Normed Vector Spaces

Vector Spaces

Throughout, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . A **vector space** over \mathbb{F} is a nonempty set V equipped with two operations: vector addition and scalar multiplication.

$$V \times V \xrightarrow{+} V$$
 $(v, w) \mapsto v + w$ Vector Addition $F \times V \to V$ $(\alpha, v) \mapsto \alpha v$ Scalar Multiplication

The vector space is an Abelian group, where $u, v, w \in V$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$, we have:

(i)
$$u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w$$

(ii)
$$\exists 0_v \in V$$
 with $\forall v \in V$, $0_v + v = v + 0_v = v$

(iii)
$$(\forall v \in V)(\exists w \in V)$$
 with $v + w = 0_v$

(iv)
$$\forall v, w \in V, v + w = w + v$$

(v)
$$\alpha(v+w) = \alpha v + \alpha w$$
, $(\alpha + \beta)v = \alpha v + \beta v$

(vi)
$$\alpha(\beta w) = (\alpha \beta) w$$

(vii)
$$1 \cdot v = v$$

Remarks:

- (a) 0_V is unique and known as the zero vector.
- (b) The vector w in (iii) is unique, and denoted -v.

(c)
$$0 \cdot v = 0_v$$

(d)
$$(-1) \cdot v = -v$$

(e) Property (iv) follows from all the other axioms.

(f) For
$$n \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $n \cdot v = \underbrace{v + v + \dots + v}_{n \text{ times}}$

Subspaces

Let V be a vector space over \mathbb{F} . A **subspace** is a nonempty subset $W \subseteq V$ satisfying the following:

(i)
$$w \in W, \alpha \in \mathbb{F} \to \alpha w \in W$$
.

(ii)
$$w_1, w_2 \in W \Rightarrow w_1 + w_2 \in W$$
.

Remark: 0_{ν} is always a member of any subspace; a subspace is also a vector space.

Proposition: Intersection of Subspaces

If $\{W_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a family of subspaces of V, then, $\bigcap W_i$ is a subspace of V.

Proposition: Union of Subspaces

It is not the case that the union of subspaces of V also a subspace. For example, consider \mathbb{R}^2 with the traditional vector space operations:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x + x' \\ y + y' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x \\ \alpha y \end{pmatrix}$$

If $W_1, W_2 \in V$ are subspaces such that $W_1 \cup W_2$ is a subspace, then $W_1 \subseteq W_2$ or $W_2 \subseteq W_1$.

Generated Subspaces

Let $S \subseteq V$ be any subset of a vector space V. Then,

$$\operatorname{span}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}, v_1, \dots, v_n \in S \right\}$$

Remarks:

- $\operatorname{span}(S) \subseteq V$ is a subspace.
- $\operatorname{span}(S) = \bigcap W$, where $S \subseteq W$ and $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace. Thus, $\operatorname{span}(S)$ is the "smallest" subspace containing S, or the subspace generated by S.

Proposition: Quotient Group on Vector Space

Let V be a vector space, and let $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace. Define $u \sim_W v \leftrightarrow u - v \in W$.

- (1) \sim_W is an equivalence relation.
- (2) If $[v]_W$ denotes the equivalence class of v, then $[v]_W = v + W = \{v + w | w \in W\}$.
- (3) $V/W := \{[v]_W | v \in V\}$ is a vector space with $[v_1]_W + [v_2]_W = [v_1 + v_2]_W$ and $\alpha[v]_W = [\alpha v]_W$.

Proof of (1):

- Reflexive: $u \sim_W u$, since $u u = 0 \in W$.
- Transitive: Suppose $u \sim_W v$, and $v \sim_W z$. Then, $u v \in W$, and $v z \in W$. So, $(u v) + (v z) \in W$, so $u z \in W$. Whence, $u \sim_W z$.
- Symmetric: If $u \sim_W v$, then $u v \in W$, so $-1 \cdot (u v) \in W$, so $v u \in W$. Whence, $v \sim_W u$.

Proof of (2):

$$[v]_{W} = \{u \in V \mid u \sim_{W} v\}$$

$$= \{u \in V \mid u - v \in W\}$$

$$= \{u \in V \mid u = v + w \text{ some } w \in W\}$$

$$= \{v + w \mid w \in W\}$$

$$= v + W$$

Proof of (3): Prove that the operation is well-defined.

Bases

Let V be a vector space and $S \subseteq V$ be a subset.

- (1) S is said to be spanning for V if span(S) = V.
- (2) S is linearly independent if, for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} v_{j} = 0_{v}$ with $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathbb{F}$, $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n} \in S$, then $\alpha_{1} = \alpha_{2} = \cdots = \alpha_{n} = 0$.
- (3) S is a basis for V if S is linearly independent and spanning for V.

Proposition: Existence of Basis

Every vector space admits a basis. If $B_0 \subseteq V$ is linearly independent, $\exists B \subseteq V$ such that B is a basis and $B \supseteq B_0$.

Background: A relation on a set X is a subset $R \subseteq X \times X$. If R is reflexive $(x \sim x)$, transitive $(x \sim y, y \sim z \rightarrow x \sim z)$, and antisymmetric $(x \sim y, y \sim x \rightarrow x = y)$, then R is an ordering, and we write $x \leq y$.

If \leq is an ordering of X such that $\forall x, y \in X$, $x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$, then \leq is a total (or linear) ordering.

Let \leq be an ordering of X, let $Y \subseteq X$. An upper bound for Y is an element $u \in X$ such that $y \leq u \ \forall y \in Y$. A maximal element in X is an element $m \in X$ such that $x \in X$, $x \geq m \to x = m$.

Example: $\mathbb N$ under the division ordering defines $a \le b \Leftrightarrow a|b$. If we want to find the maximal elements of $A = \{2, 6, 9, 12\}$, we would see that they are 9 and 12 (since no element of A can be divided by 9 and 12). Meanwhile, $\mathbb N$ itself has no maximal elements.

This leads us to ask: given an ordered set, (X, \leq) , does X admit maximal elements.

Zorn's Lemma (or Axiom): Let (X, \leq) be an ordered set. Suppose that every totally ordered subset, $Y \subseteq X$ has an upper bound in X. Then, X admits at least one maximal element.

The proof of Zorn's Lemma relies on the Axiom of Choice (and Zorn's Lemma is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice).

Proof: Let $X = \{D \mid B_0 \subseteq D \subseteq V\}$ with D linearly independent. Since $B_0 \subseteq X$, $X \neq \emptyset$. Define $D, E \in X$, $D \subseteq E \Leftrightarrow D \subseteq E$. We will show that X has a maximal element.

Consider any totally ordered subset, $Y = \{D_i\}_{i \in I}$. Consider $D = \bigcup D_i$. Clearly, $B_0 \subseteq D \subseteq V$. Suppose $\sum \alpha_k v_k = 0_V$ with $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in D$. Therefore, $\exists D_j$ with $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in D_j$ because Y is totally ordered. However, by definition, D_j is a linearly independent set — therefore, $\alpha_k = 0$. Thus, D is linearly independent.

Since D is linearly independent, and $B_0 \subseteq D$, it must be the case that $D \in X$. D is also an upper bound for Y. So, by Zorn's Lemma, X has a maximal element, B.

So, $B_0 \subseteq B \subseteq V$, B is independent, and B is maximal in X. We claim that B is a basis for V. Suppose toward contradiction that $\exists v \in V$ such that $v \notin \text{span}(B)$. Consider $B' = B \cup \{v\}$.

Then, $B_0 \subseteq B'$, and B' is linearly independent — if $\sum \alpha_k v_k + \alpha v = 0$, where $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in B$, then either:

- If $\alpha = 0$, then $\alpha_k v_k = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_k = 0$.
- If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $\sum \alpha_k v_k = -\alpha v$, which means $v \in \text{span}(B)$. \perp

Thus, we have a linearly independent set, B', with $B \subseteq B'$, and $B_0 \subseteq B'$. Therefore, $B' \in X$. However, this contradicts the maximality of B. Therefore, span(B) = V, and B is a basis for V.

