

**Problem (Problem 1):** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called torsion if for any  $m \in M$ , there is a nonzero  $r \in R$  such that  $rm = 0$ . An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called divisible if for any nonzero  $r \in R$ , we have  $rM = M$ . In other words,  $M$  is divisible if for any  $m \in M$  and nonzero  $r \in R$ , there is  $x \in M$  such that  $rx = m$ .

(a) Suppose  $M$  is a torsion  $R$ -module and  $N$  is a divisible  $R$ -module. Prove that  $M \otimes_R N = \{0\}$ .

(b) Let  $M = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  considered as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Prove that  $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M = \{0\}$ .

**Solution:**

(a) It is enough to show that any simple tensor  $m \otimes n \in M \otimes_R N$  is the zero tensor. To see this, we let  $r \in R$  be such that  $rm = 0$ , and observe that there is some  $x \in N$  such that  $rx = n$ . By using property (R3) of tensor products, we observe then that

$$\begin{aligned} m \otimes n &= m \otimes (rx) \\ &= (rm) \otimes x \\ &= 0 \otimes x \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $M \otimes_R N = \{0\}$ .

(b) It is enough to show that  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is both torsion and divisible, as we may then apply (a). To see that  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is torsion, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} b \left[ \frac{a}{b} \right] &= [a] \\ &= [0] \end{aligned}$$

for any element  $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Additionally, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\left[ \frac{a}{b} \right] = n \left[ \frac{a}{nb} \right],$$

so  $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$  is both torsion and divisible.

**Problem (Problem 2):** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $\{N_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  a collection of  $R$ -modules, and  $M$  another  $R$ -module.

(a) Prove that  $M \otimes (\bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha) \cong \bigoplus_\alpha (M \otimes N_\alpha)$ .

(b) Show by example that  $M \otimes (\prod_\alpha N_\alpha)$  need not be isomorphic to  $\prod_\alpha (M \otimes N_\alpha)$ .

**Solution:**

(a) Consider the map on elementary tensors

$$f: M \times \left( \bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha \right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_\alpha (M \otimes N_\alpha)$$

that takes

$$(m, (n_\alpha)_\alpha) \rightarrow (m \otimes n_\alpha)_\alpha.$$

We observe that, since the  $(n_\alpha)_\alpha$  are nonzero for all but finitely many indices  $\alpha$ , and that the map is  $R$ -bilinear, we have a well-defined and unique  $R$ -linear map  $\bar{f}: M \otimes (\bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha) \rightarrow \bigoplus_\alpha (M \otimes N_\alpha)$  that maps  $m \otimes (n_\alpha)_\alpha \mapsto (m \otimes n_\alpha)_\alpha$ .

We observe that for each index  $i$ , we have an inclusion homomorphism

$$M \times N_i \hookrightarrow M \otimes \left( \bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha \right)$$

that takes  $(m, n_\alpha) \mapsto m \otimes (n_\alpha)_\alpha$ , where  $(n_\alpha)_\alpha$  is zero everywhere except for index  $i$ . This is an  $R$ -bilinear map, so it induces a unique linear map  $M \otimes N_i \hookrightarrow M \otimes (\bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha)$  by the universal property for tensor products. By the universal property of the direct sum, this induces a different unique homomorphism  $g: \bigoplus_\alpha (M \otimes N_\alpha) \rightarrow M \otimes (\bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha)$  given by taking

$$(m_\alpha \otimes n_\alpha)_\alpha \mapsto \sum_\alpha m_\alpha \otimes (n_\alpha)_\alpha,$$

where the summand  $(n_\alpha)_\alpha$  is defined as above, and the sum is finite by the definition of the direct sum. Since  $g$  and  $f$  are inverses of each other via their action on simple tensors, it follows that  $M \otimes (\bigoplus_\alpha N_\alpha) \cong \bigoplus_\alpha (M \otimes N_\alpha)$ .

(b) We consider the direct product

$$M = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/2^i\mathbb{Z},$$

regarded as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Notice that  $M$  is not torsion, as the element  $m = (1, 1, \dots)$  is such that there is no  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $zm = 0$ . Therefore, considering the extension of scalars

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes M = \mathbb{Q} \otimes \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/2^i\mathbb{Z} \right),$$

we have that this is not a zero module, since by using the same element  $(1, 1, \dots)$ , the Archimedean property implies that for any  $\frac{a}{b}$  in lowest terms in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there is some  $k$  such that  $2^k > a$ . Therefore, this element is not torsion, so from Problem 8(c) on p. 376 in Dummit and Foote, it follows that this element is not zero. Yet, since each of the individual  $\mathbb{Z}/2^i\mathbb{Z}$  has torsion, it would follow that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2^i\mathbb{Z}) = 0,$$

so we have that tensor products do not commute with direct sums.

