Activity: Bertrand Duopoly Econ 305

Brandon Lehr

We can write profits in the symmetric Bertrand duopoly game (n = 2) with marginal costs, c, and demand function, D(p), as follows:

$$v_i(p_i, p_j) = \begin{cases} (p_i - c)D(p_i) & \text{if } p_i < p_j \\ (p_i - c)D(p_i)/2 & \text{if } p_i = p_j \\ 0 & \text{if } p_i > p_j \end{cases}$$

where $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Claim: The unique NE of the Bertrand game is $(p_1^*, p_2^*) = (c, c)$.

Proof: There are two parts we have to prove. First, we must show that the proposed action profile is in fact a NE. Next, we have to show that there is no other NE.

2. Uniqueness

Consider all other possible action profiles:

(a) If
$$p_i < c$$
 for either $i=1$ or $i=2$:

 $\exists_{j \in I} \cup (p_j \circ p_j) \quad \angle O \rightarrow \text{probitable to charge}$

MIGINAL COST for $\cup (p_j \circ p_j) = O$

(b) If
$$p_i = c$$
 and $p_j > c$:
$$\rho_i \uparrow (p_j - c) \Rightarrow \sqrt{(\rho_i + \epsilon_j \rho_i)} \gg$$

Bonus: Suppose we modify the game so that firms can only charge discrete prices measured to the precision of a cent (as opposed to all non-negative real numbers). Argue that (c+1, c+1) is also a Nash equilibrium (where c is given in cents). Assume that D(c+1) > 0.

Lold'
$$V_i = \frac{1}{2}D(c+1)$$

Dan 6x1: $V_i = 0$

Dan 6y >1: $V_i < 0$

Starmed C+1

UP: $V_i = 0$