

## Contents

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>1</b> |
| <b>Review: Representations, the Reduced Group <math>C^*</math>-Algebra, and the Universal Group <math>C^*</math>-Algebra</b> | <b>1</b> |
| Left-Regular Representation . . . . .  | 1        |
| A Bit on Representations and $C^*$ -(Semi)norms . . . . .  | 2        |
| <b>Using the Left-Regular Representation to Establish Amenability</b>  | <b>3</b> |

## Introduction

Finally, the last part of my notes on  $C^*$ -algebras and amenability as part of my Honors Thesis independent study. Specifically, I am going to focus more on the theory of  $C^*$ -algebras, discussing ideas such as amenability and nuclearity in  $C^*$ -algebras. There are a few central results I'm going to be working on understanding and proving: almost-invariant vectors, Kesten's criterion, Hulanicki's criterion, nuclearity, and the equivalence of  $C_\lambda^*(G)$  and  $C^*(G)$ .

I will be using a variety of sources more focused on amenability, including but not limited to Volker Runde's *Amenable Banach Algebras*, Kate Juschenko's *Amenability of Discrete Groups by Examples*, and Brown and Ozawa's  *$C^*$ -Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations*.

## Review: Representations, the Reduced Group $C^*$ -Algebra, and the Universal Group $C^*$ -Algebra

### Left-Regular Representation

Let  $\Gamma$  be a group. Consider the space  $\ell_2(\Gamma)$ . For every  $s \in \Gamma$ , we define the operator

$$\lambda_s(\xi)(t) = \xi(s^{-1}t).$$

The map is linear, well-defined, and an isometry, as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_s(\xi)\|^2 &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |\lambda_s(\xi)(t)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |\xi(s^{-1}t)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{r \in \Gamma} |\xi(r)|^2 \\ &= \|\xi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, each  $\lambda_s$  admits an inverse,  $\lambda_{s^{-1}} = \lambda_s^*$ . Applying to the orthonormal basis  $\{\delta_t\}_{t \in \Gamma}$ , we get

$$\lambda_s(\delta_t) = \delta_{st}.$$

Thus,  $\lambda_s \circ \lambda_r = \lambda_{sr}$ , and we have the unitary representation of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$ , where  $\lambda(s) = \lambda_s$ , for  $s \in \Gamma$ . This is the left-regular representation of  $\Gamma$ .

Note that the left regular representation is a faithful representation, hence injective.

Because the  $\lambda$  operator is linear, we may extend it to the case of any positive finitely supported function,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_f(\xi)(t) &= \left( \sum_{s \in \Gamma} f(s) \lambda_s(\xi) \right)(t) \\ &= \sum_{s \in \Gamma} f(s) \xi(s^{-1}t)\end{aligned}$$

Note that the space of finitely supported functions on  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]^1$  is a  $*$ -algebra, where multiplication is given by convolution:

$$\begin{aligned}f * g(t) &= \sum_{s \in \Gamma} f(s) g(s^{-1}t) \\ &= \sum_{r \in \Gamma} f(tr^{-1}) g(r).\end{aligned}$$

Note that we are using  $*$  both to refer to the involution (when as a superscript) as well as the group operation (when not a superscript). This is to maintain coherence with the traditional way that convolution is written. The involution on  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$  is given by

$$f^*(t) = \overline{f(t^{-1})}.$$

## A Bit on Representations and $C^*$ -(Semi)norms

A  $C^*$ -seminorm on a  $*$ -algebra is a seminorm such that defined by

- $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ ;
- $\|a^*\| = \|a\|$ ;
- $\|a^*a\| = \|a\|^2$ .

If  $A_0$  is a  $*$ -algebra, then a representation of  $A_0$  is a pair  $(\pi_0, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $\pi: A_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism.

