Show that  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is a Banach space.

**Proof:** We know that  $C_0(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , meaning we need show  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is closed under the uniform norm.

Let  $(f_n)_n \to f$ , with  $(f_n)_n \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then,

$$|f(x)| = |f(x) - f_n(x) + f_n(x)|$$
  

$$\leq |f_n(x) - f(x)| + |f_n(x)|$$
  

$$\leq ||f_n - f||_{\mathcal{U}} + |f_n(x)|$$

By the definition of uniform convergence, for all  $n \ge N_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $||f_n - f|| < \varepsilon/2$  and by the definition of vanishing at  $\pm \infty$ , for all |x| > M,  $|f_n(x)| < \varepsilon/2$ . Thus,

$$< \varepsilon$$
.

meaning  $f(x) \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , so  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  is closed, so it is complete.

### **Problem 2**

Show that  $\ell_2$  is a Hilbert space.

**Proof:** Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\ell_2$ . Let  $x_n(k)$  denote the index k of the sequence  $x_n \in \ell_2$ . Then, by the equivalence of norms,  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|x_n(k) - x_m(k)| \le c \|x_m(k) - x_n(k)\|_2$$
  
 $\to 0$  since  $(x_n)_n$  is Cauchy in  $\ell_2$ .

Since  $\mathbb{R}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is complete,  $x_n(k) \to x(k)$  as  $k \to \infty$ . We set  $(x(k))_k$  to be the sequence such that  $x_n(k) \to x(k)$  for each n.

We must show that  $||x_n - x||_2 \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . This is equivalent to

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lim_{m \to \infty} |x_n(k) - x_m(k)|^2 \le \lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{m \ge M} ||x_n - x_m||^2$$

$$\le \varepsilon^2 \qquad \text{since } (x_n)_n \text{ is Cauchy.}$$

Thus,  $||x_n - x_m|| \to 0$  as  $m \to \infty$  and  $n \to \infty$ , so  $||x_n - x|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

# **Problem 3**

Suppose (X, d) is a complete metric space and  $(x_n)_n$  is a sequence in X such that there is a  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  with  $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \le \theta d(x_n, x_{n-1})$ . Show that  $(x_n)_n$  is convergent.

**Proof:** We will show that  $(x_n)_n$  is convergent by showing that  $(x_n)_n$  Cauchy. Let m, n be such that  $m \ge n$ . Notice that  $d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \le \theta^{n-2} d(x_2, x_1)$ . Thus,

$$d(x_{m}, x_{n}) \leq d(x_{m}, x_{m-1}) + d(x_{m-1}, x_{m-2}) + \dots + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n})$$

$$\leq d(x_{2}, x_{1}) \left(\theta^{m-2} + \theta^{m-3} + \dots + \theta^{n-1}\right)$$

$$= d(x_{2}, x_{1})\theta^{n-1} \left(1 + \theta + \theta^{2} + \dots + \theta^{p-q-1}\right)$$

$$\leq d(x_{2}, x_{1}) \frac{\theta^{n-1}}{1 - \theta}.$$

Notice that the sequence  $\left(\frac{\theta^{n-1}}{1-\theta}\right)_n \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , meaning  $(x_n)_n$  is Cauchy. Since X is complete,  $(x_n)_n$  is convergent.

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, and suppose  $f: X \to X$  is a contractive map — i.e., there is a  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  with

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \le \theta d(x, y).$$

Prove that f has a unique fixed point.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0 \in X$ , and define  $x_n = f(x_{n-1})$ . For all n, we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \le \theta^n d(x_1, x_0).$$

Therefore, for  $x_m$ ,  $x_n$  arbitrary in X with m > n, we have

$$d(x_{m}, x_{n}) \leq d(x_{m}, x_{m-1}) + \dots + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n})$$

$$\leq \theta^{m} d(x_{1}, x_{0}) + \dots + \theta^{n+1} d(x_{1}, x_{0})$$

$$= d(x_{1}, x_{0}) \theta^{n+1} \left( 1 + \theta + \dots + \theta^{m-n-1} \right)$$

$$\leq d(x_{1}, x_{0}) \frac{\theta^{n+1}}{1 - \theta}.$$

Since  $\left(\frac{\theta^{n+1}}{1-\theta}\right)_n \to 0$  in  $\mathbb R$  as  $n \to \infty$ , it must be the case that  $d(x_m, x_n) \to 0$  as  $m, n \to \infty$ . Since X is complete, this means  $(x_n)_n \to x$  for some  $x \in X$ , meaning f(x) = x.

Suppose it were the case that there existed s, t distinct with f(s) = s and f(t) = t. Then,  $d(f(s), f(t)) = d(s, t) \le \theta d(s, t)$ , but  $\theta < 1$ , which is a contradiction. Thus, x is unique.

