

# **Eastern Africa Alliance**

COP 29 Outcomes

Article 6 of the Paris Agreement

# Further guidance on Article 6.2

- Authorization
- Reporting
- First transfer
- Inconsistencies of reported information and their consequences
- Function of registries

# Authorization

- **Scope** : Clarifies it contains three components - cooperative approaches, ITMOs, and entities – single or sequential process
- **Content**: the Auth statement **shall** contain:
  - Unique identifier (CARP), Name of entity, use covered by authorization, vintage, metrics including conversion, sectors, activities and its types.
  - Date and duration including , final date for issuance, use and cancellation.
  - Cross reference to regulations, frameworks, standards, methodologies
  - Condition for change in authorization, including process to avoid DC.
  - Changes to authorization shall not apply or affect already first transferred outcomes **unless** specified in “terms and conditions of the authorization” by cooperating Parties to avoid double counting.
  - Tracking infrastructure identification, underlying framework, regulations, track participation and transaction of entities.
- **Format - Voluntary** standardized template by the Secretariat
- **Changes** – Shall not apply to first transferred ITMOs and consistent with the conditions elaborated in Authorization statement, including avoidance of the DC.
- **Transparency** - CARP provide publicly accessible copies of Auth, changes, statements, updates

# Reporting

- Provide supplementary information to be included in the Initial Report (IR);
- **Requests each participating Party to incorporate the elements contained in annex I**
- Request the parties to use the Updated the Agreed Electronic Format for reporting annual information
- Clarify the sequence and timing between the IR/UIR and annual and regular information
- **No provisions on the sequencing between reports and review**

# Application of first transfer

- Clarifies that mitigation outcomes can only be first transferred if they have been authorized by the first transferring Party;
- Clarify the timing and sequencing between authorization and first transfer ( NDC or OIMP, only OIMP);
- Specify the application of first transfer of ITMOs for OIMP with robust arrangements by the first transferring Party including a recording deadline ( no later than 31 December of the year prior to the submission of the BTR)
- Specify the voluntary contribution to the AF and OMGE as first transfer (CA will apply)

# Addressing the inconsistencies

- Adopt the tagging approach for ITMOs under the review process and transparent disclosure for stakeholders:
  - “no inconsistencies identified”,
  - “inconsistencies identified”,
  - “not available”
- Specify the Parties’ responsibility to address the inconsistencies and their consequences, requesting that ITMOs identified as inconsistent ‘not be used.’
- **Not stop the process/use of transferring the ITMOs**
- Decide on ‘significant’ or ‘persistent’ inconsistency to be identified and addressed by A6 technical expert review team

# Function of registries

- Compromise regarding functionalities of Art6.2 international registry ;
- Clarify the connection between the A6.4 mechanism registry and party registry Auth A6.4 ITMOs to IR
- A6.2 participating Parties' registries with 'pull and view' function on holding and action history of the for interoperability
- Add new registry services, including issue of MOs on-demand basis (issuance of mitigation outcomes as units + transfer to international registry)
- Clarifies that the registry services do not constitute an endorsement by the secretariat or by Parties to the Paris Agreement of the **quality of any mitigation outcomes involved, including with respect to the environmental integrity of those mitigation outcomes**;
- Capacity-building on registry infrastructure.

# Thank you

*El Hadji Mbaye DIAGNE*  
[emdiagne@hotmail.com](mailto:emdiagne@hotmail.com)  
+221776387792