This is a collection of old complex analysis qualifier exam solutions, as well as some notes on useful results and proofs.

Useful Results and Proofs

Analytic Functions

Definition: Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set. A function $f \colon U \to \mathbb{C}$ is called *analytic* if, for any $z_0 \in U$, there is $r > 0$ and $(a_k)_k \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$

for all $z \in U(z_0, r)$.

Analytic functions form a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra.

Theorem (Identity Theorem): Let $f, g \colon U \to \mathbb{C}$ be analytic functions defined a connected open set (also known as a region). If

$$A = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid f(z) = g(z)\}$$

admits an accumulation point in U, then $f = g$ on U.

Proof. To begin, we show that if $f \colon U \to \mathbb{C}$ is an analytic function that is not uniformly zero, then for any $z_0 \in U$, there is $\rho > 0$ such that f is nonzero on $\dot{U}(z_0, \rho) \subseteq U$. Towards this end, we may write

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k,$$

for all $z \in U(z_0, r)$, some $r > 0$, and since f is not uniformly zero, there is some minimal ℓ such that $a_\ell \neq 0$. This yields

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+\ell} (z - z_0)^k;$$

the function $h \colon U(z_0, r) \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+\ell} (z - z_0)^k$$

then has the same radius of convergence as f and is not zero at z_0, so that g is not zero on some $U(z_0, \rho)$ as g is continuous.

Now, we let V_1 be the set of accumulation points of A in U, and let $V_2 = U \setminus V_1$.

If $z \in V_2$, then there is some $r_1 > 0$ such that $\dot{U}(z_0, r_1) \cap A = \emptyset$, or that $\dot{U}(z_0, r_1) \subseteq A^c$. Meanwhile, since U is open, there is some $r_2 > 0$ such that $U(z_0, r_2) \subseteq U$, meaning that if $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$, then $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U \setminus A$. Thus, V_2 is open.

Meanwhile, if $z \in V_1$, then since $V_1 \subseteq U$, it follows that there is $r > 0$ such that $U(z, r)$ and $(a_k)_k$ such that

$$f(w) - g(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (w - z)^k$$

for all $w \in U(z, r)$. We claim that $f(w) - g(w)$ is uniformly zero on $U(z, r)$. Else, if there were $w_0 \in U(z, r)$ such that $f(w_0) \neq g(w_0)$, then it would follow that there is $0 < s \leqslant r$ such that $f(w) \neq g(w)$ for all $w \in \dot{U}(w_0, s)$. Yet, this would contradict the assumption that z is an accumulation point, meaning that V_1 is open.

Since V_1 and V_2 are disjoint open sets whose union is equal to U, it follows that either $V_1 = U$ or $V_2 = U$. If $A \neq \emptyset$, then the identity theorem follows. $\square$

Differentiability

Definition: If $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is an open set, then we say f is differentiable at $z_0 \in U$ if

$$\lim_{w \to z_0} \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{w - z_0}$$

exists. We call this value the *derivative* of f at z_0, and usually write $f'(z_0)$.

If f is differentiable at every $z_0 \in U$, we say f is differentiable on U.

If f is continuous and admits a continuous derivative, then we say f is *holomorphic*.

Note that the limit must be independent of direction. That is, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f'(z_0) \right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$.

Now, given $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, write $z = x + iy$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= f(x + iy) \\ &= u(x, y) + iv(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $u = \mathrm{Re}(f)$ and $v = \mathrm{Im}(f)$. Observe then that if f is differentiable at $x_0 + iy_0 \in U$, then since the limit is independent of path, by taking the limit over real numbers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(u(x + h, y) + iv(x + h, y)) - (u(x, y) + iv(x, y))}{h} \\ &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \end{aligned}$$

and by taking over the imaginary numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(u(x, y + h) + iv(x, y + h)) - (u(x, y) + iv(x, y))}{ih} \\ &= -i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the following.

Definition: The system of partial differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} &= -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \end{aligned}$$

is known as the *Cauchy–Riemann Equations*.

Observe that if f is differentiable, then the u and v in the definition of f satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations. Yet, we desire to understand a bit more about when exactly f is differentiable or holomorphic.

