

III. Summary Proceeding(s)

None. See Section II for public proceedings.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Ashley Demchak,

Alternate Federal Register Liaison.

[FR Doc. 2026-02245 Filed 2-3-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

POSTAL SERVICE

International Product Change—Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Competitive Product List in the Mail Classification Schedule.

DATES: Date of notice: February 4, 2026.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christopher C. Meyerson, (202) 268-7820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The United States Postal Service hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on January 30, 2026, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Express International, Priority Mail International & First-Class Package International Service Contract 106 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at www.prc.gov, Docket Nos. MC2026-153 and K2026-153.

Daria Valan,

Attorney, Ethics and Legal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2026-02212 Filed 2-3-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-104758; File No. SR-CboeBYX-2026-002]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Exchange Rule 11.9(f) (“Match Trade Prevention (“MTP”) Modifiers) To Revise the Definition of Unique Identifier

January 30, 2026.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on January 28, 2026, Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BYX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange filed the proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.⁴ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BYX”) proposes to amend Exchange Rule 11.9(f) (“Match Trade Prevention (“MTP”) Modifiers”) to revise the definition of Unique Identifier. The Exchange has designated this proposal as non-controversial pursuant to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) under the Act.⁵ The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Commission’s website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>), the Exchange’s website (https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/bzx/), and at the principal office of the Exchange.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed

any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 11.9(f) (“Match Trade Prevention (“MTP”) Modifiers”) by revising the definition of Unique Identifier. This proposed change is a result of User feedback and implementation difficulties that the Exchange has encountered while trying to apply MTP based on current Rule 11.9(f), which requires Users⁶ to have the same Unique Identifier on each order. As discussed *infra*, the current rule text provides that a Unique Identifier may originate from a specific set of User characteristics. The Exchange now seeks to revise the definition of Unique Identifier and instead provide for three situations in which a Unique Identifier may be generated. The Exchange believes this change would allow for more flexibility in determining which Users are issued a Unique Identifier without compromising the purpose of Rule 11.9(f) and match trade prevention generally. Additionally, the Exchange proposes to include rule text that provides that a User requesting a Unique Identifier pursuant to item (iii) of Rule 11.9(f) must complete an Exchange-provided attestation. The Exchange emphasizes that MTP is entirely optional and is not required. As is the case with the existing risk tools, Users, and not the Exchange, have full responsibility for ensuring that their orders comply with applicable securities rules, laws, and regulations. Furthermore, as is the case with the existing risk settings, the Exchange does not believe that the use of the proposed MTP functionality can replace User-managed risk management solutions.

Currently, any incoming order designated with an MTP modifier will

⁶ See Exchange Rule 1.5(cc). “User” is defined as “any Member or Sponsored Participant who is authorized to obtain access to the System pursuant to Rule 11.3.” The “System” is “the electronic communications and trading facility designated by the Board through which securities orders of Users are consolidated for ranking, execution and, when applicable, routing away.” See Exchange Rule 1.5(aa). The term “Member” means any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange. See Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

be prevented from executing against a resting opposite side order also designated with an MTP modifier and originating from the same market participant identifier (“MPID”),⁷ Exchange Member identifier, trading group identifier, Exchange Sponsored Participant identifier, affiliate identifier, or Multiple Access identifier (any such identifier, a “Unique Identifier”).⁸ Both the buy and the sell order must include the same Unique Identifier in order to prevent an execution from occurring and to effect a cancel instruction based on the MTP modifier appended to each order. In order to describe how MTP functionality may be applied by Users today, the Exchange has provided a brief description of how each Unique Identifier enables MTP.

A User who enables MTP functionality using the MPID Unique Identifier will prevent contra side executions between the same MPID from occurring. A User who enables MTP using the Exchange Member Unique Identifier would prevent contra side executions between any MPID associated with that User and not just a single MPID. The trading group Unique Identifier permits Users to prevent matched trades amongst traders or desks within a certain firm but allows orders from outside such group or desk to interact with other firm orders. Users who enable MTP functionality using the Exchange Sponsored Participant Unique Identifier will prevent matched trades between contra side orders with an identical Sponsored Participant identifier. The affiliate identifier is a Unique Identifier that permits MTP to be enabled by firms with a control relationship. The affiliate identifier is only available to Users where: (i) greater than 50% ownership is identified in a User’s Form BD; and (ii) the Users execute an affidavit stating that a control relationship exists between the two Users. The Multiple Access identifier is available to Users that submit orders to the Exchange both through a direct connection as well as through Sponsored Access. In each instance where an order is appended with a Unique Identifier, the Exchange is utilizing an already existing identifier (e.g., MPID or Exchange Member identifier) or creating an identifier in order to enable MTP between two separate Users where there would otherwise not be a common identifier

(e.g., affiliate identifier or Multiple Access identifier).

