This is a collection of old complex analysis qualifier exam solutions, as well as some notes on useful results and proofs.

### **Useful Results and Proofs**

### **Analytic Functions**

**Definition:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be an open set. A function  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is called *analytic* if, for any  $z_0 \in U$ , there is r > 0 and  $(a_k)_k \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k$$

for all  $z \in U(z_0, r)$ .

Analytic functions form a C-algebra.

**Theorem** (Identity Theorem): Let  $f, g: U \to \mathbb{C}$  be analytic functions defined a connected open set (also known as a region). If

$$A = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid f(z) = g(z) \}$$

admits an accumulation point in U, then f = g on U.

*Proof.* To begin, we show that if  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function that is not uniformly zero, then for any  $z_0 \in U$ , there is  $\rho > 0$  such that f is nonzero on  $\dot{U}(z_0, \rho) \subseteq U$ . Towards this end, we may write

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - z_0)^k,$$

for all  $z \in U(z_0, r)$ , some r > 0, and since f is not uniformly zero, there is some minimal  $\ell$  such that  $a_{\ell} \neq 0$ . This yields

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^{\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+\ell} (z - z_0)^k;$$

the function h:  $U(z_0, r) \to \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+\ell} (z - z_0)^k$$

then has the same radius of convergence as f and is not zero at  $z_0$ , so that g is not zero on some  $U(z_0, \rho)$  as g is continuous.

Now, we let  $V_1$  be the set of accumulation points of A in U, and let  $V_2 = U \setminus V_1$ .

If  $z \in V_2$ , then there is some  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $\dot{U}(z_0, r_1) \cap A = \emptyset$ , or that  $\dot{U}(z_0, r_1) \subseteq A^c$ . Meanwhile, since U is open, there is some  $r_2 > 0$  such that  $U(z_0, r_2) \subseteq U$ , meaning that if  $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ , then  $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U \setminus A$ . Thus,  $V_2$  is open.

Meanwhile, if  $z \in V_1$ , then since  $V_1 \subseteq U$ , it follows that there is r > 0 such that U(z, r) and  $(a_k)_k$  such that

$$f(w) - g(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (w - z)^k$$

for all  $w \in U(z, r)$ . We claim that f(w) - g(w) is uniformly zero on U(z, r). Else, if there were  $w_0 \in U(z, r)$  such that  $f(w_0) \neq g(w_0)$ , then it would follow that there is  $0 < s \le r$  such that  $f(w) \neq g(w)$  for all  $w \in \dot{U}(w_0, s)$ . Yet, this would contradict the assumption that z is an accumulation point, meaning that  $V_1$  is open.

Since  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are disjoint open sets whose union is equal to U, it follows that either  $V_1 = U$  or  $V_2 = U$ . If  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then the identity theorem follows.

#### Differentiability

**Definition:** If  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is an open set, then we say f is differentiable at  $z_0 \in U$  if

$$\lim_{w \to z_0} \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{w - z_0}$$

exists. We call this value the *derivative* of f at  $z_0$ , and usually write  $f'(z_0)$ .

If f is differentiable at every  $z_0 \in U$ , we say f is differentiable on U.

If f is continuous and admits a continuous derivative, then we say f is holomorphic.

Note that the limit must be independent of direction. That is, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left|\frac{f(w)-f(z_0)}{z-z_0}-f'(z_0)\right|<\varepsilon$$

whenever  $0 < |z - z_0| < \delta$ .

Now, given  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , write z = x + iy and

$$f(z) = f(x + iy)$$
  
=  $u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ ,

where u = Re(f) and v = Im(f). Observe then that if f is differentiable at  $x_0 + iy_0 \in U$ , then since the limit is independent of path, by taking the limit over real numbers, we have

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{(u(x+h,y) + iv(x+h,y)) - (u(x,y) + iv(x,y))}{h}$$
$$= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x'}$$

and by taking over the imaginary numbers,

$$\begin{split} f'(z_0) &= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\left(u(x,y+h) + iv(x,y+h)\right) - \left(u(x,y) + iv(x,y)\right)}{ih} \\ &= -i\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}. \end{split}$$

Thus, we obtain the following.

**Definition:** The system of partial differential equations

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

is known as the Cauchy-Riemann Equations.

Observe that if f is differentiable, then the u and v in the definition of f satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations. Yet, we desire to understand a bit more about when exactly f is differentiable or holomorphic.

**Proposition:** If f = u + iv is a holomorphic function such that u, v are in  $C^2(U)$ , then u and v are harmonic. That is, u and v satisfy Laplace's equation:

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0.$$

We call u and v harmonic conjugates for each other. That is, if  $u: U \to \mathbb{R}$  is a harmonic function, then  $v \in C^1(U)$  is called a harmonic conjugate if the Cauchy–Riemann equations hold for u and v.

