

# CAB420 - Assignment 2

## Contents

- Part A: SVMs and Bayes Classifiers
  - 1)
  - 2)
- Part B: PCA & Clustering
  - a)
  - b)
  - c)
  - d
  - e
- Clustering
  - a)
  - Clear everything out
  - b) run k-means on the data
    - K=5
    - K=20
  - c) agglomerative clustering
    - 5 clusters
    - 20 clusters
  - d) EM Gaussian
    - 5 components
    - 20 components

## Part A: SVMs and Bayes Classifiers

1)

```
clear
close all
load data_ps3_2.mat;

set 1 - linear

svm_test(@Klinear, 1, 1000, set1_train, set1_test);
title('Set 1 - Linear');
hold off;

set 2 - polynomial

svm_test(@Kpoly, 2, 1000, set2_train, set2_test);
title('Set 2 - Polynomial');
hold off;

set 3 - gaussian
```

```
svm_test(@Kgaussian, 1, 1000, set3_train, set3_test);  
title('Set 3 - Gaussian');  
hold off;
```

The interior-point-convex algorithm does not accept an initial point.  
Ignoring X0.

Minimum found that satisfies the constraints.

Optimization completed because the objective function is non-decreasing in  
feasible directions, to within the value of the optimality tolerance,  
and constraints are satisfied to within the value of the constraint tolerance.

WARNING: 3 training examples were misclassified!!!  
TEST RESULTS: 0.0446 of test examples were misclassified.  
The interior-point-convex algorithm does not accept an initial point.  
Ignoring X0.

Minimum found that satisfies the constraints.

Optimization completed because the objective function is non-decreasing in  
feasible directions, to within the value of the optimality tolerance,  
and constraints are satisfied to within the value of the constraint tolerance.

TEST RESULTS: 0.011 of test examples were misclassified.  
The interior-point-convex algorithm does not accept an initial point.  
Ignoring X0.

Minimum found that satisfies the constraints.

Optimization completed because the objective function is non-decreasing in  
feasible directions, to within the value of the optimality tolerance,  
and constraints are satisfied to within the value of the constraint tolerance.

TEST RESULTS: 0 of test examples were misclassified.

full\_assignment\_01-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_02-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_03-eps-converted-to.pdf

2)

```
% set 4 - all of them
linear_error = svm_test2(@Klinear, 1, 1000, set4_train, set4_test);
poly_error = svm_test2(@Kpoly, 2, 1000, set4_train, set4_test);
gauss_error = svm_test2(@Kgaussian, 1.5, 1000, set4_train, set4_test);
```

The gaussian method has the best results, with only 0.085 test examples being miscalsified. Polynomial was next best with 0.12, and linear was the worst with 0.1375

The interior-point-convex algorithm does not accept an initial point.  
Ignoring X0.

Minimum found that satisfies the constraints.

Optimization completed because the objective function is non-decreasing in feasible directions, to within the value of the optimality tolerance, and constraints are satisfied to within the value of the constraint tolerance.

TEST RESULTS: 0.1375 of test examples were misclassified.  
The interior-point-convex algorithm does not accept an initial point.  
Ignoring X0.

Minimum found that satisfies the constraints.

Optimization completed because the objective function is non-decreasing in  
feasible directions, to within the value of the optimality tolerance,  
and constraints are satisfied to within the value of the constraint tolerance.

TEST RESULTS: 0.12 of test examples were misclassified.  
The interior-point-convex algorithm does not accept an initial point.  
Ignoring X0.

Minimum found that satisfies the constraints.

Optimization completed because the objective function is non-decreasing in  
feasible directions, to within the value of the optimality tolerance,  
and constraints are satisfied to within the value of the constraint tolerance.

TEST RESULTS: 0.085 of test examples were misclassified.

## Part B: PCA & Clustering

clear and load stuff

```
clear
close all
X = load('data/faces.txt'); % load face dataset
```

understand the data

```
i=2;
img = reshape(X(i,:),[24 24]); % convert vectorized datum to 24x24 image patch
imagesc(img); axis square; colormap gray; % display an image patch
```

full\_assignment\_04-eps-converted-to.pdf

a)

```
[m, n] = size(X);

% subtract the mean of the face images to make the data zero-mean
mu = mean(X);
X0 = bsxfun(@minus, X, mu);

% take the SVD of the data
[U, S, V] = svd(X0);
W=U*S;
```

b)

```
K = 1:10;
meansquarederr = zeros(size(K));
for i=1:length(K)
    X0_hat = W(:, 1:K(i))*V(:, 1:K(i))';
    meansquarederr(i) = sum(mean((X0-X0_hat).^2));
end
```

```

figure();
hold on;
plot(meansquarederr);
xlabel('K');
ylabel('MSE');
title('Mean Squared Error for K from 1 to 10');
hold off;

