Solution (29.5):

(a) We have

$$(\vec{w} \cdot \vec{T})_{k} = \sum_{i,j,k} w_{i} T_{jk} \delta_{ij}$$

$$= \sum_{i,k} w_{i} T_{ik},$$

which is a first-rank tensor.

- (b) Since $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{T}$ is a first-rank tensor, and we are taking the dot product of two first rank tensors the expression $\vec{w} \cdot \vec{T} \cdot \vec{v}$ is a scalar (or rank zero tensor).
- (c) We have

$$\overrightarrow{T} \cdot \overrightarrow{U} = \left(\sum_{i,j} T_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{k,\ell} U_{k\ell} e_k \otimes e_\ell \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} T_{ij} U_{k\ell} (e_k \cdot e_i) (e_j \cdot e_\ell),$$

which is a scalar.

(d) The expression $\overrightarrow{T}\overrightarrow{v}$ expresses the operation of the linear map

$$\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\mathsf{T}} = \sum_{\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}} \mathsf{T}_{\mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{j}} e_{\mathfrak{i}} \otimes e_{\mathfrak{j}}$$

on

$$\vec{v} = \sum_{i} v_i e_i,$$

meaning that $\overrightarrow{T}\overrightarrow{v}$ is a vector.

(e) The expression $\overset{\longleftrightarrow}{\mathsf{U}}$ is a composition of two linear maps on $\mathsf{V} \otimes \mathsf{V}$, so it is a rank 2 tensor (or another linear map on $\mathsf{V} \otimes \mathsf{V}^*$).

Solution (29.7): We have 2^4 or 16 components in A_{ijkl} .

Solution (29.10): We have

$$T_{ij} = \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} T_{k\ell},$$

so that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} T_{ij} &= \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \varepsilon_{ij} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} T_{k\ell} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} \varepsilon_{k\ell} T_{k\ell} \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell} \varepsilon_{k\ell} T_{k\ell}. \end{split}$$

| Solution (29.11):

(a) We may write T_{ij} as $T = \frac{1}{2}(T + T^T) + \frac{1}{2}(T - T^T)$, which are the symmetric and antisymmetric components.

(b) Taking

$$S_{ij} = \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} S_{k\ell},$$

we have

$$\begin{split} S_{ji} &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{jk} R_{i\ell} S_{k\ell} \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{j\ell} R_{ik} S_{\ell k} \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} S_{\ell k} \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} S_{k\ell} \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} S_{k\ell} \\ &= S_{ij}. \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} A_{ij} &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} A_{k\ell} \\ A_{ji} &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{jk} R_{i\ell} A_{k\ell} \\ &= -\sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} A_{k\ell} \\ &= -A_{ij}. \end{split}$$

In matrix form, we have

$$\begin{split} S_{ji} &= S_{ij}^T \\ &= \left(RS_{k\ell}R^T\right)^T \\ &= RS_{k\ell}R^T. \end{split}$$

and similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} -A_{ji} &= \left(A_{ij}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \\ &= \left(RA_{k\ell}R^{\mathsf{T}}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} \\ &= RA_{k\ell}R^{\mathsf{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

Solution (29.12):

(a) Let $\mathbf{v} = R\mathbf{v}'$, where R is a rotation matrix. Then, we must have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \nu_{x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \nu_{y} &= \nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v} \\ &= \nabla \cdot R \mathbf{v}' \\ &= \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin\theta \\ \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_{x} \\ \nu'_{y} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \nabla \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \nu'_{x}\cos(\theta) - \nu'_{y}\sin(\theta) \\ \nu'_{x}\sin(\theta) + \nu'_{y}\cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(v_x' \cos(\theta) - v_y' \sin(\theta) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(v_x' \sin(\theta) + v_y' \cos(\theta) \right) \\ &= \left(\cos(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) v_x' + \left(\cos(\theta) - \sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) v_y' \\ &= R^T \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}' \end{split}$$

(b) Let $\mathbf{v} = R\mathbf{v}'$, where R is a rotation matrix. Then, we must have

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} v_{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} R_{ij} v'_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} R_{ji} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} v'_{j}$$

$$= \nabla' \cdot \mathbf{v}',$$

where we were able to switch the indices on R by the fact that it is not a function of x_i .

Solution (29.14): We have

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{ij}' &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} \sigma_{k\ell} \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} (Y_{k\ell mn} \epsilon_{mn}) \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} \Biggl(\sum_{o,p} R_{mo} R_{np}' \epsilon_{op}' \Biggr) \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell,o,p} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} R_{mo} R_{np} Y_{k\ell op}' \epsilon_{op}', \end{split}$$

so that

$$Y_{ijmn} = \sum_{k \neq o, p} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} R_{mo} R_{np} Y'_{k\ell op'}$$

meaning the elastic modulus is a rank 4 tensor.

Solution (29.23): We have

$$\begin{split} T_{ij} &= \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ik} R_{j\ell} \bigg(\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{k\ell} + \frac{1}{2} (T_{k\ell} - T_{\ell k}) + \frac{1}{2} \bigg(T_{k\ell} + T_{\ell k} - \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{tr}(T) \delta_{ij} \bigg) \bigg) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \big(T_{ij} - T_{ji} \big) + \frac{1}{2} \bigg(T_{ij} + T_{ji} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} \bigg). \end{split}$$

Solution (29.24):

(a) Letting A, B, C be vectors, we note that the quantity

$$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{C} = \sum_{i,j,k,\ell} \epsilon_{ijk} \delta_{k\ell} A_i B_j C_{\ell}$$

is a scalar quantity, so it is invariant under rotation. In particular, this means that, since C transforms as a vector, so too does $A \times B$.

(b) Writing

$$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})_k = \sum_{i,j} \epsilon_{ijk} A_i B_j,$$

we may write

$$C_{ik} = \sum_{j} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk} B_{j}$$

to yield the expression

$$(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})_k = \sum_{i} C_{ik} A_i.$$

The reason we can't expand this beyond three dimensions is that, in four dimensions, we would need a second-rank tensor to be of the form

$$C_{i\ell} = \sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_{ijk\ell} B_i D_k,$$

so that this second-rank tensor would act on a vector and yield another vector; however, this means the second-rank tensor requires two vectors as an "input."

Solution (29.25):

(a) We verify this by plugging in the value of B_k to attempt to recover i, j.

$$\begin{split} T_{ij} &= \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{ijk} B_{k} \\ &= \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{ijk} \Biggl(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \varepsilon_{kij} T_{ij} \Biggr) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k} \varepsilon_{ijk} \varepsilon_{ijk} T_{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (2T_{ij}) \\ &= T_{ij}. \end{split}$$

(b) Separating $T_{jk} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{jk} + T_{kj}) + \frac{1}{2}(T_{jk} - T_{kj})$, we take

$$\begin{split} B_i &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \varepsilon_{ijk} \bigg(\frac{1}{2} \big(T_{jk} + T_{kj} \big) + \frac{1}{2} \big(T_{jk} - T_{kj} \big) \bigg) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\sum_{j,k} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \big(T_{jk} + T_{kj} \big)}_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} \big(T_{jk} - T_{kj} \big). \end{split}$$

(c) We take

$$\begin{split} T_{i'j'} &= \sum_{i,j} R_{ii'} R_{jj'} T_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} R_{ii'} R_{jj'} \epsilon_{ijk} B_k \\ &= \sum_{i',j'} \epsilon_{i'j'k} R_{i'k} B_k, \end{split}$$

meaning that rotating the dual tensor gives the dual of a rotated vector.