**Theorem:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be a ball or all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, every harmonic function on U has a harmonic conjugate. If  $u \in C^3(U)$ , then this conjugate is itself harmonic.

**Lemma:** Let  $g: U((x_0, y_0), R) \to \mathbb{R}$  be such that g and  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$  are continuous. Then,  $G: U((x_0, y_0), R) \to \mathbb{R}$ , given by

$$G(x,y) = \int_{y_0}^{y} g(x,t) dt$$

satisfies

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} = \int_{10}^{9} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, t) dt.$$

Proof of Lemma. Write

$$\frac{G(x+h,y)-G(x,y)}{h}-\int_{u_0}^{y}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x,t)\ dt=\int_{u_0}^{y}\left(\frac{g(x+h,t)-g(x,t)}{h}-\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x,t)\right)dt.$$

By mean value theorem, the first term is equal to  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x_1,t)$  for some  $x_1$  between x and x+h. As  $h\to 0$ ,  $x_1\to x$ , as  $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$  is uniformly continuous on a compact subset that contains x and x+h. We may exchange limit and integral to obtain the desired result.

*Proof of Theorem.* We prove for the case of  $U = U((x_0, y_0), R)$ . Define

$$v(x,y) = \int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x,t) dt + \phi(x),$$

with  $\phi(x)$  to be determined later. By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x},$$

while by differentiating under the integral sign, and using the fact that u is harmonic, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} &= \int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t) dt + \frac{d\phi}{dx} \\ &= -\int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}(x, t) dt + \frac{d\phi}{dx} \\ &= -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x, y_0) + \frac{d\phi}{dx}. \end{aligned}$$

Defining  $\phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi(x) = -\int_{x_0}^{x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(s, y_0) ds,$$

we see that v thus satisfies all the necessary requirements to be a harmonic conjugate.

Now, if u is  $C^3$ , then we defined v via the derivative of u, so that v is  $C^2$ , and thus v is harmonic.

## Cauchy's Integral Formula

**Proposition:** Fix  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , R > 0, and  $f: U(z_0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic. For all  $z \in U(z_0, R)$ , we have

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = 0.$$

By using the chain rule and fundamental theorem of calculus, we find

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0,R)} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} \; d\zeta &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0,R)} \frac{\int_0^1 f'((1-t)z + t\zeta)(\zeta - z) \; dt}{\zeta - z} \; d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0,R)} \int_0^1 f'((1-t)z + t\zeta) \; dt \; d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0,R)} \frac{d}{d\zeta} \bigg( \frac{1}{t} f((1-t)z + t\zeta) \bigg) \; d\zeta \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

**Proposition:** Let  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  be a holomorphic function. The following all hold:

- (i) f is analytic;
- (ii) f is smooth with  $f^{(n)}$  holomorphic;
- (iii) for all  $z_0 \in U$ , if we let  $R = \sup\{r > 0 \mid U(z_0, r) \subseteq U\}$ , then there is  $(a_n)_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n,$$

where the power series has radius of convergence R.

Proof.

(i) There exists r < s with  $U(z_0, s) \subseteq U$  and  $r < r_1 < s$  such that  $S(z_0, r_1) \subseteq U$ . By Cauchy's Integral Formula, and a power series expansion of  $\frac{1}{\xi - z}$  about  $z_0$ , this gives

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S(z_0, r_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z - z_0)^n \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S(z_0, r_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z_0)^{n+1}} d\xi\right)}_{=:a_n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n.$$

(ii) Analytic functions are automatically smooth, hence complex-differentiable with continuous

derivative.

(iii) If  $r < r_1 < R$ , then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z - z_0)^n \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, r_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^{n+1}} d\xi \right),$$

and since the series converges uniformly, we have

$$\frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S(z_0, r_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^{n+1}} d\xi.$$

Since r was arbitrary, this holds for any  $0 < r_1 < R$ , whence

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

holds for all  $z \in U(z_0, R)$ .

**Corollary:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open, let  $z_0 \in U$ , and r > 0 with  $B(z_0, r) \subseteq U$ . The following hold:

(i) for all  $z \in U(z_0, r)$ ,

$$\frac{f^{(n)}(z)}{n!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0,r)} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi-z)^{n+1}} d\xi;$$

(ii) for all n > 0,

$$\left|f^{(n)}(z_0)\right| \leqslant \frac{n!}{r^n} \sup_{\zeta \in S(z_0,r)} |f(\zeta)|.$$

This particular result is known as Cauchy's Estimate.

**Theorem** (Liouville's Theorem): If  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic and bounded in modulus, then f is constant

Liouville's Theorem follows from applying Cauchy's estimate to f and using the fact that f is bounded to find that all higher derivatives of f vanish.