Examples: Vector Spaces

(1) n-Dimensional Vectors:

$$\mathbb{F}^{n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{pmatrix} \mid x_{j} \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} y_{1} \\ \vdots \\ y+n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1}+y+1 \\ \vdots \\ x_{n}+y+n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha x_{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\beta = \{e_{1}, \dots, e_{n}\}$$

where e_i denotes the unit vector at position i.

(2) $m \times n$ Matrices:

$$\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \mid a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F} \right\}$$
$$(a_{ij}) + (b_{ij}) = (a_{ij} + b_{ij})$$
$$\alpha(a_{ij}) = (\alpha a_{ij})$$
$$B = \{e_{ij}\}$$

where e_{ij} denotes a matrix of 0 everywhere except column i and row j.

(3) Functions with domain Ω :

$$\mathcal{F}(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) = \{ f \mid f : \Omega \to \mathbb{F} \}$$
$$(f+g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$
$$(\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x)$$

(4) Bounded functions with domain Ω :

$$\ell_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) \mid ||f||_{u} \le \infty \}$$
$$||f||_{u} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|$$

Exercises:

• Triangle Inequality: $||f + g||_u \le ||f||_u + ||g||_u$

• Scalar Multiplication/Absolute Homogeneity: $\|\alpha f\|_u = |\alpha| \|f\|_u$

• Positive Definite: $||f||_u = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0$

Proof of Triangle Inequality: Given $x \in \Omega$,

$$|(f+g)(x)| = |f(x) + g(x)|$$

$$\leq |f(x)| + |g(x)|$$

$$\leq ||f||_{u} + ||g||_{u}$$

Therefore.

$$\sup |(f+g)(x)| \le ||f||_u + ||g||_u$$
$$||f+g||_u \le ||f||_u + ||g||_u$$

(5) Continuous functions on closed and bounded intervals:

$$C([a, b], \mathbb{F}) = \{f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ continuous}\}\$$

Check that $C([a, b], \mathbb{F}) \subseteq \ell_{\infty}([a, b], \mathbb{F})$ is a subspace.

(6) Let $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be any function. Let $\mathcal{P} : a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n = b$.

$$\operatorname{var}(f; \mathcal{P}) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} |f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})|$$

$$\operatorname{var}(f) = \sup_{\mathcal{P}} \operatorname{var}(f; \mathcal{P})$$

$$\operatorname{BV}([a, b]) = \{f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \mid \operatorname{var}(f) < \infty\}$$

$$\|f\|_{\operatorname{BV}} = |f(a)| + \operatorname{var}(f)$$

BV([a, b]) is a vector space.

Question: Is $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} \in BV([0,1])$?

(7) Suppose $K \subseteq V$ is a *convex* subset of a vector space: $v, w \in K, t \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow (1 - t)v + tw \in K$. Let $Aff(K) = \{f : K \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is affine}\}$, where f is affine if $\forall v, w \in K, t \in [0, 1], f((1 - t)v + tw) = (1 - t)f(v) + tf(w)$.

Exercise: Show that $Aff(K) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(K, \mathbb{R})$ is a subspace.

(8) Let S be defined as

$$S = \{(a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \mid a_k \in \mathbb{F}\}.$$

Under pointwise operations, S is a vector space.

$$(a_k)_k + (b_k)_k = (a_k + b_k)_k$$
$$\alpha(a_k)_k = (\alpha a_k)_k$$

Note 1: $S = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{F})$.

Note 2: $c_{00} \subseteq \ell_1 \subseteq c_0 \subseteq c \subseteq \ell_\infty \subseteq S$.

- $c_{00} = \{(a_k)_k \mid \text{finitely many } a_k \neq 0\}$
- $c_0 = \{(a_k)k \mid (a_k)_k \to 0\}$

- $c = \{(a_k)_k \mid (a_k)_k \to a < \infty\}$
- $\ell_{\infty} = \{(a_k)_k \mid ||(a_k)_k||_u < \infty\}$
- $\ell_1 = \{(a_k)_k \mid \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| = a < \infty \}$
- (9) $C_C(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ are all subspaces.
 - $C_C(\mathbb{R}) = \{f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ compactly supported}\}: f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{F} \text{ is compactly supported if } \exists [a, b] \text{ such that } x \notin [a, b] \Rightarrow f(x) = 0.$
 - $C_0(\mathbb{R}) = \{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ continuous, } \lim_{x \to \pm \infty} f(x) = 0 \}$
- (10) Let S be any non-empty set.

$$\mathbb{F}(S) := \{ f : S \to \mathbb{F} \mid f \text{ finitely supported} \}$$

$$\mathsf{supp}(f) = \{ x \in S \mid f(x) \neq 0 \}$$

We claim that $\mathbb{F}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(S, \mathbb{F})$ is a subspace. Consider $e_t : S \to \mathbb{F}$ defined as follows:

$$e_t(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & s = t \\ 0 & s \neq t \end{cases}.$$

We claim that $\xi = \{e_t\}_{t \in S}$ is a basis for $\mathbb{F}(S)$.

Indeed, given $f \in \mathbb{F}(S)$, we know that $\operatorname{supp}(f) = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\} \subseteq S$. Therefore, $f = \sum_{k=1}^n f(t_k) e_{t_k} \in \operatorname{span}(\xi)$. Therefore, ξ is spanning for $\mathbb{F}(S)$. Suppose $\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{t_k} e_{t_k} = \emptyset$ for some $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{F}$, $t_k \in S$.

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{lpha_{t_k}} e_{t_k}\right) = \mathbb{O}(t_1)$$
 $lpha_{t_1} = 0.$

Similarly, $\alpha_{t_j} = 0$ for j = 1, ..., n. Therefore, ξ is linearly independent. Since ξ is linearly independent and spanning, ξ forms a basis for $\mathbb{F}(S)$.

Note: The free vector space, $\mathbb{F}(S)$, displays the universal property.

There are functions $\iota: S \to \mathbb{F}(S)$, where $\iota(t) = e_t$, and given any map $\varphi: S \to V$ for V a vector space over \mathbb{F} , $\exists !$ linear map $T_{\varphi}: \mathbb{F}(S) \to V$ such that $\iota \circ T_{\varphi} = \varphi$.

$$S \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{F}(S)$$

$$\downarrow^{T_{\varphi}}$$

$$\downarrow^{V}$$

Proof: Every $f \in \mathbb{F}(S)$ has a unique expression $f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(t_k) e_{t_k}$, where $\text{supp}(f) = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$. Therefore,

$$T_{\varphi}(f) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(t_k) \varphi(t_k)$$

Exercise: Show T_{φ} is linear and unique.

Exercise 2: Suppose V is a vector space over \mathbb{F} with basis B. Show that $\mathbb{F}(B) \cong V$. Remember that $V \cong W$ if $\exists \ T : V \to W$ such that T is bijective and linear.

Normed Spaces

To every vector $v \in V$, we want to assign a length to v, ||v||.

A **norm** on a vector space V is a map

$$\|\cdot\|:V\to\mathbb{R}^+$$
$$v\mapsto\|v\|>0$$

such that

- (i) Homogeneity: $\|\alpha v\| = |\alpha| \|v\|$
- (ii) Triangle Inequality: $||v + w|| \le ||v|| + ||w||$

(iii) Positive definiteness: $||v|| = 0 \Rightarrow v = \mathbb{O}_V$.

If $p: V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies (i) and (ii), then p is a **seminorm**.

The pair $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a normed space.

Two norms, $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|'$ are called **equivalent** if $\exists c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with, $\forall v \in V$,

$$||v|| \le c_1 ||v||'$$

 $||v||' \le c_2 ||v||$

Note: On \mathbb{R}^n , all norms are equivalent.