Proposition: If $f = u + iv$ is a holomorphic function such that u, v are in $C^2(U)$, then u and v are harmonic. That is, u and v satisfy Laplace's equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0.$$

We call u and v *harmonic conjugates* for each other. That is, if $u \colon U \to \mathbb{R}$ is a harmonic function, then $v \in C^1(U)$ is called a harmonic conjugate if the Cauchy–Riemann equations hold for u and v.

Theorem: Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a ball or all of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then, every harmonic function on U has a harmonic conjugate. If $u \in C^3(U)$, then this conjugate is itself harmonic.

Lemma: Let $g \colon U((x_0, y_0), R) \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that g and $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$ are continuous. Then, $G \colon U((x_0, y_0), R) \to \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$G(x, y) = \int_{y_0}^{y} g(x, t)\, dt$$

satisfies

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} = \int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, t)\, dt.$$

Proof of Lemma. Write

$$\frac{G(x + h, y) - G(x, y)}{h} - \int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, t)\, dt = \int_{y_0}^{y} \left(\frac{g(x + h, t) - g(x, t)}{h} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, t) \right) dt.$$

By mean value theorem, the first term is equal to $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_1, t)$ for some x_1 between x and $x + h$. As $h \to 0$, $x_1 \to x$, as $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$ is uniformly continuous on a compact subset that contains x and $x + h$. We may exchange limit and integral to obtain the desired result. $\square$

Proof of Theorem. We prove for the case of $U = U((x_0, y_0), R)$. Define

$$v(x, y) = \int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, t)\, dt + \phi(x),$$

with $\phi(x)$ to be determined later. By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x},$$

while by differentiating under the integral sign, and using the fact that u is harmonic, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &= \int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t)\, dt + \frac{d\phi}{dx} \\
&= -\int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(x, t)\, dt + \frac{d\phi}{dx} \\
&= -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y_0) + \frac{d\phi}{dx}.
\end{aligned}$$

Defining $\phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi(x) = -\int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(s, y_0)\, ds,$$

we see that v thus satisfies all the necessary requirements to be a harmonic conjugate.

Now, if u is C^3, then we defined v via the derivative of u, so that v is C^2, and thus v is harmonic. $\qquad\square$

Cauchy's Integral Formula

Proposition: Fix $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, $R > 0$, and $f \colon U(z_0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic. For all $z \in U(z_0, R)$, we have

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \, d\zeta.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, R)} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} \, d\zeta = 0.$$

By using the chain rule and fundamental theorem of calculus, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, R)} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} \, d\zeta &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, R)} \frac{\int_0^1 f'((1-t)z + t\zeta)(\zeta - z) \, dt}{\zeta - z} \, d\zeta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, R)} \int_0^1 f'((1-t)z + t\zeta) \, dt \, d\zeta \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, R)} \frac{d}{d\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{t} f((1-t)z + t\zeta) \right) \, d\zeta \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$\qquad\square$

Proposition: Let $f \colon U \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function. The following all hold:

(i) f is analytic;

(ii) f is smooth with $f^{(n)}$ holomorphic;

(iii) for all $z_0 \in U$, if we let $R = \sup\{r > 0 \mid U(z_0, r) \subseteq U\}$, then there is $(a_n)_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

where the power series has radius of convergence R.

Proof.

(i) There exists $r < s$ with $U(z_0, s) \subseteq U$ and $r < r_1 < s$ such that $S(z_0, r_1) \subseteq U$. By Cauchy's Integral Formula, and a power series expansion of $\frac{1}{\xi - z}$ about z_0, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
f(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S(z_0, r_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} \, d\xi \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z - z_0)^n \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S(z_0, r_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z_0)^{n+1}} \, d\xi \right)}_{=:a_n} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Analytic functions are automatically smooth, hence complex-differentiable with continuous

derivative.

(iii) If $r < r_1 < R$, then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z - z_0)^n \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, r_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^{n+1}} \, d\xi \right),$$

and since the series converges uniformly, we have

$$\frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S(z_0, r_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^{n+1}} \, d\xi.$$

Since r was arbitrary, this holds for any $0 < r_1 < R$, whence

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

holds for all $z \in U(z_0, R)$.

$\square$

Corollary: Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open, let $z_0 \in U$, and $r > 0$ with $B(z_0, r) \subseteq U$. The following hold:

(i) for all $z \in U(z_0, r)$,

$$\frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, r)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^{n+1}} \, d\xi;$$

(ii) for all $n > 0$,

$$\left| f^{(n)}(z_0) \right| \leq \frac{n!}{r^n} \sup_{\zeta \in S(z_0, r)} |f(\zeta)|.$$

This particular result is known as *Cauchy's Estimate*.