Based on User feedback and implementation difficulties that the Exchange has encountered while seeking to apply MTP based its current definition of Unique Identifier, the Exchange now proposes to amend Rule 11.9(f) by revising the definition of Unique Identifier to eliminate the specific Unique Identifier types and instead providing for three situations in which a Unique Identifier may be generated. As proposed, Rule 11.9(f) would provide that a Unique Identifier may be created at: (i) the MPID level; (ii) the firm level (e.g., Exchange Member identifier, trading group identifier); or (iii) where the User indicates that MTP is necessary in order to prevent transactions in securities in which there is no change in beneficial ownership.

The Exchange believes this change is necessary as Users with legitimate reasons for seeking to enable MTP are choosing to submit order flow to the Exchange through various constructs that do not align with the current definitions applicable to Unique Identifiers available under current Rule 11.9(f). The proposed changes do not change how MTP will function from an operational perspective. Both the incoming order and the resting opposite side order must continue to be designated with an MTP modifier⁹ (in addition to a Unique Identifier) in order for MTP to apply. The MTP modifier on the incoming order will control the interaction between two orders marked with MTP modifiers, subject to the exception contained in Rule 11.9(f)(3). This proposal is only intended to amend when the Exchange may create a Unique Identifier for a User (or multiple Users) to enable MTP when there is otherwise no common identifier available. As is the case under existing Rule 11.9(f), a Unique Identifier will continue to include an MPID, an Exchange Member identifier, a trading group identifier, or a Sponsored Participant identifier—each of which can be categorized under either the (i) MPID level or (ii) the firm level in the proposed rule text. These Unique Identifiers are based on existing identifiers that the Exchange does not specially create for Users and are already being utilized in other formats by the Exchange when a User requests to use MTP. However, when a User requests to utilize MTP and is doing so based on the current affiliate identifier or current Multiple Access identifier,

the Exchange manually creates the applicable Unique Identifier for the User and must ensure that the User satisfies the requirements to obtain an affiliate identifier or Multiple Access identifier prescribed in Rule 11.9(f).

The Exchange has received feedback from firms who would like to employ MTP utilizing the current affiliate identifier or the current Multiple Access identifier that it is unclear whether particular use cases would qualify for MTP utilizing those particular identifiers based on the definition of those terms currently found in Rule 11.9(f). As such, the Exchange is proposing to remove the terms affiliate identifier and Multiple Access identifier from the definition of Unique Identifier in Rule 11.9 and replace those terms with a concept that more accurately captures a User’s basis for wanting to utilize MTP as a basis for creating a Unique Identifier. The proposed rule text in Rule 11.9(f) that provides for the creation of a Unique Identifier “. . . (iii) where the User indicates that MTP is necessary in order to prevent transactions in securities in which there is no change in beneficial ownership[.]” is based in the concept of the federal securities laws’ prohibition on wash sales¹⁰ and FINRA Rule 5210 concerning self-trades.^{11 12} Importantly,

¹⁰ A “wash sale” is generally defined as a trade involving no change in beneficial ownership that is intended to produce the false appearance of trading and is strictly prohibited under both the federal securities laws and FINRA rules. See, e.g., 15 U.S.C. 78i(a)(1); FINRA Rule 6140(b) (“Other Trading Practices”).

¹¹ Self-trades are “transactions in a security resulting from the unintentional interaction of orders originating from the same firm that involve no change in beneficial ownership of the security.” FINRA requires members to have policies and procedures in place that are reasonably designed to review trading activity for, and prevent, a pattern or practice of self-trades resulting from orders originating from a single algorithm or trading desk, or related algorithms or trading desks. See FINRA Rule 5210, Supplementary Material .02.

¹² The Exchange does not guarantee that MTP is sufficiently comprehensive to be the exclusive means by which a User can satisfy its obligations under the Exchange’s rules regarding a User’s supervisory obligations. MTP is designed to serve as a supplemental tool that may be utilized by Users and the Exchange generally does not believe that its use can replace User-based managed risk solutions and notes that MTP was not designed as a sole means of risk control. The User, and not the Exchange, retains full responsibility for complying with such regulatory requirements and must perform its own appropriate due diligence to ensure that MTP is reasonably designed to be effective, and otherwise consistent with the User’s supervisory obligations. The Commission has stated that broker-dealers may not rely merely on representations of the technology provider, even if an exchange or other regulated entity, to meet this due diligence standard. See, Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63241 (November 15, 2010), 75 FR 69792 at 69798. See also, Responses to Frequently Asked Questions Concerning Risk Management Controls for Brokers or Dealers with Market Access, Division of Trading

⁷ An MPID is a four-character unique identifier that is approved by the Exchange and assigned to a Member for use on the Exchange to identify the Member firm on the orders sent to the Exchange and resulting executions.

