**Problem** (Problem 1):

- (a) Show that  $\mathbb{R}$  is not a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.
- (b) Compute  $hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Z})$  and  $hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{Z})$ .

## **Solution:**

(a) Suppose toward contradiction that  $\mathbb R$  were a free  $\mathbb Z$ -module. Then, there would be some unique  $\mathbb Z$ -linear combination

$$1 = z_1b_1 + \cdots + z_nb_n$$

with  $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B$ , where B is the basis for  $\mathbb{R}$ . We observe now that for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{k} = z_1'b_1' + \dots + z_m'b_m'$$

for some other basis elements  $b_1', \ldots, b_m' \in B$  and integers  $z_1', \ldots, z_m'$ . Suppose toward contradiction that there were some  $b_i'$  such that  $b_i' \notin \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ . Then, we would have

$$1 = k(z'_1b'_1 + \dots + z'_mb'_m)$$
  
=  $kz'_1b'_1 + \dots + kz'_mb'_m$ ,

implying that 1 has a non-unique expression of integral linear combinations of basis elements, contradicting the assumption that  $\mathbb{R}$  is free over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

There is some submodule  $Y \supseteq \mathbb{Q}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\mathbb{Z}\langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle$ . The map

$$v: \mathbb{Z}^n \to Y$$
  
 $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto z_1 b_1 + \dots + z_n b_n$ 

is thus an isomorphism, as it is injective by the assumption that B is a basis and surjective by definition. Now, since  $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Y}$  is a submodule, we observe that  $v^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a submodule, as for any  $w_1, w_2 \in v^{-1}(\mathbb{Q})$ , we have  $v(w_1), v(w_2) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , whence  $v(w_1 + w_2) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , so that  $w_1 + w_2 \in v^{-1}(\mathbb{Q})$ , and  $v(zw_1) = zv(w_1) \in \mathbb{Q}$  for any  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ , whence  $zw_1 \in v^{-1}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Now, since each  $\mathbb Z$  is a PID (hence Noetherian), it follows that every  $\mathbb Z$ -submodule(/ideal) of  $\mathbb Z^n$  is also finitely generated, as it is of the form  $I_1 \times \cdots \times I_n$  for ideals  $I_1, \ldots, I_n \in \mathbb Z$ . Thus, it follows that  $\mathbb Q \cong \nu^{-1}(\mathbb Q)$ , whence  $\mathbb Q$  is then isomorphic to a finitely generated  $\mathbb Z$ -module, which is a contradiction as it has been well-established that  $\mathbb Q$  is not finitely generated as a  $\mathbb Z$ -module.

(b) We claim that both  $hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Z})$  and  $hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{Z})$  are zero. Toward this end, observe that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = k\varphi\left(\frac{a}{kb}\right)$$

for all  $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\frac{a}{b} \neq 0$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Yet, this can only be the case if  $\phi(\frac{a}{b}) = 0$ , whence  $hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{Z}) \cong \{0\}$ . Similarly, if  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  is real with  $r \neq 0$ , then

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{k}\varphi\left(\frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{k}}\right),$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , so that  $\varphi(r) = 0$ , and thus  $hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \{0\}$ .

**Problem** (Problem 2): Let R be a commutative ring with 1. Suppose there are integers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $R^{m_1} \cong R^{m_2}$ . Prove that  $m_1 = m_2$ .

**Solution:** Let I be a maximal ideal of R, and let K = R/I. We claim that if  $M_1 \cong M_2$  are isomorphic R-modules, then  $M_1/IM_1 \cong M_2/IM_2$  are isomorphic as R/I-vector spaces. Toward this end, we let

$$\psi: M_1 \to M_2/IM_2$$

be a surjective homomorphism of R-modules defined by  $M_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} M_2 \xrightarrow{\pi} M_2/IM_2$ , whence  $ker(\psi) = IM_1$ , as

$$\psi(v_1) = 0 + IM_2$$

if and only if  $\varphi(v_1) \in IM_2$ , whence  $\varphi(v_1) = i\varphi(w_1)$  with  $i \in I$ , or that  $\varphi(iw_1) \in IM_2$ , so  $iw_1 \in IM_1$ . The reverse inclusion follows from the first isomorphism theorem, as  $IM_1 \subseteq \ker(\psi)$  by observation. Thus, we have an isomorphism  $\overline{\psi} \colon M_1/IM_1 \to M_2/IM_2$ .

We claim that the action

$$(r+I) \cdot (m+IM_1) = r \cdot m + IM_1$$

is a well-defined action of R/I on  $M_1/IM_1$ . Toward this end, we let  $r_1 + I = r_2 + I$ , whence  $r_1 - r_2 \in I$ . For any  $m + IM_1 \in M_1/IM_1$ , we have (as the quotient module  $M_1/IM_1$  is well-defined)

$$\begin{split} (r_1 + I) \cdot (m + IM_1) &= r_1 \cdot m + IM_1 \\ &= (r_1 - r_2 + r_2) \cdot m + IM_1 \\ &= ((r_1 - r_2) \cdot m + IM_1) + (r_2 \cdot m + IM_1) \\ &= (0 + IM_1) + (r_2 \cdot m + IM_1) \\ &= r_2 \cdot m + IM_1. \end{split}$$

The rest of the axioms for the action of R/I on  $M_1/IM_1$  follow from the axioms of R-modules.

