Problem (Problem 1):

- (a) Show that the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$ converges for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, in which it defines an analytic function, which we denote e^z .
- (b) With this as the definition of e^z , prove that $e^z e^w = e^{z+w}$.
- (c) Show that for $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $e^{i\theta} = \cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta)$, where $\cos(\theta)$ and $\sin(\theta)$ are defined via their usual power series representations.

Solution:

(a) To compute

$$\rho = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \right)^{1/n},$$

we start by noticing that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{(n+1)!}}{\frac{1}{n!}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)}$$
$$= 0.$$

In particular, for $\varepsilon > 0$, there is some N such that for all $n \ge N$,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{(n+1)!}}{\frac{1}{n!}} < \varepsilon,$$

so

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)!} < \frac{\varepsilon}{n!},$$

and by inductively using this approximation, we get that for any $n \ge N$,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n!} < \frac{\epsilon^{n-N}}{N!} \\ = \epsilon^n \bigg(\frac{1}{\epsilon^N N!} \bigg) \end{split}$$

so that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n!}\right)^{1/n} \leqslant \varepsilon,$$

meaning that $\rho = 0$, and thus the radius of convergence for the power series is infinite.

(b) Computing $e^z e^w$, we get

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!}\right) \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^k}{k!}\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ell-k)!} \frac{1}{k!} w^k z^{\ell-k} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k!(\ell-k)!} w^k z^{\ell-k} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} (z+w)^{\ell} \\ &= e^{z+w}. \end{split}$$

(c) Computing $e^{i\theta}$ by direct substitution, we find that

$$\begin{split} e^{\mathrm{i}\theta} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\mathrm{i}\theta\right)^k}{k!} \\ &= \sum_{k \text{ even}} \frac{\left(-1\right)^{(k/2)} \theta^k}{k!} + \mathrm{i} \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{\left(-1\right)^{(k-1)/2} \theta^k}{k!} \\ &= \cos(\theta) + \mathrm{i} \sin(\theta). \end{split}$$

Problem (Problem 2): Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set, $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ an analytic function. Since f is analytic, given $z_0 \in U$, there is r > 0 and a sequence $(a_n)_n$ such that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$ for all $z \in U(z_0, r)$.

Suppose there exists R > r such that $U(z_0, R) \subseteq U$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$ has radius of convergence at least R. Show that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$ for all $z \in U(z_0, R)$.

Solution: On the connected open set $V = U(z_0, R)$, define

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n.$$

Observe that $f|_V$ and g agree on the open subset $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U(z_0, R)$. By the identity theorem, this means that f = g on $U(z_0, R)$.

Problem (Problem 3): Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a region, and let $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ be an analytic function.

(a) Suppose f is nonconstant, $z_0 \in U$. Show that there exists some r > 0 for which $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U$, a positive integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$, an analytic function $g: U(z_0, r) \to \mathbb{C}$, and a nonconstant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that for $z \in U(z_0, r)$,

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + \lambda(z - z_0)^k + (z - z_0)^{k+1}g(z).$$

- (b) Suppose that f is nonconstant, and $z_0 \in U$ is such that $f(z_0) \neq 0$. Show that there exists some s > 0 such that $U(z_0, s) \subseteq U$, and $w_1, w_2 \in U(z_0, s)$ such that $|f(w_1)| > |f(z_0)| > |f(w_2)|$.
- (c) Show that if |f| is constant, then f is constant.

Solution:

(a) Since f is analytic, we may find r > 0 and a sequence $(a_n)_n$ such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n.$$

Observe that $f(z_0) = a_0$, so

=
$$f(z_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$
.

Next, we find the minimum value of n such that $a_n \neq 0$, which we define to be k. Such a value must exist since f is a nonconstant function, and if it were to not exist, the identity theorem would give f as a constant function on $U(z_0, r)$. This gives

=
$$f(z_0) + a_k(z - z_0)^k + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$$
.

Finally, by reindexing the sum and factoring out $(z-z_0)^{k+1}$, we get

$$= f(z_0) + a_k(z - z_0)^k + (z - z_0)^{k+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+k+1}(z - z_0)^n.$$

Define g(z) to be equal to the sum, and define $\lambda = a_k$. Notice that since the radius of convergence of a power series is a limiting case, g and g have the same radius of convergence. This gives

$$= f(z_0) + \lambda(z - z_0)^k + (z - z_0)^{k+1} g(z).$$

(b) Let f be a nonconstant analytic function with $f(z_0) \neq 0$. Since f is nonconstant, we see that λ in the previous problem is nonzero, meaning that $|\lambda|$ is nonzero, in addition to $|f(z_0)|$.

