

Motivation and Introduction

Main purpose of this course is to study Galois theory — a field that arose in trying to study roots of polynomials.

Consider $f(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$. If we want to find a general, closed-form expression for the roots of the function, we complete the square.

$$\text{roots} = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}.$$

We found these roots by by the coefficients, \mathbb{Q} , addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, and square root (raising to the $1/2$ power: see Math 310 notes, Page 104). Naturally, this leads us to ask whether we can do this for cubic polynomials with the same operations. Obviously, we have to change from $1/2$ power to the $1/3$ power, but Cardano showed that it was possible to solve a cubic and quartic equation using these traditional operations and radicals.

Évariste Galois invented his theory to prove there is no such closed formula by radicals for any polynomial of degree 5 or above.

For example, $x^5 - x + 1$ does not have roots given by radicals.

Example: A Solvable Polynomial

Consider the polynomial $f(x) = x^2 - 2$. We know that the roots of this polynomial are $\pm\sqrt{2}$. From this, we want to create a set $K(f)$ that satisfies the following rules:

- $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K(f)$.
- $K(f)$ must contain the roots of f .
- $K(f)$ must be closed under the traditional operations: $+$, $-$, \times , $/$
- $K(f)$ must be the smallest field that satisfies the above three requirements.

Claim: $K(f) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$.

- $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K(f)$, because we can set $b = 0$.
- $\sqrt{2} = 0 + (1)(\sqrt{2})$, $-\sqrt{2} = 0 + (-1)(\sqrt{2})$
- Let $a + b\sqrt{2}$ and $c + d\sqrt{2}$ be elements of $K(f)$. Then,
 - $(a + b\sqrt{2}) \pm (c + d\sqrt{2}) = (a \pm c) + (b \pm d)\sqrt{2}$
 - $(a + b\sqrt{2})(c + d\sqrt{2}) = (ac + 2bd) + (ad + bc)\sqrt{2}$
 - Set $c + d\sqrt{2} \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a + b\sqrt{2}}{c + d\sqrt{2}} &= \frac{(a + b\sqrt{2})(c - d\sqrt{2})}{c^2 - 2d^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{c^2 - 2d^2} \left((ac - 2bd) + (bc - ad)\sqrt{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{ac - 2bd}{c^2 - 2d^2} + \frac{bc - ad}{c^2 - 2d^2} \sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

- $K(f)$ is indeed the smallest set.
 - Note that $K(f)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space, with basis $\{1, \sqrt{2}\}$. Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} K(f) = 2$. $K(f)$ is known as the “splitting field” of f .

We want to consider a bijective function $\varphi : K(f) \rightarrow K(f)$ with the following properties:

- $\varphi(r) = r$ for every $r \in \mathbb{Q}$
- $\varphi(x + y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$
- $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$

We denote the collection of all such φ as $\text{Aut}(K(f)/\mathbb{Q})$. This is a group under the operation \circ (composition). Specifically, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(a + b\sqrt{2}) &= \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)\varphi(\sqrt{2}) \\ &= a + b\varphi(\sqrt{2}).\end{aligned}$$

Notice

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi(\sqrt{2}))^2 - 2 &= \varphi\left((\sqrt{2})^2 - 2\right) \\ &= \varphi(0) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\varphi(\sqrt{2}) = \pm\sqrt{2}$. Therefore, we have that the elements of $\text{Aut}(K(f)/\mathbb{Q})$ are the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_0 : a + b\sqrt{2} &\mapsto a + b\sqrt{2} \\ \varphi_1 : a + b\sqrt{2} &\mapsto a - b\sqrt{2} \\ \varphi_1 \circ \varphi_1 &= \varphi_0\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Aut}(K(f)/\mathbb{Q}) &= \{\varphi_0, \varphi_1\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\end{aligned}$$

Example: A Harder Polynomial

Let $f(x) = (x^2 - 2)(x^2 - 3)$. Our roots are $\{\pm\sqrt{2}, \pm\sqrt{3}\}$. We want to form $K(f)$ with the same properties. Let

$$\begin{aligned}K(f) &= \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \\ &= \{a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{6} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Just as with our previous example, $K(f)$ is a vector space over \mathbb{Q} , with basis $\{1, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{6}\}$, so $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} K(f) = 4$.