**Problem** (Problem 3): Let  $R$  be a domain, and let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module with basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ . Prove that the element  $e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1 \in M \otimes M$  is not representable as a simple tensor  $m \otimes n$  for some  $m, n \in M$ .

**Solution:** Suppose we had  $m \otimes n = e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} m \otimes n &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k m_i e_i \right) \otimes \left( \sum_{j=1}^k n_j e_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^k m_i n_j (e_i \otimes e_j) \\ &= e_1 \otimes e_2 + e_2 \otimes e_1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, this means that we must have  $m_1 n_2 = m_2 n_1 = 1$  and everything else equal to zero. Yet, since  $m_1 n_1 = 0$  and  $m_2 n_2 = 0$ , we must have one of either  $m_1 = 0$  or  $n_1 = 0$  since  $R$  is a domain, and similarly we must have either  $m_2 = 0$  or  $n_2 = 0$ . In any of these scenarios, we obtain a contradiction, so there is no such representation.

**Problem** (Problem 4): Let  $R$  be commutative, and let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $R$ , so  $R/I$  and  $R/J$  are naturally  $R$ -modules.

- (a) Prove that every element of  $R/I \otimes_R R/J$  can be written as a simple tensor of the form  $(1 + I) \otimes (r + J)$ .
- (b) Prove that there is an  $R$ -module isomorphism  $R/I \otimes_R R/J \cong R/(I + J)$  mapping  $(r + I) \otimes (r' + J)$

to  $rr' + (I + J)$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) By using  $R$ -bilinearity, we observe that an arbitrary simple tensor in  $R/I \otimes R/J$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} (r + I) \otimes (s + J) &= (r(1 + I)) \otimes (s + J) \\ &= r((1 + I) \otimes (s + J)) \\ &= (1 + I) \otimes (rs + J). \end{aligned}$$

Since any element of  $R/I \otimes R/J$  can be written as a sum of simple tensors, and each simple tensor can be written in the above form, it follows from bilinearity that every element of  $R/I \otimes R/J$  can be written as  $(1 + I) \otimes (r + J)$ .

- (b) We consider the map

$$f: R/I \times R/J \mapsto R/(I + J)$$

given by

$$(r + I, r' + J) \mapsto rr' + (I + J).$$

This map is  $R$ -bilinear by the distributive properties of multiplication, so it induces a homomorphism on the tensor product given by

$$(r + I) \otimes (r' + J) \mapsto rr' + (I + J).$$

As was established above, any element of  $R/I \otimes R/J$  can be written as  $(1 + I) \otimes (s + J)$ , so we may establish an inverse from any element of  $R/(I + J)$  to  $R/I \otimes R/J$  by taking  $t + (I + J) \mapsto (1 + I) \otimes (t + J)$ . This establishes our desired isomorphism.

**Problem (Problem 5):** Let  $I = (2, x)$  be the ideal generated by 2 and  $x$  in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . The ring  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = R/I$  is naturally an  $R$ -module annihilated by both 2 and  $x$ .

- (a) Show that the map  $\varphi: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  given by

$$\varphi(a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n, b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m) = \left[ \frac{a_0}{2} b_1 \right]_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$$

is  $R$ -bilinear.

- (b) Show that there is an  $R$ -module homomorphism from  $I \otimes_R I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  mapping  $p(x) \otimes q(x)$  to  $\frac{p^{(0)}}{2} q'(0)$ , where  $q'$  denotes the usual polynomial derivative of  $q$ .

- (c) Show that  $2 \otimes x \neq x \otimes 2$  in  $I \otimes_R I$ .

**Solution:**

- (a) By the well-definedness of addition in  $R/I$ , we have that  $\varphi$  is additive in each variable. Now, letting  $p(x) \in R$  and  $a(x), b(x) \in I$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a(x) &= a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n \\ b(x) &= b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m \\ p(x) &= p_0 + p_1x + \cdots + p_\ell x^\ell, \end{aligned}$$

we note that

$$p(x) + I = [p_0]_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Using various definitions, we see that

$$\varphi(p(x)a(x), b(x)) = \varphi(p_0a_0 + O(x), b_0 + b_1x + O(x^2))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[ \frac{p_0 a_0}{2} b_1 \right] \\
&= [p_0] \left[ \frac{a_0}{2} b_1 \right] \\
&= (p(x) + I) \varphi(a(x), b(x)),
\end{aligned}$$

and since  $b_0 \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(a(x), p(x)b(x)) &= \left[ \frac{a_0}{2} (p_0 b_1 + p_1 b_0) \right] \\
&= \left[ \frac{a_0}{2} (p_0 b_1) \right] \\
&= (p(x) + I) \varphi(a(x), b(x)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\varphi$  is  $R$ -bilinear.

