence, limits, and continuity) of a particular normed vector space, namely $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$. We will expand these concepts to all metric spaces.

Definition of a Metric Space

Let X be a non-empty set. A **metric** on X is a map

$$d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto d(x, y) \ge 0$$

such that

- (i) Symmetry: d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all $x, y \in X$.
- (ii) Triangle Inequality: $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.
- (iii) Zero Distance: d(x, x) = 0
- (iv) Definite: $d(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$

If d satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii), then d is called a semi-metric. If d satisfies (iv) as well, then d is a metric.

If d is a (semi-)metric on X, the pair (X, d) is called a (semi-)metric space.

Two metrics, d and ρ , on X, are equivalent if $\exists c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ such that $d(x, y) \leq c_1 \rho(x, y)$ and $\rho(x, y) \leq c_2 d(x, y)$ for all x, y.

Examples of Metric Spaces

(1) Discrete Metric:

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq y \\ 0 & x = y \end{cases}$$

for X any set.

(2) Hamming distance: between two bit strings of equal length. Let

$$X = \{0, 1\}^n$$

$$= \{0, 1\} \underbrace{\times \cdots \times}_{n \text{ times}} \{0, 1\}$$

$$d_H((x_i)_1^n, (y_i)_1^n) = |\{j \mid x_i \neq y_i\}|.$$

(3) Any normed space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a metric space.

$$d(v,w) = ||v-w||.$$

Exercise: Show that if two norms are equivalent, their induced metrics are equivalent.

- (4) Subset of Metric Space: If (X, d) is a metric space, and $Y \subseteq X$ is non-empty. Then, (Y, d) is a metric space.
- (5) Paris metric: let (X, ρ) be a metric space. Let $p \in X$ be a fixed point.

$$\rho(x,y) := \begin{cases} 0 & x = y \\ \rho(x,p) + \rho(p,y) & x \neq y \end{cases}$$

(6) Bounded metric: Let ρ be a (semi-)metric on X. Set

$$d(x,y) = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{1 + \rho(x,y)}.$$

We claim that d is a (semi-)metric. Notice that $0 \le d(x, y) \le 1$.

Proof: Clearly, d(x, y) = d(y, x). Additionally, d(x, x) = 0. If d(x, y) = 0 and ρ is a metric, then $\rho(x, y) = 0$, so x = y.

To show the triangle inequality, we examine the function

$$f(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$$
$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} > 0.$$

Since ρ satisfies the triangle inequality, $\rho(x,z) \le \rho(x,y) + \rho(y,z)$. Apply f on both sides. Then,

$$\underbrace{\frac{\rho(x,z)}{1+\rho(x,z)}}_{d(x,z)} \le \frac{\rho(x,y)+\rho(y,z)}{1+(\rho(x,y)+\rho(y,z))}
= \frac{\rho(x,y)}{1+\rho(x,y)+\rho(y,z)} + \frac{\rho(y,z)}{1+\rho(x,y)+\rho(y,z)}
\le \underbrace{\frac{\rho(x,y)}{1+\rho(x,y)}}_{d(x,y)} + \underbrace{\frac{\rho(y,z)}{1+\rho(y,z)}}_{d(y,z)}.$$

(7) If d_1, \ldots, d_n are metrics on $X, c_1, \ldots, c_n \ge 0$. Then,

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k d_k(x,y)$$

is a metric.

(8) Let $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of semi-metrics. Assume the family is separating — for all $x \neq y$, there exists k such that $\rho_k(x,y) \neq 0$.

Let d_k be defined as

$$d_k(x,y) = \frac{\rho_k(x,y)}{1 + \rho_k(x,y)}.$$

Note that $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is also separating.

Then,

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_k(x, y)$$

is a metric.

We will now define the Frechet Metric using this method. Let $X=C(\mathbb{R})$. For each $k=1,2,3,\ldots$, set $p_k(f)=\sup_{x\in [-k,k]}|f(x)|$.

We can verify that p_k defines a seminorm. We can then check $\rho_k(f,g)=p_k(f-g)$ is a semi-metric.

We claim that $\{\rho_k\}$ is separating: if $f \neq g$, then there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x_0) \neq g(x_0)$. Since f and g are continuous, there is a neighborhood $[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]$ such that $f(x) \neq g(x)$ for all $x \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]$. Find k such that $[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta] \subseteq [-k, k]$. Then, $\rho_k(f - g) > 0$.

Construct d_k as above, and then d as follows:

$$d_{\mathsf{F}} = \sum \frac{2^{-k} p_k(f - g)}{1 + p_k(f - g)}$$

(9) Product of metric spaces: let $(X_k, \rho_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable family of metric spaces. For each k, let

$$d_k(x,y) = \frac{\rho_k(x,y)}{1 + \rho_k(x,y)}.$$

Remark: If the ρ_k are already uniformly bounded, let $d_k = \rho_k$.

Let

$$X = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k$$

$$= \{ (x_k)_k \mid x_k \in X_k \}$$

$$= \left\{ f : \mathbb{N} \to \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k \mid f(k) \in X_k \right\}.$$

Define $D: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ as

$$D(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \rho_k(x_k, y_k),$$

$$D(f, g) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \rho(f(k), g(k)).$$

For example, for each k, let $X_k = \{0, 1\}$ with the discrete metric. Let

$$\Delta = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{0, 1\}$$

$$= \{(x_k)_k \mid x_k \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

$$D(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} |x_k - y_k| \qquad (x_k)_k, (y_k)_k \in \Delta.$$

 Δ is known as the abstract Cantor set; every compact metric space is a surjective image of the abstract Cantor set.

(10) Geodesic Distance: let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the standard dot product on $\mathbb{R}^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$S^{2} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid ||x||_{2} = 1 \right\}$$
$$S^{n-1} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid ||x||_{2} = 1 \right\}.$$

To find the geodesic distance, we take $d(x, y) = \arccos(\langle x, y \rangle)$. We claim d is a metric.

- Symmetry: self-evident.
- $d(x, x) = \arccos(1) = 0$. Suppose d(x, y) = 0. Then, $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$, meaning $||x y||^2 = 0$, so x = y.
- Let $\theta = \arccos(\langle x, y \rangle)$, $\varphi = \arccos(\langle y, z \rangle)$, where $\theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$.

$$p_{X} = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} y$$
$$= \cos(\theta) y$$
$$x = \cos(\theta) y + \sin(\theta) u$$

where

$$u = \frac{x - p_X}{\|x - p_X\|}.$$

Similarly, we can take

$$z = \cos(\varphi)y + \sin(\varphi)v$$

where

$$v = \frac{z - p_z}{\|z - p_z\|}.$$

So,

$$\begin{split} \langle x,z\rangle &= \cos(\theta)\cos(\varphi) + \sin(\theta)\sin(\varphi)\,\langle u,v\rangle \\ &\geq \cos(\theta)\cos(\varphi) - \sin(\theta)\sin(\varphi) & \langle u,v\rangle \geq -1 \\ &= \cos(\theta+\varphi). \end{split}$$

Since arccos is decreasing,

$$\begin{aligned} \arccos(\langle x, z \rangle) &\leq \arccos(\cos(\theta + \varphi)) \\ &= \theta + \varphi \\ &= \arccos(\langle x, y \rangle) + \arccos(\langle y, z \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(x, y) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

• Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a simple connected graph. We define $d: V \times V \to [0, \infty)$ to be the length of the shortest path between vertices u and v.

Exercise: Show this is a metric.

(11) Let (X, d) be any metric space. If $E \subseteq X$, define $\operatorname{diam}(E) = \sup_{x,y \in E} d(x,y)$. E is bounded if $\operatorname{diam}(E) < \infty$.

Exercise: If $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, $E \subseteq V$ is a subset, show the following are equivalent:

- (i) E is bounded (in the metric sense)
- (ii) $\sup_{v \in E} \|v\| < \infty$
- (iii) $\exists r > 0$ such that $E \subseteq rB_V$.

Let Ω be any set. The function $f:\Omega\to X$ is bounded if $f(\Omega)\subseteq X$ is bounded. We let.

$$Bd(\Omega, X) = \{f : \Omega \to X \mid f \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

Remark: $Bd(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) = \ell_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{F}).$

(12) $Bd(\Omega, X)$ with

$$D_u(f,g) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} d(f(x), g(x)).$$

Exercise: Show that D_u defines a metric.

Consider $\mathsf{Bd}(\Omega,\mathbb{F})=\ell_\infty.$ Look at the subset

$$E = \{ f \in Bd(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) \mid f(x) \in \{0, 1\} \}.$$

Then,

$$D_u(f, g) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & f \neq g \\ 0 & f = g \end{cases}.$$

When we take a particular subset of $D_u(f, g)$, we find that we get the discrete metric.

Taking an overview of the concepts we have learned so far, we see

Inner Product Spaces \subseteq Normed Vector Spaces \subseteq Metric Spaces

Topology of Metric Spaces

Throughout this section, let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (1) Let $x_0 \in X$, $\delta > 0$.
 - (i) We say

$$U(x_0,\delta) = \{x \in X \mid d(x,x_0) < \delta\}$$

is the open ball centered at x_0 with radius δ .

(ii) We say

$$B(x_0, \delta) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) \le \delta\}$$

is the closed ball.

(iii) We say

$$S(x_0, \delta) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) = \delta\}$$

is the sphere.

(2) $U \subseteq X$ is open if

$$(\forall x \in U)(\exists \delta > 0)$$
 such that $U(x, \delta) \subseteq U$.

Let

$$\tau_X = \{ U \subseteq X \mid U \text{ open} \}$$
$$\subseteq \mathcal{P}(X).$$

(3) $D \subseteq X$ is closed if D^c is open.

(4) If $x \in U \in \tau_X$, then U is called an open neighborhood of x. If $x \in U \subseteq N$, where $U \in \tau_X$, then N is a neighborhood of x.

$$\mathcal{N}_{x} = \{ N \mid N \text{ is a neighborhood of } x \}$$

(5) Let $A \subseteq X$. The interior of A is

$$A^{\circ} = \bigcup \{ V \mid V \subseteq A, V \text{ open} \}$$
 .

The closure of A is

$$\overline{A} = \bigcap \{D \mid A \subseteq D, D \text{ closed}\}.$$

The boundary of A is

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^0$$
.

Exercise: $\overline{A^c} = (A^\circ)^c$, $(\overline{A})^c = (A^c)^\circ$.

Remarks: A° is the largest open set contained in A. So, if V is open and $V \subseteq A$, then $V \subseteq A^{\circ}$. Similarly, \overline{D} is the smallest closed set containing D. If C is closed and $D \subseteq C$, then $\overline{D} \subseteq C$.

