

## Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Normed Vector Spaces</b>	<b>1</b>
Vector Spaces, Norms, and Basic Properties . . . . .	1
Examples . . . . .	2
Series Convergence and Completeness . . . . .	3
<b>Proposition:</b> Criteria for Banach Spaces . . . . .	3
Quotient Spaces . . . . .	3
<b>Proposition:</b> Quotient Space Norm . . . . .	4
Bounded Linear Operators . . . . .	6
<b>Proposition:</b> Categorization of Continuous Linear Maps . . . . .	7
<b>Proposition:</b> Properties of Bounded Linear Operators . . . . .	8
Quotient Maps . . . . .	10
<b>Theorem:</b> First Isomorphism Theorem for Normed Vector Spaces . . . . .	12
<b>Pillars of Functional Analysis</b>	<b>14</b>
Baire Category Theorem . . . . .	15
<b>Proposition:</b> Meager Spaces . . . . .	15
<b>Theorem:</b> Baire Category Theorem . . . . .	15
Open Mapping Theorem . . . . .	16
<b>Theorem:</b> Open Mapping Theorem . . . . .	17
<b>Corollary:</b> Bounded Inverse Theorem . . . . .	18
Complemented Subspaces and Direct Sums . . . . .	19
Closed Graph Theorem . . . . .	20
<b>Theorem:</b> Closed Graph Theorem . . . . .	20

## Introduction

This is going to be part of the notes for my Honors thesis independent study, which will be focused on amenability and  $C^*$ -algebras. This section of notes will be focused on the essential results in functional analysis, starting from normed vector spaces, working our way up through  $C^*$ -algebras.

The primary source for this section is going to be Timothy Rainone's *Functional Analysis-En Route to Operator Algebras*, which has not been published yet.

I do not claim any of this work to be original.

## Normed Vector Spaces

### Vector Spaces, Norms, and Basic Properties

All vector spaces are defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Most of the information here is in my Real Analysis II notes, so I'm going to skip to some of the more important content.

**Definition** (Vector Space). A vector space  $V$  is a set closed under two operations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : V \times V &\rightarrow V, (v_1, v_2) \mapsto v_1 + v_2 \\ m : \mathbb{C} \times V &\rightarrow V, (\lambda, v) \mapsto \lambda v. \end{aligned}$$

We refer to  $\alpha$  as addition, and  $m$  as scalar multiplication;  $(V, +)$  is an abelian ring.

**Definition (Norm).** A norm is a function

$$\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+, x \mapsto \|x\|$$

that satisfies the following properties:

- Positive definiteness:  $\|v\| = 0$  if and only if  $v = 0_V$ .
- Triangle inequality:  $\|v + w\| \leq \|v\| + \|w\|$ .
- Absolute Homogeneity:  $\|\lambda v\| = |\lambda| \|v\|$ , for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

If a function  $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfies the triangle inequality and absolute homogeneity, we say  $p$  is a semi-norm.

We say the pair  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed vector space.

**Definition (Balls and Spheres).** Let  $X$  be a normed vector space,  $x \in X$ , and  $\delta > 0$ . Then,

$$U(x, \delta) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) < \delta\}$$

$$B(x, \delta) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) \leq \delta\}$$

$$S(x, \delta) = \{y \in X \mid d(x, y) = \delta\}.$$

For a normed vector space, we will use the following conventions for common sets:

$$U_X = U(0, 1)$$

$$B_X = B(0, 1)$$

$$S_X = S(0, 1)$$

$$\mathbb{D} = U_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$\mathbb{T} = S_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

**Definition (Equivalent Norms).** Two norms on  $V$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_a$  and  $\|\cdot\|_b$  are said to be equivalent if there are two constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$\|v\|_a \leq C_1 \|v\|_b$$

$$\|v\|_b \leq C_2 \|v\|_a$$

for all  $v \in V$ . We say  $\|\cdot\|_a \sim \|\cdot\|_b$ .

## Examples

**Example (Finite-Dimensional Vector Spaces).** The vector space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the  $p$ -norm is denoted  $\ell_p^n$ , where for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ , the  $p$ -norm is defined by

$$\|x\|_p = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

In the case with  $p = 2$ , this gives the traditional Euclidean norm, and with  $p = \infty$ , this gives the sup norm:

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|.$$

**Example (A Sequence Space).** We let  $\ell_p = \{(x_n)_n \mid x_n \in \mathbb{C}, \|x\|_p < \infty\}$  be the collection of sequences in  $\mathbb{C}$  with finite  $p$ -norm. Here,

$$\|x\|_p = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

In the case with  $p = \infty$ , this gives the sequence space  $\ell_{\infty}$ , which has norm

$$\|x\|_{\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |x_n|.$$

**Example (A Function Space).** We let  $\ell^\infty(\Omega)$  denote the set of all bounded functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|.$$

If  $\Omega = (\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space, then we let  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  be the space of  $\mu$ -a.e. equal essentially bounded measurable functions, under the norm

$$\|f\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{x \in \Omega} |f(x)|.$$

## Series Convergence and Completeness

**Proposition (Criteria for Banach Spaces):** Let  $X$  be a normed vector space. The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is a Banach space.<sup>1</sup>
- (ii) If  $(x_k)_k$  is a sequence of vectors such that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|x_k\|$  converges, then  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k$  converges.
- (iii) If  $(x_k)_k$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\|x_k\| < 2^{-k}$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty x_k$  converges.

*Proof.* To show (i) implies (ii), for  $n > m > N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_n - s_m\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=m+1}^n x_k \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n \|x_k\| \\ &< \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

implying that  $s_n$  is Cauchy, and thus converges since  $X$  is complete.

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{-k}$  converges, it is clear that (ii) implies (iii).

To show (iii) implies (i), we let  $(x_n)_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . We only need construct a convergent subsequence in order to show that  $(x_n)_n$  converges.

Chose  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for  $n, m \geq n_1$ ,  $\|x_m - x_n\| < \frac{1}{2^2}$ , and inductively define  $n_j > n_{j-1}$  such that  $n, m \geq n_j$  implies  $\|x_m - x_n\| < \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}$ .

