

## Distributions and Estimates

The purpose of both of these distributions is to allow for inferences about  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  in an unknown distribution. Both are quotients of known distributions.

### Preliminaries

**Sample Mean:** Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be a random, independent sample from a distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then,

$$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \quad \text{Sample Mean}$$

is a distribution with mean  $\bar{\mu} = \mu$  and variance  $\bar{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ . If the underlying distribution is a normal distribution, then  $\frac{\bar{Y} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$  is a *standard* normal distribution.

**Sample Variance:** The *sample variance* is defined as

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2. \quad \text{Sample Variance}$$

It is important to note that the sample variance is found for samples drawn from a distribution; for population standard deviation/variance, we use  $n$  instead of  $n-1$  in the denominator.

When  $Y_i$  is a normal distribution, then  $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$  is a  $\chi^2$  distribution with  $n-1$  df —  $S^2$  and  $\bar{Y}$  are independent.

### Definition of $T$ Distribution

Let  $Z$  be a standard normal distribution,  $W$  be  $\chi^2$  with  $\nu$  df, and  $Z$  and  $W$  be independent. Then,

$$T = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{W/\nu}}$$

has a  $T$  distribution with  $\nu$  df.

**Creating a  $T$  Distribution:** Let  $Y_i$  be sampled from a normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$ .

Then,  $Z = \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$  is a standard normal distribution, and  $W = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$  is  $\chi^2$  with  $n-1$  df.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \frac{Z}{\sqrt{W/(n-1)}} \\ &= \frac{(\bar{Y} - \mu)\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)\sigma^2}{S^2}} \\ &= \frac{(\bar{Y} - \mu)\sqrt{n}}{S} \end{aligned}$$

has a  $T$  distribution with  $n-1$  df.

**$T$  Distribution:** Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_6$  be samples from a normal distribution with unknown  $\mu, \sigma$ . Estimate  $P(|\bar{Y} - \mu| < (2S/\sqrt{n}))$ .

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(|\bar{Y} - \mu| \leq \frac{2S}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &= P\left(-2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - \mu)}{S} \leq 2\right) \\ &= P(-2 \leq T \leq 2) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $n = 6$ , we have that our random variable  $T$  has 5 df. By looking at a  $T$  distribution table, we can find that  $P \approx 0.9$ . We can also use R.

## Definition of $F$ Distribution

Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be independent  $\chi^2$  distributions with  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  df respectively. Then, the  $F$  distribution with  $\nu_1$  numerator df and  $\nu_2$  denominator df is found as follows:

$$F = \frac{W_1/\nu_1}{W_2/\nu_2}$$

**Simplifying an  $F$  Distribution:** Let  $n_1$  samples be drawn from normal distribution with mean  $\mu_1$  and variance  $\sigma_1^2$ , and  $n_2$  samples be drawn from normal distribution with mean  $\mu_2$  and variance  $\sigma_2^2$ . Both distributions are independent.

From each of these samples, we find the sample variance, and create  $\chi^2$  distributions with their respective df.

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 &= \frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \\ W_2 &= \frac{(n_2 - 1)S_2^2}{\sigma_2^2} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{W_1/(n_1 - 1)}{W_2/(n_2 - 1)} \\ &= \frac{(n_1 - 1)S_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (n_2 - 1)}{\sigma_1^2 (n_1 - 1) (n_2 - 1) S_2^2} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_2^2 S_1^2}{\sigma_1^2 S_2^2} \end{aligned}$$

as an  $F$  distribution with  $n_1 - 1$  numerator df and  $n_2 - 1$  denominator df.

**Applying the  $F$  Distribution:** Let  $n_1 = 6$  and  $n_2 = 10$  be two samples from independent normal distributions with the same  $\sigma^2$ . Find  $b$  such that  $P\left(\frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} \leq b\right) = 0.95$ .

$$\frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} = \frac{S_1^2/\sigma^2}{S_2^2/\sigma^2}$$

The given  $F$  distribution has 5 numerator df and 9 denominator df. Therefore, we want to find  $0.95 = P(F_{5,9} < b)$ , or find the 0.95 quantile; in R, we find this with the `qt` function.

