

## Introduction

Oh hey, it's another one of those textbook notes that I never complete. I've decided to try something different in order to develop my understanding of measure theory. One of the primary for understanding measure theory is Gerald B. Folland's *Real Analysis and Applications* — and one of the benefits it has over a lot of other texts is that it has a significant number of exercises. I'm going to try to do them all — I'll start with Chapters 1–3, and if that goes well enough, continue up through whatever chapter I end up having to tap out at. Interspersed, I will include various notes. I figure that in order to make a subject like measure theory really stick, I need to deal with it consistently.

## Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 1</b>	<b>1</b>
Section 1.2 . . . . .	1
Section 1.3 . . . . .	2

## Chapter 1

### Section 1.2

**Definition** ( $\sigma$ -Algebra). An algebra of sets on  $X$  is a nonempty collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $X$  that is closed under finite unions and complements.

A  $\sigma$ -algebra is an algebra that is closed under countable unions.

**Exercise** (Exercise 1): A family of sets  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is called a ring if it is closed under finite unions and differences. A ring that is closed under countable unions is called a  $\sigma$ -ring.

- (a) Rings ( $\sigma$ -rings) are closed under finite (countable) intersections.
- (b) If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring ( $\sigma$ -ring), then  $\mathcal{R}$  is an algebra ( $\sigma$ -algebra) if and only if  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ .
- (c) If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, then  $\{E \subseteq X \mid E \in \mathcal{R} \text{ or } E^c \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- (d) If  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, then  $\{E \subseteq X \mid E \cap F \in \mathcal{R} \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**Solution:**

- (a) Note that for any  $E, F \in \mathcal{R}$ , that  $E \cap F = E \cup F \setminus ((E \setminus F) \cup (F \setminus E))$ .
- (b) Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring. Then,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra if for some  $E \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $E^c \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $E^c = X \setminus E \in \mathcal{R}$ , we have  $X \setminus E \cup E \in \mathcal{R}$  as  $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under (countable) unions. Hence,  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ .

If  $X \in \mathcal{R}$ , then for any  $E \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $E^c = X \setminus E \in \mathcal{R}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under intersections.

- (c) Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring, we only need show that the set  $\mathcal{A} = \{E \subseteq X \mid E \in \mathcal{R} \text{ or } E^c \in \mathcal{R}\}$  is closed under complements. We see that for any  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , it is the case that either  $E \in \mathcal{R}$  or  $E^c \in \mathcal{R}$ , so  $E^c \in \mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $E^c \in \mathcal{R}$  or  $E \in \mathcal{R}$ , so  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under complements.
- (d) Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring, and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{E \subseteq X \mid E \cap F \in \mathcal{R} \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{R}\}$ . We will show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under unions and complements.

Let  $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then, for all  $S \in \mathcal{R}$ , we have  $E \cap S \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $F \cap S \in \mathcal{R}$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is closed under unions, we must have  $(E \cup F) \cap S = (E \cap S) \cup (F \cap S) \in \mathcal{R}$ , so  $E \cup F \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition** (Proposition 1.2): The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , is generated by each of the following:

- (a) the open intervals,  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{(a, b) \mid a < b\}$ ;

- (b) the closed intervals,  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \{[a, b] \mid a < b\}$ ;
- (c) the half-open intervals,  $\mathcal{E}_3 = \{(a, b] \mid a < b\}$  or  $\mathcal{E}_4 = \{[a, b) \mid a < b\}$ ;
- (d) the open rays,  $\mathcal{E}_5 = \{(a, \infty) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$  or  $\mathcal{E}_6 = \{(-\infty, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ;
- (e) the closed rays,  $\mathcal{E}_7 = \{[a, \infty) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$  or  $\mathcal{E}_8 = \{(-\infty, a] \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .

*Proof.* The elements for  $\mathcal{E}_j$  for  $j \neq 3, 4$  are open or closed, and the elements of  $\mathcal{E}_3, \mathcal{E}_4$  are  $G_\delta$  sets — for instance,

$$(a, b] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( a, b + \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

Thus,  $\sigma(\mathcal{E}_j) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  for each  $j$ . On the other hand, every open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is a countable union of open intervals, so  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{E}_1)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} = \sigma(\mathcal{E}_1)$ .  $\square$

