# **Complex Analysis**

## Analyticity and Path-Independence in the Complex Plane

#### **Baby's First Complex Function Theory**

We are interested in functions of the form f(z), where z = x + iy is some complex number. Note that this is specifically different from a function  $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \Omega$  for some domain  $\Omega$ ; in the latter case, we have independent variables x and y, while in the former case, we must express z = x + iy.

Now, consider a contour integral

$$\oint_C w(z) dz = \oint_C w(z) (dx + idy)$$

$$= \oint_C w(z) dx + i \oint_C w(z) dy.$$

Taking  $A_x = w(z)$  and  $A_y = iw(z)$ , we have

$$= \oint_C \mathbf{A} \cdot d\vec{\ell}.$$

We want to know if this is equal to, by Green's Theorem,

$$= \int_{S} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \, d\mathbf{a},$$

and when this integral is zero. Note that  $(\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ , so  $\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} = 0$ .

Note that we can take

$$w(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y),$$

where z = x + iy.

After a lot of tedious derivation, we get the Cauchy–Riemann equations.

Theorem (Cauchy–Riemann Equations):

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$
$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}.$$

Furthermore, the Cauchy–Riemann equations guarantee that w is analytic, which leads to Cauchy's theorem.

**Theorem** (Cauchy's Theorem): If *C* is a simple closed curve in a simply connected region, then *w* is analytic if and only if

$$\oint_C w(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = 0.$$
(†)

**Fact.** The function w(z) is analytic inside the simply connected region R if any of these hold:

• w satisfies the Cauchy–Riemann equations;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Equal to its Taylor series, also holomorphic.

- w'(z) is unique and exists;
- $\frac{\partial w}{\partial \overline{z}} = 0$ .
- w can be expanded as  $w(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} c_n (z a)^n$ , convergent on some open neighborhood of a for each a on its domain;  $\pi$
- w(z) is path-independent everywhere in R:  $\oint_C w(z) dz = 0$ .

**Example.** Considering w(z) = z, we have u = x and v = y, so it satisfies the Cauchy–Riemann equations. However, neither Re(z) nor Im(z) are analytic, and neither is  $\overline{z} = x - iy$ .

Remark: Whenever we say "analytic at p," we mean "analytic in a neighborhood of p."

Note that since  $\mathbb{C}$  is a non-compact locally compact Hausdorff space, we may carry out a one-point compactification of  $\mathbb{C}$ , by adjoining a point  $\{\infty\}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . This compactified  $\mathbb{C}^*$  is often represented as a unit sphere with the north pole, determined by (0,0,1), is the point at infinity. The correspondence between  $\mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{\infty\}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  is evaluated via stereographic projection.

We define  $\frac{z}{\infty} = 0$  and  $\frac{z}{0} = \infty$  for any  $z \neq 0, \infty$ . The correspondence between z = x + iy in the plane to Z on the Riemann sphere with  $\mathbb{R}^3$  coordinates  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$  is

$$\xi_1 = \frac{2 \operatorname{Re}(z)}{|z|^2 + 1}$$

$$\xi_2 = \frac{2 \operatorname{Im}(z)}{|z|^2 + 1}$$

$$\xi_3 = \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1}$$

Inverting, we may find

$$x = \frac{\xi_1}{1 - \xi_3}$$
$$y = \frac{\xi_2}{1 - \xi_3}$$

and with polar coordinates,

$$z = \cot(\theta/2)e^{i\phi}.$$



To determine analyticity at  $\infty$ , we set  $\zeta = \frac{1}{z}$ , and analyze the analyticity of  $\tilde{w}(\zeta) = w(1/z)$  at 0.

<sup>&</sup>quot;This is technically the real definition of analytic for the case when we're dealing with a function with domain  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Cauchy's Integral Formula

Consider the function w(z) = c/z, integrated around a circle of radius R. Then, writing  $z = Re^{i\varphi}$ , we get

$$\oint_{\Gamma} w(z) dz = C \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{e^{-i\varphi}}{R} \underbrace{iRe^{i\varphi} d\varphi}_{dz}$$

$$= ic \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi$$

$$= 2\pi ic.$$

If our contour C runs around our singularity at z = 0 a total of n times, then we pick up a factor of n.

Now, when we consider

$$I = \oint_C \frac{dz}{z^n},$$

this integral actually yields 0 for any  $n \ne 1$ , despite the fact that 0 is a singularity for  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^n}$ . This 0 is not a reflection of Cauchy's integral theorem, but of the fact that

$$z^{-n} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} \left( \frac{z^{-n+1}}{n+1} \right),$$

meaning that  $z^{-n}$  is an exact differential, so integrating along a closed curve yields zero change. However,  $\frac{1}{z} = \frac{d}{dz}(\ln z)$  may be an exact differential, but for complex z,  $\ln z = \ln|z| + i \arg(z) = \ln r + i \varphi$ . This yields

$$\oint_C \frac{c}{z} dz = c \oint_C d(\ln z)$$

$$= c(i(\varphi + 2\pi) - \varphi)$$

$$= 2\pi ic.$$

Ultimately, what this shows is that when we integrate any analytic function  $f(\zeta)$  along a closed contour with a singularity at z, only the coefficient on  $\frac{1}{\zeta-z}$  will remain. This coefficient is known as the residue at 0.

**Theorem** (Cauchy's Integral Formula): If *w* is analytic in a simply connected region and C is a closed contour winding once around a point *z* in the region, then

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{w(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta. \tag{**}$$

Furthermore, this shows that any once-differentiable function is infinitely differentiable, as by differentiating under the integral sign, we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}w}{\mathrm{d}z^{n}} = \frac{n!}{2\pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{C} \frac{w(\zeta)}{\left(\zeta - z\right)^{n+1}} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta.$$

**Example** (Deriving Liouville's Theorem). Consider a circle C centered at radius r centered at at z,  $\zeta - z = Re^{i\varphi}$ . We take  $d\zeta = iRe^{i\varphi} d\varphi$ , and taking derivatives, we have

$$w'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} w \left(z + Re^{i\varphi}\right) e^{-i\varphi} d\varphi.$$

If w is bounded — i.e.,  $|w(z)| \le M$  for all z in a given region — then

$$|w'(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_0^{2\pi} w \left( z + Re^{i\varphi} \right) e^{-i\varphi} d\varphi \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| w \left( z + R e^{i \varphi} \right) \right| d\varphi$$

$$\leq \frac{M}{R}$$

for all R within the analytic region.

In the case where w is entire (i.e., analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ ), then this inequality holds for all  $\mathbb{R} \to \infty$ . Thus, |w'(z)| = 0 for all z, meaning that w is constant.

This is known as Liouville's theorem — every bounded entire function is constant. This can be used to prove the fundamental theorem of algebra.

What Liouville's theorem tells us is that any nontrivial behavior will emerge from a function's singularities.

# Singularities and Branches

To understand nontrivial behavior on the complex plane, we need to understand singularities. This will require us to develop understanding of Laurent series.

### **Taylor Series**

We want to integrate w(z) around some point a in an analytic region of w(z). This yields the form

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{w(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{w(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \alpha) - (z - \alpha)} d\zeta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{w(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \alpha) \left(1 - \frac{z - \alpha}{\zeta - \alpha}\right)} d\zeta. \tag{\ddagger}$$

Since  $\zeta$  is on the contour and z is in the contour,  $\left|\frac{z-a}{\zeta-a}\right| < 1$ , we may expand as a geometric series. Thus, we get

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{w(\zeta)}{(\zeta - a)} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z - a}{\zeta - a} \right)^n \right) d\zeta.$$

Since the series is uniformly convergent, we are allowed to exchange sum and integral, yielding

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C} \frac{w(\zeta)}{(\zeta - a)^{n+1}} d\zeta\right)}_{=c_{n}} (z - a)^{n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} (z - a)^{n},$$

where

$$c_n = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{d^n w}{dz^n} \right|_{z=a}.$$

If our Taylor series reduces to a known series on the real axis, we find this very desirable. We say this is a type of analytic continuation from the real axis to the complex plane. For example,

$$e^z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!}$$

is an analytic continuation of  $e^x$ .

However, more interestingly,

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^s}$$

converges for all s > 1. However, we have also shown that

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s-1}}{e^x - 1} dx$$

converges for complex s for all real part greater than 1. Since values of this integral agree with the series representation of  $\zeta(s)$  on real axis, we have that this is an analytic continuation of  $\zeta(s)$  to the subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by Re(s) > 1.

