

**Problem** (Problem 1):

- (a) Show that the power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$  converges for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , in which it defines an analytic function, which we denote  $e^z$ .
- (b) With this as the definition of  $e^z$ , prove that  $e^z e^w = e^{z+w}$ .
- (c) Show that for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have that  $e^{i\theta} = \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)$ , where  $\cos(\theta)$  and  $\sin(\theta)$  are defined via their usual power series representations.

**Solution:**

- (a) To compute

$$\rho = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n!} \right)^{1/n},$$

we start by noticing that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{(n+1)!}}{\frac{1}{n!}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)} = 0.$$

In particular, for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is some  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\frac{\frac{1}{(n+1)!}}{\frac{1}{n!}} < \varepsilon,$$

so

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)!} < \frac{\varepsilon}{n!},$$

and by inductively using this approximation, we get that for any  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n!} &< \frac{\varepsilon^{n-N}}{N!} \\ &= \varepsilon^n \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N N!} \right) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n!} \right)^{1/n} \leq \varepsilon,$$

meaning that  $\rho = 0$ , and thus the radius of convergence for the power series is infinite.

- (b) Computing  $e^z e^w$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{w^\ell}{\ell!} \right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\ell-k)!} \frac{1}{k!} w^k z^{\ell-k} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k!(\ell-k)!} w^k z^{\ell-k} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\ell!} (z+w)^\ell \\ &= e^{z+w}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Computing  $e^{i\theta}$  by direct substitution, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\theta} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\theta)^k}{k!} \\ &= \sum_{k \text{ even}} \frac{(-1)^{(k/2)} \theta^k}{k!} + i \sum_{k \text{ odd}} \frac{(-1)^{(k-1)/2} \theta^k}{k!} \\ &= \cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta). \end{aligned}$$

**Problem (Problem 2):** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be an open set,  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  an analytic function. Since  $f$  is analytic, given  $z_0 \in U$ , there is  $r > 0$  and a sequence  $(a_n)_n$  such that  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$  for all  $z \in U(z_0, r)$ .

Suppose there exists  $R > r$  such that  $U(z_0, R) \subseteq U$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$  has radius of convergence at least  $R$ . Show that  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$  for all  $z \in U(z_0, R)$ .

**Solution:** On the connected open set  $V = U(z_0, R)$ , define

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n.$$

Observe that  $f|_V$  and  $g$  agree on the open subset  $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U(z_0, R)$ . By the identity theorem, this means that  $f = g$  on  $U(z_0, R)$ .

**Problem (Problem 3):** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region, and let  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an analytic function.

(a) Suppose  $f$  is nonconstant,  $z_0 \in U$ . Show that there exists some  $r > 0$  for which  $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U$ , a positive integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , an analytic function  $g: U(z_0, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and a nonconstant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that for  $z \in U(z_0, r)$ ,

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + \lambda(z - z_0)^k + (z - z_0)^{k+1}g(z).$$

(b) Suppose that  $f$  is nonconstant, and  $z_0 \in U$  is such that  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ . Show that there exists some  $s > 0$  such that  $U(z_0, s) \subseteq U$ , and  $w_1, w_2 \in U(z_0, s)$  such that  $|f(w_1)| > |f(z_0)| > |f(w_2)|$ .

(c) Show that if  $|f|$  is constant, then  $f$  is constant.

**Solution:**

(a) Since  $f$  is analytic, we may find  $r > 0$  and a sequence  $(a_n)_n$  such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n.$$

Observe that  $f(z_0) = a_0$ , so

$$= f(z_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n.$$

Next, we find the minimum value of  $n$  such that  $a_n \neq 0$ , which we define to be  $k$ . Such a value must exist since  $f$  is a nonconstant function, and if it were to not exist, the identity theorem would give  $f$  as a constant. This gives

$$= f(z_0) + a_k(z - z_0)^k + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n.$$

Finally, by reindexing the sum and factoring out  $(z - z_0)^{k+1}$ , we get

$$= f(z_0) + a_k(z - z_0)^k + (z - z_0)^{k+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+k+1}(z - z_0)^n.$$

