These are some notes I have taken from Gerald B. Folland's *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, with some other textbooks for various sources.

## **Basic Properties of Topological Groups**

**Definition:** A *topological group* is a group G with a topology such that the operation

$$m: G \times G \to G$$
  
 $(x,y) \mapsto xy$ 

is continuous with respect to the product topology on  $\mathsf{G} \times \mathsf{G}$  and the operation

$$i: G \to G$$
  
 $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ 

is continuous with respect to the topology on G.

For a topological group G, we denote the unit element as 1<sub>G</sub>, and we set

$$Ax = \{yx \mid y \in A\}$$

$$xA = \{xy \mid y \in A\}$$

$$A^{-1} = \{y^{-1} \mid y \in A\}$$

$$AB = \{xy \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$$

for all subsets A, B  $\subseteq$  G and elements  $x \in G$ .

**Definition:** A subset  $A \subseteq G$  is called *symmetric* if  $A = A^{-1}$ .

**Proposition:** Let G be a topological group.

- (i) The topology of G is invariant under translations and inversion; that is, if U is open, then xU, Ux,  $U^{-1}$ , AU, UA are open for any  $x \in G$  and subset  $A \subseteq G$ .
- (ii) For every neighborhood U of  $1_G$ , there is a symmetric neighborhood V of  $1_G$  such that  $VV \subseteq U$ .
- (iii) If H is a subgroup of G, so is  $\overline{H}$ .
- (iv) Every open subgroup of G is closed.
- (v) If A and B are compact sets in G, so is AB.

Proof.

(i) This is equivalent to the separate continuity of  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  and  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ ; furthermore,

$$AU = \bigcup_{x \in A} xU$$

$$UA = \bigcup_{x \in A} Ux.$$

- (ii) Since  $(x,y) \mapsto xy$  is continuous at  $1_G$ , then for every neighborhood U of  $1_G$ , there are neighborhoods  $W_1, W_2 \subseteq U$ . We may take  $V = W_1 \cap W_2 \cap W_1^{-1} \cap W_2^{-1}$ .
- (iii) For  $x, y \in \overline{H}$ , there are nets  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \to x$  and  $(y_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \to y$ ; since  $(x_{\alpha}y_{\alpha}) \to xy$  and  $(x_{\alpha}^{-1})_{\alpha} \to x^{-1}$  by continuity of the operations, we have  $xy, x^{-1} \in \overline{H}$ .

- (iv) If H is open, then so are all the cosets xH; since  $G \setminus H$  is the union of all the cosets of H except for H itself,  $G \setminus H$  is open, so H is closed.
- (v) Since  $A \times B$  is compact, and AB is the continuous image of  $A \times B$  under  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ , we have AB is compact.

Now, if H is a subgroup of G, we let G/H be the space of left cosets of H, and  $q: G \to G/H$  is the canonical quotient map, we may impose the quotient topology on G/H, meaning that  $U \subseteq G/H$  is open if and only if  $q^{-1}(U)$  is open. Thus, q maps open sets in G to open sets in G/H, as if  $V \subseteq G$  is open,  $q^{-1}(q(V)) = VH$  is also open, so q(V) is open.

**Proposition:** Let H be a subgroup of a topological group G.

- (i) If H is closed, then G/H is Hausdorff.
- (ii) If G is locally compact, so is G/H.
- (iii) If H is normal, then G/H is a topological group.

Proof.

- (i) If  $\overline{x} = q(x)$  and  $\overline{y} = q(y)$  are distinct points in G/H, and since H is closed,  $xHy^{-1}$  is a closed set that does not contain  $1_G$ . There is a symmetric neighborhood U of  $1_G$  such that  $UU \cap xHy^{-1} = \emptyset$ ; since  $U = U^{-1}$  and H = HH (H is a subgroup), we have  $1_G \notin UxH(Uy)^{-1} = (UxH)(UyH)^{-1}$ , so  $UxH \cap UyH = \emptyset$ . Therefore, q(Ux) and q(Uy) are disjoint neighborhoods of  $\overline{x}$  and  $\overline{y}$ .
- (ii) If U is a compact neighborhood of  $1_G$ , q(Ux) is a compact neighborhood of q(x) in G/H.
- (iii) If  $x, y \in G$ , and U is a neighborhood of G/H, continuity of multiplication in G implies that there are neighborhoods V of x and W of y such that  $VW \subseteq q^{-1}(U)$ . We see that q(V) and q(W) are neighborhoods of q(x) and q(y) such that  $q(V)q(W) \subseteq U$ , meaning multiplication is continuous in G/H. Similarly, inversion is continuous.