Additionally, if  $A_0$  is a  $*$ -algebra with representation  $\pi_0$ , then we have  $C^*$ -seminorm

$$\|a\|_{\pi_0} = \|\pi_0(a)\|_{\text{op}}.$$

If  $\pi_0$  is injective, then  $\|\cdot\|_{\pi_0}$  is a  $C^*$ -norm. If  $\pi_0$  is a  $C^*$ -norm, then the completion of  $A_0$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_{\pi_0}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

The universal norm on  $A_0$  is defined as

$$\|a\|_u = \sup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} p(a),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the collection of all  $C^*$ -seminorms on  $A_0$ . If  $\|a\|_u < \infty$  for all  $a \in A_0$ , then  $\|\cdot\|_u$  is a  $C^*$ -seminorm on  $A_0$ . Note that if one of  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  is a norm, then  $\|\cdot\|_u$  defines a  $C^*$ -norm on  $A_0$ .

If we have the unitary representation  $u: \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , then

$$\pi_u(a) = \sum_{s \in \Gamma} u_s$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Also known as the free vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  with basis  $\Gamma$ .

is a representation of  $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ . If  $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$  is the left-regular representation, then the left-regular group  $C^*$ -algebra is the group  $*$ -algebra with  $C^*$ -norm defined by  $\|a\| = \|\pi_\lambda(a)\|$ .

The universal group  $C^*$ -algebra is defined as the norm completion of

$$\|a\|_u = \sup \left\{ \|\pi(a)\|_{\text{op}} \mid \pi: \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_\pi) \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(a)\| &= \left\| \pi \left( \sum_{s \in \Gamma} a_s \delta_s \right) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{s \in \Gamma} a_s \pi(\delta_s) \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{s \in \Gamma} \|a_s \pi(\delta_s)\| \\ &= \sum_{s \in \Gamma} |a_s|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that since  $\|\cdot\|_\lambda$  is a norm, we must have  $a = 0$  if and only if  $\|a\|_u = 0$ . The full group  $C^*$ -algebra admits a universal property.

**Proposition:** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group. If  $u: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ , then there is a contractive  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi_u: C^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  that satisfies  $\pi_u(\delta_s) = u(s)$ .

## Using the Left-Regular Representation to Establish Amenability

If  $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  is a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$ , then a vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  is called invariant for  $\pi$  if  $\pi(g)(\xi) = \xi$  for all  $g \in \Gamma$ .

**Proposition:** The left-regular representation for  $\Gamma$  admits an invariant vector if and only if  $\Gamma$  is finite.

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be finite. Since  $\Gamma$  is finite, all functions  $a: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are square-summable. Thus,  $\xi = \mathbb{1}_\Gamma$  is square-summable, and since  $s\Gamma = \Gamma$  for all  $s \in \Gamma$ , we have  $\mathbb{1}_\Gamma$  is invariant for  $\lambda$ .

Now, let  $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$  be the left-regular representation, and suppose there is  $\xi \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$  such that for all  $s \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\lambda_s(\xi) = \xi.$$

In particular, this means that for any  $t \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s(\xi)(t) &= \xi(s^{-1}t) \\ &= \xi(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all  $s \in \Gamma$ , we have that  $\xi = c \mathbb{1}_\Gamma$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . However, since  $\xi \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$ , we must have that  $\sum_{t \in \Gamma} |c|^2 < \infty$ , which only holds if  $\Gamma$  is finite.  $\square$

An almost-invariant vector for a representation  $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$ , as the name suggests,<sup>II</sup> a sequence (or net) of unit vectors  $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$  such that

$$\lim_{i \in I} \|\pi(g)(\xi_i) - \xi_i\| = 0.$$

<sup>II</sup>I'm only mostly being facetious here.

**Theorem:** A group  $\Gamma$  is amenable if and only if the left-regular representation has an almost-invariant vector.

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be amenable, and let  $F_i$  be a Følner sequence —  $\frac{|sF_i \Delta F_i|}{|F_i|} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $s \in \Gamma$ . Define  $\xi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_i}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_s(\xi_i) - \xi_i\|^2 &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |\lambda_s(\xi_i)(t) - \xi_i(t)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \left| \lambda_s \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_i} \right)(t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_i}(t) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{sF_i}(t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_i|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_i}(t) \right|^2 \\ &= \frac{|sF_i \Delta F_i|}{|F_i|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lambda$  has an almost-invariant vector.