- For example, $(a, b]^{\circ} = (a, b)$. This is because (a, b) is open and contained in (a, b], so $(a, b) \subseteq (a, b]^{\circ}$.
- We will show that $\overline{A^c} \subseteq (A^\circ)^c$.

$$A^{\circ} \subseteq A$$
$$(A^{\circ})^{c} \supseteq A^{c}$$

The union of open sets is open, so A° is open, so $(A^{\circ})^{c}$ is closed by definition. Therefore,

$$(A^{\circ})^c \supseteq \overline{A^c}$$
.

Topology of Open Sets in a Metric Space

The open sets τ_X form a topology:

- (i) \emptyset , $X \in \tau_X$.
- (ii) If $\{V_i\}_{i\in I}\subseteq \tau_{\times}$, then

$$\bigcup_{i\in I}V_i\in\tau_X.$$

(iii) If $V_i, \ldots, V_n \in \tau_X$, then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i \in \tau_X.$$

Remark: This is only true of finite intersections. For a counterexample, if $V_n = (-1/n, 1/n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric, then the infinite intersection yields $\{0\}$, which is closed in \mathbb{R} with the Euclidean metric.

Proof:

- (1) Clearly, \emptyset (by vacuous truth) and X are open.
- (2) Let $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$. Then, $\exists i_0 \in I$ with $x \in V_{i_0}$. Since V_{i_0} is open, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that $U(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq V_{i_0} \subseteq \bigcup V_i$.
- (3) Let $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$. Then, $x \in V_i$ for all $i \in 1, ..., n$. Since each V_i is open, $\exists \varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n$ with $U(x, \varepsilon_i) \subseteq V_i$ for each i = 1, ..., n. Set $\varepsilon = \min\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Then, $U(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U(x, \varepsilon_i) \subseteq V_i$ for all i. Therefore, $U(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$.

Exercise: Show all open balls are open. In particular, show all open intervals are open.

Exercise: Show the following:

- (1) X, \emptyset are closed.
- (2) If $\{C_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a family of closed sets, then $\bigcap_{i\in I} C_i$ is closed.
- (3) For C_1, \ldots, C_n closed, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ is closed.
- (4) Closed balls are closed. Spheres are closed.

Let $x \in X$. Recall that \mathcal{N}_x is the set of all neighborhoods of x.

- (i) $N \in \mathcal{N}_X \Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \in N$
- (ii) $N \in \mathcal{N}_X$, $N \subseteq M \Rightarrow M \in \mathcal{N}_X$
- (iii) N_1 , $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_x \Rightarrow N_1 \cap N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_x$

In this sense, $\mathcal{N}_{\scriptscriptstyle X}$ is a directed set with reverse inclusion.

Pointwise Characterization of Subsets

Let $A \subseteq X$.

(i) $x \in A^{\circ} \Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \subseteq A$.

(ii) $x \in \overline{A} \Leftrightarrow \forall \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

(iii) $x \in \partial A \Leftrightarrow \forall \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $U(x, \delta) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Let $A \subseteq X$

(i)

$$x \in A^{\circ} \Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcup_{\substack{V \in \tau_X \\ V \subseteq A}} V$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \exists V \in \tau_X, V \subseteq A, x \in V$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \subseteq A.$$

(ii)

$$x \notin \overline{A} \Leftrightarrow x \in (\overline{A})^{c}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in (A^{c})^{\circ}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \subseteq A^{c}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \cap A = \emptyset.$$

We negate both sides.

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in \partial A \Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A} \cap (A^{0})^{c} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{A}^{c} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A} \text{ and } x \in \overline{A}^{c} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset, U(x, \delta) \cap A^{c} \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

Remark: $\overline{U(v,\delta)} = B(v,\delta)$ in a normed space. $\partial U(v,\delta) = \partial B(v,\delta) = S(v,\delta)$ in a normed space. Also, $B(v,\delta)^\circ = U(v,\delta)$.

Proof: We show that $\overline{U}(v,\delta) = B(v,\delta)$. Since $B(v,\delta)$ is closed, and $U(v,\delta) \subseteq B(v,\delta)$, we know $\overline{U(v,\delta)} \subseteq B(v,\delta)$.

Let $w \in B(v, \delta)$. If $||w - v|| < \delta$, then $w \in U(v, \delta)$. Assume $||w - v|| = \delta$. Let $u_t = (1 - t)v + tw$, where $t \in [0, 1]$.

$$||w - u_t|| = ||w - (1 - t)v - tw||$$

$$= ||(1 - t)(w - v)||$$

$$= (1 - t)||w - v||$$

$$= (1 - t)\delta.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $t \in (0,1)$ such that $(1-t)\delta < \varepsilon$. Then, $u_t \in U(w,\varepsilon) \cap U(v,\delta)$. Therefore, $w \in \overline{U(v,\delta)}$.

Unions and Intersections of Closure/Interior

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

(i)

$$\left(\bigcup_{i\in I}A_i\right)^\circ\supseteq\bigcup_{i\in I}A_i^\circ$$

may be strict

(ii)

$$\overline{\bigcap_{i\in I} A_i} \subseteq \bigcap_{i\in I} \overline{A_i}$$

(iii)

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k^\circ = \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k\right)^0$$

(iv)

$$\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} D_k} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \overline{D_k}$$

Proof:

(i)

$$A_{i}^{\circ} \subseteq A_{i}$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}^{\circ} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}^{\circ} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}\right)^{\circ}$$

Remark: We claim $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}$ under the absolute value metric. We know that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, \mathbb{R} is closed, meaning $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$. We know that $(t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $t \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}$.

Properties of Boundary

Let $A \subseteq X$.

- (1) ∂A is closed.
- (2) $\partial A = \partial A^c$
- (3) $\overline{A} = A \cup \partial A$
- (4) $A \setminus \partial A = A^{\circ}$

Proof:

(1)

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}$$
$$= \overline{A} \cap (A^{\circ})^{c}.$$

- (2) Follows from pointwise characterization.
- (3) Clearly, $A \cup \partial A \subseteq \overline{A}$. Let $x \in \overline{A}$. If $x \in A$, we're done. Otherwise, $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A \subseteq \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} = \partial A$.
- (4)

$$A \setminus \partial A = A \cap (\partial A)^{c}$$

$$= A \cap (\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ})^{c}$$

$$= A \cap (\overline{A} \cap (A^{\circ})^{c})^{c}$$

$$= A \cap (\overline{A}^{c} \cup A^{\circ})$$

$$= (A \cap \overline{A}^{c}) \cup (A \cap A^{\circ})$$

$$= A^{\circ}$$

Density and Separability

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (1) $A \subseteq X$ is *d*-dense if $\overline{A} = X$.
- (2) $N \subseteq X$ is nowhere dense if $(\overline{N})^{\circ} = \emptyset$.
- (3) (X, d) is separable if there is a countable dense subset.

Exercise: If $N \subseteq X$ is closed, then N is nowhere dense if and only if N^c is dense.

Exercise: The following are equivalent.

- (1) $A \subseteq X$ is dense.
- (2) $\forall \emptyset \neq U \in \tau_X, U \cap A \neq \emptyset$.
- (3) $\forall x \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$
- (4) $\forall x \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists a \in A \text{ such that } d(x, a) < \varepsilon.$

Let X be a metric space.

(1) A base for τ_X is a family of open subsets $\mathcal B$ such that:

$$(\forall U \in \tau_X) (\forall x \in U) \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } x \in B \subseteq U.$$

Equivalently,

$$\forall U \in \tau_X, U = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i.$$
 $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$

- (2) We say that (X, d) is second countable if τ_X admits a countable base.
- For any (X,d) a metric space, $\mathcal{B}=\{U(x,\varepsilon)\mid x\in X,\varepsilon>0\}$ is a base. Indeed, given any $x\in U\subseteq \tau_X$, by definition, $\exists \varepsilon>0$ such that $U(x,\varepsilon)\subseteq U$. Alternatively, $\mathcal{B}'=\{U(x,1/n)\mid x\in X,n\geq 1\}$ is a topological base.
- Let $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ with the Euclidean metric. Then, for $\mathcal{B} = \{U(q, 1/n) \mid n \geq 1, q \in \mathbb{Q}^d\}$, we claim this is a base.

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $r \in V$. Since V is open, $\exists \delta > 0$ with $U(r, \delta) \subseteq V$. Find n large such that $1/n < \delta$. Find $q \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ with ||r - q|| < 1/2n. This is always possible as \mathbb{Q}^d is dense in \mathbb{R}^d .

Consider U(q, 1/2n). Then, $r \subseteq U(q, 1/2n) \subseteq U(r, \delta) \subseteq V$ because ||r - q|| < 1/2n, and if $t \in U(q, 1/2n)$, then

$$||t - r|| \le ||t - q|| + ||q - r||$$

 $< 1/2n + 1/2n$
 $= 1/n$
 $< \delta$.

Separable, Non-Separable, Dense, and Non-Dense Sets

(1) $(R^d, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is separable for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. Indeed, $\mathbb{Q}^d \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is the countable dense subset of \mathbb{R}^d .

Let
$$r = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
. Find $q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ with $|r_j - q_j| < \varepsilon/d$. Then,

$$||r - q||_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} |r_j - q_j|$$

We know that for any vector $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we can find a vector q such that

$$||q-r||_{p} \le c ||q-r||_{1}$$
,

so for arbitrary p, find q such that $||q - r||_1 < \varepsilon/c$.

(2) Similarly, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is also countable, meaning $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}^d \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ is dense and \mathbb{C}^d is dense.

Proposition: Separable Subsets

If (X, d) is separable, and $Y \subseteq X$, then (Y, d) is also separable.

Let $\{a_k\}$ be a countable dense subset in X. Let $N=\{(m,n)\mid U(a_m,1/n)\cap Y\neq\emptyset\}$. Clearly, N is nonempty. For each $(m,n)\in N$, choose $b_{(m,n)}\in Y\cap U(a_m,1/n)$. We claim $\{b_{(m,n)}\mid m,n\geq 1\}$ is dense in Y.

Let $y \in Y$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Find N large so that $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon/2$. Since $A \subseteq X$ is dense, find $U(y, 1/n) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $d(a_m, y) < 1/n$. Then,

$$d(b_{(m,n)}, y) \le d(b_{(m,n)}, a_m) + d(a_m, y)$$

$$< \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}$$

$$= \frac{2}{n}$$

$$< \varepsilon.$$

- (1) ℓ_p^n is separable.
- (2) $c_{00} = \{(a_k)_{k=1}^n \mid \text{finitely many } a_k \neq 0\} \text{ with } \|\cdot\|_u \text{ is separable.}$

Recall that $e_k = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ where 1 is at position k. Consider $E = \mathbb{Q}$ -span $\{e_k \mid k \ge 1\}$,

$$E = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k e_k \mid \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q}, n \ge 1 \right\}.$$

The set E is countable. If we fix $n \ge 1$, we have

$$E_n = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k \mid \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

Then, $E = \bigcup E_n$. Note

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Q}}_{n} \to E_{n}$$

$$(\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{n}) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} e_{k}.$$

Thus, E_n is countable, and E is a countable union of countable sets.

