April 11, 2023

Mr. Alexander M. Brady

Brady, Schwartz & Kassell LLP

1145 5th Avenue, Suite 120

Houston, TX 34587

RE: Appointment on 13th May 2009

Dear Mr. Brady,

On February 1, 2023, our client Michael H. Anderson propounded Special Interrogatories, Set Three, consisting of 34 interrogatories, upon your client, Defendant Alexis Waterford.

On February 24, 2023, Waterford served a hybrid set of responses to each interrogatory containing objections and certain substantive responses.

Please consider this letter our initial outreach in a good-faith attempt to meet-and-confer regarding your client’s responses, which were deficient as follows:

{%p for method in methods %}

{%p for ncr in objection\_categories[method].ncr.true\_values() %}

***{{ method }} No. {{ ncr }}***

The {{ method }} read as follows:

xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Waterford’s ’s response to the above was:

yyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyyy

Waterford’s response to {{ method }} No. {{ ncr }} is improper because:

{%p for objection in objection\_categories[method].objections[ncr].selected.true\_values() %}

{{ dataloader.load\_row(to\_int(objection))["Blurb"] }}

{%p endfor %}

{%p endfor %}

{%p endfor %}

Donec id consequat felis. Ut mauris dui, commodo vitae congue sit amet, posuere in lorem. Vestibulum feugiat leo vitae lorem aliquet blandit.

Cordially,

Daniel R. Franklin

Managing Partner