### Section 1.3

**Theorem** (Theorem 1.9): Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N \in \mathcal{M} \mid \mu(N) = 0\}$ , and let  $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \{E \cup F \mid E \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } F \subseteq N \text{ for some } N \in \mathcal{N}\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and there is a unique extension  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\mu$  to a complete measure on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are closed under countable unions, so is  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . If  $E \cup F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , with  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $F \subseteq N \in \mathcal{N}$ , we may assume  $E \cap N = \emptyset$  — else, we replace  $F$  with  $F \setminus E$  and  $N$  with  $N \setminus E$ . Then,  $E \cup F = (E \cup N) \cap (N^c \cup F)$ , so  $(E \cup F)^c = (E \cup N)^c \cup (N \setminus F)$ . Since  $(E \cup N)^c \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $N \setminus F \subseteq N$ , we have  $(E \cup F)^c \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , so  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

If  $E \cup F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$  as above, we set  $\overline{\mu}(E \cup F) = \mu(E)$ . This is well-defined, since if  $E_1 \cup F_1 = E_2 \cup F_2$ , with  $F_j \subseteq N_j \in \mathcal{N}$ , then  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \cup N_2$ , so  $\mu(E_1) \leq \mu(E_2) + \mu(N_2) = \mu(E_2)$ . Similarly,  $\mu(E_2) \leq \mu(E_1)$ .  $\square$

**Exercise** (Exercise 6): Complete the proof of Theorem 1.9.

**Solution:** We now wish to show that every subset of a null set in  $\mathcal{M}$  is an element of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . This can be seen by the fact that for some  $F \subseteq N \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have  $F = \emptyset \cup F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .

To show uniqueness, we suppose there is some other measure  $\nu: \overline{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\nu$  agrees with  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . For some  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $F \subseteq N \in \mathcal{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(E \cup F) &= \mu(E) \\ &= \overline{\mu}(E \cup F). \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise** (Exercise 7): If  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  are measures on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ , and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in [0, \infty)$ , then  $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j$  is a measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ .

**Solution:** It is clear that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . If we have a sequence of disjoint sets  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_j(E_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \mu_j \right)(E_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i). \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise (Exercise 9):** If  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space, and  $E, F \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\mu(E) + \mu(F) = \mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F)$ .

**Solution:** We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(E) &= \mu((E \cup F) \setminus F) \sqcup E \cap F \\ \mu(E) &= \mu(E \cup F) - \mu(F) + \mu(E \cap F) \\ \mu(E) + \mu(F) &= \mu(E \cup F) + \mu(E \cap F).\end{aligned}$$

**Exercise (Exercise 12):** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space.

- (a) If  $E, F \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E \Delta F) = 0$ , then  $\mu(E) = \mu(F)$ .
- (b) Let  $E \sim F$  if  $\mu(E \Delta F) = 0$ . Then,  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- (c) For  $E, F \in \mathcal{M}$ , define  $\rho(E, F) = \mu(E \Delta F)$ . Then,  $\rho(E, G) \leq \rho(E, F) + \rho(F, G)$ , hence  $\rho$  defines a metric on the space  $\mathcal{M}/\sim$  of equivalence classes.

**Solution:**

- (a) Note that  $E = (E \setminus F) \sqcup (E \cap F)$ , and  $F = (F \setminus E) \sqcup (F \cap E)$ . We also have  $\mu(E \Delta F) = \mu(E \setminus F) + \mu(F \setminus E) = 0$ , so  $\mu(F \setminus E) = \mu(E \setminus F) = 0$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(F) &= \mu(F \cap E) \\ &= \mu(E \cap F) \\ &= \mu(E).\end{aligned}$$

**Exercise (Exercise 14):** If  $\mu$  is a semifinite measure and  $\mu(E) = \infty$ , then for any  $C > 0$  there exists  $F \subseteq E$  such that  $C < \mu(F) < \infty$ .

**Solution:** By the definition of a semifinite measure, there exists  $F_1 \subseteq E$  such that  $0 < \mu(F_1) < \infty$ . We let  $\delta_1 = \mu(F_1)$ .

Now, it must be the case that  $\mu(E \setminus F_1) = \infty$ , else  $\infty = \mu(E) = \mu(E \setminus F_1) + \mu(F_1) < \infty$ , a contradiction.

Hence, there exists  $F_2 \subseteq E \setminus F_1$  with  $0 < \mu(F_2) < \infty$ . We let  $\delta_2 = \mu(F_2)$ . Similarly, we find  $\delta_n = \mu(F_n)$ , where  $F_n \subseteq E \setminus (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_{n-1})$ .

Now, consider the series  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \delta_n = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(F_n) = \mu(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} F_n)$ . This series must diverge, as otherwise we would have  $\mu(E) = \mu(\bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} F_n) < \infty$ , which is yet again a contradiction.

Thus, for a given  $C > 0$ , we find  $N$  so large such that  $\sum_{n=1}^N \delta_n > C$ . Then,  $F = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^N F_n$  is our desired set.