We claim that E is dense. Given $z \in c_{00}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we know that $z = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k$ for some n and $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Find $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|\alpha_k - a_k| < \varepsilon$. Set $w = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k$. Then, $||z - w||_u = \sup |\alpha_k - a_k| < \varepsilon$.

- (3) c_0 with $\|\cdot\|_u$ is separable.
- (4) ℓ_{∞} is not separable.

Suppose ℓ_{∞} were separable. Consider $E = \{(a_k)_k \in \ell_{\infty} \mid a_k \in \{0,1\}\}$. Then, E is separable. Recall that $(E, \|\cdot\|_u)$ has the discrete metric.

In the discrete metric, every subset is open, meaning every subset is closed. Therefore, if X is separable and discrete, then X is countable.

However, E is not countable by Cantor's theorem. $card(E) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Alternatively, we can show that

$$(a_k)_k \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} a_k$$

is onto.

Exercise: ℓ_p is separable for $1 \le p < \infty$.

(5) We will show that

$$\mathbb{P}[0,1]\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{k}x^{k}\mid a_{k}\in\mathbb{R}, n\geq 1\right\}$$

is $\|\cdot\|_u$ -dense in C([0,1]). Using this, we can show that $(C([0,1]),\|\cdot\|_u)$ is separable.

The Cantor Set

$$C_0 = [0, 1]$$

$$C_1 = [0, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 1]$$

$$C_2 = [0, 1/9] \cup [2/9, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 7/9] \cup [8/9, 1]$$

$$C_3 = [0, 1/27] \cup [2/27, 1/9] \cup \dots \cup [26/27, 1]$$

$$\vdots$$

In each step, we delete the middle third of each interval. This process repeated ad infinitum yields the Cantor set.

$$C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{3^{n-1}-1} \left(\left[\frac{3k+0}{3^n}, \frac{3k+1}{3^n} \right] \cup \left[\frac{3k+2}{3^n}, \frac{3k+3}{3^n} \right] \right).$$

- (i) $\mathcal C$ is closed as it is the intersection of closed sets.
- (ii) length(C) = 0. Look at the total length of the removed intervals,

$$I = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{9} + \frac{4}{27} + \frac{8}{81} + \cdots$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{k-1}}{3^k}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k$$
$$= 1.$$

Thus, length(C) = 0.

(iii) \mathcal{C} is nowhere dense — $(\overline{\mathcal{C}})^{\circ} = \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{C} is closed, $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} = \emptyset$.

Suppose $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$. Then, $\exists x \in \mathcal{C}, \varepsilon > 0$ such that $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. So, $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n$ for all n.

Note C_n is the disjoint union of 2^n subintervals, each with length $1/3^n$. Find m so large such that $3^{-m} < \varepsilon$. We know that $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq C_m$.

However, $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ has length $2\varepsilon > \frac{2}{3^m}$. Each subinterval in C_m has length $1/3^m$. This implies C_m contains an interval of length greater than $\frac{2}{3^m}$. \bot

(iv) $\operatorname{card}(\mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{card}(\mathbb{R})$

Claim 1: Given n > 1,

$$E_n = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{w_k}{3^k} \mid w_k \in \{0, 2\} \right\}$$

is precisely the set of *left* endpoints of the subintervals of C_n .

For n=1, if $w_1=0$, then we get 0, and $w_1=2$ yields 2/3. Meanwhile, if n=2, then we have

$$w_1 = 0, w_2 = 0 \mapsto 0$$

 $w_1 = 0, w_2 = 2 \mapsto 2/9$
 $w_1 = 2, w_2 = 0 \mapsto 2/3$
 $w_1 = 2, w_2 = 2 \mapsto 8/9$.

By induction, we have shown for n = 1, 2. Assume this is true for n.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} w_k 3^{-k} = \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k 3^{-k}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{w_{n+1} 3^{-(n+1)}}_{(2)}$$

Part (1) denotes one of the left endpoints of C_n , called $C_{n,k}$ for some $1 \le k \le 2^n$. Then, if $w_{n+1} = 0$, we get the left endpoint of $C_{n+1,2k-1}$, and if $w_n = 2$, we get the left endpoint of $C_{n+1,2k}$.

Claim 2:

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k 3^{-k} \mid w_k \in \{0, 2\} \right\}$$

is precisely the Cantor set.

Let $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k 3^{-k}$. We will show that $x \in C_n$ for all n. Fix $n \ge 1$. Then,

$$x = \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k 3^{-k}}_{y} + \underbrace{\sum_{k>n} w_k 3^{-k}}_{z}.$$

From our previous claim, y is the left endpoint of some subinterval of C_n . Additionally,

$$z = \sum_{k>n} w_k 3^{-k}$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{k>n} 3^{-k}$$

$$= \frac{2}{3^{n+1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9} + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3^n}.$$

Since the length of a subinterval in C_n is exactly 3^{-n} , it is the case that x = y + z remains an element of $C_{n,k}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, $x \in \mathcal{C}_n$ for all n. Then, $x \in \mathcal{C}_1$, so let x_1 be the left endpoint of the interval $\mathcal{C}_{1,j}$ that contains x. Then, $|x - x_1| < \frac{1}{3}$, and $x_1 = w_1 3^{-1}$ for some $w_1 \in \{0, 2\}$.

Let x_2 be the left endpoint of the subinterval $C_{2,j}$ that contains x. Then, $|x-x_2|<\frac{1}{3^2}$. Therefore,

$$x_2 = x_1 + w_2 3^{-2}$$

= $w_1 3^{-1} + w_2 3^{-2}$.

Iterating, we have x_n , the left endpoint of the subinterval $C_{n,j}$ that contains x.

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k 3^{-k}$$
.

We have that $|x - x_n| < 3^{-n}$.

Therefore, $(x_n)_n \to x$. Also,

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k 3^{-k}$$

$$\to \sum_{k=1}^n w_k 3^{-k}.$$

Thus,

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k 3^{-k}.$$

To prove $card(\mathcal{C}) = card(\mathbb{R})$, we will show that $card(\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}) = card(\mathcal{C})$.

$$(a_k)_k \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2a_k 3^{-k}.$$

Relative (or Subspace) Topology

We know that if (X, d) is a metric space, and $Y \subseteq X$ is any subset, then (Y, d) is a metric space. The question now is: what are the open sets of Y?

For example, let $X = \mathbb{R}$, Y = [0,1]. Consider U = [0,1/2). U is not open in \mathbb{R} , as if x = 0, then there is no open ball completely contained in U. However, in Y, U is open.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $Y \subseteq X$ any subset. $V \subseteq Y$ is open if and only if $\exists U \subseteq X$ open such that $V = U \cap Y$. That is, $\tau_Y = \{U \cap Y \mid U \in \tau_X\}$.

Let V be open in Y. Then, $\forall x \in V$, $\exists \delta_x > 0$ such that $U_Y(x, \delta_x) \subseteq V$. We have $U_Y(x, \delta_x) = \{y \in Y \mid d(y, x) < \delta_x\}$. Let

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in V} U_X(x, \delta_x)$$

$$U \cap Y = \left(\bigcup_{x \in V} U_X(x, \delta_x)\right) \cap Y$$

$$= \bigcup_{x \in V} U_X(x, \delta_x) \cap Y$$

$$= \bigcup_{x \in V} U_Y(x, \delta_x).$$

Let *U* be open in *X*. Then, for $x \in U \cap Y$, $\exists \delta_x$ such that $U(x, \delta_x) \subseteq U$.

(1) ℓ_{∞} is not a discrete metric space. However, $E = \{(a_k)_k \mid a_k \in \{0,1\}\}$ with the induced metric. Then, E is a discrete metric space.

Convergent Sequences

Fix a metric space (X, d). A sequence in X is a map $x : \mathbb{N} \to X$, $n \mapsto x(n) = x_n$.

A natural sequence $(n_k)_k$ is a sequence in $\mathbb N$ with $n_k \ge k$ for all k. A subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ is a sequence $(x_{n_k})_k$, where $(n_k)_k$ is a natural sequence.

A sequence $(x_n)_n$ converges to $x \in X$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \ge N_\varepsilon$ implies $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$. We write $(x_n)_n \xrightarrow{d} x$.

Exercise: A sequence can have at most one limit, as metric spaces are Hausdorff.

Proposition: Equivalent Definitions of Convergence

Given $(x_n)_n \in X$, $x \in X$, the following are equivalent.

- (i) $(x_n)_n \to x$ in X
- (ii) $(d(x_n,x))_n \to 0$ in \mathbb{R}
- (iii) $\forall V \in \mathcal{N}_x$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq N \Rightarrow x_n \in V$.

Exercise: Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, let $d(x, y) = \frac{\rho(x, y)}{1 + \rho(x, y)}$. A sequence $(x_n)_n \xrightarrow{d} x$ if and only if $(x_n)_n \xrightarrow{\rho} x$.

Proposition: Convergent Sequences are Bounded

Let $(x_n)_n \to x$ in (X, d). Let $\varepsilon = 1$. Then, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ large such that for $n \ge N$, $d(x_n, x) < 1$.

If $m, n \ge N$, then $d(x_n, x_m) \le d(x_n, x) + d(x, x_m) < 2$. Let $c = \max_{1 \le n, m \le N} d(x_n, x_m)$. Then,

$$d(x_n, x_m) \le d(x_n, x_N) + d(x_n, x_m)$$

$$\le 1 + c.$$

Let $k = \max\{1 + c, 2\}$. Then, $\operatorname{diam}(\{x_n\}) \le k$.

Convergence in Different Metric Spaces

Convergence for Bounded Functions: Recall that for (Y, d) a metric space is

$$Bd(\Omega, Y) = \{f : \Omega \to Y \mid f \text{ bounded}\}$$
$$D_u(f, g) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} d(f(x), g(x)).$$

Then, $(f_n)_n \to f$ in $Bd(\Omega, Y)$ if and only if $D_u(f_n, f) \to 0$ in \mathbb{R} .

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})$$
 such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow D_u(f_n, f) < \varepsilon$

 \Leftrightarrow

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})$$
 such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow \sup_{x \in \Omega} d(f_n(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon$

 \Leftrightarrow

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists N \in \mathbb{N})$$
 such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow \forall x, \ d(f_n(x), f(x)) < \varepsilon$.

This is exactly the definition of uniform convergence.

Since $\ell_{\infty}(\Omega) = \operatorname{Bd}(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$, convergence in $\ell_{\infty}(\Omega)$ is uniform convergence. This is also the case for subspaces, such as c, c_0 , and c_{00} .

Convergence in the Frechet Metric: Consider a separating family of semimetrics ρ_k on a set X. Set $d_k = \frac{\rho_k}{1+\rho_k}$. We saw that

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_k(x, y)$$

is a metric on X.

We claim that $(x_n)_n \to x$ in (X, d) if and only if for all $k \ge 1$, $\rho_k(x_n, x) \to 0$.

