

## Problem 1

Let  $X = \{0, 1\}^n$ . Show that the Hamming distance:

$$d_H : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$$d_H \left( (x_j)_{j=1}^n, (y_j)_{j=1}^n \right) = |\{j \mid x_j \neq y_j\}|$$

defines a metric on  $X$ .

**Proof:**

- Symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} d_H \left( (x_j)_{j=1}^n, (y_j)_{j=1}^n \right) &= |\{j \mid x_j \neq y_j\}| \\ &= |\{j \mid y_j \neq x_j\}| \\ &= d_H \left( (y_j)_{j=1}^n, (x_j)_{j=1}^n \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Definiteness: it is only the case that  $d_H(x_j, y_j) = 0$  if  $x_j = y_j$  for all  $j$ , by the definition of the distance.
- Similarly, since  $x_j = x_j$  for all  $j$ ,  $d_H(x_j, x_j) = 0$ .
- Let  $(x_j)_j$ ,  $(y_j)_j$ , and  $(z_j)_j$  be sequences of bits. The set  $\{j \mid x_j \neq z_j\}$  is formed by taking all the values  $\{j \mid x_j \neq y_j\}$  along with  $\{j \mid y_j \neq z_j\}$ , net of particular indices where  $x_j = z_j$ , but  $x_j \neq y_j$ . Therefore,

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z).$$

## Problem 2

If  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|'$  are equivalent norms on a vector space  $V$ , show that the induced metrics  $d$  and  $d'$  are equivalent.

**Proof:** Let  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|'$  be equivalent norms. Then,  $\exists c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\|v - w\|' \leq c_1 \|v - w\|$  and  $\|v - w\| \leq c_2 \|v - w\|'$ . However, this is the exact same statement as  $d(v, w) \leq c_1 d'(v, w)$  and  $d'(v, w) \leq c_2 d(v, w)$ . Thus,  $d$  and  $d'$  are equivalent metrics.

## Problem 3

Let  $\{X_k, d_k\}$  be a sequence of metric spaces with uniformly bounded metrics. Let

$$X := \prod_{k \geq 1} X_k$$

denote the product.

- (a) Show that

$$D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

$$D(x, y) := \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k} d_k(x_k, y_k)$$

defines a metric on  $X$ .

- (b) Consider the case where  $\{X_k\} = \{0, 2\}$  and  $d_k(a, b) = |a - b|$  for every  $k \geq 1$ . We get the abstract Cantor set

$$\Delta := \prod_{k \geq 1} \{0, 2\};$$

$$D(x, y) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 3^{-k} |x_k - y_k|.$$

Prove that  $D(x, z) = D(y, z)$  implies  $x = y$ .

**Proof:**

(i) Let  $D$  be defined as above. Then,  $D((x_k)_k, (x_k)_k)$  is a sum of  $d_k(x_k, x_k)$ , all uniformly zero, meaning  $D((x_k)_k, (x_k)_k) = 0$ .

Similarly,  $D((x_k)_k, (y_k)_k) = 0$  implies that  $d_k(x_k, y_k) = 0$  for all  $x_k, y_k$ . Since  $d_k$  is a metric, this means  $x_k = y_k$  for all  $k$ , implying that  $(x_k)_k = (y_k)_k$ .

Additionally,  $d_k(x_k, y_k) = d_k(y_k, x_k)$ , it is the case that  $D((x_k)_k, (y_k)_k) = D((y_k)_k, (x_k)_k)$ .

Finally, we must show the triangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} D((x_k)_k, (z_k)_k) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_k(x_k, z_k) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} (d_k(x_k, y_k) + d_k(y_k, z_k)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_k(x_k, y_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} d_k(y_k, z_k) \\ &= D((x_k)_k, (y_k)_k) + D((y_k)_k, (z_k)_k). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Suppose  $x \neq y$ . Let  $\ell$  denote the smallest index where  $x_\ell \neq y_\ell$ . Suppose without loss of generality that  $x_\ell = 2$  and  $y_\ell = 0$ . Then,  $|x_\ell - z_\ell| - |y_\ell - z_\ell| = 2 \cdot 3^{-\ell}$ . Additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} 3^{-k} |x_k - z_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=\ell+1}^{\infty} 3^{-k} (2) \\ &= \frac{2}{3^{\ell+1}} \\ &< \frac{2}{3^\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $D(x, z) \neq D(y, z)$ .