Exercise: If p is any seminorm on V, then $|p(v) - p(w)| \le p(v - w)$.

Notation: If V is a normed space, then $B_V = \{v \in V \mid ||v|| \le 1\}$, and $U_V = \{v \in V \mid ||v|| < 1\}$ are the closed and open unit ball respectively.

Examples of Normed Spaces

(1) Given $V = \mathbb{F}^n$ and $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$, we have different norms:

$$\begin{split} \|x\|_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| \\ \|x\|_\infty &= \max_{1 \le j \le n} |x_j| \\ \|x\|_2 &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2\right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

In general, for $1 \le p < \infty$,

$$||x||_p = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

Exercise: Show that $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ are norms. Show that $\lim_{p\to\infty}\|x\|_p=\|x\|_\infty$

We want to show that $\|\cdot\|_p$ defines a norm for $1 \le p < \infty$. If $1 \le p < \infty$, its conjugate index $q \in [1, \infty]$ whereby $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. For example, if p = 1, then $q = \infty$, and if $p = \infty$, then q = 1.

Lemma 1: For $1 , <math>p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $f: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(t) = \frac{1}{p}t^p - t + \frac{1}{q}$. Then, $f(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Proof 1: We can see that $f'(t) = t^{p-1} - 1$. Then, f'(t) = 0 at t = 1; f'(t) > 0 for t > 1 and f'(t) < 0 for $t \in [0, 1)$.

So, since $f(t) \ge f(1)$ for all $t \ge 0$, and f(1) = 0, $f(t) \ge 0$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Lemma 2: For $1 , <math>p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $z, y \ge 0$, $xy \le \frac{1}{p}x^p + \frac{1}{q}y^q$.

Proof 2: We know from Lemma 1, $t \leq \frac{1}{p}t^p + \frac{1}{q}$. Multiply by y^q to get

$$ty^q \le \frac{1}{p}t^p y^q + \frac{1}{q}y^q.$$

Set $t = xy^{1-q}$. Then,

$$xy^{1-q}y^q \le \frac{1}{p}x^py^{p-pq}y^q + \frac{1}{q}y^q.$$

Since $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, p - pq = -q, so

$$xy \leq \frac{1}{p}x^p + \frac{1}{q}y^q$$
.

With these two lemmas in mind, we get two important inequalities.

Hölder's Inequality: For $1 \le p \le \infty$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. Then, for $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$,

$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j\right| \le \|x\|_p \|y\|_q.$$

Proof of Hölder's Inequality: For p = 1, the solution is as follows:

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j \right| \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_j| |y_j|$$

$$\le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_j| ||y||_{\infty}$$

$$= ||x||_{\theta} ||y||_{\infty},$$

and similarly for $p = \infty$, q = 1.

For $1 , assume <math>||x||_p = ||y||_q = 1$.

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} y_{j} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_{j}| |y_{j}|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{p} |x_{j}|^{p} + \frac{1}{q} |y_{j}|^{q} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|^{p} \right) + \frac{1}{q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|^{q} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$$

$$= 1$$

If $||x||_p = 0$ or $||y||_q = 0$, then $x = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ or $y = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$, the inequality still holds.

Assume $||x||_p \neq 0$, $||y||_p \neq 0$. Set

$$x' = \frac{x}{\|x\|_{\rho}}$$
$$y' = \frac{y}{\|y\|_{\rho}}.$$

It can be verified that $\|x'\|_p = 1 = \|y'\|_q$. Therefore,

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j' y_j' \right| \le 1$$

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{x_j}{\|x\|_p} \frac{y_j}{\|y\|_q} \right| \le 1$$

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j y_j \right| \le \|x\|_p \|y\|_q$$

Minkowski's Inequality: Given $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} = 1$,

$$||x + y||_p \le ||x||_p + ||y||_p$$

Proof of Minkowski's Inequality: We can verify for p = 1, $q = \infty$, and vice versa.

Assume 1 . Then,

$$\begin{split} \|x+y\|_{\rho}^{p} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}+y_{j}|^{p} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_{j}+y_{j}||x_{j}+y_{j}|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_{j}||x_{j}+y_{j}|^{p-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}||x_{j}+y_{j}|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}+y_{j}|^{pq-q}\right)^{1/q} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|^{p}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}+y_{j}|^{pq-q}\right)^{1/q} \\ &= \|x\|_{\rho} \|x+y\|_{\rho}^{p/q} + \|y\|_{\rho} \|x+y\|_{\rho}^{p/q} \\ &= (\|x\|_{\rho} + \|y\|_{\rho}) \|x+y\|_{\rho}^{p-1} \end{split}$$

Divide by $||x + y||_p^{p-1}$ to get desired inequality.

(2) $\ell_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$ with $\|\cdot\|_u$. This includes subspaces that inherit the norm, such as

$$C([a, b]) \subseteq \ell_{\infty}(\Omega)$$
$$\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \supseteq C_{0}(\mathbb{R}) \supseteq C_{C}(\mathbb{R})$$

Exercise: Show that $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is a subspace

(3) $\Omega=\mathbb{N}$, $\boldsymbol{\ell}_{\infty}=\boldsymbol{\ell}_{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$ with $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. Subspaces that inherit the norm are

$$c_{00} \subseteq c_0 \le \ell_{\infty}$$
.

(4) ℓ_1 with $\|\cdot\|_1$,

$$||(a_k)_k||_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|.$$

(5) C([a, b]) with

$$||f||_1 = \int_a^b |f(x)| dx.$$

(6) Let $1 \le p < \infty$.

$$\ell_p = \left\{ (a_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \mid \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p < \infty \right\}$$

is a normed space with

$$\|(a_k)_k\|_p = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$$

We will show that the triangle inequality holds for this norm.

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k + b_k|^p\right)^{1/p} = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + b_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n + b_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\ell_p^n}$$

$$= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\ell_p^n}$$

$$\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} \right\| + \left\| \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{\ell_p^n}$$

$$\leq \left\| (a_k)_k \right\|_p + \left\| (b_k)_k \right\|_p.$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$ (by the definition of an infinite series), we find that $\|(a_k)_k + (b_k)_k\|_p \le \|(a_k)_k\|_p + \|(b_k)_k\|_p$.

(7) $BV([a,b]) = \{f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R} \mid Var(f) < \infty\}$ with the norm $||f||_{BV} = |f(a)| + Var(f)$ is a normed space:

$$||f||_{BV} = 0$$
$$|f(a)| = 0$$
$$Var(f) = 0$$

given $t \in (a, b]$, look at the partition $a < t \le b$. Then,

$$Var(f) \ge |f(t) - f(a)| + |f(b) - f(t)|$$

 $f(t) = 0$
 $f = 0_f$.

(8) $\mathbb{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ with

$$||a||_{\text{op}} = \sup_{\|\xi\|_{\ell_2^n} \le 1} ||a\xi||_{\ell_2^m}$$

is a normed vector space. If $||a||_{op} = 0$, then

$$ae_j = 0$$
 $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$

take the dot product with $i \neq j$

$$ae_j \cdot e_i = a_{ij}$$
$$= 0$$

so $a_{ij} = 0$ for all a_{ij} , so a is the 0 matrix.

(9) Let V, W be vector spaces over \mathbb{F} . Then, $\mathcal{L}(V, W) = \{T \mid T : V \to W \text{ linear}\}$, where $T(\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2) = \alpha T(v_1) + \beta T(v_2)$.

 $\mathcal{L}(V,W)$ is a vector space with operations

$$(T+S)(v) = T(v) + S(v)$$
$$(\alpha T)(v) = \alpha T(v).$$

Notation: $\mathcal{L}(V) := \mathcal{L}(V, V)$ is all linear operators on V. $\mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F}) = V'$ is all linear functionals.