In the forward direction, we know that $(x_n)_n \to x$ with respect to d if and only if $d(x_n, x) \to 0$ in \mathbb{R} . Since $0 \le 2^{-k} d_k(x_n, x) \le d(x_n)$ for fixed k, we have that

$$0 < d_k(x_n, x) < 2^k d(x_n, x),$$

and as $n \to \infty$, $d(x_n, x) \to 0$, meaning $d_k(x_n, x) \to 0$. Therefore, $\rho_k(x_n, x) \to 0$.

In the reverse direction, suppose $\rho_k(x_n,x) \to 0$ in $\mathbb R$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $k \ge 1$. Thus, $d_k(x_n,x) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $k \ge 1$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let K be so large such that

$$\sum_{k>K} 2^{-k} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Therefore, $d_k(x_n, x) \to 0$ for all k = 1, ..., K. Therefore, $\exists N_1, ..., N_K$ such that for $n \ge N_k$,

$$d_k(x_n, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Let $N = \max\{N_1, \dots, N_K\}$. Therefore, for $n \ge N$,

$$d_k(x_n,x)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all $k = 1, \ldots, K$.

Thus, for all n > N,

$$d(x_{n}, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_{k}(x_{n}, x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{K} 2^{-k} d_{k}(x_{n}, x) + \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_{k}(x_{n}, x)$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} 2^{-k} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$< \varepsilon$$

Therefore, $(x_n)_n \to x$.

Recall that, for the Frechet metric, our set was $X = C(\mathbb{R})$. For $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, we had

$$p_k(f) = \sup_{[-k,k]} |f(x)|$$

as our seminorm, and our semimetric was

$$\rho_k(f,g) = \rho_k(f-g).$$

We also showed that the ρ_k family is separating. We make $d_k(f,g) = \frac{\rho_k(f,g)}{1+\rho_k(f,g)}$ as the bounded family of separating metrics, and

$$d_F(f,g) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-k} \rho_k(f-g)}{1 + \rho_k(f-g)}.$$

In $(C(\mathbb{R}), d_F)$, $(f_n)_n \to f$ if and only if $\rho_k(f_n, f) \to 0$ for all k, meaning $(f_n)_n \to f$ uniformly on [-k, k] for all k.

This is known as convergence on compact subsets.

Convergence in a Product Space: Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be metric spaces. Then,

$$X \times Y = \{(x, y) \mid x \in X, y \in Y\},\$$

$$D_1((x, y), (x', y')) = d(x, x') + \rho(y, y')$$

$$D_{\infty}((x, y), (x', y')) = \max\{d(x, x'), \rho(y, y')\}.$$

Both D_1 and D_{∞} are equivalent metrics.

Exercise: $((x_n, y_n))_n \to (x, y)$ if and only if $(x_n)_n \xrightarrow{d} x$ and $(y_n)_n \xrightarrow{\rho} y$.

Series in a Normed Vector Space

Let $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed vector space. Consider a sequence $(v_k)_k$ of vectors.

$$s_1 = v_1$$

$$s_2 = v_1 + v_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k.$$

If $s_n \to s$ in $(V, \|\cdot\|)$, meaning $\|s_n - s\| \to 0$, then we say the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k$ converges to s. We write

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k = s.$$

The series converges absolutely if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|v_k\|$$

converges in \mathbb{R} .

Proposition: Sequential Characterization of Closure

Let (X, d) be a metric space with $A \subseteq X$. $x \in \overline{A}$ if and only if $\exists (a_n)_n$ in A with $(a_n)_n \to X$.

In the forward direction, recall that $x \in \overline{A}$ if and only if $\forall \delta > 0$, $U(x, \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. If $x \in \overline{A}$, then set $\varepsilon_n = 1/n$, and since $U(x, 1/n) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let $a_n \in U(x, 1/n) \cap A$. Then, $d(a_n, x) < 1/n \to 0$, meaning $a_n \to x$ and $a_n \in A$.

In the reverse direction, if $(a_n)_n \to x$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N$ with $n \ge N \Rightarrow a_n \in U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A$. Thus, $x \in \overline{A}$.

Proposition: Sequential Characterization of Closed Sets

If (X, d) is a metric space, $A \subseteq X$, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is closed.
- (ii) Whenever $(a_n)_n$ in A with $(a_n)_n \stackrel{d}{\to} x$ in X, then $x \in A$.

Continuous Bounded Functions: $C([a,b]) \subseteq \ell_{\infty}([a,b])$ is closed under $\|\cdot\|_u$, since if $(f_n)_n \to f$ uniformly, and f_n is continuous, then f is continuous.

Sequence Closure: $c_0 \subseteq \ell_{\infty}$ is closed under $\|\cdot\|_{u}$. Let $(f_n)_n$ be a sequence

$$f_1 = (f_1(1), f_1(2), \dots)$$

$$f_2 = (f_2(1), f_2(2), \dots)$$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} f_n(k) = 0 \qquad \forall n$$

Suppose $(f_n)_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|_{\infty}} f \in \ell_{\infty}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq N$, $||f - f_n||_{\infty} < \varepsilon/2$. Also, $\lim_{k \to \infty} f_N(k) = 0$. Then, $\exists K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq K$, $|f_N(k)| < \varepsilon/2$. Thus, for $k \geq K$,

$$|f(k)| = |f(k) - f_N(k) + f_N(k)|$$

$$\leq |f(k) - f_N(k)| + |f_N(k)|$$

$$\leq ||f - f_N||_{\infty} + |f_N(k)|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$= \varepsilon.$$

Thus, $f \in c_0$.

Distance to a Set

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $A \subseteq X$. Then, $\operatorname{dist}_A : X \to [0, \infty)$ is defined as

$$\operatorname{dist}_{A}(x) = \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a).$$

- (1) $\overline{A} = \{x \mid \text{dist}_A = 0\}$
- (2) $\operatorname{dist}_{A}(\cdot) = \operatorname{dist}_{\overline{A}}(\cdot)$
- (3) $|\operatorname{dist}_A(x) \operatorname{dist}_A(y)| \le d(x, y)$

Proof of (1): Let $x \in \overline{A}$. Then, $\exists (a_n)_n$ such that $(a_n)_n \to x$. Then, $d(a_n, x) \to 0$. Since $0 \le \operatorname{dist}_A(x) \le d(x, a_n)$, $\operatorname{dist}_A(x) = 0$.

Let x be such that $\operatorname{dist}_A(x) = 0$. By the definition of inf, we construct a_n by finding $a_n \in U(x, 1/n) \cap A$. Thus, $d(a_n, x) \to 0$, meaning $(a_n)_n \to x$, so $x \in \overline{A}$.

Proof of (2): Exercise; use (1).

Proof of (3): For all $a \in A$,

$$dist_{A}(x) \le d(x, a)$$

$$\le d(x, y) + d(y, a).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{dist}_A(x) - d(x,y) &\leq d(y,a) \\ \operatorname{dist}_A(x) - d(x,y) &\leq \inf_{a \in A} d(y,a) \\ &= \operatorname{dist}_A(y) \\ \operatorname{dist}_A(x) - \operatorname{dist}_A(y) &\leq d(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\operatorname{dist}_A(y) - \operatorname{dist}_A(x) \le d(y, x) = d(x, y)$$

meaning

$$|\operatorname{dist}_A(y) - \operatorname{dist}_A(x)| \le d(x, y).$$

Continuity

Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be metric spaces. A map $f: X \to Y$

(1) is continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0) \text{ such that } d(x,x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(f(x),f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$$

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0) \text{ such that } x \in U_X(x_0,\delta) \Rightarrow f(x) \in U_Y(f(x_0),\varepsilon)$$

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0) \text{ such that } f(U_X(x_0,\delta)) \subseteq U_Y(f(x_0),\varepsilon).$$

(2) is continuous if f is continuous at every $x_0 \in X$.

Proposition: Equivalent Continuity Criteria

Let $f:(X,d)\to (Y,\rho),\ x_0\in X.$ The following are equivalent:

- (1) f is continuous at x_0 ;
- (2) $(\forall V \in \mathcal{N}_{f(x_0)})(U \in \mathcal{N}_{x_0})$ such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.
- (3) $\forall (x_n)_n \to x_0, (f(x_n))_n \to f(x_0).$
- $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2)$: Clearly follows from definitions.
- (1) \Rightarrow (3): Let $(x_n)_n \to x_0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $d(x,x_0) < \delta$ implies $\rho(f(x),f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$.

Thus, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N$ implies $d(x_n, x_0) < \delta$. So, if $n \geq N$, $d(x_n, x_0) < \delta$, implying $\rho(f(x_n), f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$. So, $(f(x_n))_n \to f(x_0)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose toward contradiction that $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $\delta = 1/n$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists (x_n)_n : d(x_n, x_0) < \delta$ and $\rho(f(x_n), f(x_0)) \geq \varepsilon_0$. Then, $(x_n)_n \to x_0$, but $f(x_n)_n \nrightarrow f(x_0)$. \bot

Proposition: Topological Criterion for Continuity

Let $f:(X,d)\to (Y,\rho)$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) f is continuous.
- (2) $\forall V \in \tau_Y, f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_X$.
- (3) $\forall x \in X, \forall (x_n)_n \to x$, we have $(f(x_n))_n \to f(x)$.

Proof: Exercise.

Proposition: Composition of Functions

Let $(X, d) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, \rho) \xrightarrow{g} (Z, p)$. If f and g are continuous, then $g \circ f$ is continuous.

Proof: Exercise.

Uniform Continuity

Let $f:(X,d)\to (Y,\rho)$.

(1) f is uniformly continuous if

$$(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)$$
 such that $\forall x, x' \in X, d(x, x') < \delta \Rightarrow d(f(x), f(x')) < \varepsilon$

(2) f is Lipschitz if $\exists c > 0$ with

$$\rho(f(x), f(x')) \le cd(x, x')$$

for all $x, x' \in X$.

(3) If $\rho(f(x), f(x')) = d(x, x')$, then f is an isometry. Isometries are always injective.

Exercise:

 $\mathsf{Isometry} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Lipschitz} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Uniformly} \ \mathsf{Continuous} \Rightarrow \mathsf{Continuous}.$

For example, $f(x) = x^2$ on $[0, \infty)$ is continuous but not uniformly continuous, and \sqrt{x} on [0, 1] is uniformly continuous but not Lipschitz.

If $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, we might want to care that the following operations are continuous:

• $a: V \times V \rightarrow V$, a(v, w) = v + w:

$$||a(v, w) - a(v', w')|| = ||v + w - (v' + w')||$$

$$= ||v - v' + w - w'||$$

$$\leq ||v - v'|| + ||w - w'||$$

$$= d(v, v') + d(w, w')$$

$$= d_1((v, w), (v', w')),$$

meaning a is Lipschitz.