Theorem (Liouville's Theorem)**:** If $f \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and bounded in modulus, then f is constant.

Liouville's Theorem follows from applying Cauchy's estimate to f and using the fact that f is bounded to find that all higher derivatives of f vanish.

Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra)**:** If $p(z) = a_n z^n + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$ has $n \geq 1$ and $a_n \neq 0$, then there is at least one z_0 such that $p(z_0) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $p(z)$ were never zero. It would follow then that $\frac{1}{p(z)}$ is also an entire function.

Since $\lim_{|z| \to \infty} |p(z)| = \infty$, it follows that $\lim_{|z| \to \infty} \frac{1}{|p(z)|} = 0$, whence $\left| \frac{1}{p(z)} \right|$ is an entire function that is bounded (as all functions that vanish at infinity are bounded). This means that $\frac{1}{p(z)}$ is constant, so $p(z)$ is constant. $\square$

Corollary: Let $f \colon \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a nonconstant entire function. Then, $f(\mathbb{C})$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Suppose there were $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$ such that $U(w, r) \cap f(\mathbb{C}) = \emptyset$. Then, $|f(z) - w| \geq r$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, meaning that

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - w}$$

is bounded and entire (the entirety following from the fact that $f(z) - w$ is nonvanishing). $\square$

Cycles, Winding Numbers, and Homology

Now, we may generalize some of these results related to Cauchy's Integral Formula to a more general case.

Proposition: Let $\gamma \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise C^1 loop. For all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{im}(\gamma)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{1}{\xi - z} \, d\xi \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Let $\phi \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$\phi(t) = \int_a^t \frac{\gamma'(s)}{\gamma(s) - z} \, ds.$$

Then, we observe

$$\phi(b) = \oint_\gamma \frac{1}{\xi - z} \, d\xi.$$

Then, define $\psi \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\psi(t) = \frac{e^{\phi(t)}}{\gamma(t) - z}.$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{\gamma'(t)}{\gamma(t) - z}$$
$$\psi'(t) = \frac{\phi'(t) e^{\phi(t)}}{\gamma(t) - z} - \frac{e^{\phi(t)} \gamma'(t)}{(\gamma(t) - z)^2}$$
$$= 0,$$

whence $\psi(t)$ is constant, and $\psi(t) = \psi(a)$, so

$$\psi(a) = \frac{1}{\gamma(a) - z}.$$

In particular, $\psi(b) = \psi(a)$, so

$$e^{\phi(b)} = \psi(b)(\gamma(b) - z)$$
$$= \psi(a)(\gamma(a) - z)$$
$$= 1,$$

so $\phi(b) = 2\pi i k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\qquad\square$

Definition: Let $\gamma \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise C^1 loop. For all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{im}(\gamma)$, define

$$n(\gamma; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{1}{\xi - z} \, d\xi$$

to be the *winding number* of γ about z.

Definition: A piecewise C^1 *cycle* is a formal sum

$$\Gamma = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n,$$

where the $\gamma_j \colon [a_j, b_j] \to \mathbb{C}$ are piecewise C^1 loops. The *length* of Γ is the sum of the lengths of the respective γ_j.

Given a piecewise C^1 cycle Γ, define

$$\oint_\Gamma f(z)\,dz = \sum_{j=1}^n \oint_{\gamma_j} f(z)\,dz,$$

and

$$n(\Gamma; z) = \sum_{j=1}^n n(\gamma_j; z).$$

Proposition: The following hold for the winding number $n(\gamma; z)$:

(i) the function $z \mapsto n(\Gamma; z)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{im}(\Gamma)$;

(ii) $n(\Gamma; z)$ is constant on each connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{im}(\Gamma)$;

(iii) there exists a unique unbounded connected component with $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$.