Now, we want $\text{Aut}(K(f)/\mathbb{Q})$. If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(K(f)/\mathbb{Q})$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(a + b\sqrt{2} + c\sqrt{3} + d\sqrt{6}) &= a + b\varphi(\sqrt{2}) + c\varphi(\sqrt{3}) + d\varphi(\sqrt{6}) \\ &= a + b\varphi(\sqrt{2}) + c\varphi(\sqrt{3}) + d\varphi(\sqrt{2})\varphi(\sqrt{3}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we need to know $\varphi(\sqrt{2})$ and $\varphi(\sqrt{3})$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}f(\varphi(\sqrt{2})) &= \left((\varphi(\sqrt{2}))^2 - 2\right)\left((\varphi(\sqrt{2}))^2 - 3\right) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

and the same is the case with $\varphi(\sqrt{3})$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\sqrt{2}) &\in \{\pm\sqrt{2}, \pm\sqrt{3}\} \\ \varphi(\sqrt{3}) &\in \{\pm\sqrt{2}, \pm\sqrt{3}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\varphi(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{3}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\left(\varphi(\sqrt{2}) \right)^2 \right) &= (\sqrt{3}^2 - 1) \\ &= 0 \\ &= (\varphi(2) - 3) \\ &= -1. \perp \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sqrt{2}) &\in \{\pm\sqrt{2}\} \\ \varphi(\sqrt{3}) &\in \{\pm\sqrt{3}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and we have the maps as:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 : \sqrt{2} &\mapsto \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \mapsto \sqrt{3} \\ \varphi_1 : \sqrt{2} &\mapsto -\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \mapsto \sqrt{3} \\ \varphi_2 : \sqrt{2} &\mapsto \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \mapsto -\sqrt{3} \\ \varphi_3 : \sqrt{2} &\mapsto -\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3} \mapsto -\sqrt{3} \end{aligned}$$

Example: A Cubic Polynomial

Consider the function $f(x) = x^3 - 2$. The function has one real root, $r_1 = \sqrt[3]{2}$, and two complex roots. Let's examine $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4} \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}\}$; r_2 and r_3 are not in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$. We could instead consider $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, r_1, r_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 - 2 &= (x - r_1)(x^2 + r_1x + r_1^2) \\ r_2 &= \frac{-r_1 + \sqrt{r_1^2 - 4r_1^2}}{2} \\ &= r_1 \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \\ &= r_1 \zeta_3 \\ r_3 &= r_1 \frac{-1 - \sqrt{-3}}{2} \\ &= r_1 \zeta_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

However, including r_2 and r_3 is excessive — all we need is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta_3)$. Therefore, the basis of this vector space is $\{1, r_1, r_1^2, \zeta_3, \zeta_3 r_1, \zeta_3 r_1^2\}$ (note that $\zeta_3^2 = -1 - \zeta_3$). Therefore, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta_3) = 6$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta_3) = K(f)$. Additionally, we have $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}) = \{\varphi_0\}$, but $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) = 3$. For the full field extension, we need to find $\varphi(\sqrt[3]{2})$ and $\varphi(\zeta_3)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sqrt[3]{2}) &\in \{r_1, \zeta_3 r_1, \zeta_3^2 r_1\} \\ \varphi(\zeta) &\in \{\zeta_3, \zeta_3^2\} \\ \varphi_0 : r_1 &\mapsto r_1, \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3 \\ \varphi_1 : r_1 &\mapsto \zeta_3 r_1, \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3 \\ \varphi_2 : r_1 &\mapsto r_1, \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3^2 \\ \varphi_3 : r_1 &\mapsto \zeta_3^2 r_1, \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3 \\ \varphi_4 : r_1 &\mapsto \zeta_3 r_1, \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3^2 \\ \varphi_5 : r_1 &\mapsto \zeta_3^2 r_1, \zeta_3 \mapsto \zeta_3^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta_3)/\mathbb{Q}) &= 6 \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{2})\end{aligned}$$

Rings

Consider the integers under the normal operations, $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$; this will serve as the motivation for rings in the future.