Thus, it follows that if  $R^{m_1} \cong R^{m_2}$ , then we have

$$R^{m_1}/IR^{m_1} \cong R^{m_2}/IR^{m_2}$$
 $K^{m_1} \cong K^{m_2}$ .

whence  $m_1 = m_2$  by the invariance of dimension for vector spaces.

Problem (Problem 4): Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal I.

- (a) Show that if M is a finitely generated module with  $I \cdot M = M$ , then  $M = \{0\}$ .
- (b) If M is a finitely generated R-module, and  $y_1, ..., y_m \in M$  are such that  $\overline{y_1}, ..., \overline{y_m} \in M/IM$  generate M/IM, then  $y_1, ..., y_m$  generate M.

## Solution:

(a) Let  $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ , and suppose IM = M. Then, it follows that there are  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in I$  such that

$$x_n = v_1 \cdot x_1 + \cdots + v_n \cdot x_n,$$

whence

$$(1-\nu_n)\cdot x_n = \nu_1\cdot x_1 + \cdots + \nu_{n-1}\cdot x_{n-1},$$

whence, since I is a local ring,

$$x_n = (1 - v_n)^{-1} (v_1 \cdot x_1 + \dots + v_{n-1} \cdot x_{n-1}),$$

meaning that  $M = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle$ . Inductively, any generating subset of M can be reduced in this fashion until  $M = \{0\}$ .

(b) Let  $N = \langle y_1, \dots, y_m \rangle$ . We wish to show that

$$M = N + IM$$
.

Toward this end, let  $v \in M$ . If  $v \in IM$ , then we are done. Else, if  $v \notin IM$ , it follows that  $v + IM \neq 0 + IM$ , so there are  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  such that

$$v + IM = \alpha_1 \cdot (y_1 + IM) + \dots + \alpha_m \cdot (y_1 + IM)$$
$$= (\alpha_1 \cdot y_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \cdot y_m) + IM.$$

In particular, this means there is some  $q \in IM$  such that

$$v = (\alpha_1 \cdot y_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m \cdot y_m) + q,$$

whence M = N + IM.

Consider the subspace I(M/N) of M/N. We seek to show that I(M/N) = M/N. Let  $v + N \in M/N$ . Since  $v \in M$ , it follows that there are  $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in I$  and  $q \in IM$  such that

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i \cdot y_i + q.$$

In particular, this means that v + N = q + N. Since q + N = ip + N for some  $p \in M$ , we have i(p + N) = v + N, whence I(M/N) = M/N, meaning that by part (a), we have  $M/N \cong \{0\}$ , or that M = N. Thus,  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  generate N.

**Problem** (Problem 6): Let R be a ring, M an R-module, and let  $S \subseteq R$  be multiplicative.

- (a) Mimic the construction of the localization  $S^{-1}R$  to define the localization  $S^{-1}M$  making it into an R-module.
- (b) Show that  $S^{-1}M$  gains the structure of an  $S^{-1}R$ -module.

## **Solution:**

(a) Let  $\overline{M} = M \times S$ , and define a relation  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_2, s_2)$  if there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $s(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$ .

We claim that this is an equivalence relation.

- Reflexivity is clear from the fact that we may choose s = 1, whence  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_1, s_1)$  if and only if  $s_1 m_1 s_1 m_1 = 0$ .
- Symmetry follows from the fact that, if  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_2, s_2)$ , then

$$s(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$$

$$= (-1) \cdot 0$$

$$= (-1)(s(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2))$$

$$= s(s_1m_2 - s_2m_1),$$

meaning that  $(m_2, s_2) \sim (m_1, s_1)$ .

• Finally, for transitivity, we let  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_2, s_2)$  and  $(m_2, s_2) \sim (m_3, s_3)$ . Then,

$$s(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$$
  
 $t(s_3m_2 - s_2m_3) = 0.$ 

We seek to find  $r \in S$  such that  $r(s_3m_1 - s_1m_3) = 0$ . Toward this end, we multiply the first equation by  $ts_3$  and the second equation by  $ss_1$ . This gives

$$sts_3(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$$

$$sts_1(s_3m_2 - s_2m_3) = 0.$$

Distributing these sums out, we get

$$sts_2s_3m_1 - sts_1s_3m_2 = 0$$
  
 $sts_1s_3m_2 - sts_1s_2m_3 = 0$ .

Adding, we get

$$sts_2s_3m_1 - sts_2s_1m_3 = 0$$
,

whence

$$sts_2(s_3m_1 - s_1m_3) = 0,$$

and since  $s, t, s_2 \in S$ , so too is  $sts_2$ , whence  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_3, s_3)$ .

