Problem 1

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ be a family of subsets satisfying

- (i) if $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $A^c \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (ii) If $\{A_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a countable family of pairwise disjoint members of \mathcal{A} , then $\bigsqcup_{k\geq 1}A_k\in\mathcal{A}$.

Prove that A is a σ -algebra on Ω .

Problem 2

Consider the family $\mathcal{E}: \{(-\infty, b) \mid b \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Show that $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof: Consider the family $\mathcal{E}' := \{[a,b) \mid a,b \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We have established that $\sigma(\mathcal{E}') = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

We see that for any element of \mathcal{E} , $(-\infty, b) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [a-n, b)$, meaning $\mathcal{E} \in \sigma(\mathcal{E}')$, so $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E}') = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Additionally, $[a, b) = (-\infty, b) \setminus (-\infty, a)$, meaning $\mathcal{E}' \in \sigma(\mathcal{E})$, so $\sigma(\mathcal{E}') \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E})$, so $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) = \sigma(\mathcal{E}') = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Problem 3

Let (Ω, \mathcal{M}) and (Λ, \mathcal{N}) be measurable spaces. We define the product σ -algebra on $\Omega \times \Lambda$ as

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} := \sigma(\{E \times F \mid E \in \mathcal{M}, F \in \mathcal{N}\}).$$

Prove that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$.

Proof: For a < b and c < d, it is the case that $(a, b) \times (c, d) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is open, meaning

$$\sigma\left(\left\{(a,b)\times(c,d)\mid a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{R}\right\}\right)=\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}\otimes\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$$
$$\subset\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}.$$

Letting $U \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$, it is the case that $U = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} U(x_j, r_j)$. For each $U(x_j, r_j)$, take $I_j = (x_{jx} - r_j, x_{jx} + r_j) \times (x_{jy} - r_j, x_{jy} + r_j)$, so $U \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$. Thus, $U \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$, so $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Problem 4

Let (Ω, \mathcal{M}) and (Λ, \mathcal{N}) be measurable spaces. A map $f: \Omega \to \Lambda$ is $\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{N}$ -measurable if $E \in \mathcal{N} \Rightarrow f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Let (Ω, \mathcal{M}) be a measurable space and suppose $E \in \mathcal{M}$. Show that $\mathcal{M}_E = \{M \cap E \mid M \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a σ -algebra on E and the inclusion map $\iota : E \to \Omega$ is \mathcal{M}_E - \mathcal{M} -measurable.

Proof: Let $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, $\iota^{-1}(M) = E \cap M \in \mathcal{M}_E$. Thus, f is \mathcal{M}_E - \mathcal{M} -measurable.

Problem 5

Let (Ω, \mathcal{M}) and (Λ, \mathcal{N}) be measurable spaces. Suppose \mathcal{N} is generated as a σ -algebra by a family of subsets $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$. Prove that a map $f: \Omega \to \Lambda$ is \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{N} -measurable if and only if $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Conclude that a continuous function $f: X \to Y$ between metric spaces is \mathcal{B}_X - \mathcal{B}_Y -measurable.

Proof: Let \mathcal{N} be generated by \mathcal{E} . Then, for any $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{E}$, it is the case that $E_1^c \in \mathcal{N}$ or $E_1 \cup E_2 \in \mathcal{N}$.

Let f be measurable. Then, since $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$, and for any $E \in \mathcal{N}$, $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$, it is the case that for any $E \in \mathcal{E}$, $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Let f be a function such that for any $E \in \mathcal{E}$, $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$. So, $f^{-1}(E^c) = (f^{-1}(E))^c \in \mathcal{M}$, and $f^{-1}(E_1 \cup E_2) = f^{-1}(E_1) \cup f^{-1}(E_2) \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore, for any $E \in \mathcal{N}$, it must be the case that $f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Since the preimage of any element of the topology on Y is the topology on X if f is continuous, it is the case that such a continuous function is \mathcal{B}_{X} - \mathcal{B}_{Y} -measurable.

Problem 6

Suppose (Ω, \mathcal{M}) is a measurable space and $f : \Omega \to \Lambda$ is a map. Show that $\mathcal{N} := \{E \subseteq \Lambda \mid f^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a σ -algebra on Λ and f is \mathcal{M} - \mathcal{N} -measurable. \mathcal{N} is called the σ -algebra produced by f.