## Normal Approximation of Binomial

Recall that a binomial distribution  $Y$  with  $n$  trials and  $p$  probability of success has probabilities found below:

$$P(Y \leq \ell) = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}.$$

For very large  $n$ , this sum is hard to calculate. We could approximate with the Poisson distribution, but this still requires a lot of calculations and large factorial values. Instead, we will try the following:

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= \begin{cases} 1 & i \text{ trial success} \\ 0 & i \text{ trial failure} \end{cases} \\ E(X_i) &= p \\ E(X_i^2) &= p \\ V(X_i) &= p(1-p) \\ \bar{X} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \frac{Y}{n} \\ E(\bar{X}) &= p \\ V(\bar{X}) &= \frac{p(1-p)}{n} \end{aligned}$$

By the Central Limit Theorem, we approximate  $\bar{X}$  as a normal distribution with mean  $p$  and standard deviation  $\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$ .

Alternatively, we can create, for large fixed  $n$ ,  $Y = n\bar{X}$  with mean  $np$  and standard deviation  $\sqrt{np(1-p)}$ .

For example, consider  $p = 0.5$ ,  $n = 100$ ,  $Y = \text{number of successes}$ . To find  $P(\frac{Y}{n} > 0.55)$ . By the Central Limit Theorem, this is approximately a normal distribution with mean 0.5 and standard deviation 0.05.

**Applying Central Limit Theorem:** Let  $Y$  be a binomial distribution with  $n = 25$  and  $p = 0.4$ . Then,  $\mu = np = 10$ , and standard deviation  $\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} = 5\sqrt{0.24}$ .

To find  $P(Y \leq 8)$ , we can potentially approximate with  $P(X \leq 8.5)$  — the reason we use 8.5 instead of 8 is due to the fact that  $n$  may not be large enough, a process known as the continuity correction.

Using standardization (or R), we find that this probability is approximately 0.269.

The actual probability  $P(Y \leq 8)$  is found as below:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \leq 8) &= \sum_{k=0}^8 \binom{25}{k} (0.4)^k (0.6)^{1-k} \\ &= 0.274 \end{aligned}$$

The normal approximation for the binomial is adequate when  $p \pm 3\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} \in (0, 1)$ . Essentially, the binomial trial needs to have an adequate sample size such that the “spread” is small. This is equivalent to  $n \geq 9 \frac{\max(p, 1-p)}{\min(p, 1-p)}$ .

## Estimators

Let  $Y$  be a random variable with an *unknown* distribution.

**Parameter:** Feature of  $Y$ 's distribution that are not computable from samples.

**Examples of Parameters:**  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ ,  $m'_k$ , interval  $(a, b) \ni P(y \in I) = 0.95$ .

**Statistic:** Random variable that is computable from samples.

**Examples of Statistics:** sample mean,  $\bar{Y}$ , sample variance,  $S^2$ ,  $Y_{(i)}$ .

**Estimator:** a statistic intended to approximate a parameter. A point estimator estimates a single value.

**Examples of Estimators:**  $\bar{Y}$  as an estimator for  $\mu$ , and  $S^2$  as an estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

## Bias and Mean Square Error of Estimators

We want to find  $\theta$ , a constant parameter of the underlying distribution —  $\hat{\theta}$  is a random variable.

If  $E(\hat{\theta})$  is close to  $\theta$ , we can say that  $\hat{\theta}$  is a good estimator — more precisely, we define the bias  $B(\hat{\theta}) = E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$ , and if  $B(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ , then  $\hat{\theta}$  is an unbiased estimator.

In addition to minimizing bias, to see whether or not an estimator is good requires minimizing the variance of the estimator — the mean squared estimator  $MSE(\hat{\theta}) = V(\hat{\theta}) + B(\hat{\theta})^2$ . Notice that for an *unbiased* estimator,  $MSE(\hat{\theta}) = V(\hat{\theta})$ .

**Exercise 8.12:** Let  $\theta$  be the true voltage of some electronic device. The voltage test has results uniformly distributed over  $[\theta, \theta + 1]$ . There are  $n$  tests,  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$ . Evaluate  $\bar{Y}$  as an estimator for  $\theta$ .