Suppose V and W are normed vector spaces. If $T: V \to W$, set

$$||T||_{op} := \sup_{\|v\|_{V} \le 1} ||T(v)||_{W},$$

$$\mathbb{B}(V, W) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W) \mid ||T||_{op} \le \infty\},$$

where $\mathbb{B}(V,W)$ is referred to as the set of all bounded linear maps from V to W. $\mathbb{B}(V,W)$ with $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{op}}$ is a normed space.

• Homogeneity:

$$\begin{split} \|\alpha T\|_{[op]} &= \sup_{\|v\|_{V} \le 1} \|\alpha T(v)\|_{W} \\ &= \sup_{\|v\|_{V} \le 1} |\alpha| \|T(v)\|_{W} \\ &= |\alpha| \sup_{\|v\|_{V} \le 1} \|T(v)\|_{W} \\ &= |\alpha| \|T\|_{\text{op}}. \end{split}$$

• Triangle Inequality: for $||v||_V \le 1$,

$$|| (T+S) (v) ||_{W} = || T(v) + S(v) ||_{W}$$

$$\leq || T(v) ||_{W} + || S(v) ||_{W}$$

$$\leq || T ||_{op} + || S ||_{op}$$

so

$$||T + S||_{op} = \sup_{||v|| \le 1} ||T + S(v)||$$

 $\le ||T||_{op} + ||S||_{op}$

• Positive Definite: If $||T||_{op} = 0$, then T(v) = 0 for all $v \in V$, $||v|| \le 1$.

Let $v \in V$, $v \neq 0$. Then, $\frac{v}{\|v\|} \in B_V$.

$$T\left(\frac{v}{\|v\|}\right) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\|v\|}T(v) = 0$$

$$T(v) = 0$$

Special Cases: $\mathbb{B}(V) = \mathbb{B}(V, V), V^* = \mathbb{B}(V, \mathbb{F}).$

Exercise: $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m) = \mathbb{B}(\ell_2^n, \ell_2^m)$.

(10) Inner Product Spaces (expanded upon below).

Inner Product Spaces

An inner product on a vector space V is a pairing

$$V \times V \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \mathbb{F}$$

that satisfies

- (i) $\langle v_1 + v_2, w \rangle = \langle v_1, w \rangle + \langle v_2, w \rangle$, $\langle \alpha v, w \rangle = \alpha \langle v, w \rangle$.
- (ii) $\langle v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, v \rangle}$
- (iii) $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$.
- (iv) If $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$, then v = 0.

The pair $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is known as an inner product space.

Remarks: $\langle v, w_1 + w_2 \rangle = \langle v, w_1 \rangle + \langle v, w_2 \rangle, \langle v, \alpha w \rangle = \overline{\alpha} \langle v, w \rangle.$

If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an inner product on a linear space V, then set

$$||v||_2 := \langle v, v \rangle^{1/2}.$$

Exercise: $\|\alpha v\|_2 = |\alpha| \|v_2\|, \|v\|_2 = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0.$

 $v, w \in (V, \langle, \cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ are orthogonal if $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.

The Pythagoran theorem states that for $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in V$ mutually orthogonal, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i \right\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|v_i\|^2.$$

For two vectors $v, w \in V$, $P_w(v) = \frac{\langle v, w \rangle}{\langle w, w \rangle} w$.

Exercise: Check that $\langle P_w(v), v - P_w(v) \rangle$, meaning

$$||v||^2 = ||P_w(v)||^2 + ||v - P_w(v)||^2$$

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality: In any inner product space,

$$|\langle v, w \rangle| \leq ||v|| \cdot ||w||$$
.

Proof of Cauchy-Schwarz: From the exercise,

$$||v|| \ge ||P_w(v)||$$

$$||v|| \ge \left\| \frac{\langle v, w \rangle}{\langle w, w \rangle} w \right\|$$

$$= \frac{|\langle v, w \rangle|}{||w||^2} ||w||$$

therefore,

$$||v||||w|| \ge |\langle v, w \rangle|$$

The triangle inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Proof of Triangle Inequality:

$$||v + w||_{2}^{2} = \langle v + w, v + w \rangle$$

$$= \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$$

$$= ||v||^{2} + ||w||^{2} + \langle v, w \rangle + \overline{\langle v, w \rangle}$$

$$= ||v||^{2} + ||w||^{2} + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle v, w \rangle$$

$$\leq ||v||^{2} + ||w||^{2} + 2|\langle v, w \rangle|$$

$$\leq ||v||^{2} + ||w||^{2} + 2||v|||w||$$

$$= (||v|| + ||w||)^{2}.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

Take square roots on both sides.

(1) $\ell_2^n = \mathbb{F}^n$ with

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \overline{y_i}.$$

Cauchy-Schwarz is found as

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \overline{y_{j}} \right| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |x_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |y_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

(2) ℓ_2 with

$$\langle (a_j)_j, (b_j)_j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \overline{b}_j.$$

We can see that for any finite n, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in ℓ_2^n states

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \overline{b_{j}} \right| &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |b_{j}|^{2} \right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Taking the limit as $n \to \infty$, we see that $\langle (a_j)_j, (b_j)_j \rangle$ is convergent.

(3) C([a, b]) with

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx.$$

(4) Let $V = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Recall that if

$$a=(a_{ij})_{i,j},$$

then

$$a^* = (\overline{a_{ii}})_{i,j}$$
.

Let $\operatorname{Tr}: \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Tr}((a_{ij})) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$.

- $Tr(I_n) = n$
- $Tr(a + \alpha b) = Tr(a) + \alpha Tr(b)$
- Tr(ab) = Tr(ba)

Then, if $Tr(a^*a) = 0$, then $a = \mathbb{O}_{M_n}$.

$$a^*a = (\overline{a_{ji}})_{i,j}(a_{ij})_{i,j}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \overline{ki} a_{kj}\right)_{i,j}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(a^*a) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \overline{a_{ki}} a_{ki}$$

$$= \sum_{i,k=1}^n |a_{ki}|^2$$

$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2.$$

If $Tr(a^*a) = 0$, then $a_{ij} = 0$ for all i, j.

We define

$$\langle a, b \rangle_{\mathsf{HS}} = \mathsf{Tr}(b^*a).$$

(i)
$$(b_1 + b_2)^* = b_1^* + b_2^*$$

(ii)
$$(\alpha b)^* = \overline{\alpha} b^*$$

(iii)
$$(b_1b_2)^* = b_2^*b_1^*$$

(iv)
$$b^{**} = b$$

The norm is defined as

$$||a||_{HS} = \langle a, a \rangle^{1/2}$$

= $Tr(a^*a)^{1/2}$
= $\left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2\right)^{1/2}$

Metric Spaces

We looked at normed spaces, where we attach a length $\|v\|$ to very vector v. We can also speak of the distance between two vectors, defined as $d(v, w) = \|v - w\|$.

Notice that the following hold:

•
$$d(v, w) \geq 0$$

•

$$d(v, w) = ||v - w||$$

$$= ||(-1)(w - v)||$$

$$= |-1||w - v||$$

$$= ||w - v||$$

•

$$d(u, w) = ||u - w||$$

$$= ||u - v + v - w||$$

$$\leq ||u - v|| + ||v - w||$$

$$= d(u, v) + d(v, w).$$

• d(v, v) = ||v - v|| = 0. If d(v, w) = 0, then ||v - w|| = 0, so v - w = 0, so v = w.

In Real Analysis I, we studied the properties (such as convergence, limits, and continuity) of a particular normed vector space, namely $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$. We will expand these concepts to all metric spaces.

Definition of a Metric Space

Let X be a non-empty set. A **metric** on X is a map

$$d: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}^+$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto d(x, y) \ge 0$$

such that

- (i) Symmetry: d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all $x, y \in X$.
- (ii) Triangle Inequality: $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.
- (iii) Zero Distance: d(x, x) = 0
- (iv) Definite: $d(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$

If d satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii), then d is called a semi-metric. If d satisfies (iv) as well, then d is a metric.