⁸ See Exchange Rule 11.9(f).

⁹ See Rule 11.9(f)(1)–(5). Generally, Users may elect to cancel the incoming order, cancel the resting order, cancel both orders, cancel the smallest order, or reduce the size of the larger order by the size of the smaller order.

the proposed revised definition of Unique Identifier, particularly item (iii), would continue to capture the concepts of the affiliate identifier and Multiple Access identifier and as such, existing Users of those Unique Identifiers would not be harmed by the change in definition. The Exchange notes that any User seeking to utilize proposed item (iii) of Rule 11.9(f) will be required to complete an Exchange-provided attestation before the Unique Identifier is created.¹³

The Exchange proposes to introduce subsection (iii) of Rule 11.9(f) to account for situations where a firm seeks to enable MTP in order to prevent transactions in securities in which there is no change in beneficial ownership but where the User does not have an existing Unique Identifier at the MPID or firm level that may be utilized to enable MTP. For instance, a firm may employ different trading strategies across different trading desks and choose to send orders for one strategy to the Exchange through one Sponsored Participant¹⁴ while the other strategy is sent through a third party who also accesses the Exchange as a Sponsored Participant.¹⁵ While each trading desk is sending its order flow as a Sponsored Participant, the Sponsored Participants are using different Sponsoring Members¹⁶ to connect to the Exchange and thus the Exchange cannot apply the same Unique Identifier to each respective trading desk even though the trading desks are from the same firm.

and Markets, Question No. 5, April 15, 2014. Available at: <https://www.sec.gov/rules-regulations/staff-guidance/trading-markets-frequently-asked-questions/divisionsmarketregfaq-0>.

¹³ The Exchange will not require an attestation from Users who are able to utilize the MPID level or firm level Unique Identifiers as those Users have existing documentation in place that allows for the utilization of a Unique Identifier (e.g., MPID, Exchange Member identifier, Sponsored Participant identifier, or trading group identifier) that is not manually created by the Exchange.

¹⁴ See Rule 1.5(x). The term "Sponsored Participant" shall mean a person which has entered into a sponsorship arrangement with a Sponsoring Member pursuant to Rule 11.3.

¹⁵ The Exchange notes that there may be instances where transactions between two trading desks from the same firm would be considered bona fide transactions (e.g., sufficient information barriers exist), but if the firm is requesting to utilize MTP then there is a presumption that the firm believes that transactions between the subject trading desk would result in a self-trade.

¹⁶ See Rule 1.5(y). The term "Sponsoring Member" shall mean a broker-dealer that has been issued a membership by the Exchange who has been designated by a Sponsored Participant to execute, clear and settle transactions resulting from the System. The Sponsoring Member shall be either (i) a clearing firm with membership in a clearing agency registered with the Commission that maintains facilities through which transactions may be cleared or (ii) a correspondent firm with a clearing arrangement with any such clearing firm.

Additionally, a firm may utilize multiple broker-dealers in multiple jurisdictions to implement its trading strategy at different hours of the day. For example, a firm's US-based broker-dealer may be primarily responsible for entering orders during Regular Trading Hours,¹⁷ while the firm's European-based broker-dealer may be primarily responsible for entering orders during the Early Trading Session.¹⁸ Various other considerations (e.g., business needs, cost, technology limitations, etc.) also factor in to a firm's decision into how it submits order flow to the Exchange.

For example, consider the following scenario where a firm has multiple Users submitting orders to the Exchange. User 1 seeks to enable MTP against User 2, which is a related entity of the same firm. User 1 is a US-based broker-dealer that submits orders to the Exchange as a Sponsored Participant through Sponsoring Member 1. User 2 is a European-based broker-dealer that submits orders to the Exchange as a Sponsored Participant through Sponsoring Member 2. User 1 and User 2 may not utilize the Sponsored Participant identifier because the Users submit orders through two different Sponsoring Members that have different Sponsored Participant identifiers. Additionally, User 1 and User 2 may not utilize the affiliate identifier because Form BD does not indicate at least a 50% ownership as proof that a control relationship exists. However, both User 1 and User 2 are controlled by the same parent company and believe that no change in beneficial ownership of the security will occur should User 1 and User 2 execute a transaction against one another.