**Theorem** (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra): If  $p(z) = a_n z^n + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$  has  $n \ge 1$  and  $a_n \ne 0$ , then there is at least one  $z_0$  such that  $p(z_0) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Suppose p(z) were never zero. It would follow then that  $\frac{1}{p(z)}$  is also an entire function.

Since  $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} |p(z)| = \infty$ , it follows that  $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} \frac{1}{|p(z)|} = 0$ , whence  $\left|\frac{1}{p(z)}\right|$  is an entire function that is bounded (as all functions that vanish at infinity are bounded). This means that  $\frac{1}{p(z)}$  is constant, so p(z) is constant.

**Corollary:** Let  $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  be a nonconstant entire function. Then,  $f(\mathbb{C})$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there were  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  and r > 0 such that  $U(w, r) \cap f(\mathbb{C}) = \emptyset$ . Then,  $|f(z) - w| \ge r$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , meaning that

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - w}$$

is bounded and entire (the entirety following from the fact that f(z) - w is nonvanishing).

# Cycles, Winding Numbers, and Homology

Now, we may generalize some of these results related to Cauchy's Integral Formula.

**Proposition:** Let  $\gamma$ :  $[a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  be a piecewise  $C^1$  loop. For all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{im}(\gamma)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\xi - z} d\xi \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$ :  $[a,b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined by

$$\phi(t) = \int_a^t \frac{\gamma'(s)}{\gamma(s) - z} ds.$$

Then, we observe

$$\phi(b) = \oint_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\xi - z} d\xi.$$

Then, define  $\psi \colon [a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\psi(t) = \frac{e^{\phi(t)}}{\gamma(t) - z}.$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{\gamma'(t)}{\gamma(t) - z}$$

$$\psi'(t) = \frac{\phi'(t)e^{\phi(t)}}{\gamma(t) - z} - \frac{e^{\phi'(t)}\gamma'(t)}{(\gamma(t) - z)^2}$$

$$= 0,$$

whence  $\psi(t)$  is constant, and  $\psi(t) = \psi(a)$ , so

$$\psi(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\alpha) - z}.$$

In particular,  $\psi(b) = \psi(a)$ , so

$$e^{\phi(b)} = \psi(b)(\gamma(b) - z)$$
$$= \psi(a)(\gamma(a) - z)$$
$$= 1,$$

so  $\phi(b) = 2\pi i k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Definition:** Let  $\gamma$ :  $[a, b] \to \mathbb{C}$  be a piecewise  $C^1$  loop. For all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{im}(\gamma)$ , define

$$n(\gamma; z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{1}{\xi - z} d\xi$$

to be the *winding number* of  $\gamma$  about z.

**Definition:** A piecewise  $C^1$  *cycle* is a formal sum

$$\Gamma = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n$$

where the  $\gamma_j$ :  $\left[\alpha_j, b_j\right] \to \mathbb{C}$  are piecewise  $C^1$  loops. The *length* of  $\Gamma$  is the sum of the lengths of the respective  $\gamma_j$ .

Given a piecewise  $C^1$  cycle  $\Gamma$ , define

$$\oint_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \oint_{\gamma_{j}} f(z) dz,$$

and

$$n(\Gamma;z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} n(\gamma_j;z).$$

**Proposition:** The following hold for the winding number  $n(\gamma; z)$ :

- (i) the function  $n(\Gamma; \cdot) \colon \mathbb{C} \setminus im(\gamma) \to \mathbb{Z}$  is continuous;
- (ii)  $n(\Gamma; z)$  is constant on each connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{im}(\Gamma)$ ;
- (iii) there exists a unique unbounded connected component with  $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$  for all z in this unbounded connected component.

Proof.

(i) Since  $\operatorname{im}(\Gamma)$  is compact, any  $z \notin \operatorname{im}(\Gamma)$  admits a strictly positive

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{im}(\Gamma)}(z) = \inf_{w \in \operatorname{im}(\Gamma)} |w - z|.$$

Let  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that

$$|w-z|<\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{im}(\Gamma)}(z),$$

so that  $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{im}(\Gamma)$ . Observe then that

$$|n(\Gamma;z) - n(\Gamma;w)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\xi - z} - \frac{1}{\xi - w} d\xi \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \oint_{\gamma_{j}} \left| \frac{1}{\xi - z} - \frac{1}{\xi - w} \right| |d\xi|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \oint_{\gamma_{j}} \left| \frac{z - w}{(\xi - z)(\xi - w)} \right| |d\xi|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \frac{2}{\operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{im}(\Gamma)}(z)} \right)^{2} \ell(\Gamma)|z - w|,$$

whence  $|n(\Gamma; z) - n(\Gamma; w)|$  is sufficiently small whenever |z - w| is sufficiently small.