Let  $y_1 = x_{n_1}$ ,  $y_j = x_{n_j} - x_{n_{j-1}}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_j\| &= \|x_{n_j} - x_{n_{j-1}}\| \\ &< \frac{1}{2^j}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty y_j$  converges by our assumption. By telescoping, we see that  $\sum_{j=1}^k y_j = x_{n_k}$ , so  $(x_{n_k})_k$  converges.  $\square$

## Quotient Spaces

Let  $X$  be a normed vector space. Then, for  $E \subseteq X$  a subspace, there is a quotient space  $X/E$  with the projection map  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/E$ ,  $x \mapsto x + E$ . We want to make  $X/E$  into a normed space — in order to do this, we use the distance function:

$$\text{dist}_E(x) = \inf_{y \in E} d(x, y),$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Complete normed vector space.

which is uniformly continuous. For  $E$  closed, then  $\text{dist}_E(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in E$ .

**Proposition (Quotient Space Norm):** Let  $X$  be a normed vector space, and  $E \subseteq X$  a subspace. Set

$$\|x + E\|_{X/E} = \text{dist}_E(x).$$

Then,

- (1)  $\|\cdot\|_{X/E}$  is a well-defined seminorm on  $X/E$ .
- (2) If  $E$  is closed, then  $\|\cdot\|_{X/E}$  is a norm on  $X/E$ .
- (3)  $\|x + E\|_{X/E} \leq \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (4) If  $E$  is closed, then  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/E$  is Lipschitz.
- (5) If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $E$  is closed, then  $X/E$  is also a Banach space.

*Proof.*

- (1) We will show that  $\|\cdot\|_{X/E}$  is well-defined. If  $x + E = x' + E$ ,  $x' - x \in E$ , so for every  $y \in E$ ,  $x' - x + y \in E$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\| &= \|x' - (x' - x + y)\| \\ &\geq \inf_{z \in E} \|x' - z\| \\ &= \|x' + E\|_{X/E}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|x + E\|_{X/E} \geq \|x' + E\|_{X/E}$ , and vice versa.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $x \in X$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda(x + E)\|_{X/E} &= \|\lambda x + E\|_{X/E} \\ &= \inf_{y \in E} \|\lambda x - y\| \\ &= |\lambda| \inf_{y \in E} \|x - \lambda^{-1}y\| \\ &= |\lambda| \inf_{y' \in E} \|x - y'\| \\ &= |\lambda| \|x + E\|_{X/E} \end{aligned}$$

Given  $x, x' \in X$  and a fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\|x + E\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|x - y\|$$

for some  $y \in E$ , and

$$\|x' + E\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \|x' - y'\|$$

for some  $y' \in E$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x + x') - (y + y')\| &\leq \|x - y\| + \|x' - y'\| \\ &< \varepsilon + \|x + E\| + \|x' + E\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y + y' \in E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x + E) + (x' + E)\|_{X/E} &= \|x + x' + E\|_{X/E} \\ &\leq \|(x + x') - (y + y')\| \\ &< \varepsilon + \|x + E\|_{X/E} + \|x' + E\|_{X/E}, \end{aligned}$$

meaning

$$\|(x + E) + (x' + E)\| \leq \|x + E\| + \|x' + E\|.$$

(2) If  $E$  is closed, and  $\|x + E\| = 0$ , then  $x \in E$  so  $x + E = 0_{X/E}$ .

(3) For  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\|x + E\|_{X/E} &= \inf_{y \in E} \|x - y\| \\ &\leq \|x\|.\end{aligned}$$

(4) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(x + E) - (x' + E)\|_{X/E} &= \|x - x' + E\|_{X/E} \\ &\leq \|x - x'\|.\end{aligned}$$

(5) Let  $X$  be complete and  $E \subseteq X$  be closed. Let  $(x_k + E)_k$  be a sequence in  $X/E$  with  $\|x_k + E\| < 2^{-k}$ . We want to show that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k + E)$  converges.

For each  $k$ , since  $\|x_k + E\| < 2^{-k}$ , there exists  $y_k \in E$  such that  $\|x_k - y_k\| < 2^{-k}$ . Since  $X$  is complete,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k - y_k$  converges.

Let  $(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k - y_k)_n \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ . Applying the canonical projection map,  $\pi$ , to both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^n (x_k + E) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \pi(x_k) \\ &= \pi\left(\sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_k)\right) \\ &\rightarrow \pi(x),\end{aligned}$$

implying that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k + E)$  converges.

□

**Exercise:** Consider  $\ell_{\infty}$  and its closed subspace  $c_0$ . If  $\pi : \ell_{\infty} \rightarrow \ell_{\infty}/c_0$  denotes the canonical quotient map, with  $(z_k)_k \in \ell_{\infty}$ , show that

$$\|(z_k)_k + c_0\| = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_k|$$

**Solution:** Let  $z = (z_k)_k \in \ell_{\infty}$ . We define the distance

$$\text{dist}_{c_0}(z) = \inf_{t \in c_0} \|z - t\|.$$

Let  $w \in c_c$  be defined by

$$w = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, 0, 0, \dots).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\|z - w\|_{\infty} &= \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |z_k - w_k| \\ &= \sup_{k \geq n} |z_k - w_k|,\end{aligned}$$

meaning that

$$\text{dist}_{c_c}(z) \leq \sup_{k \geq n} |z_k|.$$

Since  $c_0 \supseteq c_c$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_{c_0}(z) &\leq \text{dist}_{c_c}(z) \\ &\leq \inf_{n \geq 1} \left( \sup_{k \geq n} |z_k| \right) \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_k| \leq \text{dist}_{c_c}(z)$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $w \in c_c$  such that

$$\|z - w\| < \text{dist}_{c_c}(z) + \varepsilon.$$

Additionally, for  $w$  that terminates at  $n - 1$  (i.e., is equal to 0 for all  $k \geq n$ ), we have

$$\sup_{k \geq n} |z_k - w_k| \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |z_k - w_k|,$$

meaning

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_k| &= \inf_{n \geq 1} \left( \sup_{k \geq n} |z_k| \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{k \geq n} |z_k - w_k| \\ &\leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |z_k - w_k| \\ &= \|z - w\| \\ &< \text{dist}_{c_c}(z) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_k| = \text{dist}_{c_c}(z).$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $w \in c_0$  be such that

$$\|z - w\| < \text{dist}_{c_0}(z) + \varepsilon/2.$$

Additionally, let  $\lambda \in c_c$  such that  $\|\lambda - w\| < \varepsilon/2$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}_{c_0}(z) + \varepsilon &> \|z - \lambda\| + \|\lambda - w\| \\ &\geq \text{dist}_{c_c}(z) + \varepsilon/2 \\ &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_k| \leq \text{dist}_{c_0}(z)$ , meaning  $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |z_k| = \text{dist}_{c_0}(z)$ .

## Bounded Linear Operators

**Definition** (Continuous Functions). A function  $f : (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$  is called Lipschitz if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \leq C d_X(x, x')$$

for all  $x, x' \in X$ .