- (b) Using the universal property for tensor products, there is a unique  $R$ -linear homomorphism  $\bar{\varphi}: I \otimes_R I \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\varphi}(a(x) \otimes b(x)) &= \left[ \frac{a_0}{2} b_1 \right] \\
&= \left[ \frac{p(0)}{2} q'(0) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

- (c) We observe that  $\bar{\varphi}(2 \otimes x) = 1$  while  $\bar{\varphi}(x \otimes 2) = 0$ , so they cannot be equal to each other in  $I \otimes_R I$ .

**Problem (Problem 6):** Suppose  $R$  is commutative, and let  $I, J$  be ideals of  $R$ .

- (a) Show that there is a surjective  $R$ -module homomorphism from  $I \otimes_R J$  to the product ideal  $IJ$  mapping  $i \otimes j$  to  $ij$ .  
(b) Give an example to show that the map in (a) need not be injective.

**Solution:**

- (a) We define the  $R$ -bilinear map  $\varphi: I \times J \rightarrow IJ$  by

$$\varphi(i, j) = ij.$$

This induces a linear map  $\bar{\varphi}: I \otimes_R J \rightarrow IJ$  such that  $i \otimes j \mapsto ij$ . Since every element of  $I \otimes_R J$  is a finite sum of elementary tensors, this surjects onto  $IJ$  since every element of  $IJ$  is a finite sum of elements of the form  $ij$ .

- (b) The map from Problem 5, given by  $I \otimes I \rightarrow I^2$  applied in the case of  $2 \otimes x$  and  $x \otimes 2$ , is not injective, as  $2 \otimes x \neq x \otimes 2$ , but  $R$  is commutative.

**Problem (Problem 7):**

- (a) Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $V$  can be considered as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , but  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V) = 2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ . Prove that  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V$  is not isomorphic to  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V$  as vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  and compute their dimensions over  $\mathbb{R}$ .  
(b) Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $F$  its field of fractions. Prove that  $F \otimes_R R \cong F \otimes_F F \cong F$  as  $F$ -modules.

**Solution:**

- (a) We may consider  $V \cong \mathbb{C}^k$ , so that

$$\begin{aligned}
V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V &\cong \mathbb{C}^{k^2} \\
&\cong \mathbb{R}^{2k^2} \\
V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} V &\cong \mathbb{R}^{4k^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

- (b) We will show that both of the tensor products are generated by  $1 \otimes 1$  as an  $F$ -vector space. Observe that in  $F \otimes_R F$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{b} \otimes \frac{c}{d} &= \frac{ad}{bd} \otimes \frac{c}{d} \\ &= \frac{a}{bd} \otimes c \\ &= \frac{ac}{bd} (1 \otimes 1), \end{aligned}$$

while in  $F \otimes_F F$ ,  $\frac{a}{b} \otimes \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd} (1 \otimes 1)$ , meaning that both tensor products are generated by  $1 \otimes 1$ , and thus both have dimension 1 over  $F$ , so that they are all isomorphic to  $F$ .

**Problem** (Problem 8): Let  $R$  be a subring of the commutative ring  $S$ , and let  $x$  be an indeterminate over  $S$ . Prove that  $S[x]$  and  $S \otimes R[x]$  are isomorphic as  $S$ -algebras.

**Solution:** Using the fact that  $R[x]$  is a free  $R$ -algebra with basis  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ , we only need to define a multiplicative  $R$ -bilinear map on the basis elements. Consider the map  $\phi: S \times R[x] \rightarrow S[x]$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s, x^m) &= sx^m \\ \phi((s_1, x^{m_1})(s_2, x^{m_2})) &= s_1 s_2 x^{m_1+m_2} \end{aligned}$$

This is a multiplicative  $R$ -bilinear map, so it extends uniquely to a homomorphism on the tensor product

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: S \otimes R[x] &\rightarrow S[x] \\ s \otimes x^m &\mapsto sx^m. \end{aligned}$$

This map is concordant with the algebra structure on  $S \otimes R[x]$ , as

$$\begin{aligned} (s_1 \otimes x^{m_1})(s_2 \otimes x^{m_2}) &= s_1 s_2 \otimes x^{m_1} x^{m_2} \\ &= s_1 s_2 \otimes x^{m_1+m_2} \\ &\mapsto s_1 s_2 x^{m_1+m_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this homomorphism has an inverse given by  $\psi(sx^m) = s \otimes x^m$  that can once again be extended by linearity, it follows that  $S \otimes R[x] \cong S[x]$  as  $R$ -algebras.