- (ii) If C is a connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{im}(\Gamma)$ , and  $\operatorname{n}(\Gamma; \cdot) \colon C \to \mathbb{Z}$  is continuous, then since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is discrete,  $\operatorname{n}(\Gamma; \cdot)$  is constant on C.
- (iii) For uniqueness, if there are unbounded connected components  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{im}(\Gamma)$ , then there exists  $M > \sup_{z \in \operatorname{im}(\Gamma)} |z|$  and  $w_1 \in C_1, w_2 \in C_2$  such that  $|w_1| > 2M$  and  $|w_2| > 2M$ . Since  $\mathbb{C} \setminus U(0, 2M)$  is path connected, there exists  $\gamma \colon [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\gamma(t)| \ge 2M$  and  $\gamma(0) = w_1$ ,  $\gamma(1) = w_2$ . Therefore,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are in the same connected component.

Existence then follows from  $im(\Gamma)$  being compact.

Finally, let  $(z_n)_n \subseteq C$ , where C is the unbounded connected component, be such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |z_n| = \infty$ . For  $M > \sup_{z\in \operatorname{im}(\gamma)} |z|$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|z_m| > M$ . Then, we have

$$|n(\Gamma; z_{m})| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\xi - z} d\xi \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \oint_{\gamma_{j}} \frac{1}{|\xi - z|} |d\xi|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \oint_{\gamma_{j}} \frac{1}{|z_{m}| - M} |d\xi|$$

$$= \frac{\ell(\Gamma)}{2\pi (|z_{m}| - M)'}$$

whence  $\lim_{m\to\infty} \mathfrak{n}(\Gamma; z_m) = 0$ , meaning that there exists N such that  $|\mathfrak{n}(\Gamma; z_m)| < 1$  for all  $m \ge N$ , meaning  $\mathfrak{n}(\Gamma; z_m) = 0$  for all sufficiently large m. Since C is connected, it thus follows that  $\mathfrak{n}(\Gamma; z) = 0$  for all  $z \in C$ .

**Definition:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open. A cycle Γ is *homologous to zero in* U if  $im(\Gamma) \subseteq U$  and for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$ ,  $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ .

**Theorem** (Cauchy's Integral Formula, General Case): Let  $\Gamma = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_k$  be a piecewise  $C^1$  cycle homologous to zero in U, and  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic. Then, for all  $z \in U \setminus \text{im}(\Gamma)$ ,

$$n(\Gamma; z)f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi$$

**Theorem** (Cauchy's Integral Theorem): Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic, and  $\Gamma$  homologous to zero in U. Then,

$$\oint_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

**Definition:** A region  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is called *simply connected* if its complement in the extended complex plane is connected.

**Theorem:** If  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is simply connected, then every loop in U is homologous to zero.

*Proof.* Extend the function  $n(\gamma; \cdot)$  to the extended complex plane by defining  $n(\gamma; \infty) = 0$ . This extended function is continuous on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{U}$ , as  $n(\gamma; \cdot)$  is zero on the unique unbounded connected component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{im}(\gamma)$ . It follows that  $n(\gamma; z)$  is equal to zero on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{U}$ , whence  $\gamma$  is homologous to zero in  $\mathbb{U}$ .

**Proposition:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region,  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic. The following are equivalent:

- (i) there exists a holomorphic function  $F: U \to \mathbb{C}$  such that F'(z) = f(z);
- (ii) for every piecewise  $C^1$  loop  $\gamma$  with im( $\gamma$ )  $\subseteq$  U, we have

$$\oint_{\mathcal{V}} f(z) dz = 0.$$

*Proof.* The direction (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows immediately from the fundamental theorem of calculus. In the reverse direction, we define  $F: U \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f(z) = \int_{\sigma(z_0, z)} f(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $\sigma(z_0, z)$ :  $[0, 1] \to U$  is a piecewise  $C^1$  curve with  $\sigma(0) = z_0$  and  $\sigma(1) = z$ . Such a curve always

exists as U is open and connected (hence path-connected). The integral is well-defined, since if  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are any two such paths, then  $\Gamma = \gamma_1 \setminus \gamma_2$  is a piecewise  $C^1$  loop. Additionally, F is continuous.