If  $C \leq 1$ , a Lipschitz map is known as a contraction.

If

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) = d_X(x, x')$$

for all  $x, x' \in X$ , then  $f$  is known as an isometry.

**Proposition** (Categorization of Continuous Linear Maps): Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed vector spaces, and let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear map. The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is continuous at 0.
- (ii)  $T$  is continuous.
- (iii)  $T$  is uniformly continuous.
- (iv)  $T$  is Lipschitz.
- (v) There exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|T(x)\| \leq C \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition** (Bounded Linear Operator). Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed vector spaces, and let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear map.

- (1)  $T$  is bounded if  $T(B_X)$  is bounded in  $Y$ . Equivalently,  $T$  is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{x \in B_X} \|T(x)\| < \infty,$$

or that  $\exists r > 0$  such that  $T(B_X) \subseteq B_Y(0, r)$ .

- (2) The operator norm of  $T$  is the value

$$\|T\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{x \in B_X} \|T(x)\|.$$

**Lemma:** Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear map between normed vector spaces. Then,

$$\|T\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{x \in S_X} \|T(x)\|$$

and for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|T(x)\| \leq \|T\|_{\text{op}} \|x\|.$$

**Lemma:** Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a bounded linear map between normed vector spaces. Then, for any  $x \in X$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$r \|T\|_{\text{op}} \leq \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} \|T(y)\|$$

*Proof.* Let  $C = \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} \|T(y)\|$ . If  $z \in B(0, r)$ , then  $z + x, z - x \in B(x, r)$ , meaning

$$2T(z) = T(z + x) + T(z - x),$$

so by the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \|T(z)\| &\leq \|T(z + x)\| + \|T(z - x)\| \\ &\leq 2 \max \{ \|T(z + x)\|, \|T(z - x)\| \} \\ &\leq 2C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|T(z)\| \leq \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} \|T(y)\|,$$

meaning

$$r \|T\|_{\text{op}} \leq \sup_{y \in B(x, r)} \|T(y)\|.$$

□

**Remark:** For a linear map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ , the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $T$  is continuous.
- (2)  $T$  is bounded.
- (3)  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} < \infty$ .

**Definition.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces,  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  a linear map.

- (1)  $T$  is bounded below if there exists  $C_2$  such that  $\|T(x)\| \geq C_2 \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$ .
- (2)  $T$  is bicontinuous if  $T$  is bounded and bounded below.

$$C_2 \|x\| \leq \|T(x)\| \leq C_1 \|x\|$$

- (3)  $T$  is a bicontinuous isomorphism if  $T$  is bijective, linear, and bicontinuous. We say  $X$  and  $Y$  are bicontinuously isomorphic.
- (4) We say  $T$  is an isometric isomorphism if  $T$  is bijective, linear, and an isometry.

**Example.** Let  $\rho$  be the continuous surjective wrapping function  $\rho : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\rho(t) = e^{it}$ . There is an induced isometry

$$T_\rho : C(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow C([0, 2\pi]),$$

defined by  $T_\rho(f)(t) = f \circ \rho(t) = f(e^{it})$ .

The range of  $T_\rho$  is  $C = \{g \in C([0, 2\pi]) \mid g(0) = g(2\pi)\}$ , which means that  $C(\mathbb{T})$  and  $C$  are isometrically isomorphic Banach spaces.

**Proposition:** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces, and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear map. The following are equivalent.

- (i)  $T$  is bicontinuous.
- (ii)  $T : X \rightarrow \text{Ran}(T)$  is a linear isomorphism and homeomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be bicontinuous. Then,  $T$  is linear, injective, and surjective onto  $\text{Ran}(T)$ . Since  $T$  is continuous,  $T$  is bounded. Let  $S : \text{Ran}(T) \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $S(T(x)) = x$ . We can see that  $S$  is well-defined, since  $T : X \rightarrow \text{Ran}(T)$  is surjective, and so has a left inverse. Similarly, since  $\|S(T(x))\| = \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{C_2} \|T(x)\|$ ,  $S$  is continuous.

Let  $S : \text{Ran}(T) \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $S(T(x)) = x$ . Since  $T$  is continuous, it is bounded, so

$$\|T(x)\| \leq \|T\|_{\text{op}} \|x\|.$$

Since  $S$  is bounded,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \|S(T(x))\| \\ &= \|S\|_{\text{op}} \|T(x)\|, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\frac{1}{\|S\|_{\text{op}}} \|x\| \leq \|T(x)\|$ . □

**Corollary:** Let  $X$  be a vector space with  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|'$  two norms. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The norms  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|'$  are equivalent.
- (ii) The map  $\text{id}_X : (X, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|')$ .

**Proposition (Properties of Bounded Linear Operators):** Let  $X, Y, Z$  be normed spaces,  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $S : X \rightarrow Y$ , and  $R : Y \rightarrow Z$  be linear maps.

- (1)  $\|\alpha T\|_{\text{op}} = |\alpha| \|T\|_{\text{op}}$



- (2)  $\|T + S\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|T\|_{\text{op}} + \|S\|_{\text{op}}$
- (3)  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} = 0$  if and only if  $T = 0$
- (4)  $\|R \circ T\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|R\|_{\text{op}} \|T\|_{\text{op}}$
- (5)  $\|\text{id}_X\|_{\text{op}} = 1$
- (6) If  $E \subseteq X$  is a subspace, then  $\|T|_E\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|T\|_{\text{op}}$

*Proof.* We will prove (4) here. For  $x \in B_X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R \circ T(x)\| &= \|R(T(x))\| \\ &\leq \|R\|_{\text{op}} \|T(x)\| \\ &\leq \|R\|_{\text{op}} \|T\|_{\text{op}}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the supremum, we obtain  $\|R \circ T\|_{\text{op}} \leq \|R\|_{\text{op}} \|T\|_{\text{op}}$ . □

**Recall:**  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is the set of all linear operators with domain  $X$  and codomain  $Y$ .

**Proposition:** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces.

- (1) The collection  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) \mid \|T\|_{\text{op}} < \infty\}$  equipped with the operator norm is a normed space known as the space of bounded linear operators between  $X$  and  $Y$ .
- (2) If  $Y$  is a Banach space, then  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is a Banach space.
- (3) The continuous dual space,  $X^* = \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{C})$  is a Banach space.