#### **Laurent Series**

Now, what happens if, at (‡), we have  $\left|\frac{z-a}{\zeta-a}\right| > 1$ . The series as constructed would not converge, but what if we have a series that converges everywhere *outside* C? This would entail an expansion in reciprocal integer powers of z-a. This yields

$$w(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{w(\zeta)}{(z-a)\left(1 - \frac{\zeta - a}{z-a}\right)} d\zeta$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{w(\zeta)}{z-a} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta - a}{z-a}\right)^n\right) d\zeta$$

$$= -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C w(\zeta - a)^n d\zeta\right) \frac{1}{(z-a)^{n+1}}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C w(\zeta - a)^{n-1} d\zeta\right) \frac{1}{(z-a)^n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{-n}}{(z-a)^n}$$

Note that this series has a singularity at z = a, but since our series is only defined outside a particular region, that doesn't matter. We call a series in reciprocal powers a Laurent series. More specifically, Laurent series may include expansions in negative powers as well as positive powers.

**Example** (Annuli). If we have a point a, we want to surround a by a special contour to apply Cauchy's integral formula.

In particular, for any z in the annulus, we get

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{c_1 - c_2} \frac{w(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{c_1} \frac{w(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{c_2} \frac{w(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$
$$= \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} c_n (z - a)^n$$
$$= c_0 + \sum_{n = 1}^{\infty} (c_{-n} (z - a)^n + c_n (z - a)^n).$$

**Example.** Consider the function

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 + z - 2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(z - 1)(z + 2)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{z - 1} - \frac{1}{z + 2} \right).$$

Now, we have three regions to expand w in.

- If |z| < 1, then our series is in both  $z^n$  and  $z^n$ .
- If 1 < |z| < 2, then one of our series is going to in  $\frac{1}{z^n}$  and one is in  $z^n$ .
- If |z| > 2, then both of our series are in the form of  $\frac{1}{z^n}$  and  $\frac{1}{z^n}$

Via tedious, heavily error-prone calculations, we find that

$$w_1(z) = -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 + (-1)^n \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n+1} \right) z^n$$

$$w_2(z) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{z^{n+1}} + \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^{n+1} z^n \right)$$

$$w_3(z) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( 1 - (-2)^n \right) \frac{1}{z^{n+1}}.$$

Sewing all of  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$ ,  $w_3$  together, then we get a full series representation of w(z).

**Definition.** If w(z) is a function that can be written as  $w(z) = (z - a)^n g(a)$ , where  $g(a) \ne 0$ , then we say w has an n-th order zero at z = a. If n = 1, then we say w has a simple zero at a.

Similarly, if we can write

$$w(z) = \frac{g(a)}{(z-a)^n}$$

with  $g(a) \neq 0$ , then we say w has a pole of order n at a. If n = 1, then we say w has a simple pole at a.

There are three types of isolated singularities (i.e., isolated points where w(z) is not defined).

**Definition.** Let w be an analytic function with isolated singularity at a.

• If w remains bounded in any neighborhood of a, then it must be the case that  $c_{-n} = 0$  for all n > 1, so the Laurent series is a pure Taylor expansion. We say z = a is a removable singularity.

For instance, the function

$$\frac{\sin(z-a)}{z-a} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(z-a)^{2n}}{(2n+1)!}$$

has a removable singularity at z = a.

- If not all the  $c_{-n}$  are equal to zero, but there is a largest n > 0 such that  $c_{-n}$  is in the Laurent series expansion, then we say a is an n-th order pole. If n = 1, we say a is a simple pole.
- If there is no largest value of n such that  $c_{-n}$  is in the Laurent series i.e., that  $c_{-n} \neq 0$  for all n then we say that a is an essential singularity.

One of the most important facts about an essential singularity is that the behavior is path dependent. For instance,

$$e^{1/z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!z^n}$$

has an essential singularity at z=0. We see that  $e^{1/z}$  diverges as  $z\to 0$  along the positive real axis, but if  $z\to 0$  along the negative real axis, we get  $e^{1/z}\to 0$ .

Singularities can also occur at  $\infty$ , which occurs when w(1/z) has a singularity at 0.

#### **Multivalued Function**

Consider the function

$$w(z) = z^{2}$$

$$= \underbrace{\left(x^{2} - y^{2}\right)}_{u(x,y)} + i\underbrace{\left(2xy\right)}_{v(x,y)}$$

$$= r^{2}e^{2i\varphi}.$$

Note that if we take a path around the origin going around by an angle of  $2\pi$ , then the resulting path goes around twice. Note that this means the lines  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi + \pi$  map to the same point in the w plane.

This isn't such a big deal in and of itself, but if we take  $w(z) = z^{1/2}$ , we get an issue. Instead of w being a two-to-one function, we now have w is a one-to-two function. This is an implicit problem in  $\mathbb{R}$  with the function  $w(x) = \sqrt{x}$ , which we resolve by taking the "positive" square root. This is known as choosing a branch.

We have to do something similar in the complex plane. Note that if we go around by an angle of  $2\pi$  in the z plane, then we only go around by an angle of  $\pi$  in the w-plane. As we keep going around the plane, we jump from branch to branch, which brings issues of continuity.

To resolve this, we create a "branch cut" that contours are not allowed to cross.

**Example.** The most common branch cut is to start from the branch point at z = 0, in the case of  $w(z) = z^{1/2}$  or  $w(z) = \ln(z)$ , and extend along the real axis, meaning our branch cut is  $(-\infty, 0]$ .

This principal branch restricts *output* values of  $\varphi$  to  $-\pi < \varphi \leqslant \pi$ .

For instance, above the cut, we have  $\varphi = \pi$ , and below the branch cut, we have  $\varphi = -\pi$ , meaning we have

$$\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{r}e^{i\pi/2}$$
  $\phi \to \pi$ 

$$= i\sqrt{r}$$

$$\sqrt{z} = \sqrt{r}e^{-i\pi/2}$$

$$= -i\sqrt{r}.$$

$$\phi \to -\pi$$

This is why the branch cut "causes" a discontinuity across the branch, but in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ .

Now, if we have

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{z_1}\sqrt{z_2} &= \left(r_1 e^{i\,\phi_1}\right)^{1/2} \!\! \left(r_2 e^{i\,\phi_2}\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{r_1 r_2} e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)/2}. \end{split}$$

However, if we want to calculate  $\sqrt{z_1z_2}$ , and if  $|\phi_1 + \phi_2| > \pi <$  then our product  $z_1z_2$  crosses the branch cut, and our discontinuity requires  $\phi_1 + \phi_2$  to be converted to  $\phi_1 + \phi_2 \pm 2\pi$  so as to bring the angle sum back into the principal branch. This means we have

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{z_1 z_2} &= \left( r_1 r_2 e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)/2} \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sqrt{r_1 r_2} e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)/2} & |\phi_1 + \phi_2| \leqslant \pi \\ -\sqrt{r_1 r_2} e^{i(\phi_1 + \phi_2)/2} & |\phi_1 + \phi_2| > \pi \end{cases}. \end{split}$$

**Example.** Now, if we have  $z_1 = 2e^{i(3\pi/4)}$  and  $z_2 = e^{i(\pi/2)}$ , then we have

$$\sqrt{z_1} = \sqrt{2}e^{i3(\pi/8)}$$
  
 $\sqrt{z_2} = e^{i(\pi/4)}$ .

Note that if we take  $\sqrt{z_1z_2}$ , then the argument of  $z_1z_2$  is  $5\pi/4$ , so we have to change our argument to  $-3\pi/4$  to return to the principal branch before we may calculate the square root. This gives

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{z_1 z_2} &= \sqrt{2} e^{-\mathrm{i}(3\pi/4)} \\ &= \sqrt{2} e^{-\mathrm{i}\pi + \mathrm{i}(5\pi/8)} \\ &= -\sqrt{2} e^{\mathrm{i}(5\pi/8)} \\ &= -\sqrt{z_1} \sqrt{z_2}. \end{split}$$

Now, it is possible to have a branch point at  $\infty$ , by determining if  $w(\frac{1}{z})$  has a branch point at zero. For instance, if  $w = z^{1/2}$ , this gives

$$w\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \frac{1}{\zeta^{1/2}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}e^{-i\varphi/2},$$

which has the multivalued behavior around the origin. Thus,  $z = \infty$  is a branch point for z, and we consider the  $(-\infty, 0]$  branch cut that connects the branch points at 0 and  $\infty$ .

Example. Consider

$$w(z) = \sqrt{(z - a)(z - b)}.$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  with a < b. We expect the only finite branch points to be a and b. Introducing polar coordinates, we have

$$r_1 e^{i \varphi_1} = z - a$$

$$r_2 e^{i \varphi_2} = z - b,$$

giving

$$w(z) = \sqrt{r_1 r_2} e^{i \varphi_1} e^{i \varphi_2}.$$

Closed contours around *either* a or b are double-valued. However, if our closed contour goes around *both* a and b, then both  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  add up to  $2\pi$ , meaning we don't have the multivalued behavior.