Now, we evaluate the derivative of F. Let  $z \in U$ , r > 0 such that  $U(z, r) \subseteq U$ , and  $h \in \mathbb{C}$  be such that  $z + h \in U(z, r)$ . Then,

$$\begin{split} \frac{F(z+h)-F(z)}{h} &= \frac{1}{h} \int_{\sigma(z_0,z_0+h)} f(\xi) \ d\xi - \frac{1}{h} \int_{\sigma(z_0,z)} f(\xi) \ d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_{\sigma(z,z+h)} f(\xi) \ d\xi. \end{split}$$

We may assume that  $\sigma(z, z + h)$  is a straight line, so that

$$\int_{\sigma(z,z+h)} f(\xi) d\xi = hf(z),$$

meaning that

$$\left| \frac{\mathsf{F}(z+\mathsf{h}) - \mathsf{F}(z)}{\mathsf{h}} - \mathsf{f}(z) \right| = \frac{1}{|\mathsf{h}|} \left| \int_{\sigma(z,z+\mathsf{h})} \mathsf{f}(\xi) \; \mathrm{d}\xi - \mathsf{f}(z) \right|$$
$$\leq \sup_{w \in \mathrm{im}(\sigma(z,z+\mathsf{h}))} |\mathsf{f}(w) - \mathsf{f}(z)|.$$

Since f is continuous, it follows that the right hand side goes to zero as |h| becomes small. Thus, F' is continuous, so f is holomorphic.

Observe that  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  is not simply connected, meaning that, for instance, the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$$

does not have a holomorphic antiderivative defined on the entirety  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , as

$$\int_{S^1} f(z) dz = 2\pi i.$$

Yet, if we restrict f(z) to a simply connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ , there *is* a holomorphic antiderivative. Choosing such a simply connected subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  is known as choosing a *branch* of the logarithm. In fact, there is more that we can say.

**Corollary:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be simply connected, and let  $f: U \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  be a nonvanishing holomorphic function. For each fixed pair  $z_0 \in U$  and  $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  for which  $e^{w_0} = f(z_0)$ , there exists a unique holomorphic function  $g: U \to \mathbb{C}$  for which  $g(z_0) = w_0$  and  $e^{g(z)} = f(z)$ .

We call g the logarithm of f, written  $g(z) = \log(f(z))$ .

*Proof.* Since f is nonvanishing and U is simply connected, it follows that  $\frac{f'}{f}$  is holomorphic on U, meaning there is  $\widetilde{g} \colon U \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\widetilde{g}'(z) = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$ . Thus, there is some constant K such that

$$f(z) = Ke^{\widetilde{g}(z)}.$$

Define

$$q(z) = \log(K) + \widetilde{q}(z)$$
.

**Theorem** (Morera's Theorem): Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  continuous. Suppose

$$\oint_{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{f}(z) \; \mathrm{d}z = 0$$

for all triangles  $T \subseteq U$  homologous to zero. Then, f is holomorphic.

*Proof.* Since U is open, if  $z_0 \in U$ , there is r such that  $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U$ . Define F:  $U(z_0, r) \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$F(z) = \int_{\sigma(z_0, z)} f(\xi) d\xi,$$

where  $\sigma$  is the straight line from  $z_0$  to z. For  $0 < |h| < r - |z - z_0|$ , we construct the straight lines  $\sigma(z, z + h)$  and  $\sigma(z_0, z + h)$ , such that

$$T = \sigma(z_0, z) + \sigma(z, z + h) - \sigma(z_0, z + h)$$

and

$$\oint_{T} f(z) dz = 0$$

$$= \int_{\sigma(z_{0},z)} f(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\sigma(z,z+h)} f(\xi) d\xi - \int_{\sigma(z_{0},z+h)} f(\xi) d\xi$$

$$= F(z) - F(z+h) + \int_{\sigma(z,z+h)} f(\xi) d\xi,$$

meaning

$$F(z+h) - F(z) = \int_{\sigma(z,z+h)} f(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{\sigma(z,z+h)} f(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\left| \frac{F(z+h) - F(z)}{h} - f(z) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{\sigma(z,z+h)} (f(\xi) - f(z)) d\xi \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|h|} |h| \sup_{w \in \text{im}(\sigma(z,z+h))} |f(w) - f(z)|$$

$$= \sup_{w \in \text{im}(\sigma(z,z+h))} |f(w) - f(z)|.$$

Since f is continuous, it follows that for sufficiently small |h|, the right-hand-side goes to zero, whence F'(z) = f(z), meaning F is holomorphic, so F is analytic, meaning f is analytic, so f is holomorphic.

**Definition:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  piecewise  $C^1$  loops in U. We say  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are homotopic in U if there is a continuous function

$$H: [a,b] \times [0,1] \rightarrow U$$

such that

$$H(s,0) = \gamma_1(s)$$
  
 $H(s,1) = \gamma_2(s)$   
 $H(a,t) = H(b,t)$ .

For each t,  $H(\cdot, t)$  is a continuous loop. We call H a homotopy between  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$ .

П

**Theorem:** If  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma_1$  are homotopic in U, then  $\Gamma = \gamma_1 - \gamma_0$  is homologous to zero in U.