*Proof.* We will prove (2). Let  $(T_n)_n$  be Cauchy under  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ . Since Cauchy sequences are bounded, there is some  $C > 0$  such that  $\|T_n\|_{\text{op}} \leq C$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . For  $x \in X$ ,

$$\|T_n(x) - T_m(x)\| \leq \|T_n - T_m\|_{\text{op}} \|x\|,$$

meaning  $(T_n(x))_n$  is Cauchy in  $Y$ . Since  $Y$  is complete, we define

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x)$$

in  $Y$ . If  $x \in B_X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x)\| &= \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x) \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n(x)\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n(x)\| \\ &\leq C \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $\|T\|_{\text{op}} \leq C$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  large such that  $n, m \geq N$ ,  $\|T_n - T_m\|_{\text{op}} \leq \varepsilon$ . For  $x \in B_X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n(x) - T(x)\| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n(x) - T_m(x)\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n - T_m\|_{\text{op}} \|x\| \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|T - T_n\|_{\text{op}} < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ . □

**Definition** (Algebras). Let  $A$  be an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- (1) If  $A$  admits a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  satisfying  $\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \|b\|$ , then  $A$  is a normed algebra. If  $A$  is unital, then  $\|1_A\| = 1$ .
- (2) If  $A$  is complete with respect to its norm, then  $A$  is called a Banach algebra, and if  $A$  is unital, then  $A$  is a unital Banach algebra.

**Lemma:** In a normed algebra  $A$ , the map  $\cdot : A \times A \rightarrow A, (a, b) \mapsto ab$  is continuous.

**Proposition:** Let  $X$  be a normed space. The set of bounded operators  $\mathcal{B}(X, X) = \mathcal{B}(X)$  is a unital normed algebra. Moreover, if  $X$  is a Banach space, then  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  is a Banach algebra.

**Proposition:** Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra,  $a \in A$ . The series

$$\exp(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!}$$

converges absolutely in  $A$ . We call  $\exp(a)$  the exponential of  $a$ .

- (1)  $\exp(0) = 1_A$
- (2) If  $A$  is commutative, then  $\exp(a + b) = \exp(a)\exp(b)$ .
- (3) We have  $\exp(a) \in GL(A)$  with  $\exp(a)^{-1} = \exp(-a)$ .
- (4)  $\|\exp(a)\| \leq \exp(\|a\|)$ .

## Quotient Maps

**Definition.** A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called open if  $U \subseteq X$  is open implies  $f(U) \subseteq Y$  is open.

**Proposition:** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces,  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  a linear map. The following are equivalent:

- (i)  $T$  is surjective and open.
- (ii)  $T(U_X) \subseteq Y$  is open.
- (iii) There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta U_Y \subseteq T(U_X)$ .
- (iv) There exists  $\delta$  such that  $\delta B_Y \subseteq T(B_X)$ .
- (v) There exists  $M > 0$  such that for all  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $x \in X$  with  $T(x) = y$  and  $\|x\| \leq M \|y\|$ .

*Proof.* To see (i) implies (ii), if  $T$  is surjective and open, then it is clear that  $T(U_X)$ , which is the image of an open set, is open.

To see (ii) implies (iii), if  $T(U_X)$  is open, we have  $0_Y \in T(U_X)$ , so there is some  $\delta$  such that  $U(0, \delta) \subseteq T(U_X)$ , meaning  $\delta U_Y \subseteq T(U_X)$ .

Assuming (iii), we see that  $\frac{\delta}{2} B_Y \subseteq \delta U_Y \subseteq T(U_X) \subseteq T(B_X)$ .

To see (iv) implies (v), let  $\delta$  be such that  $\delta B_Y \subseteq T(B_X)$ , and set  $M = \frac{1}{\delta}$ . Note that for  $y \in Y, y \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{\delta}{\|y\|} y \in \delta B_Y$ , meaning  $\frac{\delta}{\|y\|} y = T(x)$  for some  $x \in B_X$ , implying that  $T\left(\frac{\|y\|}{\delta} x\right) = y$ . Finally, since  $x \in B_X$ ,  $\frac{\|y\|}{\delta} \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|y\| = M \|y\|$ .

To see (v) implies (i), we can see that  $T$  is surjective by the assumption. Let  $U \subseteq X$  be open,  $y_0 \in T(U)$ . Then, there exists  $x_0$  such that  $T(x_0) = y_0$ , and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $U(x_0, \delta) \subseteq U$ . Note that  $U(x_0, \delta) = x_0 + \delta U_X$ , so  $x_0 + \delta U_X \subseteq U$ . Applying  $T$ , we get  $T(x_0 + \delta U_X) \subseteq T(U)$ , or  $y_0 + \delta T(U_X) \subseteq T(U)$ . By assumption, since given  $y \in U_Y$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\|x\| \leq M \|y\|$ , meaning  $\|x\| \leq M$ , we have  $U_Y \subseteq T(M U_X)$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{M} U_Y \subseteq T(U_X)$ , meaning  $y_0 + \frac{\delta}{M} U_Y \subseteq y_0 + \delta T(U_X) \subseteq T(U)$ , so  $U_Y(y_0, \frac{\delta}{M}) \subseteq T(U)$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed vector spaces.

(1) A bounded linear map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  that is surjective and open is known as a quotient map.

(2) If  $T(U_X) = U_Y$ , then  $T$  is called a 1-quotient map.

**Exercise:** If  $T(B_X) = B_Y$ , show that  $T(U_X) = U_Y$ .

**Solution:** Since  $T(B_X) = B_Y$ , it is the case that  $(T(B_X))^\circ = B_Y^\circ$ . Since  $T$  is an open map,  $T$  is continuous, meaning  $(T(B_X))^\circ \subseteq T(B_X^\circ)$ . Thus,  $T(U_X) = U_Y$ .

**Proposition:** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed vector spaces with  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  a quotient map. If  $X$  is a Banach space, then  $Y$  is a Banach space.

*Proof.* We will show that  $Y$  is complete by showing that an absolutely convergent series converges.

Let  $(y_k)_k$  be a sequence in  $Y$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|y_k\| < \infty$ . Since  $T$  is a quotient map, there is a universal  $M > 0$  such that for all  $k$ , there is  $x_k \in X$  such that  $T(x_k) = y_k$  and  $\|x_k\| \leq M \|y_k\|$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\| &\leq M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|y_k\| \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  is complete,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$  converges. Let  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k = x$ . Then,  $(T(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k))_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x)$ , meaning  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k = T(x)$ . Thus,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y_k$  converges in  $Y$ , so  $Y$  is a Banach space.  $\square$

**Proposition:** Let  $X$  be a normed vector space,  $E \subseteq X$  a closed subspace. The canonical quotient map,  $\pi : X \rightarrow X/E$  is a 1-quotient map.