If d is a (semi-)metric on X, the pair (X, d) is called a (semi-)metric space.

Two metrics, d and ρ , on X, are equivalent if $\exists c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ such that $d(x, y) \leq c_1 \rho(x, y)$ and $\rho(x, y) \leq c_2 d(x, y)$ for all x, y.

Examples of Metric Spaces

(1) Discrete Metric:

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq y \\ 0 & x = y \end{cases}$$

for X any set.

(2) Hamming distance: between two bit strings of equal length. Let

$$X = \{0, 1\}^n$$

$$= \{0, 1\} \underbrace{\times \cdots \times}_{n \text{ times}} \{0, 1\}$$

$$d_H((x_i)_1^n, (y_i)_1^n) = |\{j \mid x_i \neq y_i\}|.$$

(3) Any normed space $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a metric space.

$$d(v,w) = ||v-w||.$$

Exercise: Show that if two norms are equivalent, their induced metrics are equivalent.

- (4) Subset of Metric Space: If (X, d) is a metric space, and $Y \subseteq X$ is non-empty. Then, (Y, d) is a metric space.
- (5) Paris metric: let (X, ρ) be a metric space. Let $p \in X$ be a fixed point.

$$\rho(x,y) := \begin{cases} 0 & x = y \\ \rho(x,p) + \rho(p,y) & x \neq y \end{cases}$$

(6) Bounded metric: Let ρ be a (semi-)metric on X. Set

$$d(x,y) = \frac{\rho(x,y)}{1 + \rho(x,y)}.$$

We claim that d is a (semi-)metric. Notice that $0 \le d(x, y) \le 1$.

Proof: Clearly, d(x, y) = d(y, x). Additionally, d(x, x) = 0. If d(x, y) = 0 and ρ is a metric, then $\rho(x, y) = 0$, so x = y.

To show the triangle inequality, we examine the function

$$f(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$$
$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{(1+t)^2} > 0.$$

Since ρ satisfies the triangle inequality, $\rho(x,z) \le \rho(x,y) + \rho(y,z)$. Apply f on both sides. Then,

$$\underbrace{\frac{\rho(x,z)}{1+\rho(x,z)}}_{d(x,z)} \le \frac{\rho(x,y)+\rho(y,z)}{1+(\rho(x,y)+\rho(y,z))}
= \frac{\rho(x,y)}{1+\rho(x,y)+\rho(y,z)} + \frac{\rho(y,z)}{1+\rho(x,y)+\rho(y,z)}
\le \underbrace{\frac{\rho(x,y)}{1+\rho(x,y)}}_{d(x,y)} + \underbrace{\frac{\rho(y,z)}{1+\rho(y,z)}}_{d(y,z)}.$$

(7) If d_1, \ldots, d_n are metrics on $X, c_1, \ldots, c_n \ge 0$. Then,

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_k d_k(x,y)$$

is a metric.

(8) Let $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of semi-metrics. Assume the family is separating — for all $x \neq y$, there exists k such that $\rho_k(x,y) \neq 0$.

Let d_k be defined as

$$d_k(x,y) = \frac{\rho_k(x,y)}{1 + \rho_k(x,y)}.$$

Note that $\{d_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is also separating.

Then,

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_k(x, y)$$

is a metric.

We will now define the Frechet Metric using this method. Let $X=C(\mathbb{R})$. For each $k=1,2,3,\ldots$, set $p_k(f)=\sup_{x\in [-k,k]}|f(x)|$.

We can verify that p_k defines a seminorm. We can then check $\rho_k(f,g)=p_k(f-g)$ is a semi-metric.

We claim that $\{\rho_k\}$ is separating: if $f \neq g$, then there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x_0) \neq g(x_0)$. Since f and g are continuous, there is a neighborhood $[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]$ such that $f(x) \neq g(x)$ for all $x \in [x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta]$. Find k such that $[x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta] \subseteq [-k, k]$. Then, $\rho_k(f - g) > 0$.

Construct d_k as above, and then d as follows:

$$d_{\mathsf{F}} = \sum \frac{2^{-k} p_k(f - g)}{1 + p_k(f - g)}$$

(9) Product of metric spaces: let $(X_k, \rho_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a countable family of metric spaces. For each k, let

$$d_k(x,y) = \frac{\rho_k(x,y)}{1 + \rho_k(x,y)}.$$

Remark: If the ρ_k are already uniformly bounded, let $d_k = \rho_k$.

Let

$$X = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k$$

$$= \{ (x_k)_k \mid x_k \in X_k \}$$

$$= \left\{ f : \mathbb{N} \to \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k \mid f(k) \in X_k \right\}.$$

Define $D: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ as

$$D(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \rho_k(x_k, y_k),$$

$$D(f, g) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \rho(f(k), g(k)).$$

For example, for each k, let $X_k = \{0, 1\}$ with the discrete metric. Let

$$\Delta = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{0, 1\}$$

$$= \{(x_k)_k \mid x_k \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

$$D(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} |x_k - y_k| \qquad (x_k)_k, (y_k)_k \in \Delta.$$

 Δ is known as the abstract Cantor set; every compact metric space is a surjective image of the abstract Cantor set.

(10) Geodesic Distance: let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the standard dot product on $\mathbb{R}^3(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$S^{2} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid ||x||_{2} = 1 \right\}$$
$$S^{n-1} = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid ||x||_{2} = 1 \right\}.$$

To find the geodesic distance, we take $d(x, y) = \arccos(\langle x, y \rangle)$. We claim d is a metric.

- Symmetry: self-evident.
- $d(x, x) = \arccos(1) = 0$. Suppose d(x, y) = 0. Then, $\langle x, y \rangle = 1$, meaning $||x y||^2 = 0$, so x = y.
- Let $\theta = \arccos(\langle x, y \rangle)$, $\varphi = \arccos(\langle y, z \rangle)$, where $\theta, \varphi \in [0, \pi]$.

$$p_{X} = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} y$$
$$= \cos(\theta) y$$
$$x = \cos(\theta) y + \sin(\theta) u$$

where

$$u = \frac{x - p_X}{\|x - p_X\|}.$$

Similarly, we can take

$$z = \cos(\varphi)y + \sin(\varphi)v$$

where

$$v = \frac{z - p_z}{\|z - p_z\|}.$$

So,

$$\begin{split} \langle x,z\rangle &= \cos(\theta)\cos(\varphi) + \sin(\theta)\sin(\varphi)\,\langle u,v\rangle \\ &\geq \cos(\theta)\cos(\varphi) - \sin(\theta)\sin(\varphi) & \langle u,v\rangle \geq -1 \\ &= \cos(\theta+\varphi). \end{split}$$

Since arccos is decreasing,

$$\begin{aligned} \arccos(\langle x, z \rangle) &\leq \arccos(\cos(\theta + \varphi)) \\ &= \theta + \varphi \\ &= \arccos(\langle x, y \rangle) + \arccos(\langle y, z \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $d(x, y) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$.

• Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a simple connected graph. We define $d: V \times V \to [0, \infty)$ to be the length of the shortest path between vertices u and v.

Exercise: Show this is a metric.

(11) Let (X, d) be any metric space. If $E \subseteq X$, define $\operatorname{diam}(E) = \sup_{x,y \in E} d(x,y)$. E is bounded if $\operatorname{diam}(E) < \infty$.

Exercise: If $(V, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space, $E \subseteq V$ is a subset, show the following are equivalent:

- (i) E is bounded (in the metric sense)
- (ii) $\sup_{v \in E} \|v\| < \infty$
- (iii) $\exists r > 0$ such that $E \subseteq rB_V$.

Let Ω be any set. The function $f:\Omega\to X$ is bounded if $f(\Omega)\subseteq X$ is bounded. We let $\mathrm{Bd}(\Omega,X)=\{f:\Omega\to X\mid f\text{ is bounded}\}$.