Definition of a Ring

Let R be a nonempty set with operations $(+, \cdot)$, with the following properties:

(1) $(R, +)$ is an abelian group:

- Closed: $r_1 + r_2 \in R, \forall r_1, r_2 \in R$
- Identity: $\exists 0_R, r + 0_R = 0_R + r = r$
- Associativity: $r_1 + (r_2 + r_3) = (r_1 + r_2) + r_3$
- Inverse: $\forall r \in R, \exists -r \in R, r + (-r) = 0_R$
- Commutativity: $r_1 + r_2 = r_2 + r_1$

(2) Closure under Multiplication: $r_1 \cdot r_2 \in R, \forall r_1, r_2 \in R$

(3) Associativity under Multiplication: $r_1 \cdot (r_2 \cdot r_3) = (r_1 \cdot r_2) \cdot r_3$

(4) Distributivity: $r_1 \cdot (r_2 + r_3) = r_1 \cdot r_2 + r_1 \cdot r_3, (r_1 + r_2) \cdot r_3 = r_1 \cdot r_3 + r_2 \cdot r_3$

We say $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a ring if it satisfies all these properties.

If $\exists 1_R \in R$ such that $r \cdot 1_R = 1_R \cdot r = r$, then we say R is a ring with identity, and 1_R is the multiplicative identity. If multiplication is commutative, then R is known as a commutative ring.

Examples

(1) $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot), (\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot), (\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot), (\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ are commutative rings with identity value of 1.

(2) $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring with identity $1_R = [1]_n$.

(3) $(\mathbb{R}[x], +, \cdot)$, where $\mathbb{R}[x] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$, is a commutative ring with identity.

(4) $(2\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$ is a commutative ring *without* identity.

(5) $(\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R}), +, \cdot)$, where $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$ refers to $n \times n$ matrices with real entries, is a *noncommutative* ring with identity.

Division Rings and Fields

Let R be a ring with identity. We say R is a *division ring* if $\forall r \in R \setminus \{0_R\}, \exists r^{-1} \in R$ with $r \cdot r^{-1} = 1_R = r^{-1} \cdot r$. If R is also commutative, then R is a *field*.

Examples

- (1) $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$, $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$, and $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ are all fields.
- (2) Let p be prime, and set $F = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Then, F is a field; we denote this \mathbb{F}_p .
- (3) Define

$$\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, ij = k = -ji, jk = i = -kj, ki = j = -ik\}.$$

Then, \mathbb{H} is a division ring, known as the Hamiltonian quaternions. Note that $\mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{H}$.

Properties of Rings

Proposition 4.1: Let R be a ring.

- (1) $0_R a = a 0_R = 0 \forall a \in R$
- (2) $(-a)b = a(-b) = -(ab) \forall a, b \in R$
- (3) $(-a)(-b) = ab \forall a, b \in R$
- (4) If $\exists 1_R \in R$, then 1_R is unique, and $-a = (-1_R)a$.

Proof of (1): Let $a \in R$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0_R a &= (0_R + 0_R) a && \text{Additive Inverse} \\ 0_R a &= 0_R a + 0_R a && \text{Distributivity} \\ 0_R a + (-0_R a) &= 0_R a + 0_R a (-0_R a) \\ 0_R &= 0_R a. && \text{Additive Inverse} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (2): Let $a, b \in R$. Note that $-(ab)$ is the unique inverse such that $ab + (-(ab)) = 0_R$ via group theory. We have

$$\begin{aligned} ab + (-a)b &= (a + (-a))b && \text{Distributivity} \\ &= (0_R)b && \text{Additive Inverse} \\ &= 0_R. && \text{By Property (1)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(-a)b = -(ab)$.