Define  $g(z)$  to be equal to the sum, and define  $\lambda = a_k$ . Notice that since the radius of convergence of a power series is a limiting case,  $g$  and  $f$  have the same radius of convergence. This gives

$$= f(z_0) + \lambda(z - z_0)^k + (z - z_0)^{k+1}g(z).$$

- (b) Let  $f$  be a nonconstant analytic function with  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ . Since  $f$  is nonconstant, we see that  $\lambda$  in the previous problem is nonzero, meaning that  $|\lambda|$  is nonzero, in addition to  $|f(z_0)|$ .

We start by considering the case where  $f(z) = f(z_0) + \lambda(z - z_0)^k$ . We will reintroduce  $g(z)$  later, but first we work on establishing the existence of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in this scenario. Writing  $(z - z_0) = |z - z_0|e^{i\varphi}$ , we thus get that

$$f(z) = |f(z_0)|e^{i\theta_0} + |\lambda||z - z_0|^k e^{i(\theta_\lambda + k\varphi)}$$

for all  $z \in U(z_0, r)$ . Note that the phases  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_\lambda + k\varphi$  “add” if and only if  $\varphi = \frac{1}{k}(\theta_0 - \theta_\lambda)$ . Therefore, if  $\omega_1 \in U(z_0, r) \setminus \{z_0\}$  is such that  $\omega_1 - z_0 = |\omega_1 - z_0|e^{i\varphi_1}$  with  $\varphi_1$  satisfying this condition, we then have

$$|f(\omega_1)| = |f(z_0)| + |\lambda||\omega_1 - z_0|^k,$$

implying that  $|f(\omega_1)| > |f(z_0)|$ . Similarly, if  $\varphi_2$  is such that  $\varphi_2 = \frac{1}{k}(\theta_0 - \theta_\lambda + \pi)$ , then if  $\omega_2 \in U(z_0, r) \setminus \{z_0\}$  is such that

$$|f(\omega_2)| = |f(z_0)| - |\lambda||\omega_2 - z_0|^k.$$

Thus, in this case, we have found  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  satisfying  $|f(\omega_1)| > |f(z_0)| > |f(\omega_2)|$ .

Now, reintroducing our term  $(z - z_0)^{k+1}g(z)$ , which we write in polar form as  $|z - z_0||g(z)|e^{i\psi}$ , we notice that for a set value  $0 < s_0 < r$ , that  $|g|$  is bounded on  $B(z_0, s_0)$ . Call this bound  $M$ .

We may then find  $0 < s < s_0$  small enough with  $w_1, w_2 \in U(z_0, s)$  and arguments  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  as in the case of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  defined earlier such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| f(z_0) + \lambda(w_2 - z_0)^k \right| - Ms^{k+1} &> |f(z_0)| \\ \left| f(z_0) + \lambda(w_2 - z_0)^k \right| + Ms^{k+1} &< |f(z_0)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by the triangle inequality, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(w_1)| &= \left| f(z_0) + \lambda(w_1 - z_0)^k + (w_1 - z_0)^{k+1}g(z) \right| \\ &\geq \left| f(z_0) + \lambda(w_1 - z_0)^k \right| - |w_1 - z_0|^{k+1}|g(z)| \\ &\geq \left| f(z_0) + \lambda(w_1 - z_0)^k \right| - Ms^{k+1} \\ &> |f(z_0)|, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$|f(w_2)| = \left| f(z_0) + \lambda(w_2 - z_0)^k + (w_2 - z_0)^{k+1}g(z) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left| f(z_0) + \lambda(w_2 - z_0)^k \right| + |g(z)| |w_1 - z_0|^{k+1} \\
&\leq \left| f(z_0) + \lambda(w_2 - z_0)^k \right| + Ms^{k+1} \\
&< |f(z_0)|.
\end{aligned}$$

- (c) Let  $|f|$  be constant. Via the contrapositive of the previous part,  $|f(w)| = |f(z_0)|$  for all  $w \in U(z_0, s)$ . In particular, this means that either  $f(z_0) = 0$  or  $f$  is constant; note that if  $f(z_0) = 0$ , then since  $|f(w)| = |f(z_0)| = 0$  for all  $w \in U(z_0, s)$ , the identity theorem means that  $f = 0$ , so either way,  $f$  is constant.