Now, to select our branch cut, we need to find out if the point at infinity is a branch point. We take  $\zeta = \frac{1}{z}$ , and we have

$$w(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta} \sqrt{(1 - a\zeta)(1 - b\zeta)},$$

which blows up at ∞, but only takes a singular value.<sup>III</sup>

In general,  $z^{1/m}$  for integral m will require m branch cuts.

**Example.** Consider the integral

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x e^{ikx}}{\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} dx.$$

This is a hard integral to evaluate. To resolve this, we extend the integrand to the complex plane, and invoke Cauchy's theorem to deform the contour.

Note that  $\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}$  is multivalued, with branch points at  $x = \pm ia$ . We choose the branch cut such that our integration contour does not cross the branch cut — i.e., from -ia to  $\infty$  to ia.

Now, we may deform the contour so as to closely wrap around the branch cut from ia to  $\infty$ . Remembering the sign discontinuity over the branch cut, this gives the integral

$$\int_{i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{ze^{ikz}}{\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} dz = \int_{i\infty}^{i\alpha} \frac{ze^{ikz}}{-i\sqrt{x^2 + a^2}} dz + \int_{-a}^{\infty} \frac{ze^{ikz}}{i\sqrt{z^2 + a^2}} dz$$

$$= 2 \int_{ia}^{i\infty} \frac{ze^{ikz}}{i\sqrt{z^2 + a^2}} dz$$

$$= 2 \int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{ye^{-ky}}{\sqrt{y^2 - a^2}} dy$$

$$= 2aK_1(ka)$$

$$\approx e^{-ka}$$

Here,  $K_1$  refers to the modified Bessel function.

### Logarithms

In the complex plane, we say

$$\ln z = \ln \left( re^{i\varphi} \right)$$
$$= \ln r + i\varphi$$
$$= \ln |z| + i \arg(z).$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>III</sup>Alternatively, we may see that a positively-oriented contour that surrounds both  $\alpha$  and b is a negatively-oriented contour around ∞. Since such a contour is valid, ∞ is not a branch point.

Unfortunately, this  $\ln z$  is a multivalued function — a very multivalued one indeed. This yields many branch points, including 0 and  $\infty$ :

$$ln(1/\zeta) = -ln(\zeta).$$

However, we choose the principal branch,  $\pi < \phi \le \pi$ , giving

$$\operatorname{Ln} z = \operatorname{Ln}|z| + i\operatorname{Arg}(z).$$

**Example.** Consider  $ln(z_1z_1)$  and  $Ln(z_1z_2)$ . If we have

$$z_1 = 1 + i$$
  
 $z_2 = i$ ,

then

$$\arg(z_1) = \pi/4$$
  
 
$$\arg(z_2) = \pi/2,$$

so

$$arg(z_1z_2) = 3\pi/4$$
$$= arg(z_1) + arg(z_2)$$
$$= Arg(z_1z_2).$$

However, if  $z_1 = z_2 = -1$ , then

$$arg(z_1z_2) = arg(z_1) + arg(z_2)$$
$$= 2\pi$$
$$Arg(z_1z_2) = Arg(1)$$
$$= 0.$$

Thus, we get that  $Ln(z_1z_2) \neq Ln(z_1) + Ln(z_2)$ .

**Example** (Logarithms vs Inverse Trig). Here, we will derive  $\arctan(z)$  in terms of the complex logarithm.

Recall that

$$\cos(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{iz} + e^{-iz} \right)$$
$$\sin(z) = \frac{1}{2i} \left( e^{iz} - e^{-iz} \right),$$

so we have

$$z = \tan(w)$$

$$= -i \frac{e^{iw} - e^{-iw}}{e^{iw} + e^{-iw}},$$

which after much tedious, error-prone symbolic manipulation, gives

$$e^{2iw} = \frac{i-z}{i+z}.$$

Thus, we have

$$w = \arctan(z)$$

$$=\frac{1}{2i}\ln\left(\frac{i-z}{i+z}\right).$$

Note that since  $\ln$  has branch points at 0 and  $\infty$ ,  $\ln\left(\frac{i-z}{i+z}\right)$  has branch points when  $z=\pm i$ .

Now, we must choose a branch cut. Specifically, we want our branch cut to continue the real arctan(x). We dub this Arctan(x). Along the real axis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Arctan}(x) &= \frac{1}{2i} \operatorname{Ln} \left( \frac{i - x}{i + x} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \left( \operatorname{Ln} \left| \frac{i - x}{i + x} \right| + i \operatorname{Arg} \left( \frac{i - x}{i + x} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Arg} \left( \frac{i - x}{i + x} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The principal values are from  $-\pi$  to  $\pi$ , so the output of  $\arctan(x)$  ranges from  $-\pi/2$  to  $\pi/2$ .

## **Conformal Maps**

A conformal map is a special type of map  $w: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$  that "preserves angles." If, in z, we map curves whose intersections are at some angle  $\varphi$ , then the image of those curves also intersect at the angle  $\varphi$ .

**Example** (Our First Conformal Map). Consider the map

$$w(z) = z2$$

$$= (x2 - y2) + i(2xy)$$

$$= u(x, y) + iv(x, y).$$

Examining the line elements in the z and w planes, we have

$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= du^2 + dv^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dy\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} dy\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dx - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} dy\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dy\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^2\right) \left(dx^2 + dy^2\right) \\ &= \left(\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)^2\right) \left(dx^2 + dy^2\right) \\ &= 4\left(x^2 + y^2\right) \left(dx^2 + dy^2\right) \end{split}$$

Note that  $dx^2$  and  $dy^2$  have identical scale factors. Since angles are determined by the ratio of dx and dy, it is the case that *all* angles are preserved. This is what is meant by a conformal map.

**Example** (Analyticity and Conformality). Consider an analytic function w(z), with its Taylor expansion about  $z_0$ .

$$w(z) = w(z_0) + w'(z_0)(z - z_0) + \cdots$$

For a very small  $\xi = z - z_0$ , we may truncate it into first order, and place into polar form

$$w(z) - w(z_0) = w'(z_0)\xi$$

$$= |w'(z_0)|e^{i\alpha_0}\xi.$$

Moving from z to w, we get a magnification (or shrinkage) by  $|w'(z_0)|$  and a rotation by  $\alpha_0$ .

Since, close to  $z_0$ ,  $\xi_1 = z_1 - z_0$  and  $\xi_2 = z_2 - z_0$  are magnified by (effectively) the same amount, and rotated by (effectively) the same amount, conformality is established.

**Definition.** A conformal map is an analytic function w(z) defined on a domain Ω such that  $w'(z_0) \neq 0$  for all  $z_0 \in \Omega$ .

**Example** (Möbius Transformations). A Möbius transformation is a fractional linear transformation of the form

$$w(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

where  $ad - bc \neq 0$ . We can calculate w'(z) to be

$$w'(z) = \frac{ad - bc}{(cz + d)^2}.$$

Since w(z) is conformal, it is invertible, so

$$w^{-1}(z) = z(w)$$
$$= \frac{dw - b}{-cw + a}.$$

The Möbius transformations include  $\infty$ , as we have  $w(\infty) = \frac{\alpha}{c}$ , meaning that it is an automorphism of the Riemann sphere. Note that because of the constraint, we only need three numbers to specify a Möbius transformation.

Consider the Möbius transformation

$$w(z) = \frac{z - i}{z + i}.$$

We let  $z_1 = -1$ ,  $z_2 = 1$ , and  $z_3 = \infty$ . Then, we have

$$w(z_2) = \frac{-1 - i}{-1 + i}$$
$$= \frac{2i}{2}$$
$$= i.$$

Similarly, this gives  $w(z_3) = 1$ . After a bit more playing, we can find that this is a map of the (closed) upper half-plane to the (closed) unit disk,  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Now, if we look at the "ribbon" between the real axis and the line Im(z) = i, we see that it maps to the region

$$S = \mathbb{D} \setminus \left\{ z \mid \left| z - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

**Example.** Consider the map  $w(z) = e^z$ . This gives

$$w(z) = e^{x}e^{iy}$$
$$= \rho e^{i\beta}.$$

This sends curves of constant y to curves of constant argument, and maps curves of constant x to circles of constant radius.

#### **Complex Potentials**

Consider the analytic function

$$\Omega(z) = \Phi(x, y) + i\Psi(x, y).$$

We know that

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}$$
$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}.$$

Thus, we separate to get

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y}$$
$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}$$
$$= -\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2},$$

so

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 0$$
$$\nabla^2 \Psi = 0.$$

The converse is also true — if there is some real harmonic function  $\Phi(x, y)$ , there is a conjugate harmonic function  $\Psi(x, y)$  such that  $\Omega(z) = \Phi(x, y) + i\Psi(x, y)$  is analytic.