**Solution:** Since the voltage is uniformly distributed over  $[\theta, \theta + 1]$ , we have that  $Y_i$  is uniform on  $[\theta, \theta + 1]$ . Therefore,  $E(Y_i) = \theta + 0.5$ , and  $V(Y_i) = \frac{1}{12}$ .

Therefore,  $E(\bar{Y}) = \theta + 0.5$ , and  $V(\bar{Y}) = \frac{1}{12n}$ , meaning  $MSE(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{12n} + \frac{1}{4}$ .

If we want an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ , we take  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{Y} - \frac{1}{2}$ . Then,  $E(\hat{\theta}) = E(\bar{Y}) - E(1/2) - \theta = 0$ . By shifting this estimator, our new MSE is  $\frac{1}{12n}$ .

**Example 8.1:** We will compare the two estimators of  $\sigma^2$ : sample variance and population variance.

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

$$S'^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

**Solution:** Recall  $V(X) = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2$ . Therefore,  $E(X^2) = V(X) + (E(X))^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_i^2) &= V(Y_i) + (E(Y_i))^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 + \mu^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\bar{Y}^2) &= V(\bar{Y}) + (E(\bar{Y}))^2 \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 &= \sum (Y_i^2 - 2Y_i\bar{Y} + \bar{Y}^2) \\
 &= \sum Y_i^2 - 2\bar{Y} \sum Y_i + \sum \bar{Y}^2 \\
 &= \sum Y_i^2 - 2n\bar{Y}^2 + n\bar{Y}^2 \\
 &= \sum_{Y_i}^2 - n\bar{Y}^2 \\
 E\left(\sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2\right) &= E\left(\sum Y_i^2\right) - nE(\bar{Y}^2) \\
 &= n(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - n\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2\right) \\
 &= (n-1)\sigma^2 \\
 B(S'^2) &= \frac{1}{n}(n-1)\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \\
 &= -\frac{1}{n}\sigma^2 \neq 0 \\
 B(S^2) &= \frac{1}{n-1}(n-1)\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$S'^2$  is known as the *biased sample variance*, while  $S^2$  is the unbiased sample variance.

The standard error  $\sigma_{\hat{\theta}} = \sqrt{V(\hat{\theta})}$ . If  $\hat{\theta}$  is unbiased, then  $\sigma_{\hat{\theta}} = \sqrt{\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta})}$

## Errors and Confidence Intervals

**Error of Estimation:** The error of estimation is  $\varepsilon = |\hat{\theta} - \theta|$ . Notice that while  $\theta$  is a fixed value,  $\varepsilon$  is a random variable.

We say  $\hat{\theta}$  is a “good” estimator if there is a high probability that  $\varepsilon$  is small. Specifically,  $\varepsilon$  being small often means  $\exists b$  such that  $\varepsilon < b$  — alternatively,  $|\hat{\theta} - \theta| < b$ , meaning  $\theta - b < \hat{\theta} < \theta + b$ , so  $\hat{\theta} \in (\theta - b, \theta + b)$ .

We often set  $b$  to be  $2\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$ , or  $2 \cdot \text{SE}(\hat{\theta})$ .

When  $\hat{\theta}$  is unbiased,  $\mu_{\hat{\theta}} = E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$ . So, the  $2\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$  interval about  $\theta$  is the same as the  $2\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$  about  $\hat{\theta}$ .

Finally,  $\hat{\theta}$  often, but not always, has an approximate normal distribution. Therefore, the probability that  $\hat{\theta}$  is within  $2\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$  of  $\mu_{\hat{\theta}}$  is approximately 0.95. Specifically,  $P(|\hat{\theta} - \mu_{\hat{\theta}}| < 2\sigma_{\hat{\theta}})$ .

Recall that Chebyshev's Theorem states that  $P(|\hat{\theta} - \mu_{\hat{\theta}}| < 2\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{2^2} = 0.75$ .

**Example 8.3:** Suppose there are two types of tire.  $n_1 = n_2 = 100$  of each type, with  $Y_1 = Y_2$  = miles tire lasts.  $\bar{Y}_1 = 26400$  miles while  $\bar{Y}_2 = 25100$  miles.  $S_1^2 = 144000000$  and  $S_2^2 = 196000000$ .

