

# Agreed Electronic Format (AEF) – Authorizations (Table 2)

**Chris Sherry** 

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Hybrid Workshop relating to Article 6.2 and 6.4 of the Paris Agreement

2-4 October – Baku, Azerbaijan



### Background (or a gentle reminder)



- We are nearing the end of the third year of discussions about the AEF
  - This is the fifth multiday technical workshop with a large focus on the AEF
  - Two technical workshops in 2022, two in 2023, and this week's workshop
- Parties are already implementing Article 6.2 cooperative approaches, including submitting Initial Reports and AEF tables
- Failure to adopt the AEF threatens the transparency of Article 6.2
   implementation and undermines the ability to conduct consistency checks
- We have a road map
  - The Glasgow Article 6.2 guidance specifies the information that needs to be collected through the AEF
- Adopting tables merely requires including the proper columns, rows, and table notes (to explain columns/help compilers fill info), in a well-organized manner
  - The information to be collected has already been decided
  - Designing the AEF is merely organizing this information in a useable format that is easily understood by compilers and readers

#### Authorizations



- Authorizations are a type of action, but they are unique
- Authorization of the use of a mitigation outcome is the necessary precursor to an ITMO
  - Reminder: an ITMO does not exist until:
    - ✓ There is an *authorization of the use of a mitigation outcome* and
    - ✓ That mitigation outcome is first transferred
  - Mitigation outcomes covered by an authorization sitting in a registry are not ITMOs unless they
    have been first transferred
- Authorization facilitates other action types (first transfer, acquisition, use)
  - These other action types can't happen without a Party taking the action of authorization (without the action type – authorization)
  - Because authorization is the foundation for other action types, there is unique information we want to collect about an authorization
  - It is not necessary to repeat all of this information about an authorization when reporting other action types
    - ✓ Because other reported action types will always include a reference to the authorization that applies to it

#### Dedicated Table for Authorizations



- To streamline information, provide clarity, and avoid confusion, we support a
  separate Table 2 for authorizations.
- Benefits of this approach include:
  - Reducing the number of columns in Table 3 Actions
    - ✓ Keep it manageable and avoid a "mega table"
  - Compiling reported information on authorizations in one place
  - Avoiding reporting redundant information across action types
    - ✓ All of the information related to an authorization does not also need to be reported for an action type such as "first transfer"
    - ✓ No need to "re-report" this information
    - ✓ AEF Table 3 Actions can simply include a column that references the authorization that applies for the ITMO action (e.g., through an authorization ID)
    - ✓ The referenced authorization ID (e.g., hyperlinked) in AEF Table 3 points you to the Article 6 Database location in the CARP where the full authorization document (e.g., PDF) and reported AEF information related to that authorization can be accessed

## Authorizations: What are we reporting?



- In Table 2 Parties are reporting on their authorizations
  - Parties are reporting an "action" they are authorizing mitigation outcomes for use or revising a previous authorization
- In AEF Table 2, Parties will report the following:
  - Any new authorizations they made during the AEF reporting year
  - Any modifications they made to a previously reported authorization that occurred during the AEF reporting year
- Table 2 of the AEF is not a repository for all of the authorizations by a Party, only
  those authorization actions that occurred during the AEF reporting year
- All reported authorizations by a Party will be compiled in the CARP all reported authorizations will be housed and accessible through the CARP (and should also be made publicly available in Party registries)
  - Organized to allow for easy query (e.g., by country or authorization ID) and cross referencing



# Thank you!