### Problem 1

Let X be a metric space. Show that X is second countable if and only if X is separable. Conclude that if X is a separable metric space, then every open set is the union of countably many open balls.

Proof:

(⇒): Let X be second countable. Then, X contains base  $U_1, U_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$  such that each  $U_i$  is nonempty. Let  $x_1 \in U_1, x_2 \in U_2, \dots$ 

The set  $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  is countable, as each  $x_i\in U_i$ . For any  $U\in \tau_X$  where  $U\neq\emptyset$ ,  $U=\bigcup_{i\in I}U_i$ , meaning that  $U\cap\{x_i\}_{i\geq 1}\neq\emptyset$ . Thus,  $\{x_i\}_{i\geq 1}$  is dense in X, meaning X is separable.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Let *X* be separable, with countable dense subset {*x<sub>i</sub>*}<sub>*i*≥1</sub>. Let

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ U(x_i, 1/n) \mid x_i \in \{x_i\}_{i > 1}, n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

Then, for every  $U \in \tau_X$ , since  $U \cap \{x_i\}_{i \geq 1} \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\exists n$  such that  $U(x_k, 1/n) \subseteq U$ , it must be the case that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a base for  $\tau_X$ . Thus, X is second countable.

If X is a separable metric space, then it admits a countable base, and any element of  $\tau_X$  is a union of the elements of the base, implying that any element of  $\tau_X$  is a union of countably many open balls.

#### Problem 2

Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $(x_n)_n$  a sequence in X, and  $X \in X$ . The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $(x_n)_n \to x$  in X;
- (ii)  $(d(x_n, x))_n \to 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iii) For every neighborhood  $V \in \mathcal{N}_{\times}$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \geq N \Rightarrow x_n \in V$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(x_n)_n \to x$  in X. Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N$  large such that  $n \ge N \Rightarrow d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ . However, this is precisely the same as  $|d(x_n, x) - 0| < \varepsilon$ , which is true if and only if  $(d(x_n, x)) \to 0$ . Therefore, we have that (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii).

Suppose  $(x_n)_n \to x$  in X. Then, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have that there exists N large such that for  $n \ge N$ ,  $d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $x_n \in U(x, \varepsilon)$  for  $n \ge N$ , or that  $x_n \in V \in \mathcal{N}_x$ . Thus, (i) implies (iii).

Suppose that for  $V \in \mathcal{N}_x$ , there exists N large such that for  $n \geq N$ ,  $x_n \in V$ . For  $V \in \mathcal{N}_x$ , it must be the case that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $U(x,\varepsilon) \subseteq V$ . For N large, and  $n \geq N$ ,  $x_n \in U(x,\varepsilon)$ , so  $d(x_n,x) < \varepsilon$ , meaning  $(x_n)_n \to x$ . Thus, (iii) implies (i).

## **Problem 3**

Let X be a metric space. Show that a sequence  $(x_n)_n$  converges in X if and only if every subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_k$  admits a convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_{k_j}})_i$ .

Proof: I don't know how to do this.

# **Problem 4**

Let  $\{(X_k, d_k)\}$  be a family of metric spaces. Assume that for every  $k \ge 1$ , we have  $d_k(x, y) \le 1$  for all  $x, y \in X_k$ . Let

$$X := \prod_{k \ge 1} X_k$$

denote the product with metric

$$d(f,g) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_k(f(k), g(k)).$$

Show that a sequence  $(f_n)_n$  converges to f in X if and only if  $(f_n(k))_n \to f(k)$  for every  $k \ge 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $(f_n)_n \to f$ . Then,  $(d(f_n, f))_n \to 0$ . Therefore, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an N large such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_k(f_n(k), f(k)) < \varepsilon$$

for  $n \ge N$ . Therefore, it must be the case that  $d_k(f_n(k), f(k)) < \varepsilon$  for all k, meaning  $f_n(k) \to f(k)$  for every  $k \ge 1$ .

I don't know how to do the reverse direction

## **Problem 5**

Let V be a normed space. Show that the operations

$$a: V \times V \rightarrow V;$$
  
 $a(v, w) = v + w$ 

and

$$\mu : \mathbb{F} \times V \to V;$$

$$\mu(\alpha, v) = \alpha v$$

are continuous.

Proof:

•  $a: V \times V \rightarrow V$ , a(v, w) = v + w:

$$||a(v, w) - a(v', w')|| = ||v + w - (v' + w')||$$

$$= ||v - v' + w - w'||$$

$$\leq ||v - v'|| + ||w - w'||$$

$$= d(v, v') + d(w, w')$$

$$= d_1((v, w), (v', w')),$$

meaning a is Lipschitz.

•  $\mu : \mathbb{F} \times V \to V$ ,  $\mu(\alpha, v) = \alpha v$ ;

$$||\mu(\alpha, v) - \mu(\beta, w)|| = ||\alpha v - \beta w||$$

$$= ||\alpha v - \alpha w + \alpha w - \beta w||$$

$$\leq |\alpha| ||v - w|| + |\alpha - \beta| ||w||$$

If  $(\alpha_n)_n \to \beta$  and  $(v_n)_n \to w$ , then

$$\|\alpha_n v_n - \beta w\| \le |\alpha_n| \|v_n - w\| + |\alpha_n - \beta| \|w\|$$
  
  $\to 0.$ 

# Problem 6

Let (X,d) be a metric space,  $f,g:X\to\mathbb{F}$  continuous maps, and  $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}$ . Show that f+g, fg, and  $\alpha f$  are continuous.