**Theorem:** If  $K \subseteq U$  is compact and U is connected, then there is some cycle  $\Gamma$  homologous to zero in U such that  $n(\Gamma; z) = 1$  for all  $z \in K$ .

**Corollary:** Let U be a region. The following are equivalent:

- (i) U is simply connected;
- (ii) for every nonvanishing holomorphic function  $f: U \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , there is a holomorphic function  $g: U \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(z) = e^{g(z)}$ ;
- (iii) for all cycles  $\Gamma$  with  $\operatorname{im}(\Gamma) \subseteq U$ ,  $\Gamma$  is homologous to zero in U.

*Proof.* We have already shown the direction (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). To see (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii), we start by fixing  $w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{U}$ . Let  $g: \mathbb{U} \to \mathbb{C}$  be a holomorphic function with  $e^{g(z)} = z - w$ . Taking derivatives, we have  $g'(z) = \frac{1}{z - w}$ , so for any cycle with  $\operatorname{im}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathbb{U}$ , we have

$$n(\Gamma; w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z - w} dz$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} g'(z) dz$$
$$= 0$$

To see (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), we show the contrapositive. Toward this end, suppose U is not simply connected. Then,  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{U}$  is connected, so there are closed nonempty disjoint sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{U} = V_1 \cup V_2$ . One of these  $V_i$  contains  $\infty$ , so without loss of generality, suppose  $V_1$  contains  $\infty$ , meaning  $V_2$  is bounded (hence compact).

Let  $\widetilde{U} = U \cup V_2$ .  $U \cup V_2$  is open in  $\mathbb{C}$  as its complement in  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $V_1 \setminus \{\infty\}$ , which is closed in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## Maximum Modulus Principle

**Theorem** (Mean Value Property): Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic, with  $z_0 \in U$  and r > 0 such that  $B(z_0, r) \subseteq U$ . Then,

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

*Proof.* By the Cauchy Integral Formula, we have

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, r)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi.$$

Parametrizing  $\gamma(\theta) = z_0 + re^{i\theta}$ , we get

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})}{re^{i\theta}} ire^{i\theta} d\theta$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

**Corollary:** If  $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \supseteq U \to \mathbb{R}$  is harmonic,  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$ , and r > 0 is such that  $B((x_0, y_0), r) \subseteq U$ , then

$$u(x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x_0 + r\cos(\theta), y_0 + r\sin(\theta)) d\theta.$$

*Proof.* Take real parts of the mean value property for holomorphic f = u + iv.

Observe then that the triangle inequality implies that

$$|u(x_0, y_0)| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(x_0 + r\cos(\theta), y_0 + r\sin(\theta))| d\theta.$$

Functions that satisfy this weaker criterion are known as *subharmonic*. It is subharmonic functions for which the most general case of the *maximum modulus principle* hold.

**Theorem** (Maximum Modulus Principle): Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be open and connected, and let  $u: U \to \mathbb{R}$  be subharmonic. Suppose there exists  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$  such that  $u(x_0, y_0) \geqslant u(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in U$ . Then, u is constant

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda = u(x_0, y_0)$ , and let  $E = \{(x, y) \mid u(x, y) = \lambda\} = u^{-1}(\{\lambda\})$ . We see immediately that E is closed; we claim that E is also open.

Fix  $(x_1, y_1) \in E$ . Then,  $u(x_1, y_1) = \lambda$ . Take r > 0 such that  $U((x_1, y_1), r) \subseteq U$ . Then, for all 0 < s < r, we have  $S((x_1, y_1), s) \subseteq U$ , meaning that

$$\lambda = u(x_1, y_1)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(x_1 + s\cos(\theta), y_1 + s\sin(\theta)) d\theta$$

$$\leq \lambda,$$

with the latter inequality following from the fact that  $\lambda$  is a local maximum. Therefore,  $u(x_1 + s\cos(\theta), y_1 + s\sin(\theta)) = \lambda$  for all 0 < s < r, whence  $U((x_1, y_1), r) \subseteq E$ . Thus, E is open, so since U is connected, it follows that E is all of U, meaning u is constant.

**Corollary:** If  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is bounded and  $u : \overline{U} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous with  $u|_U$  subharmonic, then there exists  $(x_0, y_0) \in \partial U$  such that  $u(x_0, y_0) = \sup_{(x, u) \in U} u(x, y)$ .

**Corollary:** If  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is open and connected, with  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic, then if  $|f|: U \to \mathbb{R}$  has a local maximum at  $z_0 \in U$ , then f is constant.

*Proof.* Let r > 0 be such that  $U(z_0, r) \subseteq U$ . Then, restricting |f| to  $U(z_0, r)$ , we see that |f| restricted to  $U(z_0, r)$  is subharmonic viewed as a function on  $U(z_0, r)$ , hence |f| is constant on  $U(z_0, r)$ .