• $m : \mathbb{F} \times V \to V$, $m(\alpha, v) = \alpha v$;

$$||m(\alpha, v) - m(\beta, w)|| = ||\alpha v - \beta w||$$

$$= ||\alpha v - \alpha w + \alpha w - \beta w||$$

$$\leq |\alpha| ||v - w|| + |\alpha - \beta| ||w||$$

If $(\alpha_n)_n \to \beta$ and $(v_n)_n \to w$, then

$$\|\alpha_n v_n - \beta w\| \le |\alpha_n| \|v_n - w\| + |\alpha_n - \beta| \|w\|$$

 $\to 0.$

• $\|:\|V \to \mathbb{F}:$

$$|||v|| - ||w||| \le ||v - w||$$
,

meaning $\|\cdot\|$ is Lipschitz.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Then, $\operatorname{dist}_A: X \to [0, \infty)$, $\operatorname{dist}_A(x) = \inf_{a \in A} d(x, a)$ is continuous. We had shown

$$|\operatorname{dist}_A(x) - \operatorname{dist}_A(y)| \le d(x, y),$$

meaning $dist_A$ is Lipschitz.

Proposition: Normal Property of Metric Spaces

Given $A, B \subseteq X$ with $A \cap B = \emptyset$, then $\exists U, V \in \tau_X$ with $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq V$, and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Proof: Set

$$f(x) = \frac{\operatorname{dist}_{A}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}_{A}(x) + \operatorname{dist}_{B}(x)}.$$

Note that $\operatorname{dist}_A(x) + \operatorname{dist}_B(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \overline{A} = A$ and $x \in \overline{B} = B$. Therefore, the denominator in f(x) is always positive.

Additionally, $f: X \to [0,1]$ is continuous. Note that f(a) = 0 for all $a \in A$ and f(b) = 1 for all $b \in B$.

Let
$$U = f^{-1}((-1/2, 1/2)) = f^{-1}([0, 1/2))$$
, and $V = f^{-1}((1/2, 3/2)) = f((1/2, 1])$. Obviously, $U \subseteq A$ and $V \subseteq B$, and $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Proposition: Quotient Space

Let $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space, and let $W \subseteq V$ be a closed subspace. Then, V/W is a normed space with

$$||v + W|| = \operatorname{dist}_{W}(v)$$
$$= \inf_{w \in W} ||v - w||.$$

Proposition: Uniform Continuity of Linear Transformations

Let $T:V\to W$ be a linear transformation between two normed spaces. The following are equivalent:

- (1) T is continuous at 0_V .
- (2) T is continuous.
- (3) T is uniformly continuous.
- (4) T is Lipschitz.
- (5) $\exists c \geq 0$ such that $||T(v)|| \leq c ||v||$ for all $v \in V$.
- (6) $\|T\|_{op} = \sup_{\|v\| \le 1} \|T(v)\| < \infty$. In other words, T is bounded linear.

Proof:

- $(4) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1)$: Obvious.
- (6) \Rightarrow (5) Let $v \in V$. If $v = 0_V$, then $T(v) = 0_W$. Suppose $v \neq 0_V$. We know

$$\begin{split} & \left\| T \left(\frac{v}{\|v\|} \right) \right\| \le \|T\|_{\text{op}} \\ & \frac{1}{\|v\|} \|T(v)\| \le \|T\|_{\text{op}} \\ & \|T(v)\| \le \|T\|_{\text{op}} \|v\| \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore, $c = ||T||_{op}$.

- (5) \Rightarrow (6): We will have $||T(v)|| \le c$ for all $v \in B_V$. Thus, $||T||_{op} \le c$ for such c.
- $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$: Let $v, w \in V$. Then,

$$||T(v) - T(w)|| = ||T(v - w)||$$

 $\leq c ||v - w||,$

meaning T is Lipschitz.

(1) \Rightarrow (5): Let $\varepsilon = 1$. Then, $\exists \delta$ such that

$$T(U_V(0,\delta)) \subseteq U(T(0),1).$$

Since *T* is linear,

$$T(U_V(0,\delta))\subseteq U_W(0,1).$$

Let $v \in V \neq 0_V$. We know $\frac{\delta v}{2||v||} \in U_V(0, \delta)$. Then,

$$\left\| T \left(\frac{\delta v}{2 \|v\|} \right) \right\| \le 1,$$

$$\frac{\delta}{2 \|v\|} \| T(v) \| \le 1$$

$$\| T(v) \| \le \frac{2}{\delta} \|v\|.$$

Set
$$c = \frac{2}{\delta}$$
. Clearly, $||T(0)|| \leq \frac{2}{\delta} ||0||$.

A corollary to this is that any linear map $T: \ell_p^n \to W$ for W a normed space is uniformly continuous.

Proposition: Continuous Functions on Dense Sets

Let (X, d), (Y, ρ) be metric spaces, and $A \subseteq X$ dense. If $f, g: X \to Y$ and f(A) = g(A), then f(X) = g(X).

Proof: Given $x \in X$, there exists $(a_n)_n \to x$. We know that $(g(a_n))_n \to g(x)$ and $(f(a_n))_n \to f(x)$. Since $f(a_n) = g(a_n)$ for all a_n , it is the case that f(x) = g(x).

Morphisms in the Category of Metric Spaces

Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be metric spaces, $f: X \to Y$ a map.

- (1) f is a homeomorphism if f is bijective, continuous, and has a continuous inverse. We write $X \cong Y$ are homeomorphic.
- (2) f is a uniformism if f is bijective, uniformly continuous, and has a uniformly continuous inverse. We write $X \cong Y$ are uniformly isomorphic.
- (3) f is a metric isomorphism if f is bijective, Lipschitz, and has a Lipschitz inverse. We write $X \cong Y$ are metrically isomorphic.
- (4) f is an isometric isomorphism if f is bijective and isometric. We write $X \cong Y$ are isometrically isomorphic.

For example, $R \cong (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ are homeomorphic (using tan : $(-\pi/2, \pi/2) \to \mathbb{R}$). However, \mathbb{R} is not uniformly isomorphic to $(-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

Suppose $f:(-\pi/2,\pi/2)\to\mathbb{R}$ is a uniformism. Let $(x_n)_n=\pi/2-1/n$. Then, $(x_n)_n$ is Cauchy. Therefore, $(f(x_n))_n$ is Cauchy. Since \mathbb{R} is complete, $(f(x_n))_n\to y$ for some $y\in\mathbb{R}$. Then, $f^{-1}(f(x_n))_n\to f^{-1}(y)$, meaning $(x_n)_n\to f^{-1}(y)\in(-\pi/2,\pi/2)$. However, $(x_n)_n\to\pi/2\notin(-\pi/2,\pi/2)$.

Completeness

Proposition: Weierstrass *M*-**Test**

Let V be a Banach space (complete normed vector space). Suppose $(v_k)_k$ is such that $\sum \|v_k\|$ is convergent. Then, $(s_n)_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k$ converges in V. Additionally,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{v}_k \right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \mathsf{v}_k \right\|.$$

Proof: Let $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k$, and $t_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \|v_k\|$. Let n > m. Then,

$$||s_n - s_m|| = \left\| \sum_{k=m+1}^n v_k \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n ||v_k||$$

$$= |t_n - t_m|.$$

Since $(t_n)_n$ converges, it is Cauchy, and thus s_n is Cauchy. Since V is complete, $(s_n)_n$ converges.

$$||s_n|| = \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n v_k \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^n ||v_k||$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty ||v_k||.$$

Let $n \to \infty$. Using the continuity of the norm, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k \right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|v_k\|.$$

Proposition: Convergence in Hilbert Space

Let H be a Hilbert space (inner product space with a complete norm). Let $(e_n)_n$ be an orthonormal sequence in H. Let $(t_k)_k$ be a sequence in ℓ_2 . Then, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k e_k$ converges in H, but not absolutely.

Proof: Let $s_n = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k e_k$. For n > m,

$$||s_n - s_m||^2 = \left\| \sum_{k=m+1}^n t_k e_k \right\|^2$$
$$= \sum_{k=m+1}^n ||t_k e_k||^2$$
$$= \sum_{k=m+1}^n |t_k|^2$$

Pythagorean Theorem

Since $(t_k)_k \in \ell_2$, we know that $(t_k)_k$ is convergent and thus Cauchy. Thus, $(s_n)_n$ is Cauchy.

Note that for $t_k = \frac{1}{k}$, $(t_k)_k$ is square-summable, but not summable in absolute value.

Exercise: Show that

$$\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k e_k\right\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |t_k|^2.$$

This result is known as Parseval's Theorem.

Extensions of Continuous Functions

Lemma: Cauchy Sequences in Uniformly Continuous Functions

Let $f:(X,d)\to (Y,\rho)$ be uniformly continuous. If $(x_n)_n$ is Cauchy, then $(f(x_n))_n$ is Cauchy.

Proof: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$d(x, x') < \delta \Rightarrow d(f(x), f(x')) < \varepsilon$$
.

Similarly, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $p, q \geq N$, $d(x_p, x_q) < \delta$. So, for $p, q \geq N$, $d(f(x_p), f(x_q)) < \varepsilon$.

Remark: This is not true for continuous functions. For example, if f(t) = 1/t on (0,1), $x_0 = 1/n$ is Cauchy but not convergent.

Theorem: Extension on a Dense Subset

Let (X, d) be a metric space with $A \subseteq X$ dense. Suppose $f: A \to Y$ is uniformly continuous with (Y, ρ) complete. Then, $\exists !$ uniformly continuous extension, $\tilde{f}: X \to Y$ that agrees with f on A.

Proof: Let $x \in X$. Then, $\exists (a_n)_n \in A$ with $(a_n)_n \to x$. Therefore, $(a_n)_n$ is Cauchy, and since f is uniformly continuous, we know that $(f(a_n))_n$ is Cauchy. Thus, $\lim_{n \to \infty} (f(a_n))_n = \tilde{f}(x)$ exists.

To show \tilde{f} is well-defined, suppose $(b_n)_n$ is another sequence in A with $(b_n)_n \to x$. Consider $(c_n)_n = (a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots)$. It must be the case that $(c_n)_n \to x$. Thus, $(f(c_n))_n$ converges to $y \in Y$. The subsequence of $(f(a_n))_n \to y$ and $(f(b_n))_n \to y$. So, we must have $\lim f(a_n) = \lim f(b_n)$.

Note that $\tilde{f}(a) = f(a)$ for all $a \in A$, by choosing the sequence (a, a, a, ...).