Zero Divisor and Units in Rings

Let $a \in R$, $a \neq 0_R$. If $\exists b \in R$ with $b \neq 0_R$ such that $ab = 0_R = ba$, then we say a is a zero divisor.

If $1_R \in R$, we say $u \in R$ is a unit if $\exists v \in R$ (can be equal to u) with $uv = 1_R = vu$. The collection of units in R is denoted R^\times .

Exercise: Show that R^\times is a group under multiplication.

Examples

- (1) Let $R = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $[2]_6[3]_6 = [6]_6 = [0]_6$, so both $[2]_6$ and $[3]_6$ are both zero divisors. Additionally, $[4]_6[3]_6 = [6]_6 = [0]_6$. Meanwhile, since $(\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})^\times = \{[1]_6, [5]_6\}$, those are the two units of $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) \mathbb{Z} has no zero divisors. $\mathbb{Z}^\times = \{\pm 1\}$.
- (3) \mathbb{Q} has no zero divisors. $\mathbb{Q}^\times = \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$.
- (4) $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, i^2 = -1\}$ has no zero divisors (as \mathbb{C} is a field). $\mathbb{Z}[i]^\times = \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$.

Subrings

Let $(R, +, \times)$. If $S \subseteq R$ is a nonempty subset, and $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a ring, then S is a subring of R . To see S is a subring, it is enough to show:

- $S \neq \emptyset$.
- S is closed under subtraction.
- S is closed under multiplication of elements in S .

Examples

(1)

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}}_{\text{subrings}}$$

(2) $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a subring.

(3) $S = \{[0]_4, [2]_4\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ is a subring.

Integral Domains

Let R be a commutative ring with identity. We say R is an integral domain if R has no zero divisors.

Examples

- (1) \mathbb{Z} , the integers, is an integral domain, that is not a field.
- (2) All fields are integral domains.
- (3) $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ is *not* an integral domain, as it has zero divisors.
- (4) $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is not an integral domain if n is composite.

Integral domains are nice due to allowance of cancellations. For example, if $2m = 2n$ in \mathbb{Z} , then we find $2(m - n) = 0$, and since \mathbb{Z} has no zero divisors, it must be the case that $m = n$.

However, in a ring that is not an integral domain, such as $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$, we cannot use the same technique to find the solution to a similar equation. For example, $3 \cdot 2 = 0 = 3 \cdot 4$, but $2 \neq 4$.

Proposition: Equations in Integral Domains

Let R be an integral domain. If $a, b, c \in R$ with $a \neq 0_R$, and $ab = ac$, then $b = c$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= ac \\ a(b - c) &= 0_R \end{aligned}$$

Since $a \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} b - c &= 0_R \\ b &= c. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: Finite Integral Domains and Fields

If R is an integral domain, and $\text{card}(R) < \infty$, then R is a field.

Proof: Let $a \in R$, $a \neq 0_R$. Note $ab \neq 0_R$ for all $b \in R$, $b \neq 0_R$.

Define $\varphi_a : R \setminus \{0_R\} \rightarrow R \setminus \{0_R\}$, $b \mapsto ab$. If $\varphi_a(b) = \varphi_a(c)$, then $ab = ac$, and by our previous result, $b = c$ — therefore, φ_a is injective.

Since $R \setminus \{0_R\}$ is finite, and φ_a is injective, then φ_a is surjective. In particular, this means $\exists b \in R \setminus \{0_R\}$ with $\varphi_a(b) = 1_R$; therefore, $ab = 1_R$. Since R is commutative, $ba = 1_R$, so $b = a^{-1}$.