If  $\Omega$  is analytic, then  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  must satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations, meaning that

$$\Psi(x,y) = \int \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x} dx$$
$$= \int \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} dy - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} dx.$$

For  $\Psi$  to be a proper single-valued real function, the integral must be path-independent. Using Green's theorem, we may close the path in a simply connected region, and consider it as a surface integral. This gives

$$\oint_C \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} dy - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} dx = \int_S \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} \right) \right) dx dy$$

$$= \int_x \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial y^2} \right) dx dy$$

$$= 0.$$

We call  $\Omega(z) = \Phi(x, y) + i\Psi(x, y)$  the complex potential.

This gives

$$\frac{d\Omega}{dz} = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x}$$
$$= \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial y}$$

$$= \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x}.$$
$$= \overline{\mathcal{E}},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the complex representation of the electric field,  $\mathbf{E}$ . We have

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\overline{\partial \Omega}}{\partial z}$$
$$= \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y},$$

with

$$E = \left| \frac{d\Omega}{dz} \right|.$$

The physics of electric fields is then determined entirely by the complex potential.

What makes harmonic functions useful is that, if there are complicated boundary conditions, we may apply a conformal map and the functions remain harmonic.

**Example** (Cylindrical Capacitor). Consider a cylindrical capacitor with nonconcentric plates meeting at insulated point u = 1 and v = 0. The larger cylinder with radius 1 is grounded, and the smaller cylinder with radius 1/2 is held at voltage  $V_0$ . We want to find the electric field.

We want to find  $\widetilde{\Phi}(w)$  such that

$$\nabla^2 \widetilde{\Phi}(\mathfrak{u}, \mathfrak{v}) = 0.$$

This domain is kind of difficult, so we will solve the problem on a simpler domain and use a conformal map. Note that from Figure 20.4 in the book, we may use the Möbius transformation

$$w(z) = \frac{z - i}{z + i}$$

to transform *to* our cylindrical capacitor *from* a two-plate infinite capacitor with one plate at Im(z) = 1 and one plate at Im(z) = 0. From physics, we know that  $\Phi(x, y) = \frac{V_0 y}{d}$ , where d = 1. Thus, the harmonic conjugate,  $\Psi = -V_0 x$ , gives us a complex potential of  $\Phi = -iV_0 z$ .

Solving

$$\frac{z-i}{z+i} = u(x,y) + iv(x,y),$$

we find

$$x(u,v) = -\frac{2v}{(1-u)^2 + v^2}$$
$$y(u,v) = \frac{1 - u^2 - v^2}{(1-u)^2 + v^2}.$$

Now, this gives

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(u, v) = \Phi(x(u, v), y(u, v))$$
$$= V_0 \frac{1 - u^2 - v^2}{(1 - u)^2 + v^2}.$$

**Example** (Fluid Flow). Consider fluid flow around a rock with disk of radius  $\alpha$ ; far away from the rock, we have uniform flow speed of  $\alpha$ .

Symmetry allows us to focus only on the upper half-plane. Now, there is a conformal map in Table 20.1 of the textbook, which is the map  $w(z) = z + \frac{\alpha^2}{z} = u(x,y) + iv(x,y)$  that maps the upper half-plane to the upper half-plane. Furthermore, this map sends the boundary hugging the rock into the u-axis.

After applying the conformal map, we get the stream lines  $\widetilde{\Psi}(u, v) = \beta v$ , as they are streamlines of uniform horizontal flow.

Building the complex potential, we have

$$\widetilde{\Omega}(w) = \Phi(u, v) + i\Psi(u, v)$$
  
$$\widetilde{\Omega}(w) = \beta w,$$

as we must have  $\frac{d\Phi}{du} = \frac{d\Psi}{dv} = \beta$ .

Mapping back into the z-plane, we have

$$\Omega(z) = \beta \left( z + \frac{\alpha^2}{z} \right).$$

Note that as *z* becomes very big, the term  $\frac{a^2}{z}$  goes to 0, so we must have  $\beta = \alpha$ .

Now, we may find the streamlines and potentials. Note that we have

$$\Phi = \operatorname{Re}(\Omega)$$

$$\Psi = \operatorname{Im}(\Omega).$$

Now, we have

$$\Omega(z) = \alpha r \left( e^{i\varphi} + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} e^{-i\varphi} \right)$$
$$= \alpha r \left( \cos(\varphi) + i \sin(\varphi) + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} (\cos(\varphi) - i \sin(\varphi)) \right).$$

Taking real and imaginary parts, we have

$$\begin{split} \Phi &= \alpha r \bigg( 1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \bigg) \cos(\phi) \\ \Psi &= \alpha r \bigg( 1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \bigg) \sin(\phi). \end{split}$$

Example. Considering our conformal map

$$w(z) = z + \frac{a^2}{z}$$

again, we see that if |z| = a, then  $|u| \le 2a$ . Meanwhile, if r > a, then

$$w(z) = z + \frac{\alpha^2}{z}$$
$$= re^{i\varphi} + \frac{\alpha^2}{r}e^{-i\varphi}$$

$$= \left(r + \frac{a^2}{r}\right) \cos(\varphi) + i \left(r - \frac{a^2}{r}\right) \sin(\varphi)$$
$$= u + iv.$$

This gives

$$\frac{u^2}{\left(r + \frac{\alpha^2}{r}\right)^2} + \frac{v^2}{\left(r - \frac{\alpha^2}{r}\right)} = 1.$$

Note that w fails to be conformal when  $\frac{dw}{dz} = 0$ , meaning that it fails to be conformal at  $z = \pm a$ .

This is occasionally used in the real world<sup>IV</sup> to design airfoils.

#### Residues

Consider a function f(z) with an nth order pole. Then, f can be written as

$$f(z) = \frac{g(z)}{(z - a)^n},$$

where g(z) is analytic and  $g(a) \neq 0$ . Recalling Cauchy's integral formula, we see that this expression for f is tantalizingly close to our desired state.

We may expand g in a Taylor series:

$$g(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(m)}(a)}{m!} (z - a)^m.$$

Letting C be a positively oriented contour in the analytic domain of f that encircles the singularity, we get

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{g^{(m)(a)}}{m!} \oint_C (z-a)^{m-n} dz.$$

Note that if  $m - n \neq -1$ , then the integral on the right vanishes, so we only obtain a nonzero contribution at m = n - 1. Thus, we get

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = 2\pi i \frac{g^{(n-1)(a)}}{(n-1)!}.$$

**Definition.** Let f(z) be an analytic function with a pole at z = a with order n. We define the residue of f at a as

Res[f(z), a] := 
$$\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lim_{z \to a} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dz^{n-1}} ((z-a)^n f(z)).$$

This gives an alternative statement of Cauchy's integral formula, giving

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}[f(z), a].$$

However, when we have lots of poles for f, and C is a contour that surrounds all the poles, we may deform C such that it surrounds each pole. This gives the residue theorem.

Theorem (Residue Theorem):

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{\alpha \in C} \text{Res}[f(z), \alpha]$$
 (††)

Туре	Method
n-th order pole	$\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n-1}}{\mathrm{d}z^{n-1}} \left( (z-a)^n f(z) \right)$
simple pole	$\lim_{z\to a}(z-a)f(z)$
$f = \frac{p}{q}, q(a)$ simple zero	$\frac{p(a)}{q'(a)}$
pole at infinity	$\lim_{z \to 0} \left( -\frac{1}{z^2} f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \right)$
pole at infinity, $\lim_{ z \to\infty} f(z) = 0$	$-\lim_{ z \to\infty}(zf(z))$

Table 1: Finding Res[f(z), a]

We can find the residue in a variety of ways.

**Example.** We will find the residue for cot(z) for each of the residues.

$$Res[\cot(z), n\pi] = \lim_{z \to n\pi} (z - n\pi) \frac{\cos(z)}{\sin(z)}$$
$$= (-1)^n \lim_{z \to n\pi} \frac{z - n\pi}{\sin(z)}$$
$$= (-1)^n \lim_{z \to n\pi} \frac{z - n\pi}{(-1)^n \sin(z - n\pi)}$$
$$= 1.$$

Example. We may find

$$\operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{z}{\sinh(z)}, \operatorname{in}\pi\right] = \frac{z}{\frac{d}{dz}(\sinh(z))}\bigg|_{z=\operatorname{in}\pi}$$
$$= \frac{\operatorname{in}\pi}{\cosh(\operatorname{in}\pi)}$$
$$= (-1)^{\operatorname{n}}\operatorname{in}\pi$$

**Example.** Let's evaluate

$$\oint_C \frac{(z-1)(z-2)}{z(z+1)(3-z)}.$$

Finding the residue at each pole, we get

Res[f(z), 0] = 
$$\frac{2}{3}$$
  
Res[f(z), -1] =  $-\frac{3}{2}$   
Res[f(z), 3] =  $-\frac{1}{6}$ 

These are evaluated using the cover-up method.

Now, we may find the integral by taking

$$\oint_{|z|=2} f(z) dz = -i \frac{5\pi}{3}.$$

IVI guess people do things over there.

