

**Problem (Problem 1):** Use de Rham cohomology to prove that if  $B^n$  is a closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $f: B^n \rightarrow B^n$  is smooth, then  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Solution:** Suppose  $f: B^n \rightarrow B^n$  is a fixed-point free self-map of the ball. It follows then that by drawing a line between  $v$  and  $f(v)$ , we may define a smooth retraction of the ball to the sphere  $S^{n-1}$ . Call this retraction  $r$ .

We observe then that  $r$  induces a map in cohomology  $r^*: H_{\text{DR}}^*(S^{n-1}) \rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^*(B^n)$ . In particular, since  $r$  is a retraction to  $S^{n-1}$ , it follows that  $r$  is homotopic to the identity map when restricted to  $S^{n-1}$ , meaning  $r^*$  is an isomorphism in de Rham cohomology of  $H_{\text{DR}}^*(S^{n-1})$  and  $H_{\text{DR}}^*(B^n)$ .

Yet, we recognize that  $H_{\text{DR}}^{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ , while  $H_{\text{DR}}^{n-1}(B^n) \cong 0$ , the latter emerging from the fact that  $B^n$  is contractible via the straight-line homotopy and the Poincaré lemma. Thus, no such  $r$  exists, whence  $f$  cannot have a fixed point.

**Problem (Problem 2):** Suppose  $M$  is a compact smooth manifold with a smooth triangulation, and let  $f: M \rightarrow M$  be a smooth map preserving the triangulation. Write  $f_k^*$  for the induced map on  $H_{\text{DR}}^k(M)$ . Prove that if

$$L(f) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \text{tr}(f_k^*) \neq 0,$$

then  $f$  has a fixed point.

**Solution:** By abuse of notation, we treat  $f^*: H^*(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbb{R})$  to be the corresponding map on the simplicial cohomology rather than the de Rham cohomology, which follows from de Rham's theorem and the isomorphism between singular and simplicial cohomology.

Suppose  $f$  has no fixed points. Let  $\Delta \subseteq M$  be a simplex. Then, by the definition of  $f$ , we observe that  $f(\Delta) \subseteq M$  is also a simplex, which we call  $\Lambda$ . Suppose toward contradiction that  $\Lambda = \Delta$ . Then, restricting the map  $f$  to  $\Delta$ , we observe that  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  is a smooth self-map of the  $k$ -simplex  $\Delta$ . Yet, since  $\Delta \cong B^n$  are diffeomorphic (when considering a small neighborhood of  $\Delta$ ), this implies that we have a smooth self-map on  $\Delta$ , whence  $f$  has a fixed point by the result of Problem (1).

From the de Rham isomorphism and the fact that  $M$  is triangulated, an arbitrary cochain on  $M$ ,  $I_\omega$ , can be defined by

$$I_\omega(\Delta) = \int_{\Delta} \omega,$$

which induces the isomorphism  $H_{\text{DR}}^*(M) \cong H^*(M; \mathbb{R})$ . We observe that  $f^*$  yields a map on cochains by taking

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(I_\omega)(\sigma) &= \int_{\sigma} f^* \omega \\ &= I_{f^* \omega}(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

for a  $k$ -simplex  $\sigma$ .

We start by showing that on  $C^k(M; \mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \text{tr}(f_k^*) = 0$$

Now, we observe that for any  $k$ -simplex  $\sigma \subseteq M$ , that  $f(\sigma) \not\subseteq \sigma$ ; by selecting a  $k$ -form supported on  $\sigma$ , we observe then that  $I_{f^* \omega}(\sigma) = 0$ , whence the map  $f_k^*: C^k(M; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^k(M; \mathbb{R})$  induced by the pullback has

no eigenvectors. Thus, it follows that  $\text{tr}(f_k^*) = 0$ , so

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \text{tr}(f_k^*) = 0$$

necessarily.

Now, to show that this passes to cohomology, we make use of a lemma related to short exact sequences of vector spaces. Specifically, in the following diagram, we claim that  $\text{tr}(f) = \text{tr}(g) + \text{tr}(h)$ , where  $A, B, C$  are dimensional vector spaces.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A \oplus B & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow h \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A \oplus B & \longrightarrow & B \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

This follows from putting the matrix representations  $[g]_\alpha$  and  $[h]_\beta$  to yield, for  $\gamma = (\alpha \times \{0\}) \cup (\{0\} \times \beta)$ ,

$$[f]_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} [g]_\alpha & K \\ 0 & [h]_\beta \end{pmatrix},$$

for some matrix  $K$ , whence  $\text{tr}(f) = \text{tr}(g) + \text{tr}(h)$ .