**Corollary:** If G is T1, then G is Hausdorff, and if G is not T1, then  $\overline{\{1_G\}}$  is a closed normal subgroup, and  $G/\overline{\{1_G\}}$  is a Hausdorff topological group.

*Proof.* Since singletons are closed in any T1 space, the first assertion follows from part (i) in the previous proposition by taking  $H = \{1_G\}$ .

To see the second assertion, we note that  $\overline{\{1_G\}}$  is a subgroup, and it is the smallest closed subgroup of G; it is normal, as otherwise we would obtain a smaller closed subgroup by intersection with one of the conjugates, meaning the result follows from parts (i) and (iii) in the previous proposition by taking  $H = \overline{\{1_G\}}$ .

Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that a topological group is Hausdorff (else take  $G/\overline{\{1_G\}}$ ), and when we talk about locally compact groups, we are talking about topological groups that are locally compact and Hausdorff.

**Proposition:** Every locally compact group G has a subgroup  $G_0$  that is open, closed, and  $\sigma$ -compact.

*Proof.* Let U be a symmetric compact neighborhood of  $1_G$ , let  $U_n = \prod_{i=1}^n U_i$ , and let

$$G_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$$
.

Then,  $G_0$  is the group generated by U, so it is a subgroup;  $G_0$  is open since  $U_{n+1}$  is a neighborhood of  $U_n$  for all n, and so  $G_0$  is closed as all open subgroups are closed. Finally, since each  $U_n$  is a finite product of compact subsets of G,  $G_0$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.

We thus see that  $G_0$  is the disjoint union of cosets of  $G_0$ , meaning G is a disjoint union of  $\sigma$ -compact spaces. In particular, if G is connected, then G is necessarily  $\sigma$ -compact.

**Definition:** Let  $f: G \to \mathbb{C}$  be a function. The *translates* of f via  $y \in G$  are defined by

$$L_{y} f(x) = f(y^{-1}x)$$
  

$$R_{y} f(x) = f(xy).$$

Note that the maps  $y \mapsto L_y$  and  $y \mapsto R_y$  are group homomorphisms.

The function f is called left/right uniformly continuous if

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathbf{L}_{y} \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f} \right\|_{u} &\to 0 \\ \left\| \mathbf{R}_{y} \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{f} \right\|_{u} &\to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $y \rightarrow 1_G$  respectively.

**Proposition:** If  $f \in C_c(G)$ , then f is left and right uniformly continuous.

*Proof.* We will prove this for  $R_u f$ .

If  $f \in C_c(G)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then for every  $x \in K = \text{supp}(f)$ , there is a neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $1_G$  such that

$$|f(xy) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$$

for any  $y \in U_x$ . Similarly, there is a symmetric neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $1_G$  such that  $V_xV_x \subseteq U_x$ ; the sets  $xV_x$  cover K, so there exist  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in K$  such that  $K \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n x_j V_{x_j}$ .

Let  $V = \bigcap_{j=1}^n V_{x_j}$ . If  $x \in K$ , then there is some j such that  $x_j^{-1}x \in V_{x_j}$ , so  $xy = x_j \Big(x_j^{-1}x\Big)y \in x_j U_{x_j}$ , so

$$|f(xy) - f(x)| \le |f(xy) - f(x_j)| + |f(x_j) - f(x)|$$

$$< \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$$

$$= \varepsilon,$$

for any  $y \in V$ , meaning that  $\|R_y f - f\|_u < \varepsilon$ . Similarly, if  $xy \in K$ , then  $|f(xy) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$ ; meanwhile, if  $x, xy \notin K$ , then f(x) = f(xy) = 0, so we are done.

## Haar Measure

**Definition:** We define a subset of  $C_c(G)$  to be

$$C_c^+(G) = \{ f \in C_c(G) \mid f \ge 0, f \ne 0 \}.$$

**Definition:** A left/right Haar measure on G is a nonzero Radon measure  $\mu$  on G such that  $\mu(xE) = \mu(E)$  for every Borel  $E \subseteq G$  and all  $x \in G$ .

**Proposition:** Let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure on the locally compact group G, and let  $\widetilde{\mu}(E) = \mu(E^{-1})$ . Then, the following hold:

- (a)  $\mu$  is a left Haar measure if and only if  $\widetilde{\mu}$  is a right Haar measure.
- (b)  $\mu$  is a left Haar measure if and only i  $\int L_y f d\mu = \int f d\mu$  for all  $f \in C_c^+(G)$  and every  $y \in G$ .