Suppose there exists an almost-invariant vector  $(\xi_i)_i \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$ . It is sufficient to construct an approximate mean. Since  $\xi_i \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$ , we have that  $\xi_i^2 \in \ell_1(\Gamma)$ . Setting  $\mu_i = \xi_i^2$ , we plug this into the expression for an approximate mean, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_s(\mu_i) - \mu_i\|_{\ell_1} &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} \left| \lambda_s(\xi_i^2)(t) - \xi_i^2(t) \right| \\ &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} |(\lambda_s(\xi_i)(t) - \xi_i(t))(\lambda_s(\xi_i)(t) + \xi_i(t))| \\ &= \|(\lambda_s(\xi_i) - \xi_i)(\lambda_s(\xi_i) + \xi_i)\|_{\ell_1} \\ &\leq \|\lambda_s(\xi_i) - \xi_i\|_{\ell_2} \|\lambda_s(\xi_i) + \xi_i\| \\ &\leq 2\|\lambda_s(\xi_i) - \xi_i\| \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mu_i$  is an approximate mean. □

Using the criterion of almost invariant vectors, we may show that a group is amenable if and only if the trivial representation — defined by  $1_\Gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $1_\Gamma(g) = 1$  is what is known as weakly contained in the left-regular representation.

A representation  $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  is weakly contained in another representation  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ , denoted  $\pi < \rho$ , if for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , finite  $E \subseteq \Gamma$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there are  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\left| \langle \pi(g)(\xi), \xi \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \rho(g)(\eta_i), \eta_i \rangle \right| < \varepsilon.$$

**Theorem:** A discrete group  $\Gamma$  is amenable if and only if  $1_\Gamma < \lambda$ , where  $\lambda$  is the left-regular representation.

*Proof.* We show that  $1_\Gamma < \lambda$  is equivalent to the existence of an almost invariant vector for  $\lambda$ . We assume  $\lambda$  admits an almost-invariant vector. It is sufficient to show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every finite set  $E \subseteq \Gamma$ , there are  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$  such that

$$\left| 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \lambda_t(\eta_i), \eta_i \rangle \right| < \varepsilon$$

for every  $t \in E$ . If we take  $n = 1$  and  $\eta_1 = \xi$ , where  $\xi$  is almost-invariant for all  $g \in E$  — i.e.,  $\|\lambda_g(\xi) - \xi\|_{\ell_2} < \varepsilon$  for all  $g \in E$ . Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_g(\xi) - \xi\|^2 &= \langle \lambda_g(\xi) - \xi, \lambda_g(\xi) - \xi \rangle \\ &= \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \lambda_g(\xi) \rangle + \langle \xi, \xi \rangle - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle) \\ &= 2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle) \\ &= 2 \operatorname{Re}(1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle) \\ &\leq 2|1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle|^2 &= (1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle)(1 - \overline{\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle}) \\ &= 1 - \overline{\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle} - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle + |\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq 2 - 2 \operatorname{Re}(\langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle) \\ &= \|\lambda_g(\xi) - \xi\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that

$$|1 - \langle \lambda_g(\xi), \xi \rangle| \leq \|\lambda_g(\xi) - \xi\| < \varepsilon.$$

We start by showing that  $1_\Gamma < \lambda$  if and only if for every finite  $S \subseteq \Gamma$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a unit vector  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\|\lambda_s(\xi) - \xi\|_{\ell_2} < \varepsilon.$$

In the forward direction, we see that there exists a unit vector  $\xi$  such that  $|1 - \langle \lambda_s(\xi), \xi \rangle| < \varepsilon^2/2$ , meaning  $\|\lambda_s(\xi) - \xi\| < \varepsilon$  by above. Similarly, if  $\|\lambda_s(\xi) - \xi\| < \varepsilon$ , then  $1_\Gamma < \lambda$ .