Remark: $Bd(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) = \ell_{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{F}).$

(12) $Bd(\Omega, X)$ with

$$D_u(f,g) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} d(f(x), g(x)).$$

Exercise: Show that D_u defines a metric.

Consider $Bd(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) = \ell_{\infty}$. Look at the subset

$$E = \{ f \in Bd(\Omega, \mathbb{F}) \mid f(x) \in \{0, 1\} \}.$$

Then,

$$D_u(f, g) = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & f \neq g \\ 0 & f = g \end{cases}.$$

When we take a particular subset of $D_u(f, g)$, we find that we get the discrete metric.

Taking an overview of the concepts we have learned so far, we see

Inner Product Spaces \subseteq Normed Vector Spaces \subseteq Metric Spaces

Topology of Metric Spaces

Throughout this section, let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (1) Let $x_0 \in X$, $\delta > 0$.
 - (i) We say

$$U(x_0, \delta) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) < \delta\}$$

is the open ball centered at x_0 with radius δ .

(ii) We say

$$B(x_0, \delta) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) \le \delta\}$$

is the closed ball.

(iii) We say

$$S(x_0, \delta) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, x_0) = \delta\}$$

is the sphere.

(2) $U \subseteq X$ is open if

$$(\forall x \in U)(\exists \delta > 0) \ni U(x, \delta) \subseteq U.$$

Let

$$\tau_X = \{ U \subseteq X \mid U \text{ open} \}$$
$$\subset \mathcal{P}(X).$$

(3) $D \subseteq X$ is closed if D^c is open.

(4) If $x \in U \in \tau_X$, then U is called an open neighborhood of x. If $x \in U \subseteq N$, where $U \in \tau_X$, then N is a neighborhood of x.

$$\mathcal{N}_{x} = \{ N \mid N \text{ is a neighborhood of } x \}$$

(5) Let $A \subseteq X$. The interior of A is

$$A^{\circ} = \bigcup \{ V \mid V \subseteq A, V \text{ open} \}$$
 .

The closure of A is

$$\overline{A} = \bigcap \{D \mid A \subseteq D, D \text{ closed}\}.$$

The boundary of A is

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^0$$
.

Exercise: $\overline{A^c} = (A^\circ)^c$, $(\overline{A})^c = (A^c)^\circ$.

Remarks: A° is the largest open set contained in A. So, if V is open and $V \subseteq A$, then $V \subseteq A^{\circ}$. Similarly, \overline{D} is the smallest closed set containing D. If C is closed and $D \subseteq C$, then $\overline{D} \subseteq C$.

- For example, $(a, b]^{\circ} = (a, b)$. This is because (a, b) is open and contained in (a, b], so $(a, b) \subseteq (a, b]^{\circ}$.
- We will show that $\overline{A^c} \subseteq (A^\circ)^c$.

$$A^{\circ} \subseteq A$$
$$(A^{\circ})^{c} \supseteq A^{c}$$

The union of open sets is open, so A° is open, so $(A^{\circ})^{c}$ is closed by definition. Therefore,

$$(A^{\circ})^c \supseteq \overline{A^c}$$
.

Topology of Open Sets in a Metric Space

The open sets τ_X form a topology:

- (i) \emptyset , $X \in \tau_X$.
- (ii) If $\{V_i\}_{i\in I}\subseteq \tau_{\times}$, then

$$\bigcup_{i\in I}V_i\in\tau_X.$$

(iii) If $V_i, \ldots, V_n \in \tau_X$, then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i \in \tau_X.$$

Remark: This is only true of finite intersections. For a counterexample, if $V_n = (-1/n, 1/n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with the Euclidean metric, then the infinite intersection yields $\{0\}$, which is closed in \mathbb{R} with the Euclidean metric.

Proof:

- (1) Clearly, \emptyset (by vacuous truth) and X are open.
- (2) Let $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$. Then, $\exists i_0 \in I$ with $x \in V_{i_0}$. Since V_{i_0} is open, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that $U(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq V_{i_0} \subseteq \bigcup V_i$.
- (3) Let $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$. Then, $x \in V_i$ for all $i \in 1, ..., n$. Since each V_i is open, $\exists \varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n$ with $U(x, \varepsilon_i) \subseteq V_i$ for each i = 1, ..., n. Set $\varepsilon = \min\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Then, $U(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U(x, \varepsilon_i) \subseteq V_i$ for all i. Therefore, $U(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$.

Exercise: Show all open balls are open. In particular, show all open intervals are open.

Exercise: Show the following:

- (1) X, \emptyset are closed.
- (2) If $\{C_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a family of closed sets, then $\bigcap_{i\in I} C_i$ is closed.
- (3) For C_1, \ldots, C_n closed, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ is closed.
- (4) Closed balls are closed. Spheres are closed.

Let $x \in X$. Recall that \mathcal{N}_x is the set of all neighborhoods of x.

- (i) $N \in \mathcal{N}_X \Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \in N$
- (ii) $N \in \mathcal{N}_X$, $N \subseteq M \Rightarrow M \in \mathcal{N}_X$
- (iii) N_1 , $N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_x \Rightarrow N_1 \cap N_2 \in \mathcal{N}_x$

In this sense, $\mathcal{N}_{\scriptscriptstyle X}$ is a directed set with reverse inclusion.

Pointwise Characterization of Subsets

Let $A \subseteq X$.

(i) $x \in A^{\circ} \Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \subseteq A$.

(ii) $x \in \overline{A} \Leftrightarrow \forall \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

(iii) $x \in \partial A \Leftrightarrow \forall \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and $U(x, \delta) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$.

Proof: Let $A \subseteq X$

(i)

$$x \in A^{\circ} \Leftrightarrow x \in \bigcup_{\substack{V \in \tau_X \\ V \subseteq A}} V$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \exists V \in \tau_X, V \subseteq A, x \in V$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \subseteq A.$$

(ii)

$$x \notin \overline{A} \Leftrightarrow x \in (\overline{A})^{c}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow x \in (A^{c})^{\circ}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \subseteq A^{c}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \cap A = \emptyset.$$

We negate both sides.

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in \partial A \Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A} \cap (A^{0})^{c} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A} \cap \overline{A}^{c} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x \in \overline{A} \text{ and } x \in \overline{A}^{c} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall \delta > 0 : U(x, \delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset, U(x, \delta) \cap A^{c} \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

Remark: $\overline{U(v,\delta)} = B(v,\delta)$ in a normed space. $\partial U(v,\delta) = \partial B(v,\delta) = S(v,\delta)$ in a normed space. Also, $B(v,\delta)^\circ = U(v,\delta)$.

Proof: We show that $\overline{U}(v,\delta) = B(v,\delta)$. Since $B(v,\delta)$ is closed, and $U(v,\delta) \subseteq B(v,\delta)$, we know $\overline{U(v,\delta)} \subseteq B(v,\delta)$.

Let $w \in B(v, \delta)$. If $||w - v|| < \delta$, then $w \in U(v, \delta)$. Assume $||w - v|| = \delta$. Let $u_t = (1 - t)v + tw$, where $t \in [0, 1]$.

$$||w - u_t|| = ||w - (1 - t)v - tw||$$

$$= ||(1 - t)(w - v)||$$

$$= (1 - t)||w - v||$$

$$= (1 - t)\delta.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $t \in (0,1)$ such that $(1-t)\delta < \varepsilon$. Then, $u_t \in U(w,\varepsilon) \cap U(v,\delta)$. Therefore, $w \in \overline{U(v,\delta)}$.