#### Example. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 \sinh(z)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{-iz^2 \sin(iz)}.$$

The simple zeros of sinh(z) are at  $in\pi$ , so we have an order 3 pole at z=0

Res[f(z), 0] = 
$$\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} [z^3 f(z)] \Big|_{z=0}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} (\frac{z}{\sinh(z)}) \Big|_{z=0}$   
=  $-\frac{1}{6}$ .

Thus, integrating about the unit circle, we get

$$\oint_{|z|=1} = -\frac{i\pi}{3}.$$

If we were to evaluate via the Laurent series, we would have

$$\frac{1}{z^2 \sinh(z)} = \frac{1}{z^2} \left( \frac{1}{z + z^2/3 + z^5/5! + \cdots} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^3} \left( \frac{1}{1 + z^2/3! + z^4/5! + \cdots} \right)$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{z^3} \left( 1 - \frac{z^2}{3!} + \cdots \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^3} - \frac{1}{6z} + \cdots,$$

giving a residue of  $-\frac{1}{6}$ .

Instead of using the contour on the unit circle, if we want to use a circle of radius 4, we get the residues at  $z = \pm i\pi$ . To evaluate this, we take

$$\operatorname{Res}[f(z), i\pi] = \frac{1}{-\pi^2(-1)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2}$$
$$\operatorname{Res}[f(z), -i\pi] = \frac{1}{\pi^2}.$$

Evaluating the integral, we would get

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = 2\pi i \left( -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{2}{\pi^2} \right)$$
$$= -\frac{i\pi}{3} + \frac{4i}{\pi}.$$

**Example.** We will now use the residue theorem to evaluate a real-valued integral. Consider

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^2 + 1} \, dx.$$

Since this integral goes to zero, we will evaluate

$$I' = \oint_C \frac{1}{z^2 + 1} \, \mathrm{d}z,$$

where C is a semicircle with radius r along the real axis from -r to r "pointing upward," so to speak.

This gives

$$\oint_C \frac{1}{z^2 + 1} dz = \int_{C_r} f(z) dz + \int_{-r}^r f(x) dx,$$

which, sending r to infinity, is equal to

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx.$$

However, since our expression  $\frac{1}{z^2+1}$  has poles at i and -i, our semicircle gives

$$\oint_C \frac{1}{z^2 + 1} = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}[f(z), i]$$

$$= 2\pi i \lim_{z \to i} \frac{1}{z + i}$$

$$= 2\pi i \frac{1}{2i}$$

$$= \pi.$$

If we have a finite number of isolated singularities, we are always able to draw a contour that encloses all of them, which allows us to use the residue theorem.

Now, we know that we can have poles at infinity — and that any positively-oriented contour in the plane is a negatively-oriented contour around  $\infty$ . Thus, if we have a contour surrounding all our finite singularities, we get

$$\sum_{i} \operatorname{Res}[f(z), \alpha_{i}] = -\operatorname{Res}[f(z), \infty]$$

$$\operatorname{Res}[f(z), \infty] + \sum_{i} [f(z), \alpha_{i}] = 0,$$

as we're doing the same integral, but in negative orientation about  $\infty$  and positive orientation about our singularities.

We have

Res[f(z), 
$$\infty$$
] = Res $\left[-\frac{1}{z^2}f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), 0\right]$ .

Example. Now, recalling

$$f(z) = \frac{(z-1)(z-2)}{z(z+1)(3-z)}.$$

The residues are

Res
$$[f(z), 0] = 2/3$$
  
Res $[f(z), -1] = -3/2$ 

$$Res[f(z), 3] = -1/6.$$

Now, calculating the residue at infinity, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}[f(z), \infty] = \operatorname{Res}\left[ -\frac{1}{z^2} \frac{(1/z - 1)(1/z - 2)}{1/z(1/z + 1)(3 - 1/z)}, 0 \right]$$
$$= -\operatorname{Res}\left[ \frac{(z - 1)(2z - 1)}{z(z + 1)(3z - 1)} \right]$$
$$= 1.$$

Now, if  $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} f(z) = 0$ , then f is pure Laurent series. In that case, if there is a residue, then we find the residue by evaluating

$$\operatorname{Res}[f(z), \infty] = -\lim_{|z| \to \infty} zf(z)$$

**Example.** Consider functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)},$$

where q is a higher-order polynomial than p.

If q has first-order zeros  $\mathfrak a$  and second-order zeros at  $\mathfrak b$ , then

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{A_k}{z - a_k} + \frac{B_k}{z - b_k} + \frac{C_k}{(z - b_k)^2}.$$

Note that the coefficients are actually residues. This gives

$$A_k = \text{Res}[f(z), a_k]$$

$$B_k = \text{Res}[f(z), b_k]$$

$$C_k = \text{Res}[(z - b_k)f(z), b_k].$$

For instance,

$$\frac{(z-1)(z-2)}{z(z+1)(3-z)} = \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{z-3} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{z+1}.$$

Now, we may also have

$$\frac{(z-1)(z-2)}{z(z+1)^2(3-z)} = \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{24}\frac{1}{z-3} - \frac{5}{8}\frac{1}{z+1} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{(z+1)^2}.$$

#### Integrating around a Circle

We want to evaluate angular integrals of the form

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(\sin(n\phi),\cos(m\phi)) \ d\phi.$$

Now, while this is a real integral over a domain, we may reformulate it about the unit circle by using the substitutions

$$z = e^{i\varphi}$$
$$d\varphi = \frac{dz}{iz},$$

which yields

$$\sin(n\varphi) = \frac{1}{2i} \left( z^n - \frac{1}{z^n} \right)$$
$$\cos(m\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \left( z^m + \frac{1}{z^m} \right).$$

Thus, our integral becomes

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(\sin(n\varphi), \cos(m\varphi)) d\varphi = \oint_{|z|=1} f\left(\frac{1}{2i}\left(z^n - \frac{1}{z^n}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(z^m + \frac{1}{z^m}\right)\right) \frac{dz}{iz}.$$

Example. Consider

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2(\varphi) \, d\varphi = -\frac{1}{4} \oint_{|z|=1} \left( z - \frac{1}{z} \right)^2 \frac{dz}{iz}$$
$$= \frac{i}{4} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{1}{z^3} \left( z^4 - 2z^1 + 1 \right) dz$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{Res} \left[ \frac{1}{z^3} \left( z^4 - 2z^1 + 1 \right), 0 \right].$$

The residue at z = 0 is -2 — this can be found by dividing out by  $z^3$ .

Thus, we get the answer of

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sin^2(\varphi) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi = \pi.$$

**Example.** Using residues, we can evaluate a lot of integrals that are quite tricky on their face.

$$\begin{split} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos(2\phi)}{5 - 4\sin(\phi)} \; d\phi &= \oint_{|z| = 1} \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2}\right)}{5 - \frac{4}{2i} \left(z - \frac{1}{z}\right)} \frac{dz}{iz} \\ &= - \oint_{|z| = 1} \frac{z^4 + 1}{2z^2 (2z - i)(z - 2i)} \; dz. \end{split}$$

Now, we have a simple pole at i/2, a simple pole at 2i, and a pole of order 2 at 0. We only evaluate the residues at 0 and i/2. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}[f(z), 0] &= -\frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{z^4 + 1}{2z^2 (2z - i)(z - 2i)} \right) \Big|_{z=0} \\ &= -\frac{5i}{8} \\ \operatorname{Res}[f(z), i/2] &= \frac{17i}{24}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get the result of

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos(2\varphi)}{5 - 4\sin(\varphi)} d\varphi = 2\pi i \left( -\frac{5i}{8} + \frac{17i}{24} \right)$$
$$= -\frac{\pi}{6}.$$

#### Integrating along the Real Axis

If we want to evaluate integrals along the real axis, such as

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dx,$$

we may be curious as to how we may evaluate this.

To do this, we recall that we created a contour in the upper half-plane of large enough radius r, and evaluated the residues inside the contour. We consider the contour to be equal to  $C = C_r + l_r$ , where  $l_r$  is along the real axis and  $C_r$  closes our contour. Thus, we get

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = \lim_{r \to \infty} \left( \int_{-r}^r f(x) dx + \int_{C_r} f(z) dz \right).$$

Note that the polar coordinate Jacobian gives us the requirement that  $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} |zf(z)| = 0$ .

When  $f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$ , this is satisfied when q is of degree at least two more than that of p.

Example. Consider

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2x+1}{x^4+5x^2+4} \, \mathrm{d}x = \oint_{C} \frac{2z+1}{z^4+5z^2+4} \, \mathrm{d}z.$$

Factoring, we get

$$\oint_C \frac{2z+1}{z^4+5z^2+4} dz = \oint_C \frac{2z+1}{(z-2i)(z+2i)(z-i)(z+i)} dz.$$

We only care about the residues in the upper half-plane. We have residues of

Res[f(z), 2i] = 
$$-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{i}{12}$$
  
Res[f(z), i] =  $\frac{1}{3} - \frac{i}{6}$ .