## Problem 4

Let  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space, and suppose  $E \subseteq V$ . Show that the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $E$  is bounded —  $\text{diam}(E) < \infty$ ;
- (2)  $\sup_{v \in E} \|v\| < \infty$ ;
- (3) there is an  $r > 0$  such that  $E \subseteq B(0, r)$ .

**Proof:** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $E$  be bounded. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\|v\| - \|w\|\| &\leq \|v - w\| \\ \sup_{v, w \in E} \|\|v\| - \|w\|\| &\leq \sup_{v, w \in E} \|v - w\| \\ \sup_{v \in E} \|v\| - \inf_{w \in E} \|w\| &\leq c \\ \sup_{v \in E} \|v\| &\leq c + \inf_{w \in E} \|w\|. \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Since, for  $v \in E$ ,  $\sup \|v\| < \infty$ , if we set  $r = \sup \|v\| + 1$ , then  $v \in B(0, r)$ , meaning  $E \subseteq B(0, r)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $E$  be such that  $E \subseteq B(0, r)$  for some  $r$ . Then,  $\forall v, w \in B(0, r)$ ,  $\|v - w\| \leq 2r$ , meaning that  $\forall v, w \in E$ ,  $\|v - w\| \leq 2r$ , meaning  $\text{diam}(E) < \infty$ .

## Problem 5

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and suppose  $A \subseteq X$ . Show:

- (i)  $\overline{A^c} = (A^\circ)^c$
- (ii)  $(\overline{A})^c = (A^c)^\circ$

**Proof:**

- (i) We have previously established that  $\overline{A^c} \subseteq (A^\circ)^c$ . Let  $x \in (A^\circ)^c$ . Then,  $x \notin A^\circ$ , meaning  $\forall \delta > 0, U(x, \delta) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $x \in \overline{A^c}$ .
  - (ii) Let  $x \in \overline{A^c}$ . Then,  $x \notin \overline{A}$ , meaning  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $U(x, \delta) \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $U(x, \delta) \subseteq A^c$ , meaning  $x \in (A^c)^\circ$ .
- Let  $x \in (A^c)^\circ$ . Then,  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that  $U(x, \delta) \subseteq A^c$ . Therefore,  $U(x, \delta) \cap A = \emptyset$ , meaning  $x \notin \overline{A}$ , so  $x \in \overline{A}^c$ .

## Problem 6

In any metric space, show that open balls are open, closed balls are closed, and spheres are closed. Moreover, in a normed space, show that  $\partial U(v, r) = \partial B(v, r) = S(v, r)$ .

**Proof:**

- (i) Let  $\delta > 0$ , and  $A = U(x, \delta)$  for some  $x \in X$ . Then, for any  $y \in A$ , set  $\varepsilon = \min\{d(x, y), \delta - d(x, y)\}$ . Then,  $U(y, \varepsilon) \subseteq A$ .
- (ii) Let  $M = B(x, \delta)$ . Let  $y \in M^c$ . Set  $\varepsilon = d(x, y) - \delta$ . Then,  $U(y, \varepsilon) \subseteq M^c$ , meaning  $M^c$  is open, and  $M$  is thus closed.
- (iii) Let  $A = S(x, \delta)$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Then,  $A^c = U(x, \delta) \cup (B(x, \delta))^c$ , meaning  $A^c$  is a union of open sets, which is open. Thus,  $A$  is closed.
- (iv) We have previously established that, in a normed space,  $\overline{U(v, r)} = B(v, r)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial U(v, r) &= \overline{U(v, r)} \setminus U(v, r)^\circ \\ &= \{x \mid d(x, v) \leq r\} \setminus \{x \mid d(x, v) < r\} \\ &= \{x \mid d(x, v) = r\} \\ &= S(v, r). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in a normed vector space,  $B(v, r)^\circ = U(v, r)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial B(v, r) &= \overline{B(v, r)} \setminus B(v, r)^\circ \\ &= \{x \mid d(x, v) \leq r\} \setminus \{x \mid d(x, v) < r\} \\ &= \{x \mid d(x, v) = r\} \\ &= S(v, r). \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 7

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and suppose  $A \subseteq X$ . Show that the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $A$  is dense in  $X$ ;
- (ii) For all  $U \in \tau_X$ ,  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (iii) For all  $x \in X$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (iv) For all  $x \in X$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $a \in A$  with  $d(x, a) < \varepsilon$ .