Examples of Abstract Rings**Ring of Integers in a Field**

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, d is square-free (there is no square that divides d). Set $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) = \{a + b\sqrt{d} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. This is a field (can be verified as a subfield of \mathbb{C}).

We can define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}] = \{a + b\sqrt{d} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} & d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right] = \{a + b\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\} & d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. This is known as the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. This set behaves in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ the same way that \mathbb{Z} does inside \mathbb{Q} . The set $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})}$ is the collection of all roots in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ of monic (coefficient of highest degree is 1) polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} .

For example, if $d = -1$, defining $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, then we can verify that $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a root of a monic polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} .

Ring of Matrices

Let R be a ring. Then,

$$\text{Mat}_n(R) = \{n \times n \text{ matrices with entries in } R\}$$

is a ring under matrix addition and multiplication.

Ring of Functions

Let $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ be all functions $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)| dx$$

exists. The set $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ is a ring under pointwise addition and convolution, where convolution is defined as

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x - y)g(y) dy.$$

This is a commutative ring without identity.

Group Ring

Let K be a field and G a group. Set $K[G]$ to be all formal linear combinations of the form

$$\alpha = \sum_{x \in G} a_x x,$$

with $a_x \in K$, $x \in G$, with $a_x = 0$ for all but finitely many x .

Given

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \sum_{x \in G} a_x x \\ \beta &= \sum_{y \in G} b_y y,\end{aligned}$$

define

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha + \beta &= \sum_{x \in G} (a_x + b_x) x \\ \alpha\beta &= \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{y \in G} a_x b_y xy \\ &= \sum_{z \in G} \left(\sum_{xy=z} a_x b_y \right) z.\end{aligned}$$

This is a ring under these operations, known as the group ring. It is commutative if and only if G is abelian.

Polynomials under a Ring

Let R be a ring. Set

$$R[x] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i \mid a_i \in R, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}$$

to be the all polynomials with coefficients in R . This is a ring under polynomial addition and multiplication. If R is commutative, then $R[x]$ is commutative.

Proposition: Polynomial Properties

Let R be an integral domain, with $p(x), q(x) \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$. Then:

- (1) $\deg(p(x)q(x)) = \deg(p(x)) + \deg(q(x))$
- (2) $R[x]^\times = R^\times$
- (3) $R[x]$ is an integral domain.

Proof of (1): Let

$$\begin{aligned}p(x) &= a_m x^m + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0 \\ q(x) &= b_n x^n + \cdots + b_1 x + b_0\end{aligned}$$

with $a_m, b_n \neq 0$ — $\deg(p) = m$ and $\deg(q) = n$. Then,

$$p(x)q(x) = a_m b_n x^{m+n} + \text{lower degree terms},$$

and since $a_m b_n \neq 0$ as R is an integral domain with $a_m, b_n \neq 0$, $\deg(pq) = m + n$.

Ring Homomorphism

Let R and S be rings. A ring homomorphism between R and S is a map $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ that satisfies the following properties for all $r_1, r_2 \in R$:

$$(1) \quad \varphi(r_1 +_R r_2) = \varphi(r_1) +_S \varphi(r_2)$$

$$(2) \quad \varphi(r_1 \cdot_R r_2) = \varphi(r_1) \cdot_S \varphi(r_2)$$

The kernel of a ring homomorphism φ is given by

$$\ker(\varphi) : \{r \in R \mid \varphi(r) = 0_S\}$$

A bijective ring homomorphism is called an isomorphism. If there exists such a bijection between R and S , we say R and S are isomorphic.