We write  $\frac{m}{s} \equiv [(m, s)]$ . We may define R-operations by taking

$$r \cdot \left(\frac{m}{s}\right) = \frac{rm}{s} \frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{m_2}{s_2} = \frac{s_2m_1 + s_1m_2}{s_1s_2}.$$

We claim that both of these operations are well-defined. To start, if  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_2, s_2)$ , then

$$s(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$$
  

$$rs(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$$
  

$$s(s_2rm_1 - s_1rm_2) = 0$$

whence  $\frac{rm_1}{s_1} = \frac{rm_2}{s_2}$ .

Now, we observe that addition as defined is commutative, so we only need to check well-definedness in the case of one summand. Therefore, if  $(m_1,s_1) \sim (n_1,t_1)$ , we have some  $\nu \in S$  such that

$$v(t_1m_1 - s_1n_1) = 0.$$

We claim now that

$$\frac{s_2m_1+s_1m_2}{s_1s_2}=\frac{s_2n_1+t_1m_2}{t_1s_2}.$$

Indeed, we observe that

$$v(t_1s_2^2m_1 + t_1s_1s_2m_2 - s_1s_2^2n_1 - t_1s_1s_2m_2) = vs_2^2(t_1m_1 - s_1n_1)$$

$$= s_2^2(v(t_1m_1 - s_1n_1))$$

$$= 0.$$

Now, addition is associative, since

$$\frac{m_1}{s_1} + \left(\frac{m_2}{s_2} + \frac{m_3}{s_3}\right) = \frac{m_1}{s_1} + \left(\frac{s_3 m_2 + s_2 m_3}{s_2 s_3}\right)$$

$$= \frac{s_2 s_3 m_1 + s_1 s_3 m_2 + s_1 s_2 m_3}{s_1 s_2 s_3}$$

$$= \frac{s_2 m_1 + s_1 m_2}{s_1 s_2} + \frac{m_3}{s_3}$$

$$= \left(\frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{m_2}{s_2}\right) + \frac{m_3}{s_3}.$$

Furthermore, we observe that scalar multiplication comports with addition in both R and  $S^{-1}M$ , as

$$(r_1 + r_2)\frac{m}{s} = \frac{(r_1 + r_2)m}{s}$$

$$= \frac{r_1m + r_2m}{s}$$

$$= \frac{r_1m}{s} + \frac{r_2m}{s}$$

$$= r_1\frac{m}{s} + r_2\frac{m}{s}$$

$$r\left(\frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{m_2}{s_2}\right) = r\left(\frac{s_2m_1 + s_1m_2}{s_1s_2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{rs_2m_1 + rs_1m_2}{s_1s_2}$$

$$= \frac{s_2rm_1 + s_1rm_2}{s_1s_2}$$

$$= \frac{rm_1}{s_1} + \frac{rm_2}{s_2}$$

$$= r\frac{m_1}{s_1} + r\frac{m_2}{s_2}.$$

Finally, we observe that

$$\frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{0}{1} = \frac{m_1}{s_1},$$

whence  $\frac{0}{1}$  is the additive identity in S<sup>-1</sup>M, and

$$1\frac{m}{s}=\frac{m}{s},$$

whence  $1 \cdot v = v$  in  $S^{-1}M$ . Thus, we find that  $S^{-1}M$  takes on a structure as an R-module.

(b) To extend the structure of  $S^{-1}M$  to yield an  $S^{-1}M$ -module, we take the scalar multiplication

$$\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{t} = \frac{rm}{st}.$$

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} + \frac{r_2}{s_2}\right) \frac{m}{t} &= \left(\frac{r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1}{s_1 s_2}\right) \frac{m}{t} \\ &= \frac{(r_1 s_2 + r_2 s_1)m}{s_1 s_2 t} \\ &= \frac{r_1 s_2 m + r_2 s_1 m}{s_1 s_2 t} \\ &= \frac{r_1 m}{s_1 t} + \frac{r_2 m}{s_2 t} \\ &= \frac{r_1}{s_1} \frac{m}{t} + \frac{r_2}{s_2} \frac{m}{t} \\ \frac{r}{s} \left(\frac{m_1}{t_1} + \frac{m_2}{t_2}\right) &= \frac{r}{s} \left(\frac{t_2 m_1 + t_1 m_2}{t_1 t_2}\right) \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{rt_2m_1 + rt_1m_2}{st_1t_2}$$

$$= \frac{rm_1}{st_1} + \frac{rm_2}{st_2}$$

$$= \frac{r}{s}\frac{m_1}{t_1} + \frac{r}{s}\frac{m_2}{t_2},$$

meaning that scalar multiplication by elements of  $S^{-1}R$  comports with addition in  $S^{-1}M$  and vice versa. Finally, we also observe that

$$\frac{0}{1}\frac{m}{s} = \frac{0}{s}$$
$$= \frac{0}{1}$$
$$\frac{1}{1}\frac{m}{s} = \frac{m}{s}.$$

Thus,  $S^{-1}M$  takes on the structure of an  $S^{-1}R$ -module.