## Problem (Problem 2):

(a) Determine the Laurent series expansion of the function

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{(z-3)^2(z-4)}$$

that converges on A(0,3,4).

- (b) Show that there does not exist a holomorphic function  $f: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $|f(z)| \ge |z|^{-2/3}$ . **Solution:** 
  - (a) We start by taking a partial fraction decomposition of f to yield

$$f(z) = \frac{4}{z-4} - \frac{4}{z-3} - \frac{3}{(z-3)^2}$$
$$= \frac{4}{z-4} - \frac{4}{z-3} + 3\frac{d}{dz} \left(\frac{1}{z-3}\right)$$

We seek to expand about z = 0 within the ball U(0,4) and outside the closed ball B(0,3). This means that the first term in our partial fraction expansion becomes

$$a_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{4^n}.$$

The expansion in the second and third terms will require a little bit more work. Dividing out by z, we find that the second term becomes

$$a_2(z) = -\frac{4}{z(1 - \frac{3}{z})}$$

$$= -\frac{4}{z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{3^n}{z^n}$$

$$= -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{4 \cdot 3^{n-1}}{z^n}$$

$$= -\sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} 12(3^{-n})z^n.$$

Finally, for the third term, we observe that, using term-by-term differentiation (allowable as the series is uniformly convergent), we have

$$3\frac{d}{dz}\left(\frac{1}{z-3}\right) = 3\frac{d}{dz}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 3^{n-1}z^{-n}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} -n3^{n}z^{-(n+1)}$$
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} n3^{-n}z^{n-1}.$$

This yields a Laurent series expansion of

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{4^n} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} (12(3^{-n})z^n + n3^{-n}z^{n-1}).$$

(b) Suppose toward contradiction that there were such an f(z). Since  $|z|^{-2/3}$  is strictly greater than zero along its domain, it would follow that |f(z)| would not have any zero along its domain. This means that  $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$ :  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$  would be defined on its entire domain. Furthermore, we would have

$$|g(z)| \le |z|^{2/3},$$

and on  $U(0, \varepsilon)$ , we know that  $|z|^{2/3}$  is bounded above by  $\varepsilon^{2/3}$  as  $|z|^{2/3}$ :  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geqslant 0}$  is an increasing function. Thus, since g would be locally bounded around 0, it would follow that g has a removable singularity at 0. This means that there is a holomorphic extension  $h: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  that agrees with g on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . In particular, we would have  $|h(z)| \leq |z|^{2/3}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Now, let R > 0. Using the Cauchy estimate on S(0, R), we have, for any fixed n > 0,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{h}^{(n)}(z) \right| &\leq \frac{n!}{R^n} \sup_{|z|=R} |\mathbf{h}(z)| \\ &\leq \frac{n!}{R^n} \sup_{|z|=R} |z|^{2/3} \\ &= \frac{n!}{R^{n-2/3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Yet, since R is arbitrary, it follows that  $|h^{(n)}(z)| = 0$  for all n > 0, whence h is constant. Yet, since  $|h(z)| \le |z|^{2/3}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , it follows that  $|h(z)| \le \varepsilon^{2/3}$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , whence |h(z)| = 0 for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . At the same time, we explicitly defined g(z) in a manner such that it could never equal zero, meaning that such an f cannot exist.

**Problem** (Problem 3): Let 0 < r < R. Show that there does not exist a holomorphic bijection  $f: \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\} \to A(0, r, R)$ .

**Solution:** Suppose there were such a holomorphic bijection. Notice that for all  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ , we would then have  $|f(z)| < \mathbb{R}$ , meaning that f is necessarily locally bounded close to 0. Thus, the singularity at 0 is removable, so there is a unique holomorphic function  $g \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$  with  $g|_{\mathbb{D}\setminus\{0\}} = f$ .

We notice that g is an injection, as  $g|_{\mathbb{D}\setminus\{0\}}$  is a bijection and g(0) is uniquely defined. As a result, it follows that the restriction  $g\colon \mathbb{D}\to \mathrm{im}(g)$  is a holomorphic bijection. Furthermore, we also notice that

$$\lim_{z \to 0} |g(z)| = \lim_{z \to 0} |f(z)|$$

$$\geqslant r$$

$$> 0,$$

meaning that g is nonvanishing on  $\mathbb{D}$ . In particular, there is a logarithm  $h(z) \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$g(z) = e^{h(z)},$$

and  $f(z) = e^{h(z)}$  when restricted to  $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ . Now, since the identity map id:  $A(0, r, R) \to A(0, r, R)$  is a bijective holomorphic map with holomorphic inverse, it follows that

$$e^{h(z)} = id(f(z)).$$

Yet, this means that

$$id(z) = e^{h(f^{-1}(z))},$$

meaning that id admits a holomorphic logarithm. Yet, A(0, r, R) is not simply connected, while id is non-constant, which is a contradiction. Thus, no such f exists.

**Problem** (Problem 4): Show that if f is entire and satisfies  $\lim_{z\to\infty} f(z) = \infty$ , then f is a polynomial.

**Solution:** Consider the function  $g: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$  given by  $g(z) = f(\frac{1}{z})$ . Since f is entire and  $\frac{1}{z}$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , it follows that, given the power series expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

we have the Laurent series expansion

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^{-n}.$$

Observe that the limit  $\lim_{z\to\infty} f(z)$  is equivalent to  $\lim_{z\to 0} f(\frac{1}{z})$ , whence  $\lim_{z\to 0} g(z) = \infty$ . Therefore, g has a pole of order k at 0, whence

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n z^{-n}.$$

Since  $g(\frac{1}{z}) = f(z)$ , it then follows that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n z^n.$$