# **Problem 5**

If  $(f_k)_k$  is an orthonormal sequence in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , show that the map

$$\varphi: \ell_2 \to \mathcal{H}$$

$$\varphi(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi(k) f_k$$

is a well-defined isometry.

**Proof:** Let  $\xi$ ,  $\eta \in \ell_2$ . Then,

$$d(\xi, \eta) = \|\xi - \eta\|_{2}$$

$$\varphi(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi(k) f_{k}$$

$$\varphi(\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta(k) f_{k}$$

$$d(\varphi(\xi), \varphi(\eta)) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \xi(k) - \eta(k), f_{k} \rangle\right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \|\xi - \eta\|_{2}$$

Parseval's Identity.

Let  $T:V\to W$  be a continuous linear map between normed spaces which is bounded below; that is, there is a C>0 such that  $\|T(v)\|\geq C\|v\|$  for all  $v\in V$ . If V is complete, show that  $\operatorname{ran}(T)\subseteq W$  is a closed subspace, and that  $V\cong\operatorname{ran}(T)$  are uniformly isomorphic.

**Proof:** Since T is bounded below, we know that  $||T||_{op} > 0$ , meaning T is not the zero transformation.

Let  $(v_n)_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in V. Since V is complete,  $(v_n)_n \to v \in V$ . Since T is continuous, we have that  $(T(v_n))_n \to T(v)$ . Thus,  $(T(v_n))_n$  is Cauchy in W, and thus T is uniformly continuous.

It is also apparent that for any sequence  $(v_n)_n \in V$ , then since  $(T(v_n))_n \to T(v)$ , any sequence in T(V) is contained in T(V), so  $T(V) \subseteq W$  is closed.

Since  $T': V \to ran(T)$  is surjective, it is bijective, so it must be the case that  $V \cong ran(T)$  are uniformly isomorphic.

#### Problem 7

Let X and Y be metric spaces with completions  $(\tilde{X}, \iota_X)$  and  $(\tilde{Y}, \iota_Y)$  respectively. If  $f: X \to Y$  is an isometry, show that there is a unique isometry  $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$  that extends f. That is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{X} & \stackrel{\tilde{f}}{\longrightarrow} & \tilde{Y} \\
\iota_{X} & & & \downarrow_{\iota_{Y}} \\
X & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & Y
\end{array}$$

**Proof:** We have that  $\iota_X$  and  $\iota_Y$  are unique isometries for X and Y into  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  respectively.

Since f is an isometry, we have that  $\iota_Y \circ f$  is an isometry from X into  $\tilde{Y}$ .

# **Problem 9**

Let X be a metric space. Show that the following are equivalent:

- (i) Every meager set has empty interior.
- (ii) The complement of a meager set is dense.

Moreover, show that these equivalent statements hold true if the metric space is complete.

**Proof:** Let  $A = \bigcup_{i \ge 1} A_i$  be a meager subset of X. Suppose  $A^\circ = \emptyset$ . Then,  $\overline{A^c} = (A^\circ)^c = X$ , so  $A^c$  is dense in X.

Suppose 
$$\overline{A^c} = X$$
. Then,  $(A^\circ)^c = X$ , so  $A^\circ = \emptyset$ .

Let  $A \subseteq X$  be meager in X a complete metric space. Since X is complete, it cannot be the case that  $X \subseteq \bigcup A_i = A$  by Baire's theorem. Thus, for any  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $Y^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ , it cannot be the case that  $Y \subseteq \bigcup A_i$ , so  $A^{\circ} = \emptyset$ .

Let V be an infinite-dimensional normed space with linear basis B.

- (i) If  $W \subset V$  is a proper subspace, show that  $W^{\circ} = \emptyset$ .
- (ii) If V is a Banach space, show that B is uncountable. You may used the fact that finite-dimensional subspaces are always closed.
- **Proof of (i):** Let  $W \subset V$  be proper. Suppose  $U(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq W$  for some  $x \in V$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, for  $v \in V$ , we have that  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{v}{\|v\|} + x \in U(x,\varepsilon)$ , meaning  $v \in W$ , so  $V \subseteq W$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $W^{\circ} = \emptyset$ .
- **Proof of (ii):** Let  $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be a countable, linearly independent set. Let  $W_1=\text{span}\{e_1\}$ ,  $W_2=\text{span}\{e_1,e_2\}$ , and so on. We have that each  $W_n\subseteq V$  is closed (by assumption), and  $W_1\subseteq W_2\subseteq\cdots$ . Since each  $W_n$  has empty interior, it cannot be the case that  $V=\bigcup W_n$  by Baire's Theorem.