

Background: Asymptotic Freeness and Large Deviations

We start by recalling the basic asymptotic freeness result discussed in class.

Proposition: Let (A_1^N, \dots, A_r^N) be an independent r -tuple of GUE $N \times N$ matrices. Then, the family A_1^N, \dots, A_r^N converge in distribution to r independent semicircular elements, $s_1, \dots, s_r \in B(\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^r))$, in the sense that for all $m \geq 1$ and all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq r$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} E[\text{tr}(A_{i_1}^N \cdots A_{i_m}^N)] = \varphi(s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m}),$$

where φ is the vacuum state, $\varphi(T) = \langle T\Omega, \Omega \rangle$.

In fact, this collection is *almost surely* asymptotically free, in the following sense. Suppose we have two random matrices A^N and B^N defined on probability spaces (X_N, μ_N) . Define

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \prod_{N \in \mathbb{N}} X_N \\ \mu &:= \prod_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_N, \end{aligned}$$

where the latter is the product measure on X . The matrices A^N and B^N are said to be almost surely asymptotically free if there exists a noncommutative probability space (A, φ) and $a, b \in A$, and for almost all $x = (x_N)_N \in X$, we have $A^N(x_N), B^N(x_N) \in (\mathbb{M}_N, \text{tr})$ converge in distribution to a, b .

Now, from here, we may ask a seemingly simple question: as N grows large, how likely are we to encounter other distributions? To make this sense more precise, we consider a random $N \times N$ self-adjoint matrix A , and let

$$\mu_A = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{\lambda_i}$$

be its empirical spectral distribution. This is a random probability measure on \mathbb{R} , and as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the semicircle law gives that μ_A converges weakly to the semicircle distribution; this can be strengthened to almost sure convergence by an application of the argument for asymptotic freeness. The question then becomes, how quickly does the deviation between μ_A and any other probability distribution ν decrease as N increases? This is where the theory of large deviations starts to take shape.

Much of this exposition related to the classical notions of entropy will be centered around results discussed in [MS17, Ch. 7].

Large Deviations for Random Variables

We start with one of the classical examples of convergence of random variables to introduce large deviations. Consider a sequence of independent and identically distributed real-valued random variables $(X_i)_i$ with common distribution μ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i. \\ m &= E[X_1] \\ v &= E[X_1^2] - m^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have that if $E[X_1^2] < \infty$, the central limit theorem says that $S_n \approx m + \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} N(0, 1)$.

If μ is the standard Gaussian distribution, then this gives that S_n is distributed as $N(0, 1/n)$; we then get that

$$P(S_n \in [x, x + dx]) \approx \sqrt{\frac{n}{2\pi}} e^{-nx^2} dx.$$

Asymptotically, this gives that the probability that S_n is near the value $x \in \mathbb{R}$ decays exponentially in n determined by a rate function $I(x) = x^2/2$.

We will now generalize this result. In particular, if we let μ be any distribution discussed above (rather than simply the normal distribution), then we will find a rate function $I(x)$ such that

$$e^{-nI(x)} \sim P(S_n > x)$$

whenever $x > m$, and whenever $x < m$

$$e^{-nI(x)} \sim P(S_n < x).$$

For a given distribution μ , we can compute the rate function by using a family of basic manipulations. If $x > m$, then for all $\lambda \geq 0$, we may use Markov's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(S_n > x) &= P(nS_n > nx) \\ &= P\left(e^{\lambda(nS_n - nx)} \geq 1\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{leq}}{=} E\left[e^{\lambda(nS_n - nx)}\right] \\ &= e^{-\lambda nx} E\left[e^{\lambda(X_1 + \dots + X_n)}\right] \\ &= (e^{-\lambda x} E[e^{\lambda X}])^n, \end{aligned}$$

where X is identically distributed to each of the X_i , and we use the fact that the X_i are independent. We may then define

$$\Lambda(\lambda) = \ln E[e^{\lambda X}] \tag{*}$$

to be an extended real-valued function, but we only consider μ for which $\Lambda(\lambda)$ is finite for all λ in an open neighborhood of 0. The equation (*) is known as the cumulant generating function for μ .

This gives the inequality

$$P(S_n > x) \leq e^{-n(\lambda x - \Lambda(\lambda))}.$$

Since \ln is a concave function, Jensen's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\lambda) &\geq E[\ln(e^{\lambda X})] \\ &= E[\lambda X] \\ &= \lambda m. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for any $\lambda < 0$ and $x > m$, we have $-n(\lambda x - \Lambda(\lambda)) \geq 0$, meaning this equation is valid for all λ . In particular, we have

$$P(S_n > x) \leq \inf_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} e^{-n(\lambda x - \Lambda(\lambda))}.$$

Now, we observe that Λ is convex. This follows from Hölder's inequality

$$E\left[e^{(1-t)\lambda_1 x + t\lambda_2 x}\right] \leq E[e^{\lambda_1 x}]^{1-t} E[e^{\lambda_2 x}]^t$$

so that

$$\Lambda((1-t)\lambda_1 + t\lambda_2) \leq (1-t)\Lambda(\lambda_1) + t\Lambda(\lambda_2).$$

Defining the *Legendre transform* of Λ by

$$\Lambda^*(x) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} (\lambda x - \Lambda(\lambda)),$$

we find that this is a convex function of x , as it is a supremum of a family of convex functions of x .