Unions and Intersections of Closure/Interior

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

(i)

$$\left(\bigcup_{i\in I}A_i\right)^\circ\supseteq\bigcup_{i\in I}A_i^\circ$$

may be strict

(ii)

$$\overline{\bigcap_{i\in I} A_i} \subseteq \bigcap_{i\in I} \overline{A_i}$$

(iii)

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k^\circ = \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n A_k\right)^0$$

(iv)

$$\overline{\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} D_k} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n} \overline{D_k}$$

Proof:

(i)

$$A_{i}^{\circ} \subseteq A_{i}$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}^{\circ} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}$$

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}^{\circ} \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}\right)^{\circ}$$

Remark: We claim $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}$ under the absolute value metric. We know that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, \mathbb{R} is closed, meaning $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\delta > 0$. We know that $(t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, $t \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{R}$.

Properties of Boundary

Let $A \subseteq X$.

- (1) ∂A is closed.
- (2) $\partial A = \partial A^c$
- (3) $\overline{A} = A \cup \partial A$
- (4) $A \setminus \partial A = A^{\circ}$

Proof:

(1)

$$\partial A = \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ}$$
$$= \overline{A} \cap (A^{\circ})^{c}.$$

- (2) Follows from pointwise characterization.
- (3) Clearly, $A \cup \partial A \subseteq \overline{A}$. Let $x \in \overline{A}$. If $x \in A$, we're done. Otherwise, $x \in \overline{A} \setminus A \subseteq \overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ} = \partial A$.
- (4)

$$A \setminus \partial A = A \cap (\partial A)^{c}$$

$$= A \cap (\overline{A} \setminus A^{\circ})^{c}$$

$$= A \cap (\overline{A} \cap (A^{\circ})^{c})^{c}$$

$$= A \cap (\overline{A}^{c} \cup A^{\circ})$$

$$= (A \cap \overline{A}^{c}) \cup (A \cap A^{\circ})$$

$$= A^{\circ}$$

Density and Separability

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (1) $A \subseteq X$ is *d*-dense if $\overline{A} = X$.
- (2) $N \subseteq X$ is nowhere dense if $(\overline{N})^{\circ} = \emptyset$.
- (3) (X, d) is separable if there is a countable dense subset.

Exercise: If $N \subseteq X$ is closed, then N is nowhere dense if and only if N^c is dense.

Exercise: The following are equivalent.

- (1) $A \subseteq X$ is dense.
- (2) $\forall \emptyset \neq U \in \tau_X, U \cap A \neq \emptyset$.
- (3) $\forall x \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$
- (4) $\forall x \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists a \in A \text{ such that } d(x, a) < \varepsilon.$

Let X be a metric space.

(1) A base for τ_X is a family of open subsets $\mathcal B$ such that:

$$(\forall U \in \tau_X) (\forall x \in U) \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \ni x \in B \subseteq U.$$

Equivalently,

$$\forall U \in \tau_X, U = \bigcup_{i \in I} B_i.$$
 $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$

- (2) We say that (X, d) is second countable if τ_X admits a countable base.
- For any (X,d) a metric space, $\mathcal{B}=\{U(x,\varepsilon)\mid x\in X,\varepsilon>0\}$ is a base. Indeed, given any $x\in U\subseteq \tau_X$, by definition, $\exists \varepsilon>0$ such that $U(x,\varepsilon)\subseteq U$. Alternatively, $\mathcal{B}'=\{U(x,1/n)\mid x\in X,n\geq 1\}$ is a topological base.
- Let $X = \mathbb{R}^d$ with the Euclidean metric. Then, for $\mathcal{B} = \{U(q, 1/n) \mid n \geq 1, q \in \mathbb{Q}^d\}$, we claim this is a base.

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be open, $r \in V$. Since V is open, $\exists \delta > 0$ with $U(r, \delta) \subseteq V$. Find n large such that $1/n < \delta$. Find $q \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ with ||r - q|| < 1/2n. This is always possible as \mathbb{Q}^d is dense in \mathbb{R}^d .

Consider U(q, 1/2n). Then, $r \subseteq U(q, 1/2n) \subseteq U(r, \delta) \subseteq V$ because ||r - q|| < 1/2n, and if $t \in U(q, 1/2n)$, then

$$||t - r|| \le ||t - q|| + ||q - r||$$

 $< 1/2n + 1/2n$
 $= 1/n$
 $< \delta$.

Separable, Non-Separable, Dense, and Non-Dense Sets

(1) $(R^d, \|\cdot\|_p)$ is separable for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. Indeed, $\mathbb{Q}^d \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is the countable dense subset of \mathbb{R}^d .

Let
$$r = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ \vdots \\ r_d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
. Find $q = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^d$ with $|r_j - q_j| < \varepsilon/d$. Then,

$$||r - q||_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{d} |r_j - q_j|$$
 $< d.$

We know that for any vector $r \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we can find a vector q such that

$$||q-r||_{p} \le c ||q-r||_{1}$$
,

so for arbitrary p, find q such that $||q - r||_1 < \varepsilon/c$.

(2) Similarly, $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is also countable, meaning $\mathbb{C}^d_{\mathbb{Q}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ is dense and \mathbb{C}^d is dense.

Proposition: Separable Subsets

If (X, d) is separable, and $Y \subseteq X$, then (Y, d) is also separable.

Let $\{a_k\}$ be a countable dense subset in X. Let $N=\{(m,n)\mid U(a_m,1/n)\cap Y\neq\emptyset\}$. Clearly, N is nonempty. For each $(m,n)\in N$, choose $b_{(m,n)}\in Y\cap U(a_m,1/n)$. We claim $\{b_{(m,n)}\mid m,n\geq 1\}$ is dense in Y.

Let $y \in Y$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Find N large so that $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon/2$. Since $A \subseteq X$ is dense, find $U(y, 1/n) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $d(a_m, y) < 1/n$. Then,

$$d(b_{(m,n)}, y) \le d(b_{(m,n)}, a_m) + d(a_m, y)$$

$$< \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}$$

$$= \frac{2}{n}$$

$$< \varepsilon.$$

- (1) ℓ_p^n is separable.
- (2) $c_{00} = \{(a_k)_{k=1}^n \mid \text{finitely many } a_k \neq 0\} \text{ with } \|\cdot\|_u \text{ is separable.}$

Recall that $e_k = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ where 1 is at position k. Consider $E = \mathbb{Q}$ -span $\{e_k \mid k \ge 1\}$,

$$E = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k e_k \mid \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q}, n \ge 1 \right\}.$$

The set E is countable. If we fix $n \ge 1$, we have

$$E_n = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k \mid \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$

Then, $E = \bigcup E_n$. Note

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Q}}_{n} \to E_{n}$$

$$(\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{n}) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} e_{k}.$$

Thus, E_n is countable, and E is a countable union of countable sets.

We claim that E is dense. Given $z \in c_{00}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, we know that $z = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k e_k$ for some n and $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Find $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|\alpha_k - a_k| < \varepsilon$. Set $w = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k$. Then, $||z - w||_u = \sup |\alpha_k - a_k| < \varepsilon$.

- (3) c_0 with $\|\cdot\|_u$ is separable.
- (4) ℓ_{∞} is not separable.

Suppose ℓ_{∞} were separable. Consider $E = \{(a_k)_k \in \ell_{\infty} \mid a_k \in \{0,1\}\}$. Then, E is separable. Recall that $(E, \|\cdot\|_u)$ has the discrete metric.

In the discrete metric, every subset is open, meaning every subset is closed. Therefore, if X is separable and discrete, then X is countable.

However, E is not countable by Cantor's theorem. $card(E) = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Alternatively, we can show that

$$(a_k)_k \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} a_k$$

is onto.

Exercise: ℓ_p is separable for $1 \le p < \infty$.

(5) We will show that

$$\mathbb{P}[0,1]\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{k}x^{k}\mid a_{k}\in\mathbb{R}, n\geq 1\right\}$$

is $\|\cdot\|_u$ -dense in C([0,1]). Using this, we can show that $(C([0,1]),\|\cdot\|_u)$ is separable.