Now, by the mean value property and triangle inequality, it follows that for all 0 < s < r, we have

$$|f(z_0)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(z_0 + se^{i\theta})| d\theta$$
  
= |f(z\_0)|,

meaning that these are equalities. In particular, there exists some  $\theta_s$  such that  $e^{i\theta_s} f(z_0 + se^{i\theta}) \ge 0$ , meaning that for this value of s, we have

$$|f(z_0)| = e^{i\theta_s} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + se^{i\theta}) d\theta$$
$$= e^{i\theta_s} f(z_0),$$

with the latter equality following from the mean value property. Since this holds for any s, it follows that  $\theta_s$  is independent of s, meaning that  $f(z)e^{i\theta_s} \ge 0$  for all  $z \in U(z_0, r)$ , meaning that  $Im(e^{i\theta_s}f(z)) = 0$  on  $U(z_0, r)$ , whence  $f(z)e^{i\theta_s}$  is constant, meaning f is constant on  $U(z_0, r)$ .

Finally, by the identity theorem, it follows that f is constant on U.

**Definition:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set. We say a sequence  $U \supseteq ((x_n, y_n))_n \to \partial U$  if, for every compact  $K \subseteq U$ , the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (x_n, y_n) \in K\}$  is finite.

**Definition:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  be an open set. Given a function  $u: U \to \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$\limsup_{(x,y)\to\partial U} \mathfrak{u}(x,y)\coloneqq \inf_{\substack{K\subseteq U\\ K \text{ compact } (x,y)\in U\setminus K}} \mathfrak{u}(x,y).$$

These definitions allow us to extend the maximum modulus principle for subharmonic functions even further.

**Theorem:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region,  $u: U \to \mathbb{R}$  a nonconstant subharmonic function. If  $((x_n, y_n))_n \subseteq U$  is such that  $\mathfrak{u}(x_n, y_n) \to \sup_{x,y \in U} \mathfrak{u}(x,y)$ , then  $((x_n, y_n))_n \to \partial U$ . Moreover,  $\limsup_{(x,y) \to \partial U} \mathfrak{u}(x,y) = \sup_{(x,y) \in U} \mathfrak{u}(x,y)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose toward contradiction that  $((x_n, y_n))_n \to \partial U$ , so there exists a compact subset  $K \subseteq U$  and a subset  $((x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}))_k$  wholly contained in K. Since K is compact, there is a subsequence of  $((x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}))_k$  converging to  $(x_0, y_0) \in U$ . Therefore,  $u(x_0, y_0) = \sup_{(x,y) \in U} u(x,y)$ , so u is constant by the maximum modulus principle, which is a contradiction.

Finally,  $\limsup_{(x,y)\to\partial U}u(x,y)\leqslant \sup_{(x,y)\in U}u(x,y)$ , while if  $((x_n,y_n))_n\to \partial U$  is such that  $u(x_n,y_n)$  converges to  $\sup_{(x,y)\in U}u(x,y)$ , then  $\sup_{(x,y)\in U}u(x,y)=\lim_{n\to\infty}u(x_n,y_n)\leqslant \lim\sup_{(x,y)\to\partial U}u(x,y)$ .

**Theorem** (Open Mapping Principle): Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be a region, and let  $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$  be a nonconstant holomorphic function. Then,  $f(V) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is open.

*Proof.* Let  $z_0 \in U$  and r > 0 be such that  $B(z_0, r) \subseteq U$ . We will show that there exists R such that  $U(f(z_0), R) \subseteq f(U(z_0, r)) \subseteq U$ , whence f(U) is open.

Since U is a region and f is nonconstant, the zeros of  $g(z) := f(z) - f(z_0)$  are isolated, so there exists some 0 < s < r such that

$$\delta = \inf_{|z - z_0| = s} |f(z) - f(z_0)|$$

is strictly greater than zero. We claim that  $U(f(z_0), \delta/2) \subseteq f(U(z_0, r))$ . Suppose this were not the case, meaning there would be some  $\xi \in U(f(z_0), \delta/2) \setminus f(U(z_0, r))$ , and define  $h: B(z_0, s) \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{f(z) - \xi}.$$

Since  $\xi \notin f(U(z_0, r))$ , this is holomorphic, while  $\xi \in U(f(z_0), \delta/2)$  implies

$$\sup_{|z-z_0|=s} |h(z)| = \sup_{|z-z_0|=s} \frac{1}{|f(z)-\xi|}$$

$$\leq \sup_{|z-z_0|=s} \frac{1}{|f(z)-f(z_0)|-|f(z_0)-\xi|}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\delta-\delta/2}$$

$$= \frac{2}{\delta}.$$

Yet,

$$|h(z_0)| = \frac{1}{|f(z_0) - \xi|}$$
$$> \frac{2}{\delta},$$

contradicting the maximum modulus principle. Thus,  $U(f(z_0), \delta/2) \subseteq f(U(z_0, r))$ .