We start by considering the case where $f(z) = f(z_0) + \lambda(z - z_0)^k$. We will reintroduce g(z) later, but first we work on establishing the existence of w_1 and w_2 in this scenario. Writing $(z - z_0) = |z - z_0|e^{i\varphi}$, we thus get that

$$f(z) = |f(z_0)|e^{i\theta_0} + |\lambda||z - z_0|^k e^{i(\theta_\lambda + k\varphi)}$$

for all $z \in U(z_0, r)$. Note that the phases θ_0 and $\theta_\lambda + k\phi$ "add" if and only if $\phi = \frac{1}{k}(\theta_0 - \theta_\lambda)$. Therefore, if $\omega_1 \in U(z_0, r) \setminus \{z_0\}$ is such that $\omega_1 - z_0 = |\omega_1 - z_0|e^{i\phi_1}$ with ϕ_2 satisfying this condition, we then have

$$|f(\omega)| = |f(z_0)| + |\lambda| |\omega_1 - z_0|^k$$

implying that $|f(\omega)| > |f(z_0)|$. Similarly, if φ_2 is such that $\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{k}(\theta_0 - \theta_\lambda + \pi)$, then if $\omega_2 \in U(z_0, r) \setminus \{z_0\}$ is such that

$$|f(\omega_2)| = |f(z_0)| - |\lambda| |\omega_2 - z_0|^k$$
.

Thus, in this case, we have found ω_1 and ω_2 satisfying $|f(\omega_1)| > |f(z_0)| > |f(\omega_2)|$.

Now, reintroducing our term $(z-z_0)^{k+1}g(z)$, which we write in polar form as $|z-z_0||g(z)|e^{i\psi}$, we notice that for a fixed $0 < s_0 < r$ such that $B(z_0, s_0) \subseteq U(z_0, r)$, |g| is bounded on $B(z_0, s_0)$, as g is analytic and thus continuous. Call this bound M.

We may then find $0 < s < s_0$ small enough with $w_1, w_2 \in U(z_0, s)$ and arguments φ_1 and φ_2 as in the case of ω_1 and ω_2 defined earlier such that

$$\left| f(z_0) + \lambda (w_2 - z_0)^k \right| - Ms^{k+1} > |f(z_0)|$$
$$\left| f(z_0) + \lambda (w_2 - z_0)^k \right| + Ms^{k+1} < |f(z_0)|.$$

Then, by the triangle inequality, we see that

$$|f(w_1)| = \left| f(z_0) + \lambda (w_1 - z_0)^k + (w_1 - z_0)^{k+1} g(z) \right|$$

$$\geqslant \left| f(z_0) + \lambda (w_1 - z_0)^k \right| - |w_1 - z_0|^{k+1} |g(z)|$$

$$\geqslant \left| f(z_0) + \lambda (w_1 - z_0)^k \right| - Ms^{k+1}$$

$$> |f(z_0)|,$$

and similarly,

$$|f(w_2)| = |f(z_0) + \lambda(w_2 - z_0)^k + (w_2 - z_0)^{k+1}g(z)|$$

$$\leq \left| f(z_0) + \lambda (w_2 - z_0)^k \right| + |g(z)| |w_1 - z_0|^{k+1}$$

$$\leq \left| f(z_0) + \lambda (w_2 - z_0)^k \right| + Ms^{k+1}$$

$$< |f(z_0)|.$$

(c) Let |f| be constant. Via the contrapositive of the previous part, $|f(w)| = |f(z_0)|$ for all $w \in U(z_0, s)$. In particular, this means that either $f(z_0) = 0$ or f is constant; note that if $f(z_0) = 0$, then since $|f(w)| = |f(z_0)| = 0$ for all $w \in U(z_0, s)$, the identity theorem means that f = 0, so either way, f is constant.

Problem (Problem 5): Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set, and let $V = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \overline{z} \in U\}$.

- (a) Show that if $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is analytic, then $g: V \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $g(z) = \overline{f(\overline{z})}$ is analytic.
- (b) Show that if $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, then $g: V \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $g(z) = \overline{f(\overline{z})}$ is holomorphic.