Therefore, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2x+1}{x^4+5x^2+4} dx = 2\pi i \left( \frac{1}{3} - \frac{i}{6} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{i}{12} \right)$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{6}.$$

Note that if we chose our contour to be in the lower half-plane, then we would have a *negatively* oriented contour, and evaluate at the residues in the lower half-plane.

Example. Consider

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^3 - i} dx = \oint_{C} \frac{1}{z^3 - i} dz$$
$$= \oint_{C} \frac{1}{(z + i)(z - e^{i\pi/6})(z - e^{5i\pi/6})}.$$

Closing C in the lower half-plane, we only need the residue at -i. This gives

$$\oint_C \frac{1}{z^3 - i} = -2\pi i \left( -\frac{1}{3} \right)$$
$$= \frac{2\pi i}{3}.$$

Consider integrals of the form

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)e^{ikx} dx,$$

where k is real.

Now, we want to know when exactly we are allowed to "close up" the semicircle contour.

We start by assuming k is positive. Closing in the upper half-plane so as to ensure exponential decay, we have

$$\left| \int_{C_{r}} g(z)e^{ikz} dz \right| \leq \int_{C_{r}} \left| g(z)e^{ikz} \right| dz$$
$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} \left| g\left(re^{i\varphi}\right) \right| re^{-kr\sin(\varphi)} d\varphi.$$

Since  $\sin(\varphi) \ge 0$  on the range of integration, the integral vanishes as  $r \to \infty$ . Therefore, we are allowed to close up the contour whenever  $|g(z)| \to 0$  as  $|z| \to \infty$ .

Example. Consider the integral

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kx)}{x^2 + 4} dx.$$

This gives

$$I = \operatorname{Re} \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{x^2 + 4} \, dx \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Re} \left( \oint_{C} \frac{e^{ikz}}{z^2 + 4} \, dz \right)$$
$$= \oint_{C} \frac{e^{ikz}}{(z - 2i)(z + 2i)} \, dz.$$

We assume k > 0. Then, evaluating at 2i, we have

$$I = \frac{\pi}{2}e^{-2k}.$$

Now, if k < 0, we close our contour in the lower half-plane, we get

$$I = \frac{\pi}{2}e^{2k}.$$

Thus, our integral is always

$$I = \frac{\pi}{2}e^{-2|k|}.$$

### **Non-Circular Contours**

Sometimes, semicircles don't work.

Example. Consider

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{bx}}{e^x + 1} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

where 0 < b < 1. Writing our integral, we have

$$I = \int \frac{e^{bz}}{e^z + 1} dz$$

This gives poles at  $z = (2n + 1)i\pi$ , which means we cannot close this contour with a semicircular arc at  $\infty$ .

What may work in this case is by drawing a rectangular contour from -a to a such that it encloses exactly one of the poles of our integrand. The vertical segments of this contour go to zero as we send  $a \to \infty$ . We call the segment of the contour along the line  $a + 2\pi i$  to  $a - 2\pi i$  as I'.

This gives

$$I + I' = \oint_C \frac{e^{bz}}{e^z + 1} dz.$$

Now, we constructed I' such that

$$I' = \int_{\infty}^{-\infty} \frac{e^{b(x+2\pi i)}}{e^{x+2\pi i} + 1} dx$$
$$= -e^{2\pi i b} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{bx}}{e^x + 1} dx$$
$$= -e^{2\pi i b} I.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\oint_C \frac{e^{bz}}{e^z + 1} dz = I\left(1 - e^{2\pi i b}\right)$$
$$= 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{e^{bz}}{e^z + 1}, i\pi\right],$$

giving

$$I = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi b)}.$$

**Example.** We want to evaluate

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(x^2) dx$$
$$\int_0^\infty \sin(x^2) dx.$$

To evaluate this, we draw a slice-shaped contour going along the real axis and returning to 0 along  $z = re^{i\pi/4}$ . Therefore, we evaluate

$$\oint_C e^{iz^2} dz = \int_0^\infty e^{ix^2} dx + 0 + \int_\infty^0 e^{i(re^{i\pi/4})^2} e^{i\pi/4} dr$$

$$= \int_0^\infty e^{ix^2} dx + 0 + \int_\infty^0 e^{-r^2} e^{i\pi/4} dr.$$

Thus, we get

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(x^2) dx = \int_0^\infty \sin(x^2) dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}.$$

#### **Integrating with Branch Cuts**

When we're integrating with residues, branch cuts are a feature rather than a bug.

Example. Consider the integral

$$I = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{x}}{1 + x^3} \, dx.$$

We need a branch cut to avoid the multivalued behavior. Our poles are at  $e^{i\pi/3}$ , -1,  $e^{-i\pi/3}$ . Since our integral is along the real axis, we take our branch cut along the domain  $[0, \infty]$ .

We draw our contour of radius R by hugging the branch without crossing it, with a small circle of radius  $\epsilon$  just outside 0. This gives the integral

$$\oint \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z^3} dz = \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z^3} dx + \int_{C_R} \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z^3} dz + \int_\infty^0 \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z^3} dz + \int_{C_S} \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z^3} dz.$$

Note that since  $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} |zf(z)|=0$ , and  $\lim_{|z|\to0} |zf(z)|=0$ , our integrals along  $C_R$  and  $C_\varepsilon$  go to zero, giving the integral

$$I' = \int_{\infty}^{0} \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1 + z^3} dz$$

$$= \int_{\infty}^{0} \frac{\sqrt{e^{2i\pi}x}}{1 + (e^{2i\pi}x)^3} dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{x}}{1 + x^3} dx$$

$$= I.$$

Thus,

$$\oint \frac{\sqrt{z}}{1+z^3} \, \mathrm{d}z = 2\mathrm{I}.$$

Evaluating the residues, we have

$$\operatorname{Res}\left[f(z), e^{i\pi/3}\right] = \lim_{z \to e^{i\pi/3}} \frac{\sqrt{z}}{3z^2}$$

$$= -\frac{i}{3}$$

$$\operatorname{Res}\left[f(z), -1\right] = \lim_{z \to -1} \frac{\sqrt{z}}{3z^2}$$

$$= \frac{i}{3}$$

$$\operatorname{Res}\left[f(z), e^{5\pi i/3}\right] = -\frac{i}{3},$$

giving the solution of

$$I = \frac{1}{2} 2\pi i \left(-\frac{i}{3}\right)$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{3}.$$

**Example.** To evaluate

$$I = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1 + x^3} \, \mathrm{d}x,$$

we start by evaluating

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(x)}{1+x^3} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

with the branch cut along the real axis. Using the keyhole contour in the previous example, we have that  $C_R$  and  $C_\varepsilon$  contribute nothing, and ln picks up a phase of  $2\pi i$ , so that

$$\oint_C \frac{\ln(z)}{1+z^3} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(x)}{1+x^3} dx + \int_\infty^0 \frac{\ln(x) + 2\pi i}{1+x^3} dx$$

$$= -2\pi i J.$$

Therefore,

$$I = -\sum Res \left[ \frac{ln(x)}{1 + x^3} \right].$$

Thus, we get the solution of

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{1 + x^3} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}}.$$

**Example.** Consider the integral

$$I = \int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{x^2 + a^2} \, dx.$$

The poles are around ±ia.

Our problem is that we have multivalued behavior at  $\pm 1$ . We may take the cut from -1 to 1 along the real axis, and our contour gives a sign flip across the cut.

We draw a dog-bone style contour hugging the cut in negative orientation to give us 2I. Thus, we get

$$\oint_C \frac{\sqrt{1-z^2}}{z^2 + a^2} dz = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x^2 + a^2} dx - \int_{1}^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{x^2 + a^2} dx$$

$$= 4I$$

where the sign flip in the second integral comes from crossing the branch cut.

Now, to evaluate the sum of the residues, we need to evaluate at three poles — ia, -ia, and the pole at  $\infty$ . Thus, we get

Res[f(z), ±ia] = 
$$\frac{\sqrt{a^2 + 1}}{2ia}$$
  
- Res[f(z),  $\infty$ ] =  $\lim_{|z| \to \infty} zf(z)$   
= i.

