

This is a collection of old complex analysis qualifier exam solutions, as well as some notes on useful results and proofs.

## Useful Results and Proofs

### Analytic Functions

**Definition:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be an open set. A function  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called *analytic* if, for any  $z_0 \in U$ , there is  $r > 0$  and  $(a_k)_k \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$

for all  $z \in U(z_0, r)$ .

Analytic functions form a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra.

**Theorem (Identity Theorem):** Let  $f, g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be analytic functions defined on a connected open set (also known as a region). If

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid f(z) = g(z)\}$$

admits an accumulation point in  $U$ , then  $f = g$  on  $U$ .

*Proof.* To begin, we show that if  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function that is not uniformly zero, then for any  $z_0 \in U$ , there is  $\rho > 0$  such that  $f$  is nonzero on  $\dot{U}(z_0, \rho) \subseteq U$ . Towards this end, we may write

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k,$$

for all  $z \in U(z_0, r)$ , some  $r > 0$ , and since  $f$  is not uniformly zero, there is some minimal  $\ell$  such that  $a_\ell \neq 0$ . This yields

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^\ell \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+\ell} (z - z_0)^k;$$

the function  $h: U(z_0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+\ell} (z - z_0)^k$$

then has the same radius of convergence as  $f$  and is not zero at  $z_0$ , so that  $g$  is not zero on some  $U(z_0, \rho)$  as  $g$  is continuous.

Now, we let  $V_1$  be the set of accumulation points of  $A$  in  $U$ , and let  $V_2 = U \setminus V_1$ .

If  $z \in V_2$ , then there is some  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $\dot{U}(z_0, r_1) \cap A = \emptyset$ , or that  $\dot{U}(z_0, r_1) \subseteq A^c$ . Meanwhile, since  $U$  is open, there is some  $r_2 > 0$  such that  $U(z_0, r_2) \subseteq U$ , meaning that if  $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ , then  $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U \setminus A$ . Thus,  $V_2$  is open.

Meanwhile, if  $z \in V_1$ , then since  $V_1 \subseteq U$ , it follows that there is  $r > 0$  such that  $U(z, r)$  and  $(a_k)_k$  such that

$$f(w) - g(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (w - z)^k$$

for all  $w \in U(z, r)$ . We claim that  $f(w) - g(w)$  is uniformly zero on  $U(z, r)$ . Else, if there were  $w_0 \in U(z, r)$  such that  $f(w_0) \neq g(w_0)$ , then it would follow that there is  $0 < s \leq r$  such that  $f(w) \neq g(w)$  for all  $w \in U(w_0, s)$ . Yet, this would contradict the assumption that  $z$  is an accumulation point, meaning that  $V_1$  is open.

Since  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are disjoint open sets whose union is equal to  $U$ , it follows that either  $V_1 = U$  or  $V_2 = U$ . If  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then the identity theorem follows.  $\square$

## Differentiability

**Definition:** If  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is an open set, then we say  $f$  is differentiable at  $z_0 \in U$  if

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{w - z_0}$$

exists. We call this value the *derivative* of  $f$  at  $z_0$ , and usually write  $f'(z_0)$ .

If  $f$  is differentiable at every  $z_0 \in U$ , we say  $f$  is differentiable on  $U$ .

If  $f$  is continuous and admits a continuous derivative, then we say  $f$  is *holomorphic*.

Note that the limit must be independent of direction. That is, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f'(z_0) \right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$ .

Now, given  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , write  $z = x + iy$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= f(x + iy) \\ &= u(x, y) + iv(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u = \operatorname{Re}(f)$  and  $v = \operatorname{Im}(f)$ . Observe then that if  $f$  is differentiable at  $x_0 + iy_0 \in U$ , then since the limit is independent of path, by taking the limit over real numbers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(u(x+h, y) + iv(x+h, y)) - (u(x, y) + iv(x, y))}{h} \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \end{aligned}$$

and by taking over the imaginary numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(u(x, y+h) + iv(x, y+h)) - (u(x, y) + iv(x, y))}{ih} \\ &= -i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the following.

**Definition:** The system of partial differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \end{aligned}$$

is known as the *Cauchy–Riemann Equations*.

Observe that if  $f$  is differentiable, then the  $u$  and  $v$  in the definition of  $f$  satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations. Yet, we desire to understand a bit more about when exactly  $f$  is differentiable or holomorphic.

## Cauchy's Integral Formula and its Consequences

### Old Exams

#### Notation

- $U(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| < r\}$
- $B(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| \leq r\}$
- $S(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| = r\}$
- $\dot{U}(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z - z_0| < r\}$
- $A(z_0, r_1, r_2) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid r_1 < |z - z_0| < r_2\}$