*Proof.* We know that  $\|\pi(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ , meaning  $\pi(U_X) \subseteq U_{X/E}$ .

Let  $\pi(x) = x + E \subseteq U_{X/E}$ . Then,  $\inf_{y \in E} \|x - y\| \leq 1$ , meaning there exists some  $y$  such that  $\|x - y\| < 1$ , meaning  $\pi(x - y) = \pi(x)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary:** If  $X$  is a Banach space,  $E \subseteq X$  a closed subspace, then  $X/E$  is a Banach space.

**Corollary:** Let  $X$  be a normed vector space and  $E \subseteq X$  be closed. If two of  $X, E, X/E$  are complete, the third is also complete.

*Proof.* We have shown that if  $X$  is complete, then  $E$  is necessarily complete (since  $E$  is closed) and  $X/E$  is complete as shown above.

Let  $E$  and  $X/E$  be complete. We now want to show that  $X$  is complete. Let  $(x_k)_k$  be Cauchy in  $X$ .

For each  $k$ , let  $x_k = s_k + y_k$ , where  $y_k \in E$  and  $s_k + E = \pi(x_k)$ . Notice that, since  $x_k$  is Cauchy, so too is  $s_k$ , as  $\|s_k\| \leq \|x_k\|$  for all  $k$ . Additionally, for  $m, n \geq N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_m - x_n\| &= \|s_m + y_m - (s_n + y_n)\| \\ &\leq \|s_m - s_n\| + \|y_m - y_n\| \\ &< \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

implying that  $(y_k)_k$  is Cauchy in  $E$ . Since  $X/E$  and  $E$  are complete, we define  $x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_k$ . Finally, for  $m, n \geq N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - x_n\| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - x_n\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $(x_k)_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} x$ , so  $X$  is complete.  $\square$

**Proposition:** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces,  $E \subseteq X$  a closed subspace, and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  bounded linear with  $E \subseteq \ker(T)$ . Then, there exists a unique bounded linear map  $\bar{T} : X/E \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\bar{T} \circ \pi = T$ . Moreover,  $\bar{T}$  is injective if and only if  $E = \ker(T)$  and  $\|\bar{T}\| = \|T\|$ .

*Proof.* The existence and uniqueness of  $\bar{T} : X/E \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\bar{T} \circ \pi = T$  follows from the First Isomorphism Theorem for vector spaces, as does the fact that  $\bar{T}$  is injective and only if  $\ker(T) = E$ .

Let  $x + E \in X/E$ . For  $y \in E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{T}(x + E)\| &= \|\bar{T}(x - y + E)\| \\ &= \|T(x - y)\| \\ &\leq \|T\| \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking infimum over all  $y \in E$ , we get  $\|\bar{T}(x + E)\| \leq \|T\| \|x + E\|$ , meaning  $\|\bar{T}\| \leq \|T\|$ . Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \|\bar{T} \circ \pi\| \\ &\leq \|\bar{T}\| \|\pi\| \\ &= \|\bar{T}\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem** (First Isomorphism Theorem for Normed Vector Spaces): Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed vector spaces,  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .

- (1)  $T$  is a quotient map if and only if  $\bar{T} : X/\ker(T) \rightarrow Y$  is a bicontinuous isomorphism.
- (2)  $T$  is a 1-quotient map if and only if  $\bar{T} : X/\ker(T) \rightarrow Y$  is an isometric isomorphism.

*Proof.*

- (1) Let  $\bar{T} : X/\ker(T) \rightarrow Y$  be a bicontinuous isomorphism. Since  $\bar{T}$  is bicontinuous, it is a homeomorphism, meaning it is open and surjective. Since  $\pi$  is a quotient map, so too is  $T : \bar{T} \circ \pi$ .

Suppose  $T$  is a quotient map. Then,  $T$  is surjective, meaning  $\bar{T}$  is an isomorphism. Since  $T$  is bounded below,  $\bar{T}$  is also bounded. Let  $\pi(x) = x + \ker(T) \in X/\ker(T)$ , with  $T(x) = y$ . Let  $M$  be such that  $\|x\| \leq M \|y\|$ . There is an  $x' \in X$  with  $T(x') = y$ , and  $\|x'\| \leq M \|y\|$ . Thus,  $x - x' \in \ker(T)$ , so  $\pi(x) = \pi(x')$ , meaning

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{T} \circ \pi(x)\| &= \|T \circ \pi(x')\| \\ &= \|y\| \\ &\geq M^{-1} \|x'\| \\ &\geq M^{-1} \|\pi(x')\| \\ &= M^{-1} \|\pi(x)\|, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $T$  is bounded below.

- (2) Suppose  $\bar{T} : X/\ker(T) \rightarrow Y$  is an isometric isomorphism. Then,  $\bar{T}$  is a 1-quotient map, and since  $\pi$  is a 1-quotient map, so too is  $T = \bar{T} \circ \pi$ .

Suppose  $T$  is a 1-quotient map. Since  $T$  is surjective,  $\bar{T}$  is an isomorphism. Since  $T$  is a 1-quotient map,  $\|T\| = \sup_{x \in U_X} \|T(x)\| \leq 1$ , meaning  $\|\bar{T}\| \leq \|T\| \leq 1$ . Consider  $S = (\bar{T})^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X/\ker(T)$ ;  $S$  is also an isomorphism, so  $S \circ \bar{T} = \text{id}_{X/\ker(T)}$ . We will now show  $S$  is a contraction, meaning  $\bar{T}$  is an isometry.

Let  $y \in U_Y$ . Since  $T$  is a 1-quotient map, there exists  $x \in U_X$  such that  $T(x) = y$ . Then,  $\bar{T}(x + \ker(T)) = T(x) = y$ , meaning  $S(y) = x + \ker(T)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(y)\| &= \|x + \ker(T)\| \\ &\leq \|x\| \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $\|S\| \leq 1$ .

□

**Proposition:** Every separable Banach space is isometrically isomorphic to a quotient of  $\ell_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space. Since  $X$  is separable, so too is  $S_X$ . Let  $(z_n)_n$  be norm-dense in  $S_X$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned} T : \ell_1 &\rightarrow X \\ (\lambda_n)_n &\rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n z_n. \end{aligned}$$

This series converges absolutely:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\lambda_n z_n\| &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so this series converges in  $X$ . We can also see that  $T$  is linear; additionally,  $T$  is a contraction:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T((\lambda_n)_n)\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n z_n \right\| \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n z_n \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\lambda_n z_n\| \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N |\lambda_n| \\ &= \|(\lambda_n)_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $T(U_{\ell_1}) \subseteq U_X$ . To show that  $T(U_{\ell_1}) = U_X$ , we will use the following fact (which follows from the density of  $z_n$ ).