We claim that \tilde{f} is uniformly continuous. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We know $\exists \delta > 0$ such that for any $a,b \in A$, with $d(a,b) < \delta$, then $\rho(f(a),f(b)) < \varepsilon/2$. Now, let $x,x' \in X$ with $d(x,x') < \delta/4$. Find sequences $(a_n)_n \to x$ and $(b_n)_n \to x'$ with $(a_n)_n,(b_n)_n \in A$. Find N large such that $n \geq N$ implies $d(a_n,x) < \delta/4$ and $d(b_n,x') < \delta/4$. For $n \geq N$, we have

$$d(a_n, b_n) \le d(a_n, x) + d(x, x') + d(x', b_n)$$

$$< \frac{3\delta}{4}$$

$$< \delta$$

Thus, for $n \ge N$, $\rho(f(a_n), f(b_n)) < \varepsilon/2$. By continuity of ρ , taking $n \to \infty$, we get $\rho(\tilde{f}(x), \tilde{f}(x')) < \varepsilon/2$. Therefore, we have $d(x, x') < \delta/4 \Rightarrow d(\tilde{f}(x), \tilde{f}(x')) < \varepsilon$. Therefore, \tilde{f} is uniformly continuous.

Suppose $g:X\to Y$ is another continuous extension of f. Therefore, $g(a)=\tilde{f}(a)$ for all $a\in A$. However, A is dense. Therefore, $g=\tilde{f}$.

Completion of a Metric Space

Let (X, d) be a fixed metric space. A completion of X is a pair $((Z, \rho), i)$.

- (i) (Z, ρ) is a complete metric space.
- (ii) $i: X \to Z$ is an isometry.

(iii)
$$\overline{i(X)}^{\rho} = Z$$
.

For example, the completion of (0,1) is $(([0,1],|\cdot|),i(t)=t)$.

Isometric Isomorphism of Completions

Given $((Z, \rho), i)$ and $((Z', \rho'), j)$ completions of X, then there exists a unique isometric isomorphism $\varphi : Z \to Z'$ such that the following diagram commutes.



Corollary: Isometric Map and Completion of Metric Space

If (X, d) is a metric space, and $i: (X, d) \to (Y, \rho)$ is an isometry into a complete metric space, then $(\overline{i(X)}, \rho), i)$ is the completion of X.

Theorem: Every Metric Space has a Completion

Consider the Banach space $(C_b(X), \|\cdot\|_u)$. We embed $X \hookrightarrow C_b(X)$ as follows. Fix $x_0 \in X$. Given $x \in X$, $i(x) = X \to \mathbb{F}$ where $i(x)(t) = d(t, x) - d(t, x_0)$.

Clearly, i(x) is continuous for all x as the distance function is continuous. Also,

$$|i(x)(t)| = |d(t,x) - d(t,x_0)|$$

 $\leq d(x,x_0)$
 $||i(x)||_u \leq d(x,x_0).$

We need only show that i(x) is an isometry.

$$||i(x) - i(y)||_{u} = \sup_{t \in X} |i(x)(t) - i(y)(t)|$$
$$= \sup_{t \in X} |d(t, x) - d(t, y)|$$
$$= d(x, y).$$

Nowhere Dense Sets

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Recall that a subset A if $(\overline{A})^{\circ} = \emptyset$. For example, $G = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid y = x^2\}$ is nowhere dense.

Proposition: Equivalent Conditions for Nowhere Dense Sets

For a $A \subseteq X$, the following are equivalent:

- (i) A is nowhere dense.
- (ii) $\exists F \subseteq X$ closed with $F^{\circ} = \emptyset$, $A \subseteq F$.
- (iii) $\exists U \subseteq X$ open and dense with $U \subseteq A^c$.

Proof:

- (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Take $F = \overline{A}$.
- (ii) \Rightarrow (i): $\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{F}$, so $\overline{A}^{\circ} \subseteq \overline{F}^{\circ} = \emptyset$
- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Take $U = F^c$. Note that $U = F^c \subseteq A^c$. Then, $\overline{U} = \overline{F^c} = (F^\circ)^c = X$. Therefore, U is dense and open, and U is contained in A^c .
- (iii) \Rightarrow (ii): Take $F = U^c$.

A point $x \in X$ is isolated if $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that $U(x, \varepsilon) = \{x\}$.

Proposition: Extension of Nowhere Dense Sets

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (i) If $A \subseteq X$ is nowhere dense and $B \subseteq A$, then B is nowhere dense.
- (ii) If $A \subseteq X$ is nowhere dense, then \overline{A} is nowhere dense.
- (iii) Let A_1, \ldots, A_n be nowhere dense. Then, $\bigcup A_i$ is nowhere dense.
- (iv) If X has no isolated points, then every finite set is nowhere dense.

Proof:

- (i) $B \subseteq A$ implies $\overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A}$, so $\overline{B}^{\circ} = \emptyset$, so B is nowhere dense.
- (ii) If A is nowhere dense, then $\overline{\overline{A}}^{\circ} = \overline{A}^{\circ} = \emptyset$.
- (iii) Let A_1 and A_2 be nowhere dense. By the alternate characterization, $U_1 \subseteq A_1^c$, where U_1 is open and dense. Similarly, $U_2 \subseteq A_1^c$, where U_2 is open and dense.

$$(A_1 \cup A_2)^c = A_1^c \cap A_2^c$$

\(\geq U_1 \cap U_2\)

We know $U_1 \cap U_2$ is open. We claim that $U_1 \cap U_2$ is dense.

Let $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$. We want to show that $U(x,\varepsilon) \cap (U_1 \cap U_2) \neq \emptyset$. Since U_1 is dense, we know $U_1 \cap U(x,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in U_1 \cap U(x,\varepsilon)$. Therefore, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $U(z,\delta) \subseteq U_1 \cap U(x,\varepsilon)$. Since U_2 is dense, $U(z,\delta) \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $\emptyset \neq U(z,\delta) \cap U_2 \subseteq U(x,\varepsilon) \cap (U_1 \cap U_2)$.

By induction, assuming $A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_{n-1}$ are nowhere dense, then $(A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_{n-1}) \cup A_n$ is nowhere dense.

(iv) Since X has no isolated points, $\{x\}$ is closed but not open. Therefore, $(\overline{\{x\}})^{\circ} = \emptyset$. Use (iii).

Remark: Note that $\mathbb Q$ is not nowhere dense, but $\mathbb Q$ is the countable union of nowhere dense sets.

Meager Sets

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (i) $A \subseteq X$ is meager if A is the countable union of nowhere dense sets. Or, A is of the first category.
- (ii) $B \subseteq X$ is called residual if B^c is meager.

Examples: $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is meager, so $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is residual. $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is meager, but $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ is not meager.

Proposition: Extension of Meager Sets

- (i) If A is meager, and $B \subseteq A$, then B is meager.
- (ii) If A_k is meager for k = 1, ..., then A_k is meager.
- (iii) If X has no isolated points, then every countable set is meager.

Proof:

- (i) $A = \bigcup A_k$, with A_k nowhere dense. Then, $B = B \cap A = \bigcup B \cap A_k$.
- (ii) Each A_k is meager, meaning $A_k = \bigcup A_{k_j}$ with A_{k_j} nowhere dense. Thus, $A = \bigcup A_k$ is the countable union of A_{k_j} . Thus, A is meager.
- (iii) Since singleton sets are nowhere dense, we write the countable set as the union of singleton sets.

Proposition: Cantor's Intersection Theorem

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and $F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \cdots$ be a sequence of closed, nonempty sets with $(\operatorname{diam}(F_n))_n \to 0$. Then, $\bigcap F_n = \{x\}$ for some $x \in X$.

Proof: Let $x_n \in F_n$ for $n \ge 1$. Note that $(x_n)_n$ is Cauchy. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let N be large such that $n \ge N \Rightarrow \text{diam}(F_n) < \varepsilon$. For $m, n \ge N$, $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$ because $x_n, x_m \in F_N$. Therefore, $(x_n)_n \to x$ for $x \in X$.

We claim that $\{x\} = \bigcap F_n$. To see this, fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider $(x_{m+k})_k \in F_m$. The tail sequence $(x_{m+k})_k \to x$. Since F_m is closed, we know $x \in F_m$. Therefore, since m is arbitrary, $x \in \bigcap F_n$.

Now, suppose $\exists x, x' \in \bigcap F_n$ distinct. Then, d(x, x') > 0. However, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ large with diam $(F_N) < d(x, x')$. However, $x, x' \in F_N$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\bigcap F_n = \{x\}$.

Baire's Theorem

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space.

- (i) If $\{V_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a countable family of open and dense subsets, then $\bigcap V_k$ is dense.
- (ii) X is not meager.

Proof:

(i) Let U_0 be any open ball. Since V_1 is open and dense, $U_0 \cap V_1$ is open and nonempty. So, $\exists U_1$ with $B_1 = \overline{U_1} \subseteq U_0 \cap V_1$. We can assure that $\operatorname{diam}(B_1) < 1$.

Consider $U_1 \cap V_2$. Since V_2 is dense and open, $U_1 \cap V_2$ is open and nomempty. Therefore, there must be $B_2 = \overline{U_2} \subseteq U_1 \cap V_2$. We can insure that $\operatorname{diam}(B_2) < 1/2$.

Now, with $U_2 \cap V_3$, we have $B_3 = \overline{U_3} \subseteq U_2 \cap V_3$, with diam $(B_3) < 1/3$.

Inductively, we have U_1, \ldots, U_{n-1} and B_1, \ldots, B_{n-1} , we see that $U_{n-1} \cap V_n$ is open and nonempty, so we have U_n with $B_n = \overline{U_n} \subseteq U_{n-1} \cap V_n$, with $\operatorname{diam}(B_n) < 1/n$.

Observe that we have $B_1\supseteq U_1\supseteq B_2\supseteq U_2\cdots$. In particular, $\{B_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a nested sequence of closed sets with $\operatorname{diam}(B_n)\to 0$. Therefore, $\bigcap B_n=\{x\}$.

We claim that $x \in U_0 \cap (\bigcap V_k)$. Note that $B_n \subseteq U_{n-1} \cap V_n \subseteq V_n$, Therefore, $x \in \bigcap B_n$ implies $x \in \bigcap V_n$. Also, $x \in B_1 = \overline{U_1} \subseteq U_0 \cap V_n \subseteq U_0$. Therefore, $\bigcap V_k$ is dense.

(ii) Suppose $X = \bigcup A_k$ for A_k nowhere dense. Therefore, $\exists V_k$ open and dense with $V_k \subseteq A_k^c$. Then,

$$\emptyset = X^{c}$$

$$= \left(\bigcup A_{k}\right)^{c}$$

$$= \bigcap A_{k}^{c}$$

$$\supseteq \bigcap V_{k}.$$

Therefore, by the previous result, $\bigcap V_k$ is open and dense, which is a contradiction. Therefore, X is not meager.

Question: Is $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ meager? Yes, \mathbb{Q} is the countable union of singleton sets. Is $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ meager? The answer is no — otherwise, we would write $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q} \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$ would be a union of meager sets, but \mathbb{R} is complete.

Applying Baire's Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

(i) $G \subseteq X$ is a G_{δ} -set if

$$G=\bigcap_{k\geq 1}V_k$$

with V_k open.

(ii) $F \subseteq X$ is a F_{σ} -set if

$$F = \bigcap_{k \ge 1} C_k$$

with C_k closed.