**Proof:**

- (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii):  $\overline{A} = X \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in \overline{A}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .
- (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv):  $\forall x \in X, \forall \varepsilon > 0, U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, \exists a \in A \exists a \in U(x, \varepsilon) \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, \exists a \in a \ni d(x, a) < \varepsilon$ .
- (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose  $\forall x \in X, U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Then, since  $U(x, \varepsilon) \subseteq U$  for some  $U \in \tau_X$ , it is the case that  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .
- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Since  $U(x, \varepsilon) \in \tau_X$ , it is the case that for any  $x \in X$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $U(x, \varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ .

## Problem 8

Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an open set. For each  $x \in U$ , we put

$$I_x := \bigcup \{(a, b) \mid -\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty, x \in (a, b) \subseteq U\}.$$

- (i) Show that  $I_x$  is an open interval contained in  $U$  with  $x \in I_x$ .
- (ii) Given  $x, y \in U$ , show that  $I_x = I_y$  or  $I_x \cap I_y = \emptyset$ .
- (iii) Prove that  $U = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} I_j$ , where  $J$  is a countable set and  $I_j$  are open intervals.

**Proof of (i):** By construction,  $x \in I_x$ , as  $x \in (a, b)$  for all  $(a, b)$  that satisfy inclusion into  $I_x$ . Additionally, since  $x \in U$ ,  $\exists \delta_x$  such that  $(x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x) \subseteq U$ . Since  $I_x$  is the union of all such intervals, it must be the case that  $(x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x) \subseteq I_x$ , meaning  $I_x$  is an interval by the characterization of intervals.

**Proof of (ii):** Suppose that  $\exists a_1, b_1$  such that  $x \in (a_1, b_1)$  and  $y \in (a_1, b_1)$ . Then, it must be the case that  $(a_1, b_1) \subseteq I_x$  and  $(a_1, b_1) \subseteq I_y$ . Thus,  $I_x \subseteq I_y$  and  $I_y \subseteq I_x$ , meaning  $I_x = I_y$ .

If  $\nexists (a, b)$  that satisfy the condition, then it must be the case that  $\exists \delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  such that  $(x - \delta_1, x + \delta_1) \subseteq U$  and  $(y - \delta_2, y + \delta_2) \subseteq U$ , but  $(x - \delta_1, x + \delta_1) \cap (y - \delta_2, y + \delta_2) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $I_y \cap I_x = \emptyset$ .

## Problem 9

Show that  $c_0$  with  $\|\cdot\|_U$  is separable.

**Proof:** Let  $z \in c_0$ . Set  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ , then finding  $N_1$  large such that for all  $n > N_1$ ,  $z_n < \varepsilon_1$ . Set  $z' \in c_{00}$  to be equal to  $z$  on  $1, \dots, N_1$  and equal to 0 for all  $n > N_1$ .

Recall that for

$$E_n = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k e_k \mid \alpha_k \in \mathbb{Q} \right\},$$

$$E = \bigcup E_n,$$

$E$  is dense in  $c_{00}$ , meaning that there exists some  $w \in c_{00}$  such that  $\|z' - w\| < \varepsilon$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . However, since  $z' = z$  for all  $n$  from  $1, \dots, N_1$ , and the index of  $\|z\|_U$  is contained in  $1, \dots, N_1$ , this means  $\|z - w\| < \varepsilon$ , meaning  $E$  is dense in  $c_0$ .

Since  $E$  is countable, this means  $c_0$  is countable.

## Problem 10

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the Cantor set. Show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is nowhere dense.

**Proof:** We know that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed, meaning all we need show is that  $\mathcal{C}^0 = \emptyset$ .

Suppose toward contradiction that  $\mathcal{C}^0$  is not empty. Then,  $\exists x \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ .

Find  $m$  so large such that  $3^{-m} < \varepsilon$ . Then,  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$  must be contained in a subinterval with length  $\frac{1}{3^m}$ . However,  $2\varepsilon > \frac{1}{3^m}$ , and every subinterval in the element  $\mathcal{C}_m$  has length  $\frac{1}{3^m}$ .