

Problem (Problem 1): Let R be a commutative ring. An R -module M is called torsion if for any $m \in M$, there is a nonzero $r \in R$ such that $rm = 0$. An R -module M is called divisible if for any nonzero $r \in R$, we have $rM = M$. In other words, M is divisible if for any $m \in M$ and nonzero $r \in R$, there is $x \in M$ such that $rx = m$.

- (a) Suppose M is a torsion R -module and N is a divisible R -module. Prove that $M \otimes_R N = \{0\}$.
- (b) Let $M = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ considered as a \mathbb{Z} -module. Prove that $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M = \{0\}$.

Solution:

- (a) It is enough to show that any simple tensor $m \otimes n \in M \otimes_R N$ is the zero tensor. To see this, we let $r \in R$ be such that $rm = 0$, and observe that there is some $x \in N$ such that $rx = n$. By using property (R3) of tensor products, we observe then that

$$\begin{aligned} m \otimes n &= m \otimes (rx) \\ &= (rm) \otimes x \\ &= 0 \otimes x \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $M \otimes_R N = \{0\}$.

- (b) It is enough to show that \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is both torsion and divisible, as we may then apply (a). To see that \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is torsion, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} b \left[\frac{a}{b} \right] &= [a] \\ &= [0] \end{aligned}$$

for any element $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Additionally, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\left[\frac{a}{b} \right] = n \left[\frac{a}{nb} \right],$$

so \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is both torsion and divisible.

Problem (Problem 2): Let R be a commutative ring, $\{N_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in A}$ a collection of R -modules, and M another R -module.

- (a) Prove that $M \otimes (\bigoplus_{\alpha} N_{\alpha}) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} (M \otimes N_{\alpha})$.
- (b) Show by example that $M \otimes (\prod_{\alpha} N_{\alpha})$ need not be isomorphic to $\prod_{\alpha} (M \otimes N_{\alpha})$.

Solution:

- (a) Consider the map on elementary tensors

$$f: M \times \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha} N_{\alpha} \right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha} (M \otimes N_{\alpha})$$

that takes

$$(m, (n_{\alpha})_{\alpha}) \rightarrow (m \otimes n_{\alpha})_{\alpha}.$$

We observe that, since the $(n_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ are nonzero for all but finitely many indices α , and that the map is R -bilinear, we have a well-defined and unique R -linear map $\bar{f}: M \otimes (\bigoplus_{\alpha} N_{\alpha}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha} (M \otimes N_{\alpha})$ that maps $m \otimes (n_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \mapsto (m \otimes n_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$.

We observe that for each index i , we have an inclusion homomorphism

$$M \times N_i \hookrightarrow M \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha} N_{\alpha} \right)$$

that takes $(m, n_\alpha) \mapsto m \otimes (n_\alpha)_\alpha$, where $(n_\alpha)_\alpha$ is zero everywhere except for index i . By the universal property of the direct sum, this induces a unique homomorphism $g: \bigoplus_\alpha (M \otimes N_\alpha) \rightarrow M \otimes (\bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha)$ given by taking

$$(m_\alpha \otimes n_\alpha)_\alpha \mapsto \sum_\alpha m_\alpha \otimes (n_\alpha)_\alpha,$$

where the summand $(n_\alpha)_\alpha$ is defined as above, and the sum is finite by the definition of the direct sum. Since g and f are inverses of each other (as can be seen by the action on simple tensors), it follows that $M \otimes (\bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha) \cong \bigoplus_\alpha (M \otimes N_\alpha)$.

- (b) We consider the direct product

$$M = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/2^i \mathbb{Z},$$

regarded as a \mathbb{Z} -module. Notice that M is not torsion, as the element $m = (1, 1, \dots)$ is such that there is no $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $zm = 0$. Therefore, considering the extension of scalars

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes M = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/2^i \mathbb{Z} \right),$$

we have that this is not a zero module. Yet, since each of the individual $\mathbb{Z}/2^i \mathbb{Z}$ has torsion, it would follow that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2^i \mathbb{Z}) = 0,$$

so it follows that tensor products do not commute with direct sums.

Problem (Problem 4): Let R be commutative, and let I and J be ideals of R , so R/I and R/J are naturally R -modules.

- (a) Prove that every element of $R/I \otimes_R R/J$ can be written as a simple tensor of the form $(1+I) \otimes (r+J)$.
- (b) Prove that there is an R -module isomorphism $R/I \otimes_R R/J \cong R/(I+J)$ mapping $(r+I) \otimes (r'+J)$ to $rr' + (I+J)$.

Solution:

- (a) By using R -bilinearity, we observe that an arbitrary simple tensor in $R/I \otimes R/J$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (r+I) \otimes (s+J) &= (r(1+I)) \otimes (s+J) \\ &= r((1+I) \otimes (s+J)) \\ &= (1+I) \otimes (rs+J). \end{aligned}$$

Since any element of $R/I \otimes_R R/J$ can be written as a sum of simple tensors, and each simple tensor can be written in the above form, it follows from bilinearity that every element of $R/I \otimes R/J$ can be written as $(1+I) \otimes (r+J)$.

- (b) We consider the map

$$f: R/I \times R/J \mapsto R/(I+J)$$

given by

$$(r+I, r'+J) \mapsto rr' + (I+J).$$

This map is R -bilinear by the distributive properties of multiplication, so it induces a homomorphism on the tensor product given by

$$(r + I) \otimes (r' + J) \mapsto rr' + (I + J).$$

As was established above, any element of $R/I \otimes R/J$ can be written as $(1 + I) \otimes (s + J)$, so we may establish an inverse from any element of $R/(I + J)$ to $R/I \otimes R/J$ by taking $t + (I + J) \mapsto (1 + I) \otimes (t + J)$. This establishes our desired isomorphism.