Thus, we consider the following short exact sequences, where we relabel  $g_k^*$  for the  $f_k^*$  acting on the  $k$ -cochains, and use  $f_k^*$  for the map in homology.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & Z^k & \longrightarrow & C^k & \longrightarrow & B^{k+1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow q_k & & \downarrow g_k^* & & \downarrow h_{k+1} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z^k & \longrightarrow & C^k & \longrightarrow & B^{k+1} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B^k & \longrightarrow & Z^k & \longrightarrow & H^k \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow h_k & & \downarrow q_k & & \downarrow f_k^* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B^k & \longrightarrow & Z^k & \longrightarrow & H^k \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(g_k^*) &= \text{tr}(q_k) + \text{tr}(h_{k+1}) \\ \text{tr}(q_k) &= \text{tr}(f_k^*) + \text{tr}(h_k). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have  $\text{tr}(g_k^*) = \text{tr}(f_k^*) + \text{tr}(h_k) + \text{tr}(h_{k+1})$ . Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \text{tr}(g_k^*) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (\text{tr}(f_k^*) + \text{tr}(h_k) + \text{tr}(h_{k+1})) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \text{tr}(f_k^*). \end{aligned}$$

**Problem** (Problem 3): Compute the de Rham cohomology of  $\mathbb{RP}^n$ .

**Solution:** To start, we observe that  $\mathbb{RP}^1 \cong S^1$ , meaning that the de Rham cohomology of  $\mathbb{RP}^1$  is

$$H_{\text{DR}}^*(\mathbb{RP}^1) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & k = 0 \\ \mathbb{R} & k = 1. \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In higher dimensions, we consider the family of charts defined by

$$U_k = \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_k : \cdots : x_n] \mid x_{i \neq k} \in \mathbb{R}, x_k \neq 0\}.$$

We seek to understand the picture of

$$\begin{aligned} U_{k \neq 0} &= \bigcup_{k=1}^n U_k \\ &= \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \mid x_k \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the only elements of  $U_0$  that are not in  $U_{k \neq 0}$  are the ones of the form  $[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$ , whence  $U_{k \neq 0} \cong \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ .

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} U_0 \cap U_{k \neq 0} &= \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \mid x_0 \neq 0\} \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \mid x_k \neq 0\} \\ &= \{[x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \mid x_0 \neq 0, x_k \neq 0 \text{ for at least one } 1 \leq k \leq n\} \\ &= U_0 \setminus \{[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Mayer–Vietoris, we obtain the following short exact sequence.

$$0 \longrightarrow H^*(\mathbb{RP}^n) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus H^*(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \longrightarrow H^*(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \longrightarrow 0$$

Focusing on the case of  $H^0$ , this yields the following exact sequence, whence  $H^0(\mathbb{RP}^n) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathbb{RP}^n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Since the  $H^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are zero for all  $k \geq 1$ , it follows that we have  $H^k(\mathbb{RP}^n) \cong 0$  for  $1 \leq k < n$ .

Finally, concerning ourselves with  $H^n(\mathbb{RP}^n)$ , we concern ourselves with orientability; specifically,  $H^n(\mathbb{RP}^n) \cong \mathbb{R}$  if  $n$  is odd and  $H^n(\mathbb{RP}^n) \cong 0$  if  $n$  is even, as  $\mathbb{RP}^n$  is orientable if and only if  $n$  is odd.

**Problem** (Problem 4): Prove the Five Lemma. Namely, consider the following commutative diagram of vector spaces, where the horizontal sequences are exact. Show that if  $f_1, f_2, f_4, f_5$  are isomorphisms, that  $f_3$  is also an isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & A_3 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & A_4 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_4} & A_5 \\ \downarrow f_1 & & \downarrow f_2 & & \downarrow f_3 & & \downarrow f_4 & & \downarrow f_5 \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & B_3 & \xrightarrow{\beta_3} & B_4 & \xrightarrow{\beta_4} & B_5 \end{array}$$

**Solution:** We start by showing that  $f_3$  is injective. Let  $x \in \ker(f_3)$ .

- By commutativity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \beta_3 \circ f_3(x) \\ &= f_4 \circ \alpha_3(x), \end{aligned}$$

so it follows that  $\alpha_3(x) = 0$  as  $f_4$  is injective, so  $x \in \ker(\alpha_3)$ . By exactness, we let  $a_2 \in A_2$  be such that  $\alpha_2(a_2) = x$ , and define  $f_2(a_2) = b_2$ .

- By commutativity,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2(b_2) &= \beta_2(f_2(a_2)) \\ &= f_3(\alpha_2(a_2)) \\ &= f_3(x) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so  $b_2 \in \ker(\beta_2)$ , meaning that by exactness, there is  $b_1 \in B_1$  such that  $\beta_1(b_1) = b_2$ . Since  $f_1$  is surjective, we let  $a_1 \in A_1$  be such that  $f_1(a_1) = b_1$ .

- Finally, by commutativity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(\alpha_1(a_1)) &= \beta_2(f_1(a_1)) \\ &= \beta_1(b_1) \\ &= b_2 \\ &= f_2(a_2), \end{aligned}$$

and since  $f_2$  is injective, we have  $a_2 = \alpha_1(a_1)$ .

