

**Problem** (Problem 1): Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module.

- (a) Prove that for every  $m \in M$ , the map  $r \mapsto r \cdot m$  from  $R$  to  $M$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules.
- (b) Assume that  $R$  is commutative and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Prove that there is an isomorphism  $\text{hom}_R(R, M) \cong M$  as left  $R$ -modules.

**Solution:**

- (a) Let  $m \in M$  be fixed, and define  $\varphi_m: R \rightarrow M$  by

$$\varphi_m(r) = r \cdot m.$$

It follows from the axioms of left  $R$ -modules that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_m(r + s) &= (r + s) \cdot m \\ &= r \cdot m + s \cdot m \\ &= \varphi_m(r) + \varphi_m(s),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_m(rs) &= (rs) \cdot m \\ &= r \cdot (s \cdot m) \\ &= r \cdot (\varphi_m(s)),\end{aligned}$$

so that  $\varphi_m$  is a homomorphism of left  $R$ -modules.

- (b) If  $\varphi_m: R \rightarrow M$  is the homomorphism as defined in part (a), we define a map  $\varphi: M \rightarrow \text{hom}_R(R, M)$  by

$$\varphi(m)(r) = \varphi_m(r).$$

First, we verify that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. If  $r \in R$  is arbitrary, then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(m + n)(r) &= \varphi_{m+n}(r) \\ &= r \cdot (m + n) \\ &= r \cdot m + r \cdot n \\ &= \varphi_m(r) + \varphi_n(r) \\ &= (\varphi(m) + \varphi(n))(r).\end{aligned}$$

To see that  $\varphi$  is injective, we see that  $\ker(\varphi)$  consists of all elements  $m \in M$  such that  $\varphi(m) = \varphi_0$ , where  $\varphi_0: R \rightarrow M$  takes  $r \mapsto 0$  for all  $r \in R$ . In particular, since  $1 \in R$ , it follows that  $1 \cdot m = m = 0$ , meaning that  $\ker(\varphi) = \{0\}$ .

To see that  $\varphi$  is surjective, we observe that for any  $\psi \in \text{hom}_R(R, M)$ ,  $\psi$  is fully determined by where it maps  $1$ , as

$$\psi(r) = r \cdot \psi(1).$$

Therefore, if  $\psi \in \text{hom}_R(R, M)$ , then we may find  $m \in M$  corresponding to  $\psi$  by taking

$$m := \psi(1).$$

Thus,  $M \cong \text{hom}_R(R, M)$ .

**Problem** (Problem 3): Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $M$  a left  $R$ -module.

- (a) Let  $N$  be a subset of  $M$ . The *annihilator* of  $N$  is defined to be the set

$$\text{ann}_R(N) = \{r \in R \mid r \cdot n = 0 \text{ for all } n \in N\}.$$

Prove that  $\text{ann}_R(N)$  is a left-ideal of  $R$ .

- (b) Show that if  $N$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ , then  $\text{ann}_R(N)$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R$ .

- (c) For a subset  $I$  of  $R$ , the *annihilator* of  $I$  in  $M$  is defined to be the set

$$\text{ann}_M(I) = \{m \in M \mid x \cdot m = 0 \text{ for all } x \in I\}.$$

Find a natural condition on  $I$  that guarantees  $\text{ann}_M(I)$  is a submodule of  $M$ .

- (d) Let  $R$  be an integral domain. Prove that every finitely generated torsion  $R$ -module has a nonzero annihilator.

**Solution:**

- (a) First, we observe that  $\text{ann}_R(N)$  is nonempty, as  $0 \in \text{ann}_R(N)$ . Additionally, if  $s, t \in \text{ann}_R(N)$ , then for all  $n \in N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (s - t) \cdot n &= s \cdot n - t \cdot n \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that  $N$  is closed under subtraction. Finally, if  $r \in R$  and  $s \in \text{ann}_R(N)$ , then for all  $n \in N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (rs) \cdot n &= r \cdot (s \cdot n) \\ &= r \cdot 0 \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

meaning that  $rs \in \text{ann}_R(N)$ , or that  $\text{ann}_R(N)$  is a left-ideal of  $R$ .

- (b) Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ , and let  $s \in \text{ann}_R(N)$ . If  $r \in R$ , then for all  $n \in N$ ,  $r \cdot n \in N$ , so that  $(sr) \cdot n = s \cdot (r \cdot n) = 0$ , meaning that  $sr \in \text{ann}_R(N)$ . Thus,  $\text{ann}_R(N)$  is a right-ideal, hence a two-sided ideal for  $R$ .

- (c) We observe to start that  $\text{ann}_M(I)$  contains 0 and is additively closed, since if  $m, n \in \text{ann}_M(I)$  and  $x \in I$  are arbitrary, then

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (m + n) &= x \cdot m + x \cdot n \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we desire for  $\text{ann}_M(I)$  to be a submodule of  $M$ , we would need  $r \cdot m \in \text{ann}_M(I)$  for all  $m \in \text{ann}_M(I)$ , which would mean  $r \cdot m$  would have to satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x \cdot (r \cdot m) \\ &= (xr) \cdot m, \end{aligned}$$

meaning that we would require  $xr \in \text{ann}_M(I)$ . In other words, this means that  $\text{ann}_M(I)$  would have to be a right-ideal for  $R$ .