Now, we assume  $1_\Gamma < \lambda$ . Thus, for a finite  $E \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists  $f \in \ell_2(\Gamma)$  with  $\|f\|_{\ell_2} = 1$  such that  $\|\lambda_s(f) - f\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $s \in E$ .

Setting  $g = |f|^2$ , we have  $g \in \ell_1(\Gamma)$ . From Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_s(g) - g\|_{\ell_1} &\leq \left\| \lambda_s\left(\frac{f}{\|f\|_{\ell_2}}\right) + \frac{f}{\|f\|_{\ell_2}} \right\|_{\ell_2} \|\lambda_s(f) - f\| \\ &\leq 2\|\lambda_s(f) - f\|_{\ell_2} \\ &< 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\Gamma$  admits an approximate mean, hence is amenable. □

Having obtained some more resources on Kesten's criterion, we can now prove that.

**Definition.** Let  $\lambda: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\ell_2(\Gamma))$  be the left-regular representation. Then, for a finite set  $E \subseteq \Gamma$ , we define the Markov operator  $M(E)$  by

$$M(E) = \sum_{t \in E} \lambda_t.$$

Note that since  $\lambda_t$  is an isometry for each  $t$ , we have

$$\|M(E)\|_{\text{op}} = \left\| \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{t \in E} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{|E|} \left\| \sum_{t \in E} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|E|} \sum_{t \in E} \|\lambda_t\|_{\text{op}} \\
&= 1,
\end{aligned}$$

so the Markov operator is a bounded operator (indeed, a contraction).

**Theorem (Kesten's Criterion):** Let  $\Gamma$  contain a finite symmetric generating set  $S$ . Then,  $\Gamma$  is amenable if and only if

$$\|M(S)\|_{\text{op}} = 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\Gamma$  be amenable. Then,  $\lambda$  admits an almost-invariant vector,  $(\xi_n)_n \subseteq S_{\ell_2(\Gamma)}$ , such that

$$\|\lambda_s(\xi_n) - \xi_n\|_{\ell_2} \rightarrow 0$$

for all  $s \in \Gamma$ . In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left\| \left( \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n) \right\|_{\ell_2} - \|\xi_n\|_{\ell_2} \right| &\leq \left\| \left( \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n) - \xi_n \right\|_{\ell_2} \\
&= \frac{1}{|S|} \left\| \left( \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n) - |S| \xi_n \right\|_{\ell_2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \|\lambda_t(\xi_n) - \xi_n\|_{\ell_2} \\
&\rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

meaning that

$$\sup_{\xi \in S_{\ell_2(\Gamma)}} \left\| \left( \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi) \right\| = \|\xi\|,$$

and so the norm of the Markov operator is 1.

Suppose

$$\left\| \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}} = 1,$$

or

$$\left\| \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}} = |S|.$$

**Proposition:** If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is a self-adjoint operator, then

$$\|T\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), x \rangle|.$$

*Proof.* We have that

$$|\langle T(x), x \rangle| \leq \|T(x)\| \|x\|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|T\|_{\text{op}} \|x\|^2 \\ &= \|T\|_{\text{op}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we seek to establish the opposite direction. Note that since  $T$  is self-adjoint, we know that  $\langle T(x), x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , so by the polarization identity, we have that

$$\langle T(x), y \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle).$$

Note that we know that

$$\|T\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{x, y \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), y \rangle|.$$

Now, we set  $\alpha = \sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), x \rangle|$ . Note that for any nonzero  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle T\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right), \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\rangle \right| &\leq \alpha \\ |\langle T(x), x \rangle| &\leq \alpha \|x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ , we may assume that  $\langle T(x), y \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ , as we may multiply  $\langle T(x), y \rangle$  by  $\text{sgn}(\langle T(x), y \rangle)$ . Thus, by the polarization identity and the fact that  $T$  is self-adjoint, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(x), y \rangle &= \frac{1}{4}(\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle) \\ |\langle T(x), y \rangle| &= \left| \frac{1}{4}(\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle - \langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}(|\langle T(x+y), x+y \rangle| + |\langle T(x-y), x-y \rangle|) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{4}(\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{4}(2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2) \\ &= \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} \leq \sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), x \rangle|$ . □