```

full\_assignment\_05-eps-converted-to.pdf

c)

```

positive_principals = {};
negative_principals = {};

```

Compute the principal directions

```

for j=1:10
    alpha = 2*median(abs(W(:, j)))); % scale factor
    positive_principals{j} = mu + alpha*(V(:, j))';
    negative_principals{j} = mu - alpha*(V(:, j))';
end

```



Reshape them and view them as images

```
for i=1:3
    img = reshape(positive_principals{i}, [24, 24]);
    figure('name', sprintf('Positive Principal Direction %d', i));
    imagesc(img);
    title(sprintf('Positive Principal Direction %d', i));
    axis square;
    colormap gray;

    img = reshape(negative_principals{i}, [24, 24]);
    figure('name', sprintf('Negative Principal Direction %d', i));
    imagesc(img);
    title(sprintf('Negative Principal Direction %d', i));
    axis square;
    colormap gray;
end
```

full\_assignment\_06-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_07-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_08-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_09-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_10-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_11-eps-converted-to.pdf

**d**

```
idx = randperm(576, 20); % Generate random numbers for the index

figure; hold on; axis ij; colormap(gray);
title('Latent Space')
xlabel('Principal Component 1');
ylabel('Principal Component 2');

range = max(W(idx, 1:2)) - min(W(idx, 1:2)); % find range of coordinates to be plotted
scale = [200 200]./range; % want 24x24 to be visible

for i=idx
    imagesc(W(i,1)*scale(1),W(i,2)*scale(2), reshape(X(i,:), 24, 24));
end
```

full\_assignment\_12-eps-converted-to.pdf

e

```
K = [5, 10, 50]; % the number of principal directions
idx = randperm(576, 2); % pick two random faces

for f=1:length(idx)% for every face
    figure;
    imagesc(reshape(X(idx(f),:), [24, 24]));
    axis square;
    colormap gray;
    title(sprintf('Face %d', idx(f)));

    for i=1:length(K) % for every K value get a face estimation
        figure;
        imagesc(reshape(W(idx(f), 1:K(i))*V(1:576, 1:K(i))', 24, 24));
        axis square;
        colormap gray;
        title(sprintf('Face %d reconstructed with K=%d principal directions', idx(f), K(i)));
    end
end
```

full\_assignment\_13-eps-converted-to.pdf



full\_assignment\_14-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_15-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_16-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_17-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_18-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_19-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_20-eps-converted-to.pdf

## Clustering

a)

### Clear everything out

```
close all  
clear
```

```
% load the iris data restricted to the first two features  
load('iris.txt');  
iris = iris(:,1:2);
```

```
% plot the data to see the clustering  
scatter(iris(:,1), iris(:,2), 15, 'b*');
```

full\_assignment\_21-eps-converted-to.pdf

b) run k-means on the data

**K=5**

```
K1=5;
initial_k5 = [
    4.68    3.22;
    5.48    3.95;
    4.52    2.32;
    6.18    3.06;
    7.2     3.2;
];

centroids = initial_k5;
for i = 1:100
    idx = findClosestCentroids(iris, centroids);
    centroids = computeCentroids(iris, idx, K1);
end

% plot
figure; hold on;
```



```

plotDataPoints(iris, idx, K1);
plot(centroids(:,1), centroids(:,2), 'kx', 'MarkerSize', 15);
title('K-means clustering k=5');

```



full\_assignment\_22-eps-converted-to.pdf

## K=20

```

K2=20;
initial_k20 = [
    4.41  3.23;
    5.37  3.24;
    5.69  2.22;
    5.69  3.08;
    4.84  2.86;
    5.83  2.91;
    5.28  2.36;
    6.84  2.70;
    5.47  4.02;
    5.21  3.17;
    5.94  2.33;
    4.97  2.08;
    6.09  2.83;

```

```

        4.47    3.41;
        7.42    3.45;
        5.06    3.73;
        7.07    3.50;
        4.94    3.55;
        7.03    2.87;
        7.62    2.90;
    ];

    centroids = initial_k20;
    for i = 1:10
        idx = findClosestCentroids(iris, centroids);
        centroids = computeCentroids(iris, idx, K2);
    end

    % plot
    figure; hold on;
    plotDataPoints(iris, idx, K2);
    plot(centroids(:,1), centroids(:,2), 'kx', 'MarkerSize', 15);
    title('K-means clustering k=20');