*Proof.* The result in (a) follows from basic properties of the inverse.

To see (b), note that for any Radon measure  $\mu$ , one has  $\int L_y f \ d\mu = \int f \ d\mu_y$ , where  $\mu_y(E) = \mu(yE)$ , which follows from approximation via simple functions. Thus, if  $\mu$  is a Haar measure, then  $\int L_y f \ d\mu = \int f \ d\mu$  for all  $f \in C_c^+(G)$ , so it holds for all  $f \in C_c(G)$ . The measure  $\mu$  is unique from the Riesz–Markov–Kakutani Representation Theorem.

Now, our focus turns to the question of establishing the existence and (essential) uniqueness of the Haar measure.

**Theorem:** Every locally compact group G possesses a left Haar measure  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* We will construct  $\lambda$  as a linear functional on  $C_c(G)$ .

Let  $f, \phi \in C_c^+(G)$ . We define  $(f : \phi)$  to be the infimum of all such finite sums  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i$  such that

$$f \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j L_{x_j} \Phi$$

for some  $x_1, ..., x_n \in G$ . Such a value necessarily exists as  $\operatorname{supp}(f)$  can be covered by some finite number of translates of  $\phi^{-1}(1/2\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{u}}, \infty)$ , meaning that  $(f : \phi) \leq 2N\|f\|_{\mathfrak{u}}/\|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{u}}$ . We see the following:

- (i)  $(f : \phi) = (L_y f : \phi);$
- (ii)  $(f_1 + f_2 : \phi) \leq (f_1 : \phi) + (f_2 : \phi)$ ;
- (iii)  $(cf : \phi) = c(f : \phi)$  for any  $c \ge 0$ ;
- (iv)  $(f_1 : \phi) \leq (f_2 : \phi)$  whenever  $f_1 \leq f_2$ ;
- (v)  $(f : \phi) \ge ||f||_1 / ||\phi||_1$ ;
- (vi)  $(f : \phi) \leq (f : \psi)(\psi : \phi)$  for any  $\psi \in C_c^+(G)$ .

To see (vi), notice that if  $f \leq \sum_{i=1}^n c_i L_{x_i} \phi$  and  $\psi \leq \sum_{j=1}^m b_j L_{y_j} \phi$ , then  $f \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m c_i b_j L_{x_j y_j} \phi$ .

We fix a function  $f_0 \in C_c^+(G)$ , and define

$$I_{\varphi}(f) = \frac{(f : \varphi)}{(f_0 : \varphi)}.$$

This functional is left-invariant, subadditive, homogeneous, and monotone, and also satisfies

$$(f_0:f)^{-1} \leqslant I_{\varphi}(f) \leqslant (f:f_0).$$

Now,  $I_{\Phi}$  is not necessarily additive, but on a neighborhood it is *almost* additive.

**Lemma:** If  $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^+(G)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there is a neighborhood V of  $1_G$  such that  $I_{\varphi}(f_1) + I_{\varphi}(f_2) \le I_{\varphi}(f_1 + f_2) + \varepsilon$  whenever supp $(\varphi) \subseteq V$ .

*Proof of Lemma.* Fix  $g \in C_c^+(G)$  such that g = 1 on  $supp(f_1 + f_2)$ , and let  $\delta$  be a (to be determined) positive number. Let  $h = f_1 + f_2 + \delta g$ , and let  $h_i = f_i/h$  for each i; note that  $h_i = 0$  whenever  $f_i = 0$ .

Then, we see that  $h_i \in C_c^+(G)$ , so there is a neighborhood V of  $1_G$  such that  $|h_i(x) - h_i(y)| < \delta$  for each i and all y such that  $y^{-1}x \in V$ .

Suppose  $\phi \in C_c^+(G)$  and supp $(\phi) \subseteq V$ . If  $h \leq \sum_{j=1}^n c_j L_{[x_j]} \phi$ , then

$$f_i(x) = h(x)h_i(x)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} \phi \left(x_{j}^{-1} x\right) h_{i}(x)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{j} \phi \left(x_{j}^{-1} x\right) \left(h_{i} \left(x_{j}\right) + \delta\right),$$

since  $\left|h_i(x)-h_i\big(x_j\big)\right|<\delta$  whenever  $x_j^{-1}x\in supp(\varphi).$  Since  $h_1+h_2\leqslant 1,$  we have