Problem 7

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and suppose $\{E_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a decreasing sequence of measurable sets with $\mu(E_1) < \infty$. Show that

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{k\geq 1} E_k\right) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mu(E_k)$$
$$= \inf_{k>1} \mu(E_k).$$

Proof: We see that for n, $\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} E_k = E_n$. Therefore, $\mu\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} E_k\right) = \mu(E_n)$, meaning

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n} E_k\right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(E_n).$$

Problem 8

Let (Ω, \mathcal{M}) and (Λ, \mathcal{N}) be measurable spaces and suppose $f : \Omega \to \Lambda$ is measurable. If μ is a measure on \mathcal{M} , show that

$$f_*\mu:\mathcal{N}\to[0,\infty]; \ f_*\mu(E):=\mu(f^{-1}(E))$$

defines a measure on (Λ, \mathcal{N}) . This is called the pushforward measure.

Proof: Clearly, $f_*\mu(\emptyset)=0$. Let $E_1,E_2\in\mathcal{N}$ be disjoint and nonempty. Note that $E_1\sqcup E_2\in\mathcal{N}$. Thus,

$$f_*\mu(E_1 \sqcup E_2) = \mu \left(f^{-1}(E_1 \sqcup E_2) \right)$$

$$= \mu \left(f^{-1}(E_1) \sqcup f^{-1}(E_2) \right)$$

$$= \mu(f^{-1}(E_1)) + \mu(f^{-1}(E_2))$$

$$= f_*\mu(E_1) + f_*\mu(E_2),$$

meaning $f_*\mu$ is a measure on (Λ, \mathcal{N}) .

Problem 9

A group G is paradoxical if there are pairwise disjoint subsets of G; $E_1, \ldots, E_n, F_1, \ldots, F_m$ and group elements $t_1, \ldots, t_n, s_1, \ldots, s_m$ such that

$$G = \bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n} t_j E_j$$
$$= \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{m} s_k F_k.$$

A mean on a group G is a finitely additive probability measure $\nu: \mathcal{P}(G) \to [0,1]$ that is translation invariant; that is, $\nu(tE) = \nu(E)$ for all $E \subseteq G$ and $t \in G$. A group is said to be amenable if it admits a mean.

Show that a paradoxical group is nonamenable.

Proof: Let G be paradoxical. Suppose toward contradiction that there existed such a ν . Then, $\nu(G)$, and

$$\nu(G) = \nu\left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^{n} t_j E_j\right)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu(t_j E_j)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu(E_j).$$

We know that $G \cup s_1 F_1 = G$, meaning $\nu(G) = \nu(G \cup s_1 F_1)$. However,

$$\nu(G \cup s_1 F_1) = \nu \left(\bigsqcup_{j=1}^n t_j E_j \sqcup s_1 F_1 \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \nu(t_j E_j) + \nu(s_1 F_1)$$

$$= \nu(G) + \nu(s_1 F_1)$$

$$= \nu(G) + \nu(F_1)$$

$$> \nu(G).$$

Problem 10

Let Δ be a totally disconnected compact metric space (for example, the Cantor set). Suppose $\varphi: C(\Delta) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a state — φ is linear, continuous, positive, and $\varphi(\mathbb{1}_{\Delta}) = 1$.

- (i) Show that $C := \{E \mid E \subseteq \Delta\}$ is an algebra of subsets on Δ .
- (ii) Show that

$$\mu_0: \mathcal{C} \to [0, 1]; \quad \mu_0(E) = \varphi(\mathbb{1}_E)$$

is a well-defined finitely additive measure.

(iii) If $\{E_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a countable family of members of $\mathcal C$ such that $\bigsqcup_{k\geq 1} E_k \in \mathcal C$, show that

$$\mu_0\left(\bigsqcup_{k>1}E_k\right)=\sum_{k=1}^\infty\mu_0(E_k).$$

Proof:

- (i) If $E \in \mathcal{C}$, then $E \subseteq \Delta$, so $E^c \subseteq \Delta$, and for $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, $E_1 \cup E_2 \in \Delta$.
- (ii) Let $E, F \in \mathcal{C}$ with $E \cap F = \emptyset$. Then,

$$\mu_0(E \sqcup F) = \varphi (\mathbb{1}_{E \sqcup F})$$

$$= \varphi (\mathbb{1}_E + \mathbb{1}_F)$$

$$= \varphi (\mathbb{1}_E) + \varphi (\mathbb{1}_F)$$

$$= \mu_0(E) + \mu_0(F).$$

(iii) Let $\{E_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ be a countable family of members of $\mathcal C$ with $\bigsqcup_{k\geq 1} E_k \in \mathcal C$.