```

full\_assignment\_23-eps-converted-to.pdf

### c) agglomerative clustering

```
sLink = linkage(iris, 'single');  
cLink = linkage(iris, 'complete');
```

#### 5 clusters

```
colors5 = jet(5);  
clust = cluster(sLink, 'maxclust', 5);  
figure;  
scatter(iris(:,1), iris(:,2), 15, colors5(clust,:), 'filled');  
title('Single linkage agglomerative clustering with 5 clusters');  
  
clust = cluster(cLink, 'maxclust', 5);  
figure;  
scatter(iris(:,1), iris(:,2), 15, colors5(clust,:), 'filled');  
title('Complete linkage agglomerative clustering with 5 clusters');
```

full\_assignment\_24-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_25-eps-converted-to.pdf

## 20 clusters

```
colors20 = jet(20);
clust = cluster(sLink, 'maxclust', 20);
figure;
scatter(iris(:,1), iris(:,2), 15, colors20(clust,:), 'filled');
title('Single linkage agglomerative clustering with 20 clusters');

clust = cluster(cLink, 'maxclust', 20);
figure;
scatter(iris(:,1), iris(:,2), 15, colors20(clust,:), 'filled');
title('Complete linkage agglomerative clustering with 20 clusters');
```

full\_assignment\_26-eps-converted-to.pdf

full\_assignment\_27-eps-converted-to.pdf

Single linkage with 5 clusters gives poor results, forming only two clusters with more than one point. Complete linkage gives much better results, with 5 relatively equal groups positioned in a logical manner. K-means clustering is slightly better as the groups have a more similar number of elements in them.

Single linkage with 20 clusters also give poor results, with many clusters having few points, and only two main groups. Complete linkage gives better results, with more evenly distributed clusters, but is messy. This is probably too many clusters for this dataset. This looks to be similarly effective to k-means clustering.

#### d) EM Gaussian

```
clear;
% Load data
load('iris.txt');
iris = [iris(:,1), iris(:,2)];

% set the colormaps for the different number of clusters
colors5 = jet(5);
colors20 = jet(20);
```

## 5 components

can try changing the initial clusters to get better results

```
K = 5;
initial_clusters = [
    4.68    3.22;
    5.48    3.95;
    4.52    2.32;
    6.18    3.06;
    7.2     3.2;
];

% run EM gaussian mixture model
[assign, clusters, ~, ~] = emCluster(iris, 5, initial_clusters);

figure; hold on;
scatter(iris(:,1), iris(:,2), 15, colors5(assign,:), 'filled');
for i = 1:K
    plotGauss2D(clusters.mu(i,:), clusters.Sig(:, :, i), 'k', 'linewidth', 1);
end
title('EM Gaussian mixture Model with 5 Components');
```

```
Warning: emclust:iter :: stopped after reaching maximum number of iterations
```

full\_assignment\_28-eps-converted-to.pdf

## 20 components

```
K = 20;
initial_clusters = [
    6.4913    2.9333;
    4.4814    2.9917;
    6.2679    2.9460;
    6.8588    3.0600;
    4.7738    3.2812;
    4.9284    2.4325;
    5.7432    3.0969;
    7.7151    2.8911;
    5.8724    2.7003;
    6.4796    2.8164;
    6.3604    2.5873;
    5.4780    3.4583;
    7.9528    3.8910;
    6.4738    3.2295;
    6.4996    3.2526;
    6.1055    2.8347;
```



```

4.3266    3.0099;
4.9465    3.1763;
5.1536    3.3008;
4.8142    3.4620;
];

% run EM gaussian mixture model
[assign, clusters, ~, ~] = emCluster(iris, 20, initial_clusters);

% Plot the results
figure; hold on;
scatter(iris(:,1), iris(:,2), 15, colors20(assign,:), 'filled');
for i = 1:K
    plotGauss2D(clusters.mu(i,:), clusters.Sig(:,:,i), 'k', 'linewidth', 1);
end
title('EM Gaussian mixture Model with 20 Components');

Warning: emclust:iter :: stopped after reaching maximum number of iterations

```

full\_assignment\_29-eps-converted-to.pdf

The EM gaussian mixture model with 5 components doesnt give great results, it is worse than the complete linkage and the k-means models. The groups are overlapping each other and dont make much sense as groupings.

The EM gaussian mixture model with 20 components is once again very messy, and also has overlapping groups. This is worse than the k-means and complete linkage clustering algorithms