

2/12/23

Day 11

5.1.2: Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV)

A single observation is used as the validation set, (x_i, y_i) . The MSE is then $(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$.

• This MSE is unbiased, but highly variable.

• What we do is repeat the procedure leaving out each observation, giving n squared errors, $\{MSE_i\}_{i=1}^n$.

• Then: the LOOCV test error estimate is

$$CV(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n MSE_i$$

Advantages:

- 1) Far less bias than the validation set approach.
- 2) LOOCV always yields the same result for a given dataset.

Disadvantages:

- 1) Can be slow to implement if n is large or fitting the model is slow.

Note that, for polynomial regression, the following ~~result~~ fast formula holds:

$$CV(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{1 - h_i} \right)^2$$

where h_i is the leverage of observation i and \hat{y}_i comes from fitting the model on all n observations.

A very general method.

5.1.3: k-Fold Cross-Validation

- 1) Randomly break the observations into k ~~groups~~ ^{folds}.
- 2) Fit the model on the last $k-1$ ~~groups~~ ^{folds}.
- 3) Estimate ^{calculate} the test MSE on the first ~~set~~ ^{fold}.
- 4) Iterate ~~for~~ over $[k]$ to get $\{MSE_i\}_{i=1}^k$.
- 5) Estimate the test MSE as

$$CV(k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k MSE_i$$

Often, $k=5$ or 10 . Why?

- 1) Computational advantage for large or complex fitting model.
- 2) If we only want to identify the correct level of flexibility, then k -fold CV does a good job of identifying the degrees of freedom giving the minimum test MSE.

12/13/23

5.1.4: Bias-Variance Trade-off for k -Fold Cross-Validation

Day 12

- 1) k -fold CV is more computationally efficient than LOOCV
- 2) k -fold CV gives more accurate estimates of the test error than LOOCV

LOOCV reduces bias the most, but has high variance
 k -fold CV reduces bias. Why

LOOCV estimates the test MSE with a mean of ~~highly correlated~~ observations, where nearly identical datasets, which causes higher correlation in the test error estimates from iteration.

k -folds observations are less correlated

5.1.5: Cross-Validation on Classification Problems

Instead of using MSE to quantify error, we use the misclassification rate. Thus, the LOOCV error rate is

$$CV(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Err}_i$$

And likewise for validation of a k -fold