



CUI

# Earned Value Management System Center (EVMSC) Earned Value Management System (EVMS) Compliance Review Report

Controlled by: DCMA  
Controlled by: PIX[X]– EVMS Center  
CUI Category: General Procurement and Acquisition, General Proprietary Business Information  
Distribution/Dissemination Control: [FED only/ FEDCON]  
POC: [Originating DCMA Office or person name, telephone, and email]

Data Item Description	Report Data
DCMA EVMSC Specialist:	[Name]
Group Code:	[PIX(x)]
Email:	[xxxxx.civ@mail.mil]
Phone:	[xxx-xxx-xxxx]
Report Date:	[DD/MM/YYYY]
Contractor Name:	[Contractor Name]
Location:	[City, State]
CAGE Code:	[xxxxx]
Contract Number(s):	[Contract Number]

## Executive Summary:

The DCMA EVMS Center conducted an EVMS compliance review (CR) event on program(s)/contract(s) [(List Program Name(s) and Contract Number(s)] in accordance with the transmitted CR Notification Letter [insert date of CR notification letter Month YYYY]. During this CR event guidelines [list guidelines covered] were evaluated covering [list number of DCMA EVMS Compliance Metrics] DCMA EVMS Compliance Metrics (DECMs) utilizing [insert month end date for EVMS data Month YYYY] EVMS data. The EVMS review results indicate the [insert contractor name] EVMS [state has or does not have] deficiencies that materially affect the ability of Government officials to rely upon the information produced by the system.

## Review Scope and Data Analysis:

The government review team evaluated how the contractor's EVMS is structured, how it is used in the management of U.S. Government contracts, and how it meets the intent of the industry guideline criteria.

Through data analysis, process assessment, and evaluation of [Contractor Name] execution of EVMS processes at all levels of the organization, the government review team members were responsible for documenting findings using the Deficiency Report (DR) format. Draft DRs were provided to the contractor throughout the review to ensure findings that describe each deficiency were accurate, clear, and in sufficient detail to allow the contractor to understand the deficiency. The contractor's comments that were provided on-site as well as post-review were considered by the government Review Chief in the assessment documented in the initial report regarding the validity of each deficiency.

CUI

## CUI

The government review team assessed, through data analysis and manager discussions, how [Contractor Name] EVMS data and documentation were used in the execution of cost, schedule, and technical objectives for U. S. Government programs. The government review team was tasked to:

- Ensure processes, procedures, and methods meet the intent of the identified EVMS guideline criteria.
- Ensure descriptive documents containing contractor policies and procedures were being used in actual operation.
- Ensure accuracy of performance data generated for U.S. Government contracts.
- Ensure EVMS was applied consistently and properly.
- Ensure EVMS was integrated into the risk management process.
- Ensure EVMS artifacts and other data and information were used in the management of the applicable programs.

### Findings:

Describe all findings that may be shortcomings in the system materially affecting the ability of DoD officials to rely upon information produced by the system, and their impact. [Provide narrative]

#### (i) Finding (1)

Criteria: **[Insert EVMSIG Guideline Statement]**

Impact: **[Insert impact, e.g., How does the finding negatively impact contract/s? Is there increased cost or the risk of increased cost because we cannot rely upon the information produced by the system?]**

#### (ii) Finding (2)

Criteria: **[insert EVMSIG Guideline Statement]**

Impact: **[Insert impact, e.g., How does the finding negatively impact contract/s? Is there increased cost or the risk of increased cost because we cannot rely upon the information produced by the system?]**

#### (iii) Finding (3)

Criteria: **[insert EVMSIG Guideline Statement]**

Impact: **[Insert impact, e.g., How does the finding negatively impact contract/s? Is there increased cost or the risk of increased cost because we cannot rely upon the information produced by the system?]**

**[Insert narrative to describe any corrective actions taken by the contractor to correct deficiencies found during the analysis, particularly those found during the contractor's self-assessment.]**

**[Insert narrative to describe any deficiency requiring correction, but do not appear to be shortcomings that materially affect the ability of DoD officials to rely upon information]**

CUI

produced by the system.]

**Impact/Conclusion:**

The EVMS review results indicate the **[Insert contractor name]** EVMS **[state has or does not have]** deficiencies that materially affect the ability of Government officials to rely upon the information produced by the system. Overall, the team documented **[insert number of material DRs]** Deficiency Reports that **[state did or did not]** impact the data being produced by the system, and the EVMS is **[state meets the intent of all 32 EVMS guidelines or does not meet the intent of GLs (list EVMS guidelines)]**.

**Attachments:**

Contracting Officer BSAS Transmission Memorandum  
Deficiency Reports (sent via SAFE)

CUI