Let's try to estimate how much longer tire 1 lasts than tire 2. First, we will use an unbiased estimator

for the mean (sample mean).

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{Y_1 - Y_2} &= \mu_{Y_1} - \mu_{Y_2} \\ &\approx \bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2 \\ &= 1300 \\ \sigma_{\bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}_2} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}} \\ &\approx \sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}} \\ &= 184.4\end{aligned}$$

Table 8.1

$S^2$  an unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$

Therefore, the difference in the life expectancy between the types is about 1300 miles, and there is approximately probability 0.95 chance that the life expectancy is within 368.8 miles of 1300.

The interval  $[1300 - 368.8, 1300 + 368.6]$  is called an interval estimator or confidence interval, expressed as  $[\hat{\theta}_L, \hat{\theta}_H]$ .

- $\hat{\theta}_L$ : lower confidence limit, a left endpoint estimator.
- $\hat{\theta}_H$ : upper confidence limit, a right endpoint estimator.

**Example 8.4:** One sample,  $Y$ , from exponential distribution with PDF

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-y/\theta} & y \in [0, \infty) \\ 0 & y \in (-\infty, 0) \end{cases}$$

To estimate  $\theta$ , we would prefer a PDF without  $\theta$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}U &= \frac{Y}{\theta} && \text{pivotal quantity} \\ F_U(u) &= P(U \leq u) \\ &= P(Y/\theta \leq u) \\ &= F_Y(u\theta) \\ &= 1 - e^{-u} \\ f(u) &= \begin{cases} e^{-u} & u \in [0, \infty) \\ 0 & u \in (-\infty, 0) \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

We want  $a, b$  such that  $P(a \leq \theta \leq b) = 0.9$ . Pick  $c, d$  such that  $P(c \leq U \leq d) = 0.9$ .

By integrating, we find  $c = -\ln(0.95) = 0.051$ , and  $d = 2.996$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned}0.9 &= P(0.051 \leq U \leq 2.996) \\ &= P(0.051 \leq Y/\theta \leq 2.996) \\ &= P(0.051/Y \leq 1/\theta \leq 2.996/Y) \\ &= P(Y/2.996 \leq \theta \leq Y/0.051).\end{aligned}$$

meaning that for  $Y = 2$ , there is a probability 0.9 that  $\theta \in [0.668, 39]$ .

### Common Confidence Intervals

Last time, we used the method of pivots to find a confidence interval for  $\theta$ :

- (1) find a confidence interval for pivotal quantity,
- (2) solve for  $\theta$ .

Today, we will identify some parameters with the same pivotal quantity, meaning we can find a confidence interval directly instead of using the method of pivots.

The larger the sample size, the smaller the confidence interval, meaning the more accurate our approximation. However, we cannot just sample however many people as we want — we need to find the minimum number necessary to satisfy a certain confidence interval.

Table 8.1 discusses the various features we would like to suss out from a sampling distribution, such as  $\mu$ ,  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  from any distribution, and  $p$ , and  $p_1 - p_2$  from a binomial distribution.

All estimators in Table 8.1 are unbiased and approximately normal. The latter feature is that which we will use in large-sample confidence intervals. We can let

$$Z = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}}$$

then be a pivotal quantity.  $Z$  is an approximately standard normal distribution.

Since an unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  for  $\theta$  has an approximately normal distribution, and  $\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$  can be estimated from known quantities, we can find a confidence interval with coefficient  $1 - \alpha$ .

Considering  $Z$ , the standard normal (approximate) distribution, we find a central confidence interval by placing  $\alpha/2$  in each tail, with the endpoints of the interval at  $\pm z_{\alpha/2}$ . Then, using R or a table, we find  $z_{\alpha/2}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha &= P(-z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z \leq z_{\alpha/2}) \\ &= P\left(-z_{\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta}{\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}}\right) \\ &\vdots \\ &= P(\hat{\theta} - z_{\alpha/2}\sigma_{\hat{\theta}} \leq \theta \leq \hat{\theta} + z_{\alpha/2}\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\theta} - z_{\alpha/2}\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$  is the lower confidence limit and  $\hat{\theta} + z_{\alpha/2}\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$  is the higher confidence limit.

