

Problem (Problem 1): Let R be a ring in which every element a satisfies $a^2 = a$. Show that

- (a) $2a = 0$ for every $a \in R$, so $a = -a$;
- (b) R is commutative.

Solution:

- (a) Let $a \in R$. We see that, since $a + a \in R$, $(a + a)^2 = a + a$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} a + a &= (a + a)^2 \\ &= (a + a)(a + a) \\ &= a^2 + a^2 + a^2 + a^2 \\ &= a + a + a + a, \end{aligned}$$

and since R is a ring, we see that $a + a = 0$, or that $a = -a$.

- (b) Similarly, if $a, b \in R$, then since $(a + b)^2 = a + b$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &= (a + b)^2 \\ &= (a + b)(a + b) \\ &= a^2 + b^2 + ab + ba \\ &= a + b + ab + ba, \end{aligned}$$

so $ab = -ba$, but since $-ba = ba$ by the previous part, we have $ab = ba$, and so R is commutative.

Problem (Problem 2): Let R be a ring with identity, and let R^\times be the set of invertible elements of R . Show that R^\times is a group under multiplication. What is $\mathbb{Z}[i]^\times$.

Solution: First, R^\times is nonempty, as R contains a multiplicative identity. Next, if $a, b \in R^\times$, we see that ab admits the inverse $b^{-1}a^{-1}$, as

$$\begin{aligned} (ab)(b^{-1}a^{-1}) &= a(bb^{-1})a^{-1} \\ &= aa^{-1} \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (b^{-1}a^{-1})(ab) &= b^{-1}(a^{-1}a)b \\ &= b^{-1}b \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

so R^\times is closed under multiplication. Similarly, since $(b^{-1})^{-1} = b$ for any $b \in R^\times$, every element of R^\times has a multiplicative inverse, so R^\times is a group.

To understand the picture of $\mathbb{Z}[i]^\times$, we try to understand when, given $a + bi \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $\frac{1}{a+bi} \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. Doing the hand calculations, we see that

$$\frac{1}{a+bi} = \frac{1}{a^2+b^2}(a-bi).$$

Therefore, we see that this holds if and only if $a = \pm 1$ and $b = 0$, or $b = \pm 1$ and $a = 0$, meaning that $\mathbb{Z}[i]^\times = \{1, i, -1, -i\}$.

Problem (Problem 3): Fix an integer $n > 1$. Recall that for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $a \equiv b$ modulo n if $a - b$ is divisible by n . Show that this relation is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} . Furthermore, show that if $a \equiv b$

modulo n , and $c \equiv d$ modulo n , then

$$a + c \equiv b + d \text{ modulo } n, \text{ and } ac \equiv bd \text{ modulo } n.$$

Solution: Since 0 is divisible by n , it is clear that $a \equiv a$ modulo n , so the relation is reflexive.

If $a \equiv b$ modulo n , then since $n|(a - b)$, we must also have $n|(b - a)$, so $b \equiv a$ modulo n , so the relation is symmetric.

Finally, if $a \equiv b$ modulo n and $b \equiv c$ modulo n , then since $n|a - b$ and $n|b - c$, by adding, we see that $n|(a - b) + (b - c)$, so $n|a - c$ and $a \equiv c$ modulo n , so the relation is transitive.

Now, if $a \equiv b$ modulo n , and $c \equiv d$ modulo n , then since $n|(a - b)$ and $n|(c - d)$, by adding, we see that $n|(a + c) - (b + d)$, so $a + c \equiv b + d$ modulo n . To see the last equivalence, we rewrite $a = b + kn$, $c = d + \ell n$, where $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, multiplying things out, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} ac &= (b + kn)(d + \ell n) \\ &= bd + nkd + \ell nb + k\ell n^2 \\ &= bd + (kd + \ell b + k\ell n)n, \end{aligned}$$

and since $kd + \ell b + k\ell n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $ac \equiv bd$ modulo n .

Problem (Problem 4): Show that a finite commutative ring with 1 and without zero divisors is a field.

Solution: Let $a \in R$, and consider the map $\varphi_a: R \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow R \setminus \{0\}$ given by $b \mapsto ab$. We see that if $ab = ac$, then $a(b - c) = 0$, and since $a \neq 0$, we see that $b = c$, so φ_a is injective. Since φ_a is an injective self-map of a finite set, φ_a is surjective, so φ_a is bijective, and thus $\varphi_a^{-1}(1)$ is well-defined, so $a\varphi_a^{-1}(1) = 1$, meaning a has a right-inverse. Since R is commutative, we have $\varphi_a^{-1}(1)a = 1$, so R is a field.

Problem (Problem 5): Let $R = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be the ring of real $n \times n$ matrices. Show that if A satisfies $\det(A) = 0$, then there exist nonzero $B, C \in R$ such that $AB = 0_n$ and $CA = 0_n$.

Solution: If A is the zero matrix, then the problem is trivial, so we assume A is not the zero matrix. By the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, since 0 is an eigenvalue of A , we must have that $c_A(t)$ includes a factor of t , which is irreducible, so that the minimal polynomial $m_A(t)$ has a factor of t . Thus, we may write $m_A(t) = tp(t)$, where $p(t)$ is some other monic polynomial. Since $\deg p(t) < \deg m_A(t)$, this means that $p(A) \neq 0_n$ (else, it would contradict the minimality of p). By setting $B = p(A)$, we find our desired nonzero matrix B such that $AB = BA = 0_n$.