Solution:

(a) Let $z_0 \in V$, so that there exists r > 0 such that $U(\overline{z_0}, r) \subseteq U$ and $(a_n)_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ with

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - \overline{z_0})^n.$$

Observe that the sum uniformly converges on all compact subsets of $U(\overline{z_0}, r)$, meaning that

$$f(\overline{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (\overline{z} - \overline{z_0})^n$$

uniformly converges on all compact subsets of $U(z_0, r) \subseteq V$, as conjugation is continuous. Thus, we may exchange the sum and conjugation during the following series of operations that we carry out on $f(\overline{z})$.

$$f(\overline{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (\overline{z} - \overline{z_0})^n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (\overline{z} - \overline{z_0})^n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{a_n} (z - \overline{z_0})^n$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{a_n} (z - \overline{z_0})^n.$$

Finally, since conjugation is an involution, we have that

$$g(z) = \overline{f(\overline{z})}$$

$$= \overline{\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{a_n} (z - z_0)^n\right)}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{a_n} (z - z_0)^n.$$

Notice that g is defined on $U(z_0, r)$ since $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U(z_0, R)$, where R is the radius of convergence, and the radius of convergence for a power series is unchanged if all its corresponding values of $(a_n)_n$ are conjugated. Thus, g is analytic.

(b) We know that f is holomorphic, so f'(z) exists and is continuous on U. If $z \in V$, we notice that $w \to z$ in V if and only if $\overline{w} \to \overline{z}$ in U, so

$$\lim_{w \to z} \frac{g(w) - g(z)}{w - z} = \lim_{w \to z} \frac{\overline{f(\overline{w}) - f(\overline{z})}}{w - z}$$

$$= \lim_{w \to z} \frac{\overline{f(\overline{w}) - f(\overline{z})}}{\overline{w} - \overline{z}}$$

$$= \lim_{\overline{w} \to \overline{z}} \frac{f(\overline{w}) - f(\overline{z})}{\overline{w} - \overline{z}}$$

$$= f'(\overline{z}),$$

meaning that g'(z) exists and is defined as $f'(\overline{z})$ whenever $z \in V$. Since f' is continuous and conjugation is continuous, so too is g', meaning g is holomorphic.

Problem (Problem 6):

- (a) For $a \in \mathbb{D}$, define $f_a(z) = \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}$. Prove that f_a is a bijection from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{D} .
- (b) For $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{D}$, prove that there is a holomorphic bijection $f: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $f(a_1) = a_2$.

Solution:

(a) We will show that f_{α} is a bijection from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{D} by showing that f_{α} is defined for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, that if $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then $f_{\alpha}(z) \in \mathbb{D}$, then by showing that f_{α} admits an inverse. First, we observe that f_{α} is defined so long as $1 - \overline{\alpha}z \neq 0$, meaning that f_{α} is undefined if

$$1 - \overline{\alpha}z = 0$$

$$z = \frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\alpha|} (\operatorname{sgn}(\alpha)),$$

which necessarily has modulus greater than 1, as |a| < 1 and |sgn(a)| = 1 if $a \ne 0$. Next, we see that $f_a(z)$ is a Möbius transformation that is uniquely determined by

$$a \mapsto 0$$

$$0 \mapsto -a$$

$$-a \mapsto \frac{-2a}{1 + |a|^2}$$

all of which stay within the unit disk (for $a \neq 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$). Finally, observe that by taking

$$w = \frac{z - a}{1 - \overline{a}z}$$

and solving for w, we obtain

$$z = \frac{w + a}{1 + \overline{a}w}.$$

This is a left and right inverse, as

$$f_{\alpha}^{-1}(f_{\alpha}(z)) = \frac{\frac{z-\alpha}{1-\overline{\alpha}z} + \alpha}{1 + \overline{\alpha}\frac{z-\alpha}{1-\overline{\alpha}z}}$$
$$= z.$$

and

$$f_{\alpha}(f_{\alpha}^{-1}(w)) = \frac{\frac{w+\alpha}{1+\overline{\alpha}w} - \alpha}{1 - \overline{\alpha}\frac{w+\alpha}{1+\overline{\alpha}w}}$$
$$= w.$$

Thus, f is a bijection from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{D} .

(b) Considering the f_{α} of the previous example, we observe that f_{α} is holomorphic. To see this, note that

$$f_{a}'(z) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\frac{(z+h)-a}{1-\overline{a}(z+h)} - \frac{z-a}{1-\overline{a}z}}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{((z+h)-a)(1-\overline{a}z) - (z-a)(1-\overline{a}(z+h))}{h(1-\overline{a}z)(1-\overline{a}(z+h))}$$

$$= \frac{\left(1+|a|^{2}\right) - \overline{a}z}{(1-\overline{a}z)^{2}},$$

which is continuous on $\mathbb D$ as it is a rational function that is not undefined on $\mathbb D$. Since f_{α} is holomorphic, it follows that the composition of f_{α} with any other such f_{b} is also holomorphic by chain rule. Finally, note that from our above calculations, $f_{\alpha}^{-1} = f_{-\alpha}$, so we may take

$$f=f_{-\alpha_2}\circ f_{\alpha_1}$$

to be our holomorphic bijection from \mathbb{D} to \mathbb{D} that maps a_1 to a_2 .