**Problem** (Problem 1): Let X and Y be simplicial complexes homeomorphic to the 2-sphere,  $S^2$ , and the torus  $S^1 \times S^1$ . Compute the real simplicial homology and cohomology of X and Y.

**Solution:** We fix the order  $(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5)$  in the simplicial complex for X. We see that the k-chains are as follows:

- $C_k(X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$  for all  $k \ge 3$ ;
- $C_2(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\langle v_0 v_1 v_2, v_0 v_1 v_3, v_0 v_2 v_3, v_1 v_2 v_5, v_1 v_3 v_5, v_2 v_3 v_5 \rangle \cong \mathbb{R}^6;$
- $C_1(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\langle v_0 v_1, v_0 v_2, v_0 v_3, v_1 v_2, v_1 v_3, v_2 v_3, v_1 v_5, v_2 v_5, v_3 v_5 \rangle \cong \mathbb{R}^9$ ;
- $C_0(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}\langle v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 \rangle \cong \mathbb{R}^6$ .

We start by applying the boundary map to  $C_1(X, \mathbb{R})$ , yielding

$$v_0v_1 \mapsto v_1 - v_0$$

$$v_0v_2 \mapsto v_2 - v_0$$

$$v_0v_3 \mapsto v_3 - v_0$$

$$v_1v_2 \mapsto v_2 - v_1$$

$$v_1v_3 \mapsto v_3 - v_1$$

$$v_2v_3 \mapsto v_3 - v_2$$

$$v_1v_5 \mapsto v_5 - v_1$$

$$v_2v_5 \mapsto v_5 - v_2$$

$$v_3v_5 \mapsto v_5 - v_3$$

Since this forms a basis for the kernel of the linear functional given by mapping all of the  $v_i$  to 1, it follows that  $B_0(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^5$ , while  $Z_0(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^6$ , yielding  $H_0(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

Similarly, since we may find the boundary map  $\vartheta \colon C_2(X,\mathbb{R}) \to C_1(X,\mathbb{R})$  that yields a subspace that is the kernel of a linear functional on  $\mathbb{R}^9$  with codimension 4, it follows that  $H_2(X,\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$  as well.

Finally, we see that the image of the basis for  $C_2(X, \mathbb{R})$  yields a basis with six linearly independent vectors, while the kernel of  $\partial$  on  $C_1(X, \mathbb{R})$  yields another basis with six linearly independent vectors, so that  $H_1(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong 0$ .

**Problem** (Problem 2): Use the definition of de Rham cohomology to prove that  $H^0_{DR}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$  and all higher de Rham cohomology vector spaces are zero.

**Solution:** Evaluating  $H^0_{DR}$ , we see that the functions whose derivatives are zero are the constants on  $\mathbb{R}$ , meaning the cochains  $Z^0(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ , while the coboundaries  $B^0(\mathbb{R}) \cong 0$ .

Since  $\mathbb{R}$  has dimension 1, it follows that  $\Lambda^k(\mathbb{R}) \cong 0$  for all  $k \geq 2$ , so we only need to verify that  $Z^1(\mathbb{R}) \cong B^1(\mathbb{R})$ . This follows from the fact that every 1-form can be integrated to yield a  $C^{\infty}$  function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , while every 1-form evaluates to zero under the exterior derivative.

**Problem** (Problem 3): Use the definition of de Rham cohomology to prove that  $H^*_{DR}(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}$  in dimensions 0 and 1 and vanishes in all higher dimensions.

**Solution:** Since  $S^1$  is a 1-dimensional manifold, it follows that  $H^k_{DR}(S^1) \cong 0$  for all  $k \ge 2$  since all 2-forms vanish.

Similarly, since only the constants  $S^1$  vanish, we have  $H^0_{DR}(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}$ . Finally, to understand  $H^1_{DR}(S^1)$ , we observe that any exact form  $d\omega$  maps to  $\mathbb{R}$  by integrating,

$$f(\theta) = \int_0^{\theta} d\omega,$$

and such non-closed exact forms exist on  $S^1$ , so that  $H^1_{DR}(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

**Problem** (Problem 4): Prove that if M is a closed, connected manifold of dimension n that is not orientable, then the nth simplicial homology satisfies  $H_n(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ .

**Solution:** Let  $p \in M$ ; since M is orientable, if we select an n-simplex with a vertex at p, we find that both  $\nu_0\nu_1\cdots\nu_n$  and  $\nu_1\nu_0\cdots\nu_n$  yield valid orientations for  $T_pM$ . Taking a boundary of two of these n-simplices, we find that if  $\sigma_i$  and  $\sigma_j$  are two such simplices in M, we may orient  $\sigma_i$  such that  $\partial$  yields a positive value on this boundary, so that  $B_n(M,\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, we find that  $H_n(M,\mathbb{R}) \cong 0$ .

**Problem** (Problem 5): A smooth map  $f: M \to n$  is called a submersion if it induces surjections on tangent spaces. Prove that if M and N are smooth manifolds and  $A \subseteq N$  is a smooth submanifold, then f is transverse to A.

**Solution:** Let  $p \in f^{-1}(A)$ . By the definition of the submersion, we have  $T_{F(p)}N = D_pF(T_pM)$ , meaning that  $D_pF(T_pM) + T_{F(p)}A = T_{F(p)}N$ .

**Problem** (Problem 6): In this exercise, we will prove a version of the Transversality Theorem. Let M and N be smooth manifolds. The transversality theorem asserts that for all  $1 \le r \le \infty$ , the set of  $C^r$  maps  $M \to N$  that are transverse to A is dense in any of the natural topologies  $C^r(M, N)$ .

We will restrict our attention to manifolds embedded in Euclidean space and prove a slightly weaker version of the transversality theorem.

(a) Let M, N, and A be as above, and let Y be an arbitrary smooth manifold. Let  $F: Y \times M \to N$  be a smooth map transverse to A. For each  $y \in Y$ , let  $f_y: M \to N$  be defined by  $F(y, \cdot)$ , and let  $\pi: Y \times M \to Y$  be the projection.

Prove that for every regular value  $y \in Y$  of  $\pi$ , the map  $f_y$  is transverse to A.

- (b) Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth map, and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a smooth submanifold. Show that the set of  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for which  $f_p(x) := f(x) + p$  is not transverse to A has measure zero.
- (c) Prove that if M and N are smooth submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  outside a set of measure zero, the manifolds M +  $\mathfrak{p}$  and N intersect transversely.
- (d) Prove that if  $f: M \to N$  is smooth, and  $A \subseteq N$  is a smooth submanifold, then f is smoothly homotopic to a map that is transverse to A.

## **Solution:**

(a) Let  $p \in A$ , and let y be a regular value for  $\pi$ . Observe that, by the regular value theorem, we have that  $\pi^{-1}(y) = \{y\} \times M$  is a smooth submanifold of  $Y \times M$ . It follows from the definition of the  $f_y$  that  $F \circ \pi^{-1}(y) \equiv f_y$ .

Since F is transverse to A, it follows that for any  $(z, q) \in F^{-1}(p)$ , we have

$$D_{(z,q)}F(T_{(z,q)}(Y\times M))+T_pA=T_pN.$$

We have, by chain rule and the inverse function theorem (seeing as y is a regular value of  $\pi$ ),

$$\begin{split} D_{q}f_{y} &= D_{q}\left(F \circ \pi^{-1}(y)\right) \\ &= D_{(y,q)}F \circ \left(D_{\pi^{-1}(y)}\pi\right)^{-1}(y) \\ &= D_{(y,q)}F, \end{split}$$

so that

$$D_q f_y (T_q M) + T_p A = D_{(y,q)} F(T_{(y,q)} (Y \times M)) + T_p A$$
  
=  $T_p N$ ,

meaning  $f_y$  is transverse to A for any regular value  $y \in Y$  of  $\pi$ .

- (b) If we let  $Y \equiv \mathbb{R}^n$  in part (a), and let  $F: \mathbb{R}^n \times M \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be defined by F(p, x) = f(x) + p, then we observe that for every regular value p of  $\pi$ , that f(x) + p is transverse to A. In particular, since the set of critical values has measure zero in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it follows that for almost every p, f(x) + p is transverse to A.
- (c) Since  $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a smooth submanifold, then we may apply part (b) to  $\iota: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \supseteq N$ , whence M + p and N intersect transversely for almost every  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (d) Since we treat  $A \subseteq N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  as a smooth submanifold, we know that the set of all p for which  $f_p(x) = f(x) + p$  is not transverse to A is a set of measure zero; in particular, we may find a smooth homotopy from f to  $f_p$  where  $f_p$  is a translate of f that intersects A and is transverse to A (which exists by the fact that the set of all points where this does not hold is of measure zero). Thus, f is smoothly homotopic to a map that is transverse to A.