Also consider the following scenario where a firm has multiple Users submitting orders to the Exchange. User 1 is attempting to enable MTP against both User 2 and User 3, all of which are related entities of the same firm. User 1 is a US-based broker-dealer that submits orders directly to the Exchange and has its own MPID and Exchange Member identifier. User 2 is a US-based broker-dealer that submits orders to the Exchange as a Sponsored Participant through Sponsoring Member 1. User 3 is a foreign broker-dealer that submits orders to the Exchange through a US-based broker-dealer (Firm 1). Firm 1 submits orders to the Exchange as a Sponsored Participant through

Sponsoring Member 2. In this particular example, User 1 would be eligible to enable MTP against User 2 using the multiple access Unique Identifier, as the firm has attested to being (i) a Member of the Exchange that submits orders directly to the System, and (ii) submitting orders to the System through a Sponsored Access arrangement. User 1 would also be eligible to enable MTP against User 3 using the multiple access Unique Identifier. While ultimately MTP can be enabled by User 1 against both User 2 and User 3, User 1 would need to complete multiple attestations in order to receive a multiple access identifier because User 2 and User 3 are submitting orders to the Exchange through different Sponsoring Members.

The Exchange plans to implement the proposed rule change during the first quarter of 2026 and will announce the implementation date via Trade Desk Notice.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.¹⁹ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)²⁰ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)²¹ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

In particular, the Exchange believes that the proposed revised definition of Unique Identifier promotes just and equitable principles of trade by allowing individual firms to better manage order flow and prevent undesirable trading activity such as wash sales²² or self-trades²³ that may occur as a result of the velocity of trading in today's high-speed

¹⁷ See Rule 1.5(w). The term "Regular Trading Hours" shall mean the time between 9:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time.

¹⁸ See Rule 1.5(ff). The term "Early Trading Session" shall mean the time between 4:00 a.m. and 8:00 a.m. Eastern Time.

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

²¹ *Id.*

²² *Supra* note 10.

²³ *Supra* note 11.

marketplace. The proposed revised definition of Unique Identifier does not introduce any new or novel functionality, as the proposed amendment does not change the underlying MTP functionality, but rather will provide Users with the ability to request MTP in situations that do not fit under the Exchange's current definition of Unique Identifier but for which the User has a valid reason to believe that no change in beneficial ownership will occur as a result of a transaction. For instance, a User may operate trading desk 1 that accesses the Exchange as a Sponsored Participant through one Sponsoring Member, as well as trading desk 2 that access the Exchange as a Sponsored Participant through a different Sponsoring Member. While these desks may operate different trading strategies, a User may desire to prevent these desks from trading versus each other in the marketplace because the orders are originating from the same entity.

As described in the above example, Users may desire MTP functionality in order to help them achieve compliance²⁴ with regulatory rules regarding wash sales and self-trades in a very similar manner to the way that current MTP functionality applies on the existing Sponsored Participant identifier level, but that the Exchange currently cannot enable because the Users are submitting order flow as Sponsored Participant through different Sponsoring Members. In this regard, the proposed revised definition of Unique Identifier will allow Users to enable MTP in situations where it is necessary in order to prevent transactions in securities in which there is no change in beneficial ownership but that the Exchange's current rule does not contemplate. This proposed change does not change the operation or purpose of MTP, but rather provides Users with three situations²⁵ in which a Unique Identifier may be created to enable MTP. The Exchange notes that the proposed revised definition of Unique Identifier would continue to capture the concepts of the affiliate identifier and Multiple Access identifier and as such, existing Users of those

Unique Identifiers would not be harmed by the change in definition.

In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule text promotes just and equitable principles of trade, is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, and in general protects investors and the public interest because it requires a User requesting a Unique Identifier pursuant to item (iii) of Rule 11.9(f) to complete an attestation prior to the creation of the Unique Identifier. The Exchange believes that requiring Users requesting a Unique Identifier pursuant to item (iii) of Rule 11.9(f) to complete an Exchange-provided attestation will help ensure that a Unique Identifier created pursuant to item (iii) of Rule 11.9(f) is not done for frivolous reasons or to block executions between Users where a change of beneficial ownership would otherwise occur.

The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change is fair and equitable and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination as MTP is available to all Users, its functionality remains optional, and its use is not a prerequisite for trading on the Exchange.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. MTP is an optional functionality offered by the Exchange and Users are free to decide whether to use MTP in their decision-making process when submitting orders to the Exchange.