**Fact.** For  $\delta > 0$  and  $x \neq 0$  in  $X$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n > k$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - z_n \right\| &< \frac{\delta}{\|x\|} \\ \|x - (\|x\|) z_n\| &< \delta \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x \in U_X$  with  $x \neq 0$ , and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Find  $n_1$  such that

$$\|x - (\|x\|) z_{n_1}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and set  $\lambda_{n_1} = \|x\|$ .

We find  $n_2$  with  $n_2 > n_1$  and

$$\|(x - \lambda_{n_1} z_{n_1}) - (\|x - \lambda_{n_1} z_{n_1}\|) z_{n_2}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^2},$$

and set  $\lambda_{n_2} = \|x - \lambda_{n_1} z_{n_1}\|$ . We have

$$\|x - (\lambda_{n_1} z_{n_1} + \lambda_{n_2} z_{n_2})\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^2},$$

and  $\lambda_{n_2} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Inductively, we obtain the subsequence  $(z_{n_k})_k$  in  $z_n$  and a sequence of scalars  $(\lambda_{n_k})_k$  such that

$$\left\| x - \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_{n_j} z_{n_j} \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}$$

and

$$\|\lambda_{n_k}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k-1}}.$$

Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$  with  $\lambda_i = 0$  for  $i \notin \{n_1, n_2, \dots\}$ . We can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_{n_1}\| &= \left\| \lambda_{n_1} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_{n_k} \right\| \\ &\leq \|x\| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k-1}} \\ &= \|x\| + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We choose  $\varepsilon$  such that  $\|x\| + \varepsilon < 1$ , meaning  $\lambda \in U_{\ell_1}$ .

We can also see that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n_j} z_{n_j} = x$ , meaning  $T$  is a 1-quotient map. □

## Pillars of Functional Analysis

The five main theorems of functional analysis are:

- Baire Category Theorem;
- Open Mapping Theorem (and Bounded Inverse Theorem);
- Closed Graph Theorem;
- Uniform Boundedness Principle;
- and the Hahn Banach Theorems:
  - Hahn–Banach–Minkowski Theorem;
  - Hahn–Banach Extension Theorem;
  - Hahn–Banach Separation Theorem.

These theorems will appear time and again as we work through the fundamentals of functional analysis.

## Baire Category Theorem

**Definition** (Baire Space). Let  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a countable collection of open, dense subsets of a topological space  $X$ . We say  $X$  is a Baire space if

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n$$

is dense for every such collection.

**Definition** (Meager Set). If  $X = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n$ , where  $(\overline{F_n})^\circ = \emptyset$  for each  $n$ , then we say  $X$  is meager.<sup>II</sup>

**Proposition** (Meager Spaces): If  $X$  is a Baire space, then  $X$  is nonmeager.

*Proof.* Suppose toward contradiction that  $X = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n$ , with  $F_n$  all nowhere dense. Then,

$$X = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} C_n,$$

where  $C_n = \overline{F_n}$  are closed with  $C_n^\circ = \emptyset$ .

Let  $A_n = C_n^c$ . Then,  $A_n$  is open for all  $n$ , and  $\overline{A_n} = \overline{C_n^c} = (C_n^c)^\circ = X$ , meaning  $A_n$  are all open and dense.

Since  $X$  is a Baire space, we know that  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n$  is dense. However, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &= X^c \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{n \geq 1} C_n \right)^c \\ &= \bigcap_{n \geq 1} C_n^c \\ &= \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem** (Baire Category Theorem): If  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space, then  $X$  is a Baire space.

*Proof.* Let  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a collection of open dense subsets of  $X$ . Let  $U_0$  be any ball of radius  $r > 0$ , and set  $B_0 = \overline{U_0}$ . Since  $A_1 \cap U_0$  is open and nonempty, it contains a closed ball  $B_1$  with radius less than  $r/2$ .

Set  $U_1 = B_1^\circ$ . Similarly, we find a closed ball  $B_2$  with radius less than  $r/4$  such that  $B_2 \subseteq A_2 \cap U_1$ , and set  $U_2 = B_2^\circ$ .

Continuing in this manner, we find a closed ball  $B_n$  with radius less than  $r/2^n$  with  $B_n \subseteq A_n \cap U_{n-1}$ , and the chain

$$B_0 \supseteq U_0 \supseteq B_1 \supseteq U_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq U_2 \supseteq \cdots$$

Letting  $(x_n)_n$  be the center of  $B_n$ , we can see that  $x_n$  forms a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ , as the distance between  $x_m$  and  $x_n$  with  $n > m$  is no more than  $\frac{r}{2^{m-1}}$ .

Since  $X$  is complete,  $(x_n)_n \rightarrow x \in X$ . We claim that  $x$  belongs to  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} B_n$ .

---

<sup>II</sup>In other words,  $X$  is meager if  $X$  is a countable union of nowhere dense subsets.

Suppose toward contradiction that  $x \notin B_N$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $n \geq N$ , we have  $x \notin B_n$ , so  $d(x_n, x) \geq \text{dist}_{B_n}(x) > 0$ , which contradicts the fact that  $(x_n)_n \rightarrow x$ .

Thus,  $x \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} B_n \subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n$ . Since  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} B_n \subseteq U_0$ , we have  $(\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n) \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$ , meaning  $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} A_n$  is dense in  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary:** Let  $X$  be an infinite-dimensional Banach space. The cardinality of the Hamel basis of  $X$  is uncountable.

*Proof.* Suppose toward contradiction that  $\{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Hamel basis for  $X$ . For each  $n$ , set  $E_n = \text{span}\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ . Each  $E_n$  is closed, meaning  $\overline{E_n} = E_n \neq X$  since  $X$  is infinite-dimensional.

Additionally,  $E_n^\circ = \emptyset$  for each  $n$ , meaning the  $E_n$  are nowhere dense.

Since  $\{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a spanning set,

$$X = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} E_n,$$

implying that  $X$  is meager.  $\square$

**Exercise:** Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and  $Z \subseteq X$  a subspace. Is it true that  $\dim(Z) = \dim(\overline{Z})$ ?