## Classification of Singularities

The classification of singularities seeks to answer two fundamental questions: if  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  is open,  $z_0 \in U$ , and  $f: U \setminus \{z_0\} \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic,

- does f have a holomorphic extension to U including  $z_0$ ;
- and what else can we say about the behavior of f at  $z_0$ ?

**Definition:** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $z_0 \in U$ ,  $f: U \setminus \{z_0\} \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic.

- If there exists a holomorphic  $g: U \to \mathbb{C}$  with g = f on  $U \setminus \{z_0\}$ , then we say  $z_0$  is a *removable singularity*.
- If  $\lim_{z\to z_0} |f(z)| = \infty$ , then we say f has a *pole* at  $z_0$ .
- Else, we say f has an essential singularity at  $z_0$ .

**Theorem** (Riemann's Theorem on Removable Singularities): Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  be open,  $z_0 \in U$ , and  $f: U \setminus \{z_0\} \to \mathbb{C}$  holomorphic. Then,  $z_0$  is a removable singularity if and only if  $\lim_{z \to z_0} f(z) = 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $z_0$  is removable, then g(z) is a holomorphic function with g(z) = f(z) on  $U \setminus \{z_0\}$ , and since g is continuous, it follows that  $\lim_{z \to z_0} g(z) = g(z_0)$ , whence  $\lim_{z \to z_0} (z - z_0)g(z) = \lim_{z \to z_0} (z - z_0)f(z) = 0$ .

Now, if  $\lim_{z\to z_0}(z-z_0)f(z)=0$ , then there is r such that  $B(z_0,r)\subseteq U$ , and since f is locally bounded around  $z_0$ , it follows that

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, r)} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

holds for all  $z \in \dot{U}(z_0, r)$ . Yet, the formula extends to  $z_0$  as it is bounded, whence we may define the holomorphic extension for f by

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} f(z) & z \neq z_0 \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{S(z_0, r)} \frac{g(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta & z = z_0 \end{cases}.$$

**Proposition** (Existence of Laurent Series): Suppose  $f: A(z_0, r, R) \to \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic, with  $0 \le r < R$ . Then, there exist holomorphic functions

$$g_1: U(z_0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$$
  
 $g_2: \mathbb{C} \setminus B(z_0, r) \to \mathbb{C}$ 

such that  $f = g_1 + g_2$  on  $A(z_0, r, R)$ . Moreover, there exists  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$$

for all z, and the series converges uniformly on  $A(z_0, \rho, s)$  with  $r < \rho < s < R$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $z \in A(z_0, r, R)$ . Then, for  $r < \rho_1, \rho_2 < |z - z_0|$ , the cycle

$$\Gamma_1 = S(z_0, \rho_1) - S(z_0, \rho_2)$$

is homologous to zero in  $A(z_0, r, |z - z_0|)$ . By Cauchy's Integral Theorem, it then follows that

$$\oint_{S(z_0,\rho_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi = \oint_{S(z_0,\rho_2)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi.$$

Similarly, for  $|z - z_0| < s_1, s_2 < R$ , we have

$$\oint_{S(z_0,s_1)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi = \oint_{S(z_0,s_2)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi.$$

Define  $g_1: U(z_0, R) \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$g_1(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S(z_0,s)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi,$$

where  $|z - z_0| < s < R$ . This function is holomorphic by Morera's Theorem. Similarly, we may define  $g: \mathbb{C} \setminus B(z_0, r) \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$g_2(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{S(z_0,\rho)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi,$$

where  $r < \rho < |z - z_0|$ . We claim that  $f = g_1 + g_2$  on  $A(z_0, r, R)$ .

For  $z \in A(z_0, r, R)$ , we may find, for any  $r < \rho < |z - z_0| < s < R$ , we let

$$\Gamma = S(z_0, s) - S(z_0, \rho),$$

homologous to zero in  $A(z_0, r, R)$ , whence

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \oint_{S(z_0,s)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi - \int_{S(z_0,\rho)} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi \right)$$
  
=  $g_1(z) + g_2(z)$ .

### **Old Exams**

### **Notation**

- $U(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z z_0| < r\}$
- $B(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z z_0| \le r\}$
- $S(z_0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z z_0| = r\}$
- $\dot{U}(z_0, \mathbf{r}) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z z_0| < \mathbf{r} \}$
- $A(z_0, r_1, r_2) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid r_1 < |z z_0| < r_2\}$