Therefore,

$$4I = 2\pi i \left( \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1}}{i\alpha} - i \right)$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2\alpha} \left( \sqrt{\alpha^2 + 1} - \alpha \right).$$

#### Poles on the axis

If we want to evaluate integrals with the pole on the contour, we need to use principal values.

$$PV \int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left( \int_{a}^{x_0 - \epsilon} f(x) dx + \int_{x_0 + \epsilon}^{b} f(x) dx \right).$$

Similarly, we want to apply this for the calculus of residues. To do this, we take

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} f(z) dz,$$

where  $c_{\pm}$  are small semicircular contour additions of radius  $\varepsilon$  to C that hug our pole on the real axis, with  $c_{-}$  excluding the pole and  $c_{+}$  including the pole. Thus, we have

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx + \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{C_+} \frac{(z - x_0)f(z)}{z - x_0} dz.$$

Introducing  $z - x_0 = \varepsilon e^{i\varphi}$ , we have  $dz = i\varepsilon e^{i\varphi} d\varphi$ , giving

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx + \text{Res}[f(z), x_0] \int_{C_+} i d\varphi.$$

Thus, we have

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx \pm i\pi \operatorname{Res}[f(z), x_0].$$

$$= 2\pi i \sum_{z_i} \operatorname{Res}[f(z) - z_i].$$

Thus, we have

$$PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \sum_{z_i \text{ in } C} \text{Res}[f(z), z_i] \mp i\pi \text{Res}[f(z), x_0].$$

Note that we only have *half* the residue when the pole is on the contour. Therefore, we have the result of

$$PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 2\pi i \left( \sum_{z_i \text{ in } C} \text{Res}[f(z), z_i] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z_i \text{ on } C} \text{Res}[f(z), z_i] \right).$$

Example. Consider

$$PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{x - a} dx.$$

We have a simple pole at x = a.

We close our contour with a semicircle on the upper half-plane. Since we have no poles inside the contour, we have

$$PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{x - a} dx = \pi i \operatorname{Res} \left[ \frac{e^{ikx}}{x - a}, a \right]$$
$$= \pi i e^{ika}.$$

Notice that if k < 0, we must close the contour in the lower half-plane, giving

$$PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ikx}}{x - a} dx = sgn(k)\pi i e^{ika}.$$

Taking real and imaginary components, we get

$$PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(kx)}{x - a} dx = -\pi \sin(ka)$$

$$PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(kx)}{x} dx = \pi \cos(ka).$$

**Example.** We will evaluate

$$I = PV \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(x)}{x^2 + a^2} dx.$$

We have a troublesome portion at x = 0, so we draw our contour to exclude 0.

We may close the contour with a large semicircle  $C_R$ . Since  $\lim_{|z|\to\infty} |zf(z)| = 0$  and  $\lim_{|z|\to0} |zf(z)| = 0$ , we may take these limits to give

$$\oint_{C} \frac{\ln(z)}{z^{2} + a^{2}} = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{\ln(e^{i\pi}x)}{x^{2} + a^{2}} dx + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(e^{i0}x)}{x^{2} + a^{2}} dx$$

$$= PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(x)}{x^{2} + a^{2}} dx + i\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2} + a^{2}} dx$$

$$= 2PV \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\ln(x)}{x^{2} + a^{2}} dx + \frac{i\pi^{2}}{2a}$$

$$= 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}[f(z), ia].$$

Thus, we get  $I = \frac{\pi}{2a} \ln(a)$ .

**Example.** Instead of moving our contour up or down by  $\varepsilon$  to include (or exclude) a pole, we may move the pole up or down by  $\varepsilon$ . We consider

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(x)}{x - x_0} dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(x)}{x - (x_0 \pm i\epsilon)} dx.$$

Breaking into real or imaginary parts, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(x)}{x - (x_0 \pm i\epsilon)^2} dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \frac{x - x_0}{(x - x_0)^2 + \epsilon^2} dx \pm i\epsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(x)}{(x - x_0)^2 + \epsilon^2} dx.$$

Now, notice that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{(x - x_0)^2 + \varepsilon^2} = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq x_0 \\ \infty & x = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Now, we may take

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{\left(x-x_0\right)^2+\varepsilon^2} \, \mathrm{d}x = \varepsilon \oint_C \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z+\varepsilon^2},$$

where  $z = (x - x_0)^2$ . This gives

$$\varepsilon \oint_C \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z + \varepsilon^2} = \varepsilon (2\pi \mathrm{i}) \left(\frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}\varepsilon}\right)$$
$$= \pi$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{(x - x_0)^2 + \varepsilon^2} \, \mathrm{d}x = \pi \delta(x - x_0).$$

Therefore, we recover

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g(x)}{(x - x_0)^2 + \varepsilon^2} dx = \pi g(x_0).$$

This gives the identity under the integral of

$$\frac{1}{z \mp i\varepsilon} = PV \frac{1}{x} \pm i\pi \delta(x).$$

**Example.** Consider the integral

$$\oint_{C_r} \frac{\cos(z)}{z} dz = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(x)}{x \pm i\varepsilon} dxj$$

$$= \underbrace{PV \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(x)}{x} dx}_{=0} \mp i\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(x) \delta(x) dx$$

$$= \mp i\pi$$

#### Sommerfeld-Watson Transform and Series Summation

Thus far, we've been replacing integrals with sums. Now, we're interested in going the other way around.

Consider the sum

$$S = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(n),$$

given the condition that f(z) is analytic for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\lim_{|z| \to \infty} |z^2 f(z)| = 0$ .

We will introduce the auxiliary function

$$g(z) = \pi \cot(\pi z)$$
$$= \pi \frac{\cos(\pi z)}{\sin(\pi z)}.$$

Note that g(z) has an infinite number of poles at z = n for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now, what we will do here is integrate the product f(z)g(z) around a long enough symmetric contour hugging the real axis. This gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{C} f(z)g(z) dz = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Res}[\pi \cot(\pi z)f(z), n]$$
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) \frac{\pi \cos(\pi z)}{\frac{d}{dz}(\sin(\pi z))} \Big|_{z=n}.$$
$$= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n).$$

Now, this doesn't *seem* that helpful, until we remember that our contour C surrounds all the other poles of f in negative orientation.

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_C f(z)g(z) dz = -\sum_i \text{Res}[\pi \cot(\pi z)f(z), z_i].$$

Thus, we have converted our infinite sum into a finite sum.

Similarly, if we have an alternating sign series

$$S' = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n f(n)$$
$$= -\sum_{i} \text{Res}[\pi \csc(\pi z) f(z), z_i]$$

Example. Consider

$$S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + a^2}.$$

Our analogous function is

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2 + a^2}.$$

Then,

$$S' = -\operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\pi \csc(\pi z)}{z^2 + a^2}, \pm ia\right]$$
$$= -\frac{\pi}{2a} \coth(\pi a).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + a^2} = \frac{\pi}{a} \coth(\pi a).$$

Now, we write

$$S = \frac{1}{2a^2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + a^2}.$$

Thus, we get the sum

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + \alpha^2} = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} (1 + \pi \alpha \coth(\pi \alpha)).$$

**Example.** Now, we may consider

$$S' = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^2 + a^2}$$
$$= -\operatorname{Res}\left[\frac{\pi \csc(\pi z)}{z^2 + a^2}, \pm ia\right]$$
$$= -\frac{\pi}{2a} \frac{1}{\sinh(\pi a)},$$

giving

$$S = \frac{1}{2a^2} \left( 1 + \frac{\pi a}{\sinh(\pi a)} \right).$$

# Oscillators and Forcing

Consider a damped harmonic oscillator with position u(t). Then, u obeys Newton's second law,

$$\ddot{\mathbf{u}} + 2\beta \dot{\mathbf{u}} + \omega_0^2 \mathbf{u} = 0.$$

Here,  $\beta$  is the damping factor, and  $\omega_0$  denotes the natural frequency.

The solutions of this equation are

$$\begin{split} u(t) &= e^{-\beta t} \Big( a e^{i\Omega t} + b e^{-i\Omega t} \Big) \\ &= e^{-\beta t} (a \cos(\Omega t) + b \sin(\Omega t)), \end{split}$$

where  $\Omega^2 = \omega_0^2 - \beta^2$ . This is known as a transient solution.

There are three types of motion.

- An underdamped system occurs when  $\omega_0 > \beta$ , so  $\Omega$  is real, meaning we get oscillation that is damped out.
- An overdamped system occurs when  $\beta > \omega_0$ , so  $\Omega$  is imaginary, and the damping slows down the return of the wave.
- When  $\omega = \beta$ , then  $\Omega = 0$ , and the solution is of the form  $u(t) = e^{-\beta t}(\alpha t + b)$ , and the system returns to equilibrium as quickly as possible. This is known as critical damping.

A forced system occurs when we have the differential equation

$$\ddot{\mathbf{u}} + \beta \dot{\mathbf{u}} + \omega_0^2 \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{t}). \tag{\dagger}$$

We may consider a forcing function of the form  $f(t) = f_0 \cos(\omega t)$ . We may also write

$$f(t) = f_0 \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\omega t}).$$

We expect to have a complex steady-state solution of the form

$$U_{\omega} = C(\omega)e^{i\omega t}$$
.