**Proof:** Let  $(x_n)_n \to x \in X$ . Then, we know that  $|f(x_n) - f(x)| \to 0$  and  $|g(x_n) - g(x)| \to 0$  (where  $|\cdot|$  denotes absolute value in  $\mathbb{F}$ ). Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Therefore, for N large, we know that

$$|f(x_n) + g(x_n) - (f(x) + g(x))| \le |f(x_n) - f(x)| + |g(x_n) - g(x)|$$

$$< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2$$

$$= \varepsilon$$

meaning  $|f(x_n) + g(x_n) - (f(x) + g(x))| \to 0$ , so  $(f(x_n) + g(x_n))_n \to f(x) + g(x)$ . Thus, f + g is continuous.

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} |f(x_n)g(x_n) - f(x)g(x)| &= |f(x_n)g(x_n) - f(x_n)g(x) + f(x_n)g(x) - f(x)g(x)| \\ &= |f(x_n)(g(x_n) - g(x)) + g(x)(f(x_n) - f(x))| \\ &\leq |f(x_n)||g(x_n) - g(x)| + |g(x)||f(x_n) - f(x)| \\ &\leq c|g(x_n) - g(x)| + g(x)|f(x_n) - f(x)| \quad \text{convergent sequences are bounded} \\ &< \varepsilon \end{split}$$

so  $(f(x_n)g(x_n))_n \to f(x)g(x)$ .

### **Problem 8**

Let  $h: X \to Y$  be a homeomorphism of metric spaces. Show that the map

$$T_h: (C(X), \|\cdot\|_u) \to (C(Y), \|\cdot\|_u)$$
$$T_h(f) = f \circ h$$

is an isometric isomorphism of normed spaces.

**Proof:** We will show that T is linear, bijective, and isometric.

$$T_h(f+g) = (f+g) \circ h$$
$$= f \circ h + g \circ h$$
$$= T_h(f) + T_h(g).$$

Let  $T_h(f) = T_h(g)$ . Then,

$$f \circ h = g \circ h$$

$$(f \circ h) \circ h^{-1} = (g \circ h) \circ h^{-1}$$

$$f \circ (h \circ h^{-1}) = g \circ (h \circ h^{-1})$$

$$f = g.$$

Therefore, T is linear and bijective.

Let  $f, g \in C(X)$ . Then,

$$||f - g||_u = \sup_{t \in X} |f(t) - g(t)|.$$

I don't know how to commence from here.

# Problem 9

Suppose  $T:V\to W$  is a bijective linear map between normed spaces with  $\|T\|_{\text{op}}\leq 1$  and  $\|T^{-1}\|_{\text{op}}\leq 1$ . Show that T is an isometry.

**Proof:** Since the operator norm for T is less than or equal to 1, we know that for  $v, w \in V$ ,

$$||T(v) - T(w)||_W \le ||v - w||_V$$

and

$$||T^{-1}(T(v)) - T^{-1}(T(w))||_{V} \le ||T(v) - T(w)||_{W}$$

so, since T is bijective,

$$||v - w||_V \le ||T(v) - T(w)||_W$$

meaning

$$||T(v) - T(w)||_W = ||v - w||_V$$

so T is an isometry.

### Problem 10

For each  $\lambda = (\lambda_k)_k$  in  $\ell_{\infty}$ , define

$$arphi_{\lambda}: \ell_1 o \mathbb{F};$$
  $arphi_{\lambda}((a_k)_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k.$ 

(i) Show that  $\varphi_{\lambda}$  is well-defined and bounded linear.

**Proof:** We will show that  $\varphi_{\lambda}$  is linear, then well-defined, and we will show it is bounded in part (ii).

$$\varphi_{\lambda}((a_k)_k + (b_k)_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k (a_k + b_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k a_k + \lambda_k b_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k b_k$$

$$= \varphi_{\lambda}((a_k)_k) + \varphi_{\lambda}((b_k)_k)$$

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(\alpha(a_k)_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k (\alpha a_k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha \lambda_k a_k$$

$$= \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k a_k$$

$$= \alpha \varphi_{\lambda}((a_k)_k).$$

Additionally, it is the case that  $\varphi_{\lambda}((a_k)_k) = 0$  if and only if  $a_k = 0$  for all k, meaning  $\varphi_{\lambda}$  is linear.

(ii) Show that  $\| \varphi_{\lambda} \|_{\mathrm{op}} = \| \lambda \|_{\infty}.$ 

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_{\lambda}((a_k)_k)\|_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k a_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\lambda\|_{\infty} |a_k| \\ &= \|\lambda\|_{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \\ &= \|\lambda\|_{\infty} \|(a_k)_k\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\|\varphi_{\lambda}\|_{\text{op}} = \|\lambda\|_{\infty}$ .

(iii) Show that  $\varphi:\ell_\infty \to \ell_1^*$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto \varphi_\lambda$  is a linear isometry.

Proof: I don't know how to do this.