Now, since $\Lambda(0) = 0$, it follows that $\Lambda^*(x) \geq 0$, and has $\Lambda^*(m) = 0$. In particular, this gives

$$P(S_n > x) \leq e^{-n\Lambda^*(x)}$$

whenever $x > m$.

It can also be shown that $e^{-n\Lambda^*(x)}$ is an asymptotic lower bound, in that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln P(x - \delta < S_n < x + \delta) \geq -\Lambda^*(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\delta > 0$. The method for doing so is outlined in [MS17, Ch. 7, Section 2], and results in Cramér's theorem for real-valued random vectors.

Theorem (Cramér's Theorem): Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random vectors in \mathbb{R}^d with common distribution μ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\lambda) &= \ln E[e^{\langle \lambda, X_i \rangle}] \\ \Lambda^*(x) &= \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^d} (\langle \lambda, x \rangle - \Lambda(\lambda)), \end{aligned}$$

and assume that $\Lambda(\lambda) < \infty$ for all λ . Set $S_n = \frac{1}{n}(X_1 + \dots + X_n)$. Then, the distribution μ_{S_n} satisfies has

- $x \mapsto \Lambda^*(x)$ is convex;
- $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \Lambda^*(x) \leq \alpha\}$ is compact for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$;
- for any closed $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln P(S_n \in F) \leq -\inf_{x \in F} \Lambda^*(x),$$

- and for any open $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \ln P(S_n \in G) \geq -\inf_{x \in G} \Lambda^*(x).$$

This theorem defines precisely the large deviation principle that the partial sums satisfy — namely, it is the Legendre transform of the cumulant-generating function.

Large Deviations for the Empirical Distribution

Now, our next step is to develop an analogous large deviation principle for the empirical distribution of the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots . This will give us the idea of classical entropy.

We start by considering the case of (independent and identically distributed) random variables $X_i: \Omega \rightarrow A$ taking values in a finite set $\{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$, and define $p_k := P(X_i = a_k)$. We expect that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the empirical distribution of the X_i should converge to the probability measure (p_1, \dots, p_d) on A .

Toward this end, let $Y_i: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be defined by

$$Y_i := (\chi_{\{a_1\}}(X_i), \dots, \chi_{\{a_d\}}(X_i)).$$

We observe that p_k is the probability that Y_i will have 1 in position k and 0 elsewhere, and that $\frac{1}{n}(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n)$ gives the relative frequency of a_1, \dots, a_d — i.e., this has the same information as the empirical distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n .

Any probability measure on A is a d -tuple of positive real numbers satisfying $q_1 + \dots + q_d = 1$. By Cramér's theorem and our discussion above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{1}{n}(\delta_{X_1} + \dots + \delta_{X_n}) \approx (q_1, \dots, q_d)\right) &= P\left(\frac{1}{n}(Y_1 + \dots + Y_n) \approx (q_1, \dots, q_d)\right) \\ &\sim e^{-n\Lambda^*(q_1, \dots, q_d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying our definitions for Λ and Λ^* , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d) &= \ln(p_1 e^{\lambda_1} + \dots + p_d e^{\lambda_d}) \\ \Lambda^*(q_1, \dots, q_d) &= \sup_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)} (\lambda_1 q_1 + \dots + \lambda_d q_d - \ln(p_1 e^{\lambda_1} + \dots + p_d e^{\lambda_d})). \end{aligned}$$

To compute the supremum over all tuples, we find that the partial derivatives with respect to each λ_i are given by

$$q_i - \frac{1}{p_1 e^{\lambda_1} + \dots + p_d e^{\lambda_d}} p_i e^{\lambda_i},$$

so by concavity, we get that the maximum value occurs when

$$\lambda_i = \ln\left(\frac{q_i}{p_i}\right) + \Lambda(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d).$$

We thus get

$$\Lambda^*(q_1, \dots, q_d) = \sum_{i=1}^d q_i \ln\left(\frac{q_i}{p_i}\right).$$

The quantity on the right is the relative Shannon entropy $H((q_1, \dots, q_d)|(p_1, \dots, p_d))$, which is strictly positive except when $q_1 = p_1, \dots, q_d = p_d$.

In particular, we have that (p_1, \dots, p_d) admits a large deviation principle with rate function given by the relative Shannon entropy. That this holds for any distribution is known as Sanov's theorem.