We solve for U by sticking it into the differential equation of †. This will give the equation

$$U_{\omega} = \frac{f_0 e^{i\omega t}}{\left(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2\right) + 2i\beta\omega}.$$

Note that the real solution is  $u = Re(U_{\omega})$ , or

$$\begin{split} u(t) &= \frac{1}{2}(U_{\omega} + U_{-\omega}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Big(U_{\omega} + \overline{U_{\omega}}\Big). \end{split}$$

Now, when we consider a generalized forcing function f(t), where f is a continuum sum of forcing frequencies where the amplitudes are functions of  $\omega$ ,  $\hat{f}(\omega)$ , we get an integral:

$$u(t) = \int \frac{F(\omega) e^{i\omega t}}{\left(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2\right) + 2i\beta\omega} \; d\omega.$$

Plugging this solution into the differential equation, we get

$$f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega,$$

which is a Fourier transform (see Math Methods 1).

#### **Impulse Forcing**

Consider a hammer blow forcing function, known as an impulse forcing function.

The impulse forcing is of the form

$$\begin{split} f(t) &= f_0 \delta(\omega(t-t_0)) \\ &= \frac{\hat{f}_0}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega(t-t_0)} \; d\omega, \end{split}$$

where

$$\hat{f}_0 = \frac{f_0}{\omega_0}$$
.

We want to find the impulse solution,

$$\begin{split} G(t) &\coloneqq u_\delta(t) \\ &= \frac{\hat{f}_0}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega(t-t_0)}}{(\omega_0 - \omega^2) + 2i\beta\omega} \; d\omega. \end{split}$$

To do this integral, we will make use of residues. Writing our denominator as

$$\left(\omega_0^2 - \omega^2\right) + 2i\beta\omega = (\omega - \omega_+)(\omega - \omega_-),$$

where

$$\omega_{\pm} = i\beta \pm \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \beta^2}$$
$$= i\beta \pm \Omega.$$

We close our contour in the upper half-plane so that get a decaying exponential. Evaluating the residues, we get

$$G(t) = \hat{f}_0 \frac{e^{-\beta(t-t_0)}}{2i\Omega} \left( e^{i\Omega(t-t_0)} - e^{-i\Omega(t-t_0)} \right)$$
$$= \frac{f_0}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega(t-t_0)) e^{-\beta(t-t_0)},$$

where  $t > t_0$ .

Now, if  $t < t_0$ , then we must close our contour in the lower half-plane, and since there are no poles in the lower half-plane, we get G(t) = 0 for  $t < t_0$ . Thus, we must have

$$G(t) = \frac{f_0}{\Omega} \sin(\Omega(t - t_0)) e^{-\beta(t - t_0)} \Theta(t - t_0),$$

where  $\Theta$  is the Heaviside step function.

- The imaginary and real parts of  $\omega_{\pm}$  give the damping,  $\beta$ , and parameter,  $\Omega$ , respectively. Now, we may interpret the different types of damping in this respect.
  - If  $\Omega$  is real (i.e., underdamped motion), then  $\omega_{\pm}$  have constant magnitude of  $\omega_0$ , meaning that varying the damping only moves the poles around in a circle.
  - If  $\beta=\omega_0$  (i.e., critically damped motion), then the poles converge at  $i\beta$  along the imaginary axis.
  - If  $\beta > \omega_0$  (i.e., overdamped mption), then the poles separate along the imaginary axis, giving non-oscillatory motion.
- The poles also encode resonance characteristics, where we have  $\omega_{res}^2 = \omega_0^2 2\beta^2$ .
- If  $\beta \ll \omega_0$ , then the damping is mathematically equivalent to the  $i\epsilon$  prescription moving the resonance pole at  $\omega_0$  off the real axis and into the upper half-plane.

#### Waves on a String

Whereas an undamped oscillator is harmonic only in time, a wave is harmonic in both space and time.

A wave satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \nabla^2 \mathbf{u},$$

where c is the wave speed.

The general solution is of the form

$$U(x, t) = ce^{i(kx = \omega t)}$$
.

A forced wave occurs via

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = f(x, t).$$

Now, we start with the impulse solution,

$$\begin{split} f(x,t) &= f_0 \delta(x-x_0) \delta(t-t_0) \\ &= f_0 \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{\mathrm{i} \, k(x-x_0)}}{2\pi} \, dk \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{-\mathrm{i} \, \omega(t-t_0)}}{2\pi} \, d\omega. \end{split}$$

Now, we have

$$G(x,t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{\omega^2/c^2 - k^2} d\omega.$$

To evaluate this integral, we start with the integral in  $\omega$ , given by

$$I = \frac{c^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{\omega^2 - c^2 k^2} d\omega.$$

Unfortunately here, our simple poles lie on the real axis at  $\pm ck$ .

To find the solution, we need boundary and initial conditions to know where we want to make our  $i\epsilon$  adjustment.

If there is no wave before our impulse hits, we need our integral to vanish whenever t < 0 which occurs when we close our contour in the lower half plane, so we subtract is from  $\pm ck$ . Factoring, we have

$$I = \frac{c^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i\,\omega\,t}}{(\omega - (ck - i\epsilon))(\omega + (ck + i\epsilon))} \; d\omega.$$

Thus, we have

$$I(t > 0) = -\frac{c}{k}\sin(ckt)e^{-\varepsilon t},$$

and in the limit as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we have

$$I(t) = -\frac{c}{k}\sin(ckt).$$

Now, sticking our value of I into the integral in k, we have

$$G(x,t>0) = -\frac{c}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ictk} - e^{-ictk}}{2ik} e^{ikx} dk$$
$$= -\frac{c}{2} \Theta(ct - |x|) \Theta(t).$$

There are some comments in order.

- The factor of  $\Theta(t)$  effectively states that nothing happens before t = 0.
- The factor of  $\Theta(ct |x|)$  denotes causality. The term |x| denotes the physical symmetry, while we need ct |x| > 0 in order to feel an effect.

### **Quantum Mechanics**

The Schrödinger equation is

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = 0.$$

Here,  $\psi$  denotes the wavefunction. The probability of a particle being found within dx of x is  $|\psi|^2 dx$ .

In quantum mechanics, we define operators for energy and momentum as

$$E := +i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

$$P := -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

The Schrödinger equation falls from the fact that  $E = \frac{P^2}{2m}$ . These operators are Hermitian, so their eigenvalues are real.

We want to figure out the Green's function for the quantum hammer blow at t = 0, which we call the propagator.

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} = i\hbar \delta(x)\delta(t).$$

When we try to evaluate it, we get

$$G(x,t>0) = \Theta(t) \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar t}} e^{i \, m x^2/2 \hbar t}.$$

This solution has a problem, though — there is no sense of causality. This says that, as long as t > 0, there is going to be a measurable reaction at all x.

The main reason is that the Schrödinger equation is not relativistic. Accounting for the relativistic relationship, we have

$$E^2 - P^2c^2 = m^2c^4$$
.

This gives an equation known as the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$-\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \varphi.$$

Solving the Klein–Gordon equation gives infinitely many solutions that have both positive and negative energy, the latter of which is a major issue. However, it can be shown that the Klein–Gordon equation applies to particles with integral spin, with wave function

$$\phi(x,t) = Ce^{i(kx - \omega t)}$$

so long as

$$\frac{\omega^2}{c^2} - k^2 = \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2}.$$

To see how causality fares for the Klein–Gordon wavefunction, we solve it for the unit impulse acting on x = 0 at t = 0.

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - m^2\right) G(x, t) = i\delta(x)\delta(t),$$

where we set  $\hbar = c = 1$ . We get the Green's function

$$G(x,t) = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{ipx} dp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-iEt}}{2\pi(E^2 - p^2 - m^2)} dE.$$

We have two poles at  $\pm \sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$ , and when we close the contour we obtain different physical results.

When t < 0, we must close the contour in the upper-half plane, so we exclude the poles from the contour. Since there are no poles in the upper half-plane, we get a factor of  $\Theta(t)$ .

Thus, when t > 0, we close in the lower half-plane, giving

$$\begin{split} I &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-iEt}}{(E+iE)^2 - p^2 - m^2} \, dE \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} 2\pi i \left( \frac{e^{-i\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}t}}{2\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}} - \frac{e^{i\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}t}}{2\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}} \right). \end{split}$$

Defining  $E_p := \sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$ , we have

$$I = -\frac{i}{2E_p} \left( e^{-iE_p t} - e^{iE_p t} \right).$$

Thus, we have

$$G(x,t>0) = \Theta(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{e^{ipx}}{2E_p} \left( e^{-iE_p t} - e^{iE_p t} \right) dp$$
$$= \frac{\Theta(t)}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{E_p} \left( e^{-i(E_p t - px)} - e^{i(E_p t - px)} \right) dp,$$

where we were allowed to flip the sign of p because the integral is taken over all space.