For example, $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is F_{σ} , since \mathbb{Q} is the countable union of singleton sets (which are closed in \mathbb{R}). It can be shown that A is F_{σ} if and only if A^c is G_{δ} .

We claim that \mathbb{Q} is not G_{δ} .

Proof: If \mathbb{Q} is G_{δ} , then $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is F_{σ} , so

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} = \bigcup F_k$$

for F_k closed. Thus,

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$$
$$= \bigcup \{q_k\} \cup \bigcup F_k.$$

Therefore, $\mathbb R$ is the countable union of closed sets. Since $\mathbb R$ is complete, by Baire's Theorem, we must have $\{q_k\}^\circ \neq \emptyset$, or that $F_k^\circ \neq \emptyset$ for some k. However, $\{q_k\}^\circ = \emptyset$, and $F_k^\circ = \emptyset$ since $F_k \subseteq \mathbb R \setminus \mathbb Q$, and $\mathbb R \setminus \mathbb Q$ cannot contain an interval. Therefore, $\mathbb Q$ is not G_δ

Let (X, d) be a metric space. If A is closed, then A is G_{δ} .

Proof: Recall dist_A: $X \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Therefore, dist_A⁻¹ $((-1/n, 1/n)) = \{x \mid \text{dist}_A(x) < 1/n\}$ is open. Recall that $x \in A$ if and only if dist_A(x) = 0.

Therefore, we can write

$$A = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} \{x \mid \mathsf{dist}_A(x) < 1/n\}.$$

Therefore, A is G_{δ} .

It follows that if A is open, then A is F_{σ} .

Theorem: Set of Continuities

Let $f:(X,d)\to (Y,\rho)$ be a map. Then, $C_f:=\{x\in X\mid f\text{ is continuous at }x\}$ is a G_δ set.

Oscillation of a Function

Let $f:(X,d)\to (Y,\rho)$. Fix $x_0\in X$. The oscillation $\omega_f(x_0)=\inf_{\delta>0}\mathrm{diam}(f(U(x,\delta)))$, or

$$\omega_f(x_0) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \left(\sup_{x, x' \in U(x, \delta)} \rho(f(x), f(x')) \right).$$

Note that $\omega_f(x_0) \in [0, \infty]$.

- (i) f is continuous at x_0 if and only if $\omega_f(x_0) = 0$.
- (ii) Given c > 0, $\{x \mid \omega_f(x_0) < c\} \subseteq X$ is open.

Proof:

(i) Suppose f is continuous at x_0 . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $d(x,x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(f(x),f(x_0)) < \varepsilon/2$. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{diam}(f(U(x_0,\delta))) \leq \varepsilon$$
,

since for $x, x' \in U(x_0, \delta)$, we have

$$\rho(f(x), f(x')) \le \rho(f(x), f(x_0)) + \rho(f(x_0), f(x'))$$
< \varepsilon.

In particular, $\omega(f(x_0)) \le \varepsilon$. Since ε was arbitrary, we have $\omega_f(x_0) = 0$.

Suppose $\omega_f(x_0)=0$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. By the property of infimum, then $\exists \delta>0$ such that

$$\operatorname{diam}(f(U(x_0,\delta))) < \varepsilon.$$

In particular, if $d(x, x_0) < \delta$, then $\rho(f(x), f(x_0)) < \varepsilon$. Thus, f is continuous at x_0 .

(ii) Let $V = \{x \mid \omega_f(x_0) < c\}$. Let $x_0 \in V$. Since $x_0 \in V$, $\omega_f(x_0) < c$. By the property of infimum, $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(f(U(x_0,\delta))) < c$. Let $\varepsilon = \delta/2$. We claim that $U(x_0,\varepsilon) \subseteq V$.

Let $z \in U(x_0, \varepsilon)$. Note that $U(z, \delta/2) \subseteq U(x_0, \delta)$. Therefore, $f(U(z, \delta/2)) \subseteq f(U(x_0, \delta))$. Thus, $\operatorname{diam}(f(U(z, \delta))) \leq \operatorname{diam}(f(U(x_0, \delta))) < c$.

By property of oscillation, $\omega_f(z) < c$. So, $U(x_0, \varepsilon) \subseteq V$.

Proof of Theorem:

$$C_f = \{x \mid f \text{ is continuous at } x\}$$
$$= \bigcap_{n \ge 1} \underbrace{\{x \mid \omega_f(x) < 1/n\}}_{\text{open sets}}$$

meaning $x \in C_f \leftrightarrow \omega_f(x) = 0 \leftrightarrow \omega_f(x) < 1/n$ for all n.

Applying Set of Continuities

There does exist a function continuous at every irrational point and discontinuous at every rational point. Recall from Real Analysis that such f is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \\ \frac{1}{q} & x = \frac{p}{q} \text{ in lowest terms} \end{cases}$$

However, there does not exist $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $C_f = \mathbb{Q}$, since the set of continuities is always a G_{δ} set.

Nowhere Differentiable Functions

Does there exist a function $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f is continuous on [0,1] but differentiable nowhere? The answer is yes.

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1} a^n \cos(b^n x),$$

where 0 < a < 1 and ab > 1 is such a function. This is known as the Weierstrass function.

Such functions are not rare at all. In the complete normed vector space $X = (C[0, 1], \|\cdot\|_u), \{f \in X \mid f \text{ differentiable nowhere}\}$ is the complement of a meager set (meaning it is topologically "big").

Compactness

Compactness can best be analogized to finite dimensionality in a metric space.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $K \subseteq X$.

(1) A cover for K is a family of subsets $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ with $K \subseteq \bigcup U_i$.

The cover $\mathcal U$ is called an open cover if each $U_i\subseteq X$ is open. The cover $\mathcal U$ is called finite if I is finite. If $\mathcal U$ is a cover for K, a subcover of $\mathcal U$ is a subfamily $\mathcal V=\{U_i\}_{i\in J}$, with $J\subseteq I$, and $K\subseteq \bigcup_{i\in J}U_i$.

(2) K is called compact if every open cover of K admits a finite subcover. If $\{U_i\}_{i\in I}$ is any family that covers K, then there exists a finite $F\subseteq I$ such that $\{U_i\}_{i\in F}$ covers K.

For example, the set $(0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is not compact, because

$$(0,1]\subseteq\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}(1/n,3/2)$$

does not admit a finite subcover.

Any finite set is compact.

A discrete metric space is X is compact if and only if X is finite.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and $Y \subseteq X$. Let $K \subseteq Y$; K is compact in X if and only if K is compact in Y. This can be shown by taking the relative topology of Y on every open cover of K in X.

Proposition: Properties of Compactness

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (1) If $K \subseteq X$ is compact, then K is closed and bounded.
- (2) If X is a compact metric space, and $K \subseteq X$ is closed, then K is compact.

Proof of (2): Let $K \subseteq \bigcup U_i$, with $U_i \subseteq X$ open. Then, $X = (X \setminus K) \cup (\bigcup_{i \in I} U_i)$. This is an open cover for X, meaning it admits a finite subcover $F \subseteq I$ such that $X = (X \setminus K) \cup \bigcup_{i \in F} U_i$. Clearly, $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in F} U_i$. Thus, K is compact.

Proof of (1): Let $K \subseteq X$ be compact. Then,

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in K} \bigcup U(x, 1).$$

Since K is compact, there exist $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ with $K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n U(x_j, 1)$. Let $c = \max d(x_i, x_j)$. If $x, y \in K$, then $x \in U(x_i, 1)$ and $y \in U(x_i, 1)$ for some x_i, x_j . Then,

$$d(x,y) \le d(x,x_i) + d(x_i,x_j) + d(x_j,y)$$

< 1 + c + 1 = 2 + c.

Thus, diam $(K) < \infty$.

We will show that K^c is open. Let $x_0 \notin K$. For each $x \in K$, there exist $\delta_x > 0$ with $U(x, \delta_x) \cap U(x_0, \delta_x) = \emptyset$. Then,

$$K\subseteq\bigcup_{x\in K}U(x,\delta_x).$$

Since K is compact, there exist $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ with $K\subseteq\bigcup U(x_j,\delta_{x_j})$. Let $\delta=\min\{\delta_{x_j}\}>0$. Then, $U(x_0,\delta)\subseteq K^c$.

Proposition: Compactness and Intersections of Closed Sets

Let (X, d) be a metric space. The following are equivalent.

- (1) X is compact;
- (2) If $\{C_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a family of closed sets with the finite intersection property (i.e., the intersection of finitely many elements of $\{C_i\}$ is non-empty), then $\bigcap_{i\in I}C_i\neq\emptyset$.

Proposition: Separability of Compact Metric Spaces

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space. Then, (X, d) is separable.

Proof: For fixed $n \ge 1$, consider the cover

$$X = \bigcup U(x, 1/n).$$

By compactness, there exist $\{x_{n,1}, \ldots, x_{n,m_n}\}$ with

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_n} U(x_{n,j}, 1/n).$$

Let $S = \{x_{n,j} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le j \le m_n\}$. Then, S is countable.

Let $x \in X$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Let N be large such that $N^{-1} < \varepsilon$. So,

$$x \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_N} U(x_{N,j}, 1/N),$$

so $x \in U(x_{N,j},1/N)$ for some j, whence $d(x,x_{N,j}) < 1/N < \varepsilon$, so $x_{N,j} \in U(x,\varepsilon)$. So, $\overline{S} = X$.

Proposition: Sequential Compactness

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $K \subseteq X$. We say K is sequentially compact if every sequence in K admits a convergent subsequence in K

From Bolzano-Weierstrass, we know that $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is sequentially compact.

If K is compact, then K is sequentially compact.

Proof: Let $(x_k)_k \in K$. Let $C_0 = \overline{\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}}$, $C_1 = \overline{\{x_2, x_3, \dots\}}$, etc. such that $C_n = \overline{\{x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots\}}$.

Observe that $C_0 \supseteq C_1 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq \cdots$. Additionally, $\{C_n\}$ has the finite intersection property. Since K is compact, the previous proposition states that $\bigcap C_n \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in \bigcap C_n$.

 $x \in C_1$, meaning $\exists k_1 > 1$ with $d(x, x_{k_1}) < 1$. $x \in C_{k_1}$, meaning $\exists k_2 > k_1$ with $d(x, x_{k_2}) < 1/2$. $x \in C_{k_2}$, meaning $\exists k_3 > k_2$ with $d(x, x_{k_3}) < 1/3$. Continuing, we have $(x_{k_j})_j \in K$ with $d(x, x_{k_j}) < 1/j$. Thus, $(x_{k_j})_j \to x$.

If (X, d) is sequentially compact, then X is complete.

Lemma: If $(x_n)_n$ is Cauchy, and $(x_n)_n$ admits a convergent subsequence, then $(x_n)_n$ is convergent.

Proof of Lemma: Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $p, q \geq N$, $d(x_p, x_q) < \varepsilon/2$.