**Example 8.8** Comparing samples  $A$  and  $B$ , where  $n_1 = 50$  for  $A$ , 12 fail, and  $n_2 = 60$  for  $B$ , where 12 fail. We want to find a 0.98 confidence interval for  $p_1 - p_2$ . If this CI contains 0, then we see that  $A$  and  $B$  last approximately the same time.

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\theta} &= \hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \\
 &= \frac{Y_1}{n_1} - \frac{Y_2}{n_2} \\
 &= \frac{12}{50} - \frac{12}{60} \\
 &= 0.04 \\
 z_{\alpha/2} &= 2.33 \\
 \sigma_{\hat{\theta}} &= \sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}} \\
 &\approx \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}} \\
 &\approx 0.079
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the 0.98 confidence interval for  $p_1 - p_2$  is  $[-0.145, 0.225]$ , which contains zero, implying that we can assume  $A$  and  $B$  fail at similar rates with probability 0.98.

## Selecting Sample Size

We will select  $n$  by guessing things. Recall that for the estimators in Table 8.1, the confidence interval for  $1 - \alpha$  is  $\hat{\theta} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$ .

**Example 1:** Use  $\bar{Y}$  to estimate  $\mu$  of yield  $Y$  tons. We want a 0.95 confidence interval with radius 5 tons. Therefore, we want to find  $n$  for this radius.

By the central limit theorem, we know that  $\bar{Y}$  is approximately normal with mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma/\sqrt{n}$ . Therefore,  $\bar{Y}$  is within  $2\sigma_{\bar{Y}}$  with probability 0.95, meaning  $z_{\alpha/2} = 2$ .

We want  $z_{\alpha/2} \sigma_{\hat{\theta}} = 5$ . Therefore,  $n = \frac{4\sigma^2}{25}$ . However, we also do not know  $\sigma$ . If we had a sample, we could use  $\sigma^2 \approx S^2$ . However, we want to find  $n$ , we do not have a sample.

Suppose we have an idea of the range of  $Y$ , approximately 84. Then,  $\sigma \approx 21$ , as the range is approximately  $4\sigma$ , so  $n \approx 71$ .

The results suggest that if we took 71 samples, there is a 95% confidence that the samples will be within 5 tons of the true mean. Note that  $\bar{Y}$  will likely have an error much lower than 5.

- (1) If this 0.95 confidence interval is a true 0.95 confidence interval, then there is a 0.68 probability that our sample mean will be within one standard deviation, or an error of 2.5 tons.
- (2) There is a probability that  $\sigma$  is *smaller* than  $1/4$  of the range.

**Example 2:** Suppose there is a new drug with effects  $A$  or  $B$ . We will use the sample probability to estimate the real probability of  $A$ ,  $\hat{p} = \frac{Y}{n}$ . To get the drug approved by the FDA, we require a 0.90 confidence interval of the effect being within 0.04. We want to find a valid clinical trial size if  $p \approx 0.6$ , or if we have no knowledge of  $p$ .

Since we want a 0.9 confidence interval, we find  $z_{\alpha/2} = z_{0.05} = 1.645$ . Then,  $\sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$ , so  $1.645\sigma_{\hat{p}} = 0.04$ , so  $n = 406$ .



For  $p$  unknown, we have  $p \approx 0.05$  to maximize  $p(1 - p)$ , so there  $n = 423$ .

**Example 3:** We have two methods of training, creatively named method 1 and method 2, with  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  trainees in each method respectively. We want a 0.95 confidence interval that the difference between  $\bar{Y}_1$  and  $\bar{Y}_2$ , the sample mean of the average times, is within 1 minute of the true mean. We expect the range of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  to be about 8 minutes.

We have  $1 - \alpha = 0.95$ , meaning  $z_{\alpha/2} = z_{0.025} = 1.96$ . We find

$$\begin{aligned} 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n}} &= 1 \\ \sigma_1^2 &= \sigma_2^2 = \sigma^2 \\ \sigma &\approx \frac{8}{4} \\ n_1 &= n_2 = n \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $n$ , we find  $n \approx 31$ .