The Cantor Set

$$C_0 = [0, 1]$$

$$C_1 = [0, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 1]$$

$$C_2 = [0, 1/9] \cup [2/9, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 7/9] \cup [8/9, 1]$$

$$C_3 = [0, 1/27] \cup [2/27, 1/9] \cup \dots \cup [26/27, 1]$$

$$\vdots$$

In each step, we delete the middle third of each interval. This process repeated ad infinitum yields the Cantor set.

$$C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=0}^{3^{n-1}-1} \left(\left[\frac{3k+0}{3^n}, \frac{3k+1}{3^n} \right] \cup \left[\frac{3k+2}{3^n}, \frac{3k+3}{3^n} \right] \right).$$

- (i) $\mathcal C$ is closed as it is the intersection of closed sets.
- (ii) length(C) = 0. Look at the total length of the removed intervals,

$$I = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{9} + \frac{4}{27} + \frac{8}{81} + \cdots$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2^{k-1}}{3^k}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k$$
$$= 1.$$

Thus, length(C) = 0.

(iii) \mathcal{C} is nowhere dense — $(\overline{\mathcal{C}})^{\circ} = \emptyset$. Since \mathcal{C} is closed, $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} = \emptyset$.

Suppose $\mathcal{C}^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$. Then, $\exists x \in \mathcal{C}, \varepsilon > 0$ such that $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. So, $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_n$ for all n.

Note C_n is the disjoint union of 2^n subintervals, each with length $1/3^n$. Find m so large such that $3^{-m} < \varepsilon$. We know that $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq C_m$.

However, $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ has length $2\varepsilon > \frac{2}{3^m}$. Each subinterval in C_m has length $1/3^m$. This implies C_m contains an interval of length greater than $\frac{2}{3^m}$. \bot

(iv) $\operatorname{card}(\mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{card}(\mathbb{R})$

Claim 1: Given n > 1,

$$E_n = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{w_k}{3^k} \mid w_k \in \{0, 2\} \right\}$$

is precisely the set of *left* endpoints of the subintervals of C_n .

For n=1, if $w_1=0$, then we get 0, and $w_1=2$ yields 2/3. Meanwhile, if n=2, then we have

$$w_1 = 0, w_2 = 0 \mapsto 0$$

 $w_1 = 0, w_2 = 2 \mapsto 2/9$
 $w_1 = 2, w_2 = 0 \mapsto 2/3$
 $w_1 = 2, w_2 = 2 \mapsto 8/9$.

By induction, we have shown for n = 1, 2. Assume this is true for n.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} w_k 3^{-k} = \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k 3^{-k}}_{(1)} + \underbrace{w_{n+1} 3^{-(n+1)}}_{(2)}$$

Part (1) denotes one of the left endpoints of C_n , called $C_{n,k}$ for some $1 \le k \le 2^n$. Then, if $w_{n+1} = 0$, we get the left endpoint of $C_{n+1,2k-1}$, and if $w_n = 2$, we get the left endpoint of $C_{n+1,2k}$.

Claim 2:

$$C = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k 3^{-k} \mid w_k \in \{0, 2\} \right\}$$

is precisely the Cantor set.

Let $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k 3^{-k}$. We will show that $x \in C_n$ for all n. Fix $n \ge 1$. Then,

$$x = \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} w_k 3^{-k}}_{y} + \underbrace{\sum_{k>n} w_k 3^{-k}}_{z}.$$

From our previous claim, y is the left endpoint of some subinterval of C_n . Additionally,

$$z = \sum_{k>n} w_k 3^{-k}$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{k>n} 3^{-k}$$

$$= \frac{2}{3^{n+1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9} + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{3^n}.$$

Since the length of a subinterval in C_n is exactly 3^{-n} , it is the case that x = y + z remains an element of $C_{n,k}$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{C}$. Then, $x \in \mathcal{C}_n$ for all n. Then, $x \in \mathcal{C}_1$, so let x_1 be the left endpoint of the interval $\mathcal{C}_{1,j}$ that contains x. Then, $|x - x_1| < \frac{1}{3}$, and $x_1 = w_1 3^{-1}$ for some $w_1 \in \{0, 2\}$.

Let x_2 be the left endpoint of the subinterval $C_{2,j}$ that contains x. Then, $|x-x_2|<\frac{1}{3^2}$. Therefore,

$$x_2 = x_1 + w_2 3^{-2}$$

= $w_1 3^{-1} + w_2 3^{-2}$.

Iterating, we have x_n , the left endpoint of the subinterval $C_{n,j}$ that contains x.

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k 3^{-k}$$
.

We have that $|x - x_n| < 3^{-n}$.

Therefore, $(x_n)_n \to x$. Also,

$$x_n = \sum_{k=1}^n w_k 3^{-k}$$

$$\to \sum_{k=1}^n w_k 3^{-k}.$$

Thus,

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w_k 3^{-k}.$$

To prove $card(\mathcal{C}) = card(\mathbb{R})$, we will show that $card(\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}) = card(\mathcal{C})$.

$$(a_k)_k \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2a_k 3^{-k}.$$

Relative (or Subspace) Topology

We know that if (X, d) is a metric space, and $Y \subseteq X$ is any subset, then (Y, d) is a metric space. The question now is: what are the open sets of Y?

For example, let $X = \mathbb{R}$, Y = [0,1]. Consider U = [0,1/2). U is not open in \mathbb{R} , as if x = 0, then there is no open ball completely contained in U. However, in Y, U is open.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $Y \subseteq X$ any subset. $V \subseteq Y$ is open if and only if $\exists U \subseteq X$ open such that $V = U \cap Y$. That is, $\tau_Y = \{U \cap Y \mid U \in \tau_X\}$.

Let V be open in Y. Then, $\forall x \in V$, $\exists \delta_x > 0$ such that $U_Y(x, \delta_x) \subseteq V$. We have $U_Y(x, \delta_x) = \{y \in Y \mid d(y, x) < \delta_x\}$. Let

$$U = \bigcup_{x \in V} U_X(x, \delta_x)$$

$$U \cap Y = \left(\bigcup_{x \in V} U_X(x, \delta_x)\right) \cap Y$$

$$= \bigcup_{x \in V} U_X(x, \delta_x) \cap Y$$

$$= \bigcup_{x \in V} U_Y(x, \delta_x).$$

Let U be open in X. Then, for $x \in U \cap Y$, $\exists \delta_x$ such that $U(x, \delta_x) \subseteq U$.

(1) ℓ_{∞} is not a discrete metric space. However, $E = \{(a_k)_k \mid a_k \in \{0,1\}\}$ with the induced metric. Then, E is a discrete metric space.

Convergent Sequences

Fix a metric space (X, d). A sequence in X is a map $x : \mathbb{N} \to X$, $n \mapsto x(n) = x_n$.

A natural sequence $(n_k)_k$ is a sequence in $\mathbb N$ with $n_k \ge k$ for all k. A subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ is a sequence $(x_{n_k})_k$, where $(n_k)_k$ is a natural sequence.

A sequence $(x_n)_n$ converges to $x \in X$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \ge N_\varepsilon$ implies $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$. We write $(x_n)_n \xrightarrow{d} x$.

Exercise: A sequence can have at most one limit, as metric spaces are Hausdorff.

Proposition: Equivalent Definitions of Convergence

Given $(x_n)_n \in X$, $x \in X$, the following are equivalent.

- (i) $(x_n)_n \to x$ in X
- (ii) $(d(x_n, x))_n \to 0$ in \mathbb{R}
- (iii) $\forall V \in \mathcal{N}_x$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq N \Rightarrow x_n \in V$.

Exercise: Let (X, ρ) be a metric space, let $d(x, y) = \frac{\rho(x, y)}{1 + \rho(x, y)}$. A sequence $(x_n)_n \xrightarrow{d} x$ if and only if $(x_n)_n \xrightarrow{\rho} x$.