Problem (Problem 6): An element $x \in R$ is called *nilpotent* if there exists $n > 0$ such that $x^n = 0$.

Assume R is a commutative ring with identity. Show that if $x \in R$ is nilpotent, then

(a) rx is nilpotent for any $r \in R$;

(b) $1 + x$ is invertible.

Solution:

(a) We see that, since R is commutative,

$$\begin{aligned} (rx)^n &= (rx)(rx) \cdots (rx) \\ &= r^n x^n \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so rx is nilpotent.

(b) We see that if a is nilpotent, then

$$1 = 1 - a^n$$

$$= (1 - a)(1 + a + \cdots + a^{n-1}),$$

meaning that $1 - a$ is invertible. Furthermore, we note that if a is nilpotent, then so is $-a$, as $-a = (-1)a$, allowing us to apply part (a). Thus, $1 + x = 1 - (-x)$ is invertible if x is nilpotent.

Problem (Problem 7): Let $R = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$, where \mathbb{F} is a field. Show that if I is a nonzero 2-sided ideal of R , then $I = R$.

Solution: We show that if I is a nonzero two-sided ideal in $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{F})$, then $I_n \in I$.

Since I is nonzero, there is some matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j} \in I$ such that at particular indices i_0 and j_0 , $a_{i_0 j_0} \neq 0$. Since $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$ for all i, j , we have that $a_{i_0 j_0}^{-1}$ exists.

Let $e_{k\ell}$ be the matrix unit with a position 1 at index (k, ℓ) and zero elsewhere. Then, via some matrix algebra, we see that

$$a_{i_0 j_0}(e_{kk})_{i,j} = (e_{ki_0})_{i,j}(a_{ij})_{i,j}(e_{j_0 k})_{i,j}$$

which is necessarily in I , as I is a two-sided ideal. Therefore, since \mathbb{F} is a field, we see that $(e_{kk})_{i,j} \in I$ for each k , so $\sum_{k=1}^n (e_{kk})_{i,j} \in I$, so $I_n \in I$, meaning $I = R$.

Problem (Problem 8): Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider \mathbb{Z}^n as a ring with component-wise addition and multiplication.

(a) Prove that $\text{aut}_{\text{group}}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

(b) Prove that $\text{aut}_{\text{ring}}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong \text{Sym}(n)$.

Solution: Before we start, we first notice that every element of \mathbb{Z}^n can be written as

$$v = a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + \cdots + a_n e_n,$$

where e_j are the standard basis of \mathbb{Z}^n and $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for each j . Therefore, if φ is any automorphism as either a group or a ring, we may write $\varphi(v)$ as some integer linear combination of $\varphi(e_j)$, where the e_j are the standard basis vectors for \mathbb{Z}^n .

(a) Let $\varphi \in \text{aut}_{\text{group}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. If $v \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is some vector, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(v) &= \varphi(a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + \cdots + a_n e_n) \\ &= a_1 \varphi(e_1) + a_2 \varphi(e_2) + \cdots + a_n \varphi(e_n). \end{aligned}$$

Since a linear transformation may be specified uniquely via a basis, we may specify a matrix element $A_\varphi \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$A_\varphi e_j = \varphi(e_j)$$

for each j . Note that since each φ is invertible, each A_φ may have A_φ^{-1} defined by $A_\varphi^{-1} e_j = \varphi^{-1}(e_j)$, so each $A_\varphi \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Similarly, we see that if $\psi, \varphi \in \text{aut}_{\text{group}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \varphi(e_j) &= A_\psi(\varphi(e_j)) \\ &= A_\psi(A_\varphi e_j) \\ &= A_\psi A_\varphi e_j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the map $\varphi \mapsto A_\varphi$ is an isomorphism, so $\text{aut}_{\text{group}}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

(b) Let $\varphi \in \text{aut}_{\text{ring}}$; notice that $\text{aut}_{\text{ring}} \subseteq \text{aut}_{\text{group}}$ meaning that we know already that φ can be written as some element of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that, for some e_k , we may write

$$\varphi(e_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k.$$

Notice then that, since $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta symbol, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(e_k^2) &= \varphi(e_k)\varphi(e_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^2 e_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k,\end{aligned}$$

meaning in particular that $a_k = 0$ or $a_k = 1$, seeing as the a_k are elements of \mathbb{Z} .

Now, given a standard basis vector e_i , we notice that

$$\varphi(e_i) = \sum_{m=1}^k e_{i_m}.$$

Yet, since φ is a ring automorphism, so too is φ^{-1} , so that

$$e_i = \sum_{m=1}^k \varphi^{-1}(e_{i_m}).$$

Now, this means that the sum $\sum_{m=1}^k \varphi^{-1}(e_{i_m})$ yields a 1 in position i and zero everywhere else; yet, since $\varphi^{-1}(e_{i_m})$ yields another sum of basis vectors, we see that this can only hold if $\varphi^{-1}(e_{i_\ell}) = e_i$ for exactly one specific ℓ (else, we get that e_i either has nonzero entries other than at i , or that the entry at i is greater than or equal to 2).

Thus, $\varphi(e_i) = e_k$ for some e_k ; since φ is injective on \mathbb{Z}^n , φ is necessarily injective on $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ as we just established. Therefore, φ is some permutation of $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, so $\text{aut}_{\text{ring}} \cong \text{Sym}(n)$.