The Exchange believes that the proposed revised definition of Unique Identifier does not impose any intramarket competition as it seeks to enhance an existing functionality available to all Users. The Exchange is not proposing to introduce any new or novel functionality, but rather is proposing to provide an extension of its existing MTP functionality to Users who seek to prevent transactions in securities in which there is no change of beneficial ownership. Importantly, the proposed rule does not change how MTP operates on the Exchange and MTP will continue to be available to any User who requests a Unique Identifier and satisfies the required criteria. Additionally, the proposed revised definition of Unique Identifier would continue to capture the current concepts covered by the existing affiliate identifier and Multiple Access identifier. MTP will continue to be an optional functionality offered by the Exchange and the revised definition of

Unique Identifier will not change how the current Unique Identifiers and MTP functionality operate.

The Exchange believes that the proposed revised definition of Unique Identifier does not impose any undue burden on intermarket competition. MTP is an optional functionality offered by the Exchange and Users are not required to use MTP functionality when submitting orders to the Exchange. Further, the Exchange is not required to offer MTP and is choosing to do so as a benefit for Users who wish to enable MTP functionality. Moreover, the proposed change is not being submitted for competitive reasons, but rather to provide Users enhanced order processing functionality that may prevent undesirable executions by affiliated Users such as wash sales or self-trades when no change of beneficial ownership occurs.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act²⁶ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder.²⁷ Because the proposed rule change does not (i) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative prior to 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, the proposed rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act²⁸ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder.²⁹

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6)³⁰ normally does not become operative prior to 30 days after the date of the filing. However, pursuant

²⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

²⁷ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

²⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

²⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has fulfilled this requirement.

³⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

²⁴ *Supra* note 12. The Exchange reminds Users that while they may utilize MTP to help prevent potential transactions such as wash sales or self-trades, Users, not the Exchange, are ultimately responsible for ensuring that their orders comply with applicable rules, laws, and regulations.

²⁵ The Exchange notes that two of the proposed instances (MPID and firm level) are not changing from the current definition of Unique Identifier. Only the proposed third instance is a change from the current rule text.

to Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii),³¹ the Commission may designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. The Exchange has requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Exchange states that waiver of the operative delay is appropriate because the proposed rule change: (1) does not change how the current MTP functionality on the Exchange works, (2) will allow additional Users to enable MTP pursuant to the revised definition of Unique Identifier on an earlier timeline, and (3) revises the definition of Unique Identifier to prevent transactions in securities where there is no change in beneficial ownership in instances that an existing Unique Identifier would not enable MTP modifier. The Commission believes that waiver of the operative delay would be consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because this proposed rule change does not present any novel issues. Accordingly, the Commission hereby waives the 30-day operative delay and designates the proposed rule change as operative upon filing.³²

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number SR-ChoeBYX-2026-002 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-ChoeBYX-2026-002. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-ChoeBYX-2026-002 and should be submitted on or before February 25, 2026.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³³

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026-02219 Filed 2-3-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-104761; File No. SR-NYSEAMER-2025-74]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Designation of a Longer Period for Commission Action on a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Certain Rules To List and Trade Options on the Grayscale CoinDesk Crypto 5 ETF

January 30, 2026.

On December 24, 2025, NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to amend certain Exchange rules to list and trade options on shares of the Grayscale CoinDesk Crypto 5 ETF. The proposed rule change was published for

comment in the **Federal Register** on January 12, 2026.³

Section 19(b)(2) of the Act⁴ provides that within 45 days of the publication of notice of the filing of a proposed rule change, or within such longer period up to 90 days as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission shall either approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved. The 45th day after publication of the notice for this proposed rule change is February 26, 2026. The Commission is extending this 45-day time period.

The Commission finds it appropriate to designate a longer period within which to take action on the proposed rule change so that it has sufficient time to consider the proposed rule change and the issues raised therein. Accordingly, the Commission, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁵ designates April 12, 2026, as the date by which the Commission shall either approve or disapprove, or institute proceedings to determine whether to disapprove, the proposed rule change (File No. SR-NYSEAMER-2025-74).

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁶

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2026-02222 Filed 2-3-26; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Investment Company Act Release No. 35928]

Deregistration Under Section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

January 30, 2026.

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission" or "SEC").

ACTION: Notice of Applications for Deregistration under Section 8(f) of the Investment Company Act of 1940.

The following is a notice of applications for deregistration under

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 104552 (Jan. 7, 2026), 91 FR 1222. The Commission has received no comment letters on the proposed rule change.

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(31).

³¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

³² For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission also has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

³³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12) and (59).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.