Also, suppose $(x_{n_k})_k \to x$. Then, $\exists K \in \mathbb{N}$ large such that for $k \geq K$, $d(x_{n_k}, x) < \varepsilon/2$.

Therefore, for $n \ge N$, find $k \ge \max\{N, K\}$, we have

$$d(x_n, x) \le d(x_n, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x)$$

$$< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2$$

$$- \varepsilon$$

Proof: If (X, d) is sequentially compact, for $(x_n)_n$ a Cauchy sequence in (X, d), we have that $(x_n)_n$ admits a convergent subsequence. Then, we use the lemma.

Total Boundedness

Let (X, d) be a metric space. $K \subseteq X$ is totally bounded if $\forall \delta > 0$, $\exists x_1, \dots, x_n \in K$ such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U(x_i, \delta)$.

Exercise: If K is totally bounded, then K is bounded. If $L \subseteq K$, and K is totally bounded, then L is totally bounded.

Sequential Compactness and Total Boundedness

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $K \subseteq X$ be sequentially compact. Then, K is totally bounded.

Proof: Suppose K is not totally bounded. Then, $\exists \delta_0 > 0$ such that $K \nsubseteq \bigcup_{x \in F} U(x, \delta_0)$ for any finite F.

Let $x_1 \in K$. Since $K \nsubseteq U(x_1, \delta_0)$, so let $x_2 \in K \setminus U(x_1, \delta_0)$. Since $K \nsubseteq U(x_1, \delta_0) \cup U(x_2, \delta_0)$, let $x_3 \in K \setminus (U(x_1, \delta_0) \cup U(x_2, \delta_0))$. Continuing, we find $x_n \in K \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} U(x_j, \delta_0)$.

Thus, we have a sequence $(x_n)_n$. By sequential compactness, $(x_n)_n$ admits $(x_{n_k})_k \to x \in K$. Since $(x_{n_k})_k$ is convergent, $(x_{n_k})_k$ is Cauchy. But, $d(x_p, x_q) \ge \delta_0$, since, without loss of generality, for p > q, $x_p \notin U(x_q, \delta_0)$. \bot

Corollary: Compact Subsets of Real Numbers

If $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact, $\sup K \in K$ and $\inf K \in K$.

Proof: We can always construct sequences $(x_n)_n \to \sup K$ and $(y_n)_n \to \inf K$ in K. Since $\sup K < \infty$ and $\inf K < \infty$, since K is compact, and thus bounded.

Since K is also closed, sup $K \in K$ and inf $K \in K$.

Theorem: Equivalence of Compactness Definitions

Let (X, d) be a metric space. The following are equivalent.

- (1) X is compact.
- (2) X is sequentially compact.
- (3) X is complete and totally bounded.

Proof: We proved that $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3)$. We will now prove $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$.

Suppose $\mathcal V$ is an open cover of X that fails to admit a finite subcover. Let $\varepsilon=1$. Since X is totally bounded $X=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m_1}U_{1,j}$, where $U_{1,j}$ are open balls of radius 1.

There must be some open ball among the $U_{1,j}$ not covered by finitely many members of \mathcal{V} . Call this ball $U(x_1,1)$. Let $\varepsilon=1/2$. By total boundedness, $X=\bigcup_{j=1}^{m_2}U_{2,j}$, where $U_{2,j}$ are open balls of radius 1/2. Then, $U(x_1,1)=\bigcup \left(U(x_1,1)\cap U_{2,j}\right)$. So, there must be an open ball of radius 1/2, $U(x_2,1/2)$, such that $U(x_1,1)\cap U(x_2,1/2)$ cannot be covered by finitely many members of \mathcal{V} .

Continuing, we have a sequence $(x_n)_n$, where $F_n = U(x_1, 1) \cap U(x_2, 1/2) \cap \cdots \cap U(x_n, 1/n)$ cannot be covered by finitely many members of \mathcal{V}

Let $C_n = \overline{F_n}$. Notice that $F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \ldots$, meaning $C_1 \supseteq C_2 \supseteq \ldots$. We see that $\operatorname{diam}(C_n) = \operatorname{diam}(F_n) \le 2/n$. Applying Cantor's intersection theorem, we have $\bigcap C_n = \{x\}$.

Since $\mathcal V$ is an open cover, locate $V \in \mathcal V$ such that $x \in V$. Since V is open, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $U(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq V$. Choose N large such that $2/N < \varepsilon$. Since $x \in C_N$, $d(z, x) \le 2/N < \varepsilon$ for all $z \in C_N$, meaning $F_N \subseteq C_N \subseteq U(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq V$.

Therefore, $\{V\}$ is a cover for F_N . \perp

Proposition: Multi-dimensional Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem

Let $\mathcal{R} = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2] \times \cdots \times [a_d, b_d] = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i] \subseteq \ell_p^d$. Then, \mathcal{R} is sequentially compact, so \mathcal{R} is compact.

Proof: The proof in \mathbb{R}^d works similarly to the proof in \mathbb{R}^2 . Consider $\pi_X : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\pi_Y : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. We saw that $(v_n)_n \to v$ in ℓ_p^2 if and only if $(\pi_X(v_n))_n \to \pi_X(v)$ and $(\pi_Y(v_n))_n \to \pi_Y(v)$.

If $(v_n)_n \in \mathcal{R}$, then $(\pi_X(v_n))_n \in [a_1, b_1]$. By Bolzano-Weierstrass, there is a convergent subsequence $(\pi_X(v_{n_k}))_k \to X \in [a_1, b_1]$.

Now, consider $(\pi_y(v_{n_k}))_k \in [a_2, b_2]$. By Bolzano-Weierstrass, there is a convergent subsequence $(\pi_y(v_{n_{k_j}}))_j \to y \in [a_2, b_2]$. Thus, $(v_{n_{k_i}})_j \to (x, y)$ in \mathcal{R} .

Heine-Borel Theorem

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) K is compact;
- (ii) K is sequentially compact;
- (iii) K is closed and bounded.

Proof: We have (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii), and (i) \Rightarrow (iii). We will show (iii) \Rightarrow (ii).

If K is bounded, then $K \subseteq \mathcal{R} = \prod_{j=1}^d [a_j, b_j]$. Let $(v_n)_n$ be a sequence in K. By the previous proposition, there exists a subsequence $(v_{n_k})_k \to v \in \mathcal{R}$. Since K is closed, $v \in K$. Therefore, K is sequentially compact.

There are many examples of closed and bounded sets that are not compact (in infinite-dimensional vector spaces).

For example, in $\ell_1 = \{a = (a_k)_k \mid \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \infty\}$, we have $e_n = (0,0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots)$, with 1 at the *n*th coordinate. For the sequence $(e_n)_n$, $\|e_k\|_1 = 1$ for all e_k , so $(e_n)_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell_1}$, which is closed and bounded. Observe that $\|e_n - e_m\| = 2$ for all $m \neq n$, so there does not exist a convergent subsequence. Thus, ℓ_1 is not sequentially compact.

Remark: We will show that for a normed space, $(V, \|\cdot\|)$, B_V is compact if and only if $\dim(V) < \infty$.

Proposition: Continuous Image of Compact Sets

If $f:(X,d)\to (Y,\rho)$ is continuous, and $K\subseteq X$ is compact, then $f(K)\subseteq Y$ is compact.

Proof: Let $\bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$ be an open cover for f(K), where $V_i \subseteq Y$ open. Taking the preimage, we have

$$K \subseteq f^{-1}(f(K))$$

$$\subseteq f^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{i \in I} V_i\right)$$

$$= \bigcup_{i \in I} f^{-1}(V_i)$$

since f is continuous, $f^{-1}(V_i) \subseteq X$ are open. By compactness, there exists $F \subseteq I$ finite such that

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in F} f^{-1}(V_i).$$

Taking the image, we have

$$f(K) \subseteq f\left(\bigcup_{i \in F} f^{-1}(V_i)\right)$$
$$= \bigcup_{i \in F} f(f^{-1}(V_i))$$
$$= \bigcup_{i \in F} V_i.$$

Thus, f(K) has a finite subcover.

Corollary: Compactness under Topologically Equivalent Metrics

Let d_1 and d_2 be topologically equivalent (id_X : $(X, d_1) \to (X, d_2)$ is a homeomorphism). Then, $K \subseteq X$ is d_1 -compact if and only if K is d_2 -compact.

Corollary: Heine-Borel Theorem Extension

For $K \subseteq \ell_n^n$, K is compact if and only if K is closed and bounded.

Extreme Value Theorem

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $K \subseteq X$ compact, and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous. Then, $\sup_{x \in X} f(x) = f(x_M)$ and $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) = f(x_m)$ for some $x_M, x_m \in K$.

Proof: We know that $f(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact. Then, $\inf f(K)$ and $\sup f(K)$ are elements of f(K).

Proposition: Compactness of Closed Unit Ball

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{F} .

- (1) All norms on V are equivalent.
- (2) For any norm, $\|\cdot\|$ on V, $B_{(V,\|\cdot\|)} = \{v \in V \mid \|v\| \le 1\}$ is compact.

Proof of (1): Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ be a linear basis for V. Define

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} v_{j} \right\|_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |t_{j}|.$$

This is a norm on V.

Then, $\varphi: \ell_1^n \to V$

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j e_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j v_j$$

is a linear isometric isomorphism. Since $B_{\ell_1^n}$ is compact, so too is $\varphi(B_{\ell_1^n})$, so $B_{(V,\|\cdot\|)}$ is compact.

Then, $S_1:=\{v\in V\mid \|v\|_1=1\}$ is compact since $S_1\subseteq B_{(V,\|\cdot\|)}$ is closed.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be any norm on V. We will show that $\|\cdot\|$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_1$. Note that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \right\| \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |t_j| \left\| v_j \right\|$$

$$\le c \sum_{j=1}^{n} |t_j|$$

$$= c \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_j v_j \right\|_{1}$$

where $c = \max \|v_j\|$. Consider $g: (V, \|\cdot\|_1) \to \mathbb{R}$, with $g(v) = \|v\|$.

$$|g(v) - g(w)| = ||v|| - ||w|||$$

 $\leq ||v - w||$
 $\leq c ||v - w||_1$

so g is Lipschitz, and thus continuous. S_1 is compact in $(V, \|\cdot\|)$, so by the extreme value theorem, $\inf_{v \in S_1} g(v) = g(v_0) = \|v_0\|$ for some $v_0 \in S_1$. Note that $D := \|v_0\| > 0$, else $v_0 = 0$. Thus, $g(v) \ge D$ for all $v \in S_1$

$$||v|| \ge D$$
 $\forall v \in S_1$

Let $0 \neq v$. Then,

$$\frac{v}{\|v\|_1} \in S_1$$

$$\left\| \frac{v}{\|v\|_1} \right\| \ge D$$

so

$$||v|| \ge D ||v||_1$$
.

Therefore, we have $\|v\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{D} \|v\|$. Thus, any two norms on V are equivalent.

Proof of (2): Exercise.