**Reviewer’s Checklist – Annotated Bibliography RD Date of Review: 02/23/2022**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Reviewer’s Name: Aidan Hopper** | **Author’s Name: Jonathan Licea** | |
| **+/-** | **Component:** | **Reviewer’s Comments:** | **Codqes**  (0 if ok) |
| + | 1. Proposal included; ~ 1 paragraph | Good on this | 0 |
| + | 1. Has ≥ 5 good sources. Reviewer: note how many are included. | Has 5 sources | 5 |
| + | 1. Has ≥ 5 annotations. Reviewer: note how many are included. | Has 5 annotations | 5 |
| + | 1. Blank lines after each citation and after each annotation. Use show/hide to see these. | Good on this | 0 |
| + | 1. Font 11 – 12 pt for most of document. Note font and size. | Paragraphs are in 12pt font | 0 |
| + | 1. Date clearly marked. | Date is marked | 0 |
| - | 1. Alphabetized | Annotations are not alphabetized | 187 |
| + | 1. Authors = Last name, First initial(s) | Authors names are formatted correctly. | 0 |
| + | 1. Topic **clearly** specified; is in CS; Reviewer must note the topic under ‘Comments’. | Topic is specified. Topic is exploring the current limits of computation. | |
| + | 1. Importance of topic. Reviewer: how many points in the proposal does the author make to support the topic? | Makes three points through asking questions. | |
| + | 1. Uses appropriate sources; Reviewer: note a few here | Scholarpedia Journal, American Association for the Advancement of Science, The Guardian. Should look for info from other sources. | |
| + | 1. Citations are in APA format; hanging indent requirement is waived due to LaTeX. Authors, not URLs. Alphabetized. Reviewer: note first author of both the first and last citation. | First source author, Einstein, A. Last source author, Seife, C. Authors not alphabetized. | |
|  | 1. Presents variety of perspectives and +outlooks | This is good. Presents multiple perspectives. | |
| + | 1. Annotations include: |  | |
| + | 1. About 150 words each (not counting the citation). Reviewer: note the word count in at least 3 annotations (put first author’s name and then number of words). | First: 132 words  Second: 142 words  Third: 148 words  Close needs to add one or two sentences to each annotation. | |
| + | 1. Key findings | Says moores law is still relevant, | |
| + | 1. Relevancy to topic | revevant | |
| + | 1. Critical analysis | Has a few critiques, for example, says to add more figures. | |
| + | 1. Description of content and focus | Describes content of each citation. | |
| + | 1. Author’s view, authority | Describes the authors view when applicable. | |

**KEY:**

**+**  = good as it is **/** = needs minor work **-** = could use work