**Problem (Problem 5):** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be an open set, and let  $V = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \bar{z} \in U\}$ .

- (a) Show that if  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is analytic, then  $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $g(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$  is analytic.  
(b) Show that if  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic, then  $g: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $g(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$  is holomorphic.

**Solution:**

- (a)  
(b) We know that  $f$  is holomorphic, so  $f'(z)$  exists and is continuous on  $U$ . For any  $z \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{g(w) - g(z)}{z - w} &= \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{\overline{f(\bar{w})} - \overline{f(\bar{z})}}{z - w} \\
&= \lim_{w \rightarrow z} \frac{\overline{f(\bar{w}) - f(\bar{z})}}{z - w} \\
&= \lim_{\bar{w} \rightarrow \bar{z}} \frac{\overline{f(\bar{w}) - f(\bar{z})}}{\bar{z} - \bar{w}} \\
&= f'(\bar{z}),
\end{aligned}$$

meaning that  $g'(z)$  exists, as  $\bar{z} \in V$ , and is defined by  $g'(z) = f'(\bar{z})$ . Since  $f'$  is continuous, and  $\bar{\cdot}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous, so too is  $g'$ , so  $g$  is holomorphic.

**Problem (Problem 6):**

- (a) For  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ , define  $f_a(z) = \frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}$ . Prove that  $f_a$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$ .  
(b) For  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{D}$ , prove that there is a holomorphic bijection  $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  satisfying  $f(a_1) = a_2$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) We will show that  $f_a$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$  by showing that  $f_a$  is defined for all  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , that if  $z \in \mathbb{D}$ , then  $f_a(z) \in \mathbb{D}$ , then by showing that  $f_a$  admits an inverse. First, we observe that  $f_a$  is defined so long as  $1 - \bar{a}z \neq 0$ , meaning that  $f_a$  is undefined if

$$\begin{aligned}
1 - \bar{a}z &= 0 \\
z &= \frac{1}{\bar{a}} \\
&= \frac{a}{|a|^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{|a|} (\text{sgn}(a)),
\end{aligned}$$

which necessarily has modulus greater than 1, as  $|a| < 1$  and  $\text{sgn}(a) = 1$  if  $a \neq 0$ . Next, we see that  $f_a(z)$  is a Möbius transformation that is uniquely determined by

$$a \mapsto 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\mapsto -a \\ -a &\mapsto \frac{-2a}{1 + |a|^2}, \end{aligned}$$

all of which stay within the unit disk (for  $a \neq 0$  and  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ ). Finally, observe that by taking

$$w = \frac{z - a}{1 - \bar{a}z}$$

and solving for  $w$ , we obtain

$$z = \frac{w + a}{1 + \bar{a}w}.$$

This is a left and right inverse, as

$$\begin{aligned} f_a^{-1}(f_a(z)) &= \frac{\frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z} + a}{1 + \bar{a} \frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}} \\ &= z, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_a(f_a^{-1}(w)) &= \frac{\frac{w+a}{1+\bar{a}w} - a}{1 - \bar{a} \frac{w+a}{1+\bar{a}w}} \\ &= w. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $f$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{D}$  to  $\mathbb{D}$ .

- (b) Considering the  $f_a$  of the previous example, we observe that  $f_a$  is holomorphic, as it is Möbius transformation that is undefined at  $\frac{1}{|a|} \operatorname{sgn}(a)$ , which is outside  $\mathbb{D}$ . By using the Möbius transformation characterization from earlier, we observe that the composition

$$f = f_{a_2}^{-1} \circ f_{a_1}$$

is holomorphic (as it is a composition of Möbius transformations) and maps  $a_1$  to  $a_2$ .