Maximum Modulus Principle

Theorem (Mean Value Property)**:** Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open, $f\colon U \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, with $z_0 \in U$ and $r > 0$ such that $B(z_0, r) \subseteq U$. Then,

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})\,d\theta.$$

Proof. By the Cauchy Integral Formula, we have

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, r)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z}\,d\xi.$$

Parametrizing $\gamma(\theta) = z_0 + re^{i\theta}$, we get

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})}{re^{i\theta}} ire^{i\theta}\,d\theta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})\,d\theta.$$

$\square$

Corollary: If $u\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \supseteq U \to \mathbb{R}$ is harmonic, $(x_0, y_0) \in U$, and $r > 0$ is such that $B((x_0, y_0), r) \subseteq U$, then

$$u(x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x_0 + r\cos(\theta), y_0 + r\sin(\theta))\,d\theta.$$

Proof. Take real parts of the mean value property for holomorphic $f = u + iv$. $\square$

Observe then that the triangle inequality implies that

$$|u(x_0, y_0)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(x_0 + r\cos(\theta), y_0 + r\sin(\theta))|\,d\theta.$$

Functions that satisfy this weaker criterion are known as *subharmonic*. It is subharmonic functions for which the most general case of the *maximum modulus principle* hold.

Theorem (Maximum Modulus Principle)**:** Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be open and connected, and let $u\colon U \to \mathbb{R}$ be subharmonic. Suppose there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in U$ such that $u(x_0, y_0) \geqslant u(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in U$. Then, u is constant.

Proof. Let $\lambda = u(x_0, y_0)$, and let $E = \{(x, y) \mid u(x, y) = \lambda\} = u^{-1}(\{\lambda\})$. We see immediately that E is closed; we claim that E is also open.

Fix $(x_1, y_1) \in E$. Then, $u(x_1, y_1) = \lambda$. Take $r > 0$ such that $U((x_1, y_1), r) \subseteq U$. Then, for all $0 < s < r$, we have $S((x_1, y_1), s) \subseteq U$, meaning that

$$\lambda = u(x_1, y_1)$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x_1 + s\cos(\theta), y_1 + s\sin(\theta)) \, d\theta$$
$$\leqslant \lambda,$$

with the latter inequality following from the fact that λ is a local maximum. Therefore, $u(x_1 + s\cos(\theta), y_1 + s\sin(\theta)) = \lambda$ for all $0 < s < r$, whence $U((x_1, y_1), r) \subseteq E$. Thus, E is open, so since U is connected, it follows that E is all of U, meaning u is constant. $\square$

Corollary: If $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is bounded and $u \colon \overline{U} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with $u|_U$ subharmonic, then there exists $(x_0, y_0) \in \partial U$ such that $u(x_0, y_0) = \sup_{(x,y) \in U} u(x, y)$.

Corollary: If $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is open and connected, with $f \colon U \to \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, then if $|f| \colon U \to \mathbb{R}$ has a local maximum at $z_0 \in U$, then f is constant.

Proof. Let $r > 0$ be such that $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U$. Then, restricting $|f|$ to $U(z_0, r)$, we see that $|f|$ restricted to $U(z_0, r)$ is subharmonic viewed as a function on $U(z_0, r)$, hence $|f|$ is constant on $U(z_0, r)$.

Now, by the mean value property and triangle inequality, it follows that for all $0 < s < r$, we have

$$|f(z_0)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left|f\left(z_0 + se^{i\theta}\right)\right| \, d\theta$$
$$= |f(z_0)|,$$

meaning that these are equalities. In particular, there exists some θ_s such that $e^{i\theta_s} f\left(z_0 + se^{i\theta}\right) \geqslant 0$, meaning that for this value of s, we have

$$|f(z_0)| = e^{i\theta_s} \int_0^{2\pi} f\left(z_0 + se^{i\theta}\right) \, d\theta$$
$$= e^{i\theta_s} f(z_0),$$

with the latter equality following from the mean value property. Since this holds for any s, it follows that θ_s is independent of s, meaning that $f(z)e^{i\theta_s} \geqslant 0$ for all $z \in U(z_0, r)$, meaning that $\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{i\theta_s} f(z)\right) = 0$ on $U(z_0, r)$, whence $f(z)e^{i\theta_s}$ is constant, meaning f is constant on $U(z_0, r)$.

Finally, by the identity theorem, it follows that f is constant on U. $\square$

Old Exams

Notation

- $U(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| < r\}$
- $B(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| \leqslant r\}$
- $S(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z - z_0| = r\}$
- $\dot{U}(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z - z_0| < r\}$
- $A(z_0, r_1, r_2) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid r_1 < |z - z_0| < r_2\}$