

Often, $k=5$ or 10 . Why?

- 1) Computational advantage for large or complex fitting model.
- 2) If we only want to identify the correct level of flexibility, then k -fold CV does a good job of identifying the degrees of freedom giving the minimum test MSE.

12/13/23 5.1.4: Bias-Variance Trade-off for k -Fold Cross-Validation

- Day 12
- 1) k -fold CV is more computationally efficient than LOOCV
 - 2) k -fold CV gives more accurate estimates of the test error than LOOCV

LOOCV reduces bias the most, but has high variance
 k -fold CV reduces bias. Why

LOOCV estimates the test MSE with a mean of ~~highly correlated~~ observations, whereas ~~reality~~ identical datasets, which causes higher correlation in the test error estimates from iteration.

k -folds observations are less correlated

5.1.5: Cross-Validation on Classification Problems

Instead of using MSE to quantify error, we use the misclassification rate. Thus, the LOOCV error rate is

$$CV(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Err}_i$$

And likewise for validation of a k -fold

5.2: The Bootstrap

- Used to quantify the uncertainty associated with a given estimator or statistical learning method.

example: Assess the variability associated with the regression components of a linear model.

We wish to invest a fixed sum of money into two financial assets that yield returns of X and Y , respectively.

We invest a fraction α into X , $1-\alpha$ into Y .

We want to minimize the total risk (the variance) $\text{Var}(\alpha X + (1-\alpha)Y)$. One can show that the minimizer is

$$\alpha = \frac{\sigma_Y^2 - \sigma_{XY}}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2 - 2\sigma_{XY}}$$

We'll need to estimate these σ 's.
How can we assess the accuracy of α ?

- We resample n from the data, calculate α for that $\hat{\alpha}$ then average.
- Repeat B times, for large B , get $\hat{\alpha}^{*1}, \hat{\alpha}^{*2}, \dots, \hat{\alpha}^{*B}$.

Then

$$\text{SE}_B(\hat{\alpha}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{r=1}^B \left(\hat{\alpha}^{*r} - \frac{1}{B} \sum_{r=1}^B \hat{\alpha}^{*r} \right)^2}$$

Ch.6: Linear Model Selection & Regularization

Alternative fitting procedures can yield better prediction accuracy and model interpretability.

- Constraining or shrinking coefficients can give better prediction accuracy if $p \geq n$.
- Feature/variable selection improves model interpretability by removing irrelevant variables from the model.

- Subset selection: Find the subset of predictors which is relevant
- Shrinkage (regularization) reduces the variance
- Dimension reduction: ???

6.1: Subset Selection

6.1.1: Best Subset Selection

Fit a different model to each of the 2^p subsets of the predictors. Then take the one with the best EV prediction error, C_p , AIC, BIC, or adjusted R^2 .

Deviance = $-2 \times \max(\log(L))$; RSS for a broader class of models. The smaller the better.

Way too computationally expensive

6.1.2: Stepwise Selection

Forward Stepwise Selection

- Begin with empty model then adds predictors until they're all in the model
- Specifically, the variable giving the greatest additional improvement to the fit is added to the model.
- Select the single best model.

You only need to fit $1 + p(p+1)/2$ models here.

This works for $n < p$ too, but not well since you can only fit $n-1$ models.

Backward stepwise selection

Only needs to fit $1 + p(p+1)/2$ models
Needs $n > p$

Hybrid approaches exist too.

6.1.3: Choosing the Optimal Model

We need to estimate the test error.

- 1) Adjust the training error
- 2) Directly estimate test error.

C_p , AIC, BIC, Adjusted R^2

• These can be used to select among different numbers of variables.

$$C_p = \frac{1}{n} (RSS + 2d\hat{\sigma}^2)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is an estimate of $\text{Var}(\epsilon)$ and d is the number of predictors
(Note ϵ is often estimated with the full model).

• AIC is defined for models fit by maximum likelihood

$$AIC = \frac{1}{n\hat{\sigma}^2} (RSS - 2d\hat{\sigma}^2)$$

$$BIC = \frac{1}{n\hat{\sigma}^2} (RSS + \log(n)d\hat{\sigma}^2)$$