- Thus, since  $x = \alpha_2(a_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \alpha_2(\alpha_1(a_1)) \\ &= (\alpha_2 \circ \alpha_1)(a_1) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so  $f$  is injective.

Now, we show that  $f$  is surjective. Let  $b \in B_3$ .

- Since  $f_4$  is surjective, there is some  $a_4 \in A_4$  such that  $f_4(a_4) = \beta_3(b)$ .
- By commutativity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_5(\alpha_4(a_4)) &= \beta_4(f_4(a_4)) \\ &= \beta_4(\beta_3(b)) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

whence  $\alpha_4(a_4) = 0$  since  $f_5$  is an isomorphism, so  $a_4 \in \ker(\alpha_4)$ . By exactness, there is then  $a_3 \in A_3$  such that  $\alpha_3(a_3) = a_4$ .

- By commutativity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_3(f_3(a_3)) &= f_4(\alpha_3(a_3)) \\ &= f_4(a_4) \\ &= \beta_3(b). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $b - f_3(a_3) \in \ker(\beta_3)$ . Therefore, by exactness, there is some  $b_2 \in B_2$  such that  $\beta_2(b_2) = b - f_3(a_3)$ . Since  $f_2$  is an isomorphism, there is  $a_2 \in A_2$  such that  $f_2(a_2) = b_2$ .

- By commutativity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_3(\alpha_2(a_2)) &= \beta_2(f_2(a_2)) \\ &= \beta_2(b_2) \\ &= b - f_3(a_3), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$f_3(\alpha_2(a_2) - a_3) = b.$$

Thus,  $f_3$  is an isomorphism.

**Problem (Problem 5):** Use the Mayer–Vietoris sequence to prove the Künneth Formula: if  $M$  and  $N$  are smooth manifolds, then  $H_{\text{DR}}^*(M \times N)$  is the tensor product of  $H_{\text{DR}}^*(M)$  and  $H_{\text{DR}}^*(N)$ .

Specifically, in each degree  $\ell$ , we have

$$H_{\text{DR}}^\ell(M \times N) = \bigoplus_{i+j=\ell} H_{\text{DR}}^i(M) \otimes H_{\text{DR}}^j(N).$$

**Solution:** Let  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I} = \mathcal{U}$  be a good open cover of  $M$ . We observe then that  $\{U_i \times N\}_{i \in I}$  is an open cover of  $M \times N$ ; we observe that for a specific  $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ , that  $U_i$  is contractible, whence by the Poincaré Lemma,

$$H_{\text{DR}}^*(U_i \times N) \cong H_{\text{DR}}^*(N).$$

Now, we observe that the projection maps  $\pi_M: M \times N \rightarrow M$  and  $\pi_N: M \times N \rightarrow N$  induce maps in cohomology

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_M^*: H_{\text{DR}}^*(M) &\rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^*(M \times N) \\ \pi_N^*: H_{\text{DR}}^*(N) &\rightarrow H_{\text{DR}}^*(M \times N). \end{aligned}$$

**Problem (Problem 6):** Compute the de Rham cohomology of the  $n$ -torus  $(S^1)^n$  and of  $(S^2)^n$ .

**Solution:** By using the Künneth Formula, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(S^1 \times S^1) &= H^0(S^1) \otimes H^0(S^1) \\ &= \mathbb{R} \\ H^1(S^1 \times S^1) &= (H^1(S^1) \otimes H^0(S^1)) \oplus (H^0(S^1) \otimes H^1(S^1)) \\ &= \mathbb{R}^2 \\ H^2(S^1 \times S^1) &= H^1(S^1) \otimes H^1(S^1) \\ &= \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we have

$$H^*((S^1)^n) = H^*((S^1)^{n-1}) \otimes H^*(S^1).$$

We use the induction hypothesis of

$$H^k((S^1)^{n-1}) = \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n-1}{k}}$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ . We observe then that for  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,

$$H^k((S^1)^n) = H^k((S^1)^{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{R} \oplus H^{k-1}((S^1)^{n-1}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n-1}{k}} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} \\
&= \mathbb{R}^{\binom{n}{k}},
\end{aligned}$$

while  $H^0((S^1)^n) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $H^1((S^1)^n) = \mathbb{R}$  by connectedness/orientability.

Meanwhile, upon computing a number of homology group for  $H^k((S^2)^n)$ , we find the following recurrence relation by using the Künneth formula and the fact that the  $H^k(S^2) = \mathbb{R}$  if  $k = 0$  or  $k = 2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
H^0((S^2)^n) &= \mathbb{R} \\
H^k((S^2)^n) &= \begin{cases} 0 & k \text{ odd} \\ H^k((S^2)^{n-1}) \oplus H^{k-2}((S^2)^{n-1}) & k \text{ even}, 2 \leq k < 2n \end{cases} \\
H^{2n}((S^2)^n) &= \mathbb{R}.
\end{aligned}$$