**Solution:** It is not the case that  $\dim(Z) = \dim(\overline{Z})$ . For example, consider the subspace  $c_c \subseteq \ell_\infty$ . Then, the Hamel basis of  $c_c$  consists of  $e_n$ , which consists of 1 at index  $n$  and zero elsewhere, implying that  $\dim(c_c) = \aleph_0$ . However,  $\overline{c_c} = c_0$ , and  $c_0$  is an infinite-dimensional Banach space, meaning that  $\dim(\overline{c_c}) = 2^{\aleph_0} \neq \aleph_0$ .

## Open Mapping Theorem

A surjective continuous map between topological spaces is not necessarily an open map — however, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces, and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective linear map. This is the Open Mapping theorem, which yields the result that a continuous linear bijection between Banach spaces always admits a bounded inverse.

**Lemma:** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces, and suppose  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ .

(1) If  $U_Y \subseteq \overline{T(\delta U_X)}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $U_Y \subseteq T(2\delta U_X)$ .

(2) If  $\delta U_Y \subseteq \overline{T(U_X)}$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\frac{\delta}{2} U_Y \subseteq T(U_X)$ .

*Proof.*

(1) Let  $y \in U_Y$ . By our assumption, there exists  $x_1 \in \delta U_X$  such that  $\|y - T(x_1)\| < 1/2$ . Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} y - T(x_1) &\in \frac{1}{2} U_Y \\ &\subseteq \frac{1}{2} \overline{T(\delta U_X)} \\ &= \overline{T\left(\frac{\delta}{2} U_X\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists  $x_2 \in \frac{\delta}{2} U_X$  such that  $\|(y - T(x_1)) - T(x_2)\| < \frac{1}{4}$ , implying that

$$\begin{aligned} y - T(x_1) - T(x_2) &\in \frac{1}{4} U_Y \\ &\subseteq \overline{T\left(\frac{\delta}{4} U_X\right)}. \end{aligned}$$



Inductively, we have a sequence  $(x_k)_k \in \frac{\delta}{2^{k-1}}U_X$  for each  $k$ , and

$$\left\| y - \sum_{j=1}^k T(x_j) \right\| < 2^{-k}.$$

We consider  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|x_j\| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\delta}{2^{j-1}} \\ &= 2\delta \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

the series converges to  $x \in X$  since  $X$  is complete.

Additionally, since  $\|x\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|x_j\| \leq 2\delta$ , we have  $x \in 2\delta U_X$ , and  $T(x) = y$  by the continuity of  $T$ .

(2) If  $\delta U_Y \subseteq \overline{T(U_X)}$ , then  $U_Y \subseteq \frac{1}{\delta} \overline{T(U_X)}$ , so  $U_Y \subseteq \overline{T(\frac{1}{\delta} U_X)}$ , meaning  $U_Y \subseteq T(\frac{2}{\delta} U_X)$ , or  $\frac{\delta}{2} U_Y \subseteq T(U_X)$ .

□

**Theorem (Open Mapping Theorem):** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces,  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  surjective. Then,  $T$  is open and thus a quotient mapping.

*Proof.* We will show that  $\delta U_Y \subseteq T(U_X)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . This is enough to show that  $T$  is a quotient mapping.

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \bigcup_{n \geq 1} n U_X \\ Y &= T(X) \\ &= \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T(n U_X) \end{aligned}$$

since  $T$  is onto. Since  $Y$  is nonmeager, there is an  $m \geq 1$  such that  $\overline{T(m U_X)}^\circ \neq \emptyset$ . There exists  $y_0 \in Y$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $U_Y(y_0, \varepsilon) \subseteq \overline{T(m U_X)}$ . We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon U_Y &= U_Y(0, \varepsilon) \\ &\subseteq T(m U_X). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $z \in \varepsilon U_Y$ . Note that  $y_0 + z$  and  $y_0 - z$  are in  $U_Y(y_0, \varepsilon)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} 2z &= (y_0 + z) - (y_0 - z) \\ &\in \overline{T(m U_X)} - \overline{T(m U_X)}. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $2z = z_1 - z_2$ , with  $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{T(m U_X)}$ . We can find sequences  $(T(x_k))_k$  and  $(T(x'_k))_k$  with  $(T(x_k))_k \rightarrow z_1$  and  $(T(x'_k))_k \rightarrow z_2$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2z &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (T(x_k) - T(x'_k)) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} T(x_k - x'_k), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|x_k - x'_k\| \leq 2m$ . Thus,  $2x \in \overline{T(mU_X)} = 2\overline{T(U_X)}$ , so  $z \in \overline{T(U_X)}$ .

We now have

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{m}U_Y \subseteq \overline{T(U_X)},$$

so

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{2m}U_Y \subseteq T(U_X).$$

Setting  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2m}$ , we finish the proof.  $\square$

If  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is bijective linear, then  $T^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is linear. If  $X = Y$ , we say  $T$  is invertible in the unital algebra  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . However, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are normed vector spaces, we also have to be concerned with the continuity of  $T^{-1}$ .

**Corollary** (Bounded Inverse Theorem): Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces,  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is linear, bounded, and bijective. Then,  $T^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$  is also bounded.

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is surjective,  $T$  is open, so  $T^{-1}$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Example.** Consider the normed space  $Y = (C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_1)$ . To show that  $Y$  is not complete, we let  $X = (C([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , which we know is complete.

The identity function from  $X$  to  $Y$  is bijective and bounded linear since  $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq \|\cdot\|_\infty$ . If  $Y$  were to be complete, then it would imply that the inverse map is bounded. However, since there is no  $C$  such that  $\|\cdot\|_\infty \leq C \|\cdot\|_1$ , it is not the case that  $Y$  is complete.

**Definition.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces. A bounded linear map  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is called invertible if there is a bounded linear map  $S \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  with  $T \circ S = \text{id}_Y$  and  $S \circ T = \text{id}_X$ . We write  $T^{-1} = S$ .

**Corollary:** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  with  $X$  and  $Y$  Banach spaces. The following are equivalent.

- (i)  $T$  is bounded below.
- (ii)  $T$  is injective and  $\text{Ran}(T) \subseteq Y$  is closed.
- (iii)  $T : X \rightarrow \text{Ran}(T)$  is a bicontinuous isomorphism.

*Proof.* For (i) to (ii), if  $T$  is bounded below, then  $\ker T = \{0\}$ , so  $T$  is injective. Additionally, since  $T$  is bounded below, if  $(T(x_n))_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\text{Ran}(T)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} C \|x_n - x_m\| &\leq \|T(x_n - x_m)\| \\ &= \|T(x_n) - T(x_m)\|, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $(x_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $T$  is continuous,  $(T(x_n))_n \rightarrow T(x) \in \text{Ran}(T)$ .