Now, since  $S$  is symmetric, we have that  $M(S)$  is self-adjoint. Therefore, we know that there is some  $\xi_n \in S_{\mathcal{H}}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{n} &< \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (|\xi_n|), |\xi_n| \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, rearranging, we have

$$1 - \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (|\xi_n|), |\xi_n| \right\rangle < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since  $M(S)$  is a self-adjoint operator, we have that  $\text{Re} \left( \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle \right) = \left\langle \left( \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle$ . This gives

$$\left\| \left( \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \lambda_t \right) (\xi) - \xi \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \|\lambda_t(\xi) - \xi\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \sqrt{2} |1 - \langle \lambda_t(\xi), \xi \rangle| \\
&= \sqrt{2} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{t \in S} \langle \lambda_t(\xi), \xi \rangle \right| \\
&\rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\lambda$  admits an almost-invariant vector. □

Next, we turn to Hulanicki's Criterion.

**Definition.** Let  $f \in \ell_1(\Gamma)$ . Then, we define the bounded operator

$$\lambda_{f(t)} = \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \lambda_t.$$

**Theorem:** If  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group, then  $\Gamma$  is amenable if and only if for every positive finitely-supported  $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$\sum f(t) \leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Gamma$  is amenable. Let  $f \geq 0$  be a finitely supported function, and let  $(F_n)_n$  be a Følner sequence such that for every  $g \in \text{supp}(f)$ , we have

$$\frac{|g F_n \Delta F_n|}{|F_n|} \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Let  $\xi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|F_n|}} \mathbb{1}_{F_n}$ . Note that  $\|\xi_n\|_{\ell_2} = 1$ .

We will use the fact that

$$\sup_{x \in S_{\mathcal{H}}} |\langle T(x), x \rangle| \leq \|T\|_{\text{op}}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left\langle \left( \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle \right| &= \left| \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \langle \lambda_t(\xi_n), \xi_n \rangle \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{t, s \in \Gamma} f(t) \xi_n(t^{-1}s) \xi_n(s) \right| \\
&\leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|,
\end{aligned}$$

meaning

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left\langle \left( \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \lambda_t \right) (\xi_n), \xi_n \right\rangle \right| \leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|.$$

Notice that  $\xi_n$  is an almost-invariant vector for  $\lambda$ , meaning that  $\xi_n(t^{-1}s) \rightarrow \xi_n(s)$ . Therefore, this means

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{t, s \in \Gamma} f(t) \xi_n(t^{-1}s) \xi_n(s) \right| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{t, s \in \Gamma} f(t) |\xi_n(s)|^2 \right| \\
&= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \left| \sum_{s \in \Gamma} |\xi_n(s)|^2 \right|
\end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \\
&\leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This establishes that there is some  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \leq C \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.$$

To show that  $C = 1$ , we note that, by the definition of convolution, we must have

$$\left( \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \right)^n = \sum_{t \in \Gamma} (f * \dots * f)(t),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_{f(t)})^n &= \left( \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \lambda_t \right)^n \\
&= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} (f * \dots * f)(t) \lambda_t \\
&= \lambda_{(f * \dots * f)(t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left( \sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \right)^n &= \sum_{t \in \Gamma} (f * \dots * f)(t) \\
&\leq C \|\lambda_{(f * \dots * f)(t)}\| \\
&= C \left( \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}} \right)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

This means we have

$$\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \leq C^{1/n} \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.$$

Since  $n$  is arbitrary, this means  $C = 1$ .

Now, if for all finitely supported  $f$ , we have

$$\sum_{t \in \Gamma} f(t) \leq \|\lambda_{f(t)}\|_{\text{op}}.$$

If  $f = \mathbb{1}_E$  for some finite  $E \subseteq \Gamma$ , we see that

$$\left\| \sum_{t \in E} \lambda_t \right\|_{\text{op}} = |E|,$$

so by Kesten's criterion, we have that  $\Gamma$  is amenable. □