Swap.md 6/2/2020

Swap

Let's recall the conception of swap operation.

```
int a=3;
int b=4;

void swap(int &a,int &b){
    int t=a;
    // copy-initialization, not assignment.
    // It's worth realizing the difference between assignment and copy.
    // int t; t=a; // t is not initialized, and the second statement means t is assigned as a;
    a=b;
    b=t;
}
swap(a,b);
```

swap涉及两次赋值操作,和一次拷贝初始化操作。

std::swap()

```
我们看一下std::swap()的源码:
```

template<typename _Ty>

```
void swap(_Ty &left,_Ty &right){
    _Ty tmp=std::move(left);
    left=std::move(right);
    right=std::move(tmp);
}
class HasPtr{
private:
    int val;
    string* ps;
public:
    HasPtr(const string &s=string()):ps(new string(s)),val(0){}
    HasPtr(const HasPtr &p):ps(new string(*p.ps)),val(p.val){}
    HasPtr &operator=(const HasPtr &p){
        auto newp= new string(*p.ps);
        delete this->ps;
        this->ps=newp;
        this->val=p.val;
        return *this;
    }
    ~HasPtr() { delete ps; }
};
```

Swap.md 6/2/2020

```
HasPtr t=v1;// HasPtr t(v1); // make a temporary copy of the value of v1 v1=v2; // asisgn the value of v2 to v1 v2=t;
```

The code will allocate a new memory for storing the string of the temporary objects. Rather than allocating new copies of the string, we'd like swap to swap the pointers. That is, we'd like swapping two HasPtrs to execute as:

```
string *t=v1.ps;
v1.ps=v2.ps;
v2.ps=t;
```

Writing Our Own swap Function**

We can override the default behaviour of swap by defining a version of swap that operates on our class. The typical implementation of swap is:

swap Functions Should Call swap, Not std::swap

In the example mentioned above, the data members of HasPtr have built-in types, that is string and int. There is no type-specific version of swap for the built-in types. In this case, these calls will invoke the library std::swap.

However, if a class has a member that has its own type-specific swap function (e.g., HasPtr), calling std::swap would be a mistake. For example, assume that we had another class named Foo that has a member named h of class type HasPtr. If we did not write a Foo version of swap, then the library swap makes unnecessary copies of the strings managed by HasPtr.

If we wrote the Foo version of swap as:

```
void swap(Foo &lf, Foo &rf){
    // use the library version of swap, not the HasPtr version
    std::swap(lf.h,rf.h);
```

Swap.md 6/2/2020

```
\label{eq:continuous} // swap other members of type Foo }
```

This code would be no permance difference between this code and simply using the default version of swap. The problem is that we've explicitly requested the library version of swap. However, we want the version of HasPtr. The right way is:

```
void swap(Foo &lf,Foo &rf){
   using std::swap;
   swap(lf.h,rf.h);
   // swap other members of type Foo
}
```

Each call to swap must be unqualified. That is, each call should be to swap, not std::swap.

If there is a type-specific version of swap, that version will be a better match than the one defined in std. As a result, if there is a type-specific version of swap, calls to swap will match that type-specific version. If there is no type-specific version, then-assuming there is a using declaration for swap in scope-calls to swap will use the version in std.

Using swap in Assignment Operators

We can define the assignment operator by using swap defined in our classes. These opeartors use a technique known as **copy and swap**. This technique swaps the left-operand with a copy of the right-hand operand.

```
// note rhs is passed by value, which means the HasPtr copy constructor
// copies the string in the right-hand operand into rhs.

HasPtr & HasPtr::operator=(HasPtr rhs){
    // rhs is a local variable
    swap(*this,rhs);
    return *this;
    // rhs is destroyed, which deletes the pointer in rhs.
}
```