If φ is an isomorphism, we write

$$\varphi : R \xrightarrow{\cong} S$$

Examples: Ring Homomorphisms

Not a Ring Homomorphism

Let $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $S = 2\mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z} \\ n &\mapsto 2n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(m + n) &= 2(m + n) \\ &= 2m + 2n \\ &= \varphi(m) + \varphi(n). \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(mn) &= 2(mn) \\ \varphi(m)\varphi(n) &= 4(mn). \end{aligned}$$

Homomorphism between Integers and Integers Modulo n

Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $S = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{Z} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \\ a &\mapsto [a]_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a + b) &= [a + b]_n \\ &= [a]_n + [b]_n \\ &= \varphi(a) + \varphi(b). \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(ab) &= [ab]_n \\ &= [a]_n [b]_n \\ &= \varphi(a)\varphi(b). \end{aligned}$$

So, φ is a ring homomorphism. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\ker(\varphi) &= \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \varphi(a) = [0]_n\} \\ &= \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid [a]_n = [0]_n\} \\ &= \{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid n|a\} \\ &= n\mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

Homomorphism Between the Polynomials and Reals

Let $S = \mathbb{R}[x]$ and $T = \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_a : \mathbb{R}[x] &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f &\mapsto f(a)\end{aligned}$$

Let $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_a(f(x) + g(x)) &= \varphi_a((a_0 + b_0) + \cdots + (a_m + b_m)x^m + b_{m+1}x^{m+1} + \cdots + b_n x^n) \\ &= (a_0 + b_0) + \cdots + (a_m + b_m)a^m + b_{m+1}a^{m+1} + \cdots + b_n a^n \\ &= \varphi_a(f(x)) + \varphi_a(g(x)).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can verify that $\varphi_a(f(x)g(x)) = \varphi_a(f(x))\varphi_a(g(x))$. So, φ_a is a ring homomorphism. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\ker(\varphi_a) &= \{f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid f(a) = 0\} \\ &= \{f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x] \mid (x - a) \mid f(x)\} \\ &= (x - a)\mathbb{R}[x]\end{aligned}$$

Homomorphism between Matrices

Define

$$\begin{aligned}R &= \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R}) \right\} \\ S &= \mathbb{R},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : R &\rightarrow S \\ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} &\mapsto a.\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 + a_2 & b_1 + b_2 \\ 0 & d_1 + d_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= a_1 + a_2 \\ &= \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) + \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_2 & a_1 b_2 + b_1 d_2 \\ 0 & d_1 d_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= a_1 a_2 \\ &= \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & d_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \varphi \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \right).\end{aligned}$$

So φ is a ring homomorphism that is surjective but not injective. Note

$$\ker(\varphi) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \mid b, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Proposition: Fundamental Theorem of Ring Homomorphisms

Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism.

- (1) The image of φ , $\varphi(R) = \{s \in S \mid s = \varphi(r) \text{ for some } r \in R\}$, is a subring of S .
- (2) The kernel, $\ker(\varphi)$, is a subring of R .

Additionally, for any $r \in R$, and $a \in \ker(\varphi)$, $ar \in \ker(\varphi)$ and $ra \in \ker(\varphi)$.

Proof of (2): To show $\ker(\varphi)$ is a subring, we must show that $\ker(\varphi)$ is non-empty, closed under subtraction, and closed under multiplication.

First, since $\varphi(0_R) = 0_S$ (verify this), $\ker(\varphi)$ is non-empty.

Let $a, b \in \ker(\varphi)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a - b) &= \varphi(a + (-b)) \\ &= \varphi(a) + \varphi(-b) \\ &= \varphi(a) - \varphi(b) && \text{check } \varphi(-b) = -\varphi(b) \\ &= 0_S - 0_S \\ &= 0_S. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $a - b \in \ker(\varphi)$, and $\ker(\varphi)$ is closed under subtraction.

To show $\ker(\varphi)$ is closed under multiplication, we will prove the general case. Let $a \in \ker(\varphi)$ and $r \in R$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(ra) &= \varphi(r)\varphi(a) \\ &= \varphi(r)0_S \\ &= 0_S. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\varphi(ar) = 0_S$. So, $ar, ra \in \ker(\varphi)$.

The stronger condition that we found for $\ker(\varphi)$ (closed under multiplication of all elements of the ring, not merely those from the subring) forms what we call an ideal.