Theorem (Sanov's Theorem): Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent and identically distributed real random variables with common distribution μ , and let

$$\nu_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$$

be the empirical distribution. Then, the family $\{\nu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies a large deviation principle given by the rate function

$$I(\nu) = \begin{cases} \int p \ln(p) d\mu & d\nu = p d\mu \\ +\infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

One-Dimensional Free Entropy: A Heuristic Approach

The next logical step after defining a large deviation principle for a sequence of random variables is to define such a quantity for single free random variables.

In [Voi93], Voiculescu used a heuristic method based on random matrix theory to establish the value $\Sigma(x)$ for some random variable x in a C^* -probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) distributed according to a compactly supported measure ν on \mathbb{R} (i.e., its spectral measure with respect to the state φ).

It can be established (as in [MS17, Exercise 1.8]) that if X is a $N \times N$ GUE matrix, then the density inside the real vector space $\mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})_{s.a.}$ is given by

$$dP_N(X) = K e^{-N^2/2 \operatorname{tr}(X^2)} dm,$$

where m is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{N^2} and tr denotes the normalized trace. It can be shown, as in [AGZ10, Theorem 2.5.2], that the joint distribution of the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(X) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_N(X)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and has density given by

$$dQ_N(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) = \frac{N^{N^2/2}}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \prod_{j=1}^N j!} e^{-(N \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2)/2} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \prod_{i=1}^N d\lambda_i$$

Heuristically, letting μ_A denote the empirical spectral distribution on $\mathbb{M}_N(\mathbb{C})_{\text{s.a.}}$ given by

$$\mu_A = \frac{1}{N} (\delta_{\lambda_1(A)} + \dots + \delta_{\lambda_N(A)}),$$

we may consider a large deviation principle with $P_N(\mu_A \approx \nu) \sim e^{-N^2 I(\nu)}$, and write it out as

$$\begin{aligned} P_N(\mu_A \approx \nu) &= Q_N\left(\frac{1}{N}(\delta_{\lambda_1} + \dots + \delta_{\lambda_N})\right) \\ &= \frac{N^{N^2/2}}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \prod_{j=1}^N j!} \int_E e^{-\left(N \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2\right)/2} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \prod_{i=1}^N d\lambda_i, \end{aligned}$$

where E is the given set in the Q_N . Whenever $\frac{1}{N}(\delta_{\lambda_1(A)} + \dots + \delta_{\lambda_N(A)}) \approx \nu$, we have that

$$-\frac{N}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2 = -\frac{N^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2 \right)$$

is a Riemann sum for the integral of t^2 with respect to ν . Furthermore, we have

$$\prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 = e^{\sum_{i \neq j} \ln |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|}$$

The sum inside the argument of the exponential is a Riemann sum for the quantity $N^2 \iint \ln |s - t| d\nu(s) d\nu(t)$. All in all, we get the following large deviation principle, which was proven rigorously in [BAG97].

Theorem: Let

$$I(\nu) = - \iint \ln |s - t| d\nu(s) \nu(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int t^2 d\nu(t) - \frac{3}{4}.$$

Then, the following hold.

- (i) The function $I: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is a well-defined convex function with compact level sets on the space of probability measures on \mathbb{R} that has minimum value 0 at Wigner's semicircle law.
- (ii) The empirical spectral distribution satisfies a large deviation principle with respect to Q_N with rate function I . That is,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \ln Q_N\left(\frac{1}{N}(\delta_{\lambda_1} + \dots + \delta_{\lambda_N}) \in G\right) \geq - \inf_{\nu \in G} I(\nu)$$

for any open $G \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, and

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N^2} \ln Q_N\left(\frac{1}{N}(\delta_{\lambda_1} + \dots + \delta_{\lambda_N}) \in F\right) \leq - \inf_{\nu \in F} I(\nu)$$

for any closed $F \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

Microstates Free Entropy

Applications: Structural Properties of Free Group Factors

References

- [Voi93] Dan Voiculescu. “The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory. I”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 155.1 (1993), pp. 71–92. ISSN: 0010-3616,1432-0916. URL: <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104253200>.
- [BAG97] G. Ben Arous and A. Guionnet. “Large deviations for Wigner’s law and Voiculescu’s non-commutative entropy”. In: *Probab. Theory Related Fields* 108.4 (1997), pp. 517–542. ISSN: 0178-8051,1432-2064. DOI: [10.1007/s004400050119](https://doi.org/10.1007/s004400050119). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s004400050119>.
- [AGZ10] Greg W. Anderson, Alice Guionnet, and Ofer Zeitouni. *An Introduction to Random Matrices*. Vol. 118. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. xiv+492. ISBN: 978-0-521-19452-5.
- [MS17] James A. Mingo and Roland Speicher. *Free Probability and Random Matrices*. Vol. 35. Fields Institute Monographs. Springer, New York; Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences, Toronto, ON, 2017, pp. xiv+336. ISBN: 978-1-4939-6941-8; 978-1-4939-6942-5. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4939-6942-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6942-5). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6942-5>.