For (ii) to (i), since  $Y$  is complete and  $\text{Ran}(T) \subseteq Y$  is closed,  $\text{Ran}(T)$  is a Banach space, so  $T^{-1} : \text{Ran}(T) \rightarrow X$  is bounded. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \|T^{-1}(T(x))\| \\ &\leq \|T^{-1}\|_{\text{op}} \|T(x)\|, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $\|T(x)\| \geq \|T^{-1}\|_{\text{op}}^{-1} \|x\|$  for all  $x \in X$ .

To show that (ii) is true if and only if (iii) is true, we can see that since  $T$  is bounded and  $T$  is bounded below, it is the case that  $T$  is a bicontinuous isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary:** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces,  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ . Then,  $T$  is invertible if and only if  $T$  is bounded below and surjective.

### Complemented Subspaces and Direct Sums

For any normed vector spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we can form the product  $X \oplus_p Y$  by defining  $\|(x, y)\| = (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)^{1/p}$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

A vector space  $Z$  with subspaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is called the direct sum of  $X$  and  $Y$  if

- (a) for all  $z \in Z$ , there exist  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$  such that  $z = x + y$ ;
- (b)  $X \cap Y = \{0\}$ .

We write  $Z = X \oplus Y$  for the internal direct sum.

**Proposition:** Let  $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$  be a Banach space, and suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are closed subspaces of  $Z$  with  $Z = X \oplus Y$ . Then,  $Z \cong X \oplus_p Y$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p = 1$ . Set  $\phi : X \oplus_1 Y \rightarrow Z$  by taking  $\phi((x, y)) = x + y$ . Since  $Z = X \oplus Y$ , this is a bijection, hence an isomorphism. Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi((x, y))\|_Z &= \|x + y\|_Z \\ &\leq \|x\|_Z + \|y\|_Z \\ &= \|(x, y)\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $\phi$  is bounded. Thus,  $\phi^{-1}$  is also bounded, meaning  $\phi$  is bicontinuous. The proof is similar for all other  $p \in (1, \infty]$ .  $\square$

**Definition.** If  $Z$  is a normed space,  $X$  and  $Y$  are closed subspaces of  $Z$  such that  $Z = X \oplus Y$ , we say  $Z$  is the topological internal direct sum of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

**Definition.** Let  $Z$  be a normed space, and suppose  $X$  is a closed subspace of  $Z$ . We say  $X$  is complemented in  $Z$  if there is a closed  $Y \subseteq Z$  with  $X \oplus Y = Z$ .

Not all closed subspaces are complemented.

**Proposition:** Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a bounded linear map between Banach spaces. If  $Z \subseteq Y$  is a closed subspace such that  $Y = \text{Ran}(T) \oplus Z$ , then  $\text{Ran}(T)$  is closed (meaning the internal direct sum is topological).

*Proof.* Passing to the quotient

$$X/\ker(T) \rightarrow Y, x + \ker(T) \mapsto T(x),$$

we may assume that  $T$  is injective. The map  $S : X \oplus_\infty Z \rightarrow Y$ ,  $S(x, z) = T(x) + z$  is bounded and bijective. Thus,  $S$  is bounded below, so for some  $C > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x)\| &= \|S(x, 0)\| \\ &\geq C \|(x, 0)\|_\infty \\ &= C \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $T$  is bounded below, and thus has closed range.  $\square$

**Corollary:** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces, and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is bounded Fredholm,<sup>III</sup> then  $T$  has closed range.

*Proof.* There is a subspace  $C \subseteq Y$  with  $C$  linearly isomorphic to  $\text{coker}(T)$ , and  $Y = \text{Ran}(T) \oplus C$ . Since  $T$  is Fredholm,  $\dim(C)$  is finite, meaning  $C$  is closed. Thus,  $\text{Ran}(T)$  is closed.  $\square$

<sup>III</sup>A linear map is Fredholm if both  $\ker(T)$  and  $\text{coker}(T)$  are finite. Here,  $\text{coker}(T) = Y/\text{Ran}(T)$ .

## Closed Graph Theorem

**Definition.** If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a map between arbitrary sets, then the graph of  $f$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{graph}(f) &= \{(a, f(a)) \mid a \in A\} \\ &\subseteq A \times B. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition:** If  $(X, d)$  and  $(Y, \rho)$  are metric spaces, and  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$  is continuous, then  $\text{graph}(f) \subseteq X \times Y$  is closed under the product topology.<sup>IV</sup>

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n, f(x_n))_n$  be a sequence in  $\text{graph}(f)$  such that  $(x_n, f(x_n))_n \rightarrow (x, y)$  in  $X \times Y$ . Then,  $(x_n)_n \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  and  $(f(x_n))_n \rightarrow y$  in  $Y$ .

By the continuity of  $f$ , we have  $(f(x_n))_n \rightarrow f(x)$ , and since limits are unique, we have  $f(x) = y$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) &= (x, f(x)) \\ &\in \text{graph}(f). \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, we can see that the graph of any continuous function is closed in the product topology. However, the converse fails in the general case. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f(x) &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} & x \neq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

has a closed graph, but  $f$  is not continuous.

However, with linear maps between Banach spaces, the converse is actually true.

**Theorem (Closed Graph Theorem):** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces, and let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear map. Then,  $T$  is continuous if and only if  $\text{graph}(T) \subseteq X \times Y$  is closed with respect to the product topology on  $X \times Y$ .

*Proof.* The forward direction follows from the previous proposition.

Suppose  $\text{graph}(T) \subseteq X \times Y$  is closed in the product topology. Note that the product topology coincides with the  $\|\cdot\|_1$  topology, with  $\|(x, y)\|_1 = \|x\| + \|y\|$ . Thus,  $(\text{graph}(T), \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a Banach space.

Consider the projection map  $P : \text{graph}(T) \rightarrow X$  defined by  $P((x, T(x))) = x$ , which is bijective. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P((x, T(x)))\| &= \|x\| \\ &\leq \|x\| + \|T(x)\| \\ &= \|(x, T(x))\|_1, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $P$  is bounded. Thus,  $P$  is bicontinuous, meaning it is bounded below, so for some constant  $C$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \|P((x, T(x)))\| \\ &\geq C \|(x, T(x))\|_1 \\ &\geq C \|T(x)\|, \end{aligned}$$

meaning  $\|T(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{C} \|x\|$ , so  $T$  is bounded. □

---

<sup>IV</sup>The product topology is the coarsest topology on  $X \times Y$  such that the projection maps  $\pi_X$  and  $\pi_Y$  are continuous.