## 23MAC260 Problem Sheet 8: Solutions

## Week 8 Lectures

Last updated: May 6, 2024

1. Let f(z) be the meromorphic function

$$f(z) = \frac{3\cos^2(z)}{\sin^2(2z)}.$$

(a) Compute the order ord(f, 0) of f at 0.

**Solution:** We use the series expansions for  $\cos$  and  $\sin$  around 0:

$$\cos(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}z^2 + \cdots$$
$$\sin(z) = z - \frac{1}{6}z^3 + \cdots$$

to obtain

$$3\cos^{2}(z) = 3 - 3z^{2} + \cdots$$
$$\sin^{2}(2z) = 4z^{2} - \frac{16}{3}z^{4} + \cdots$$

Putting these together, we see that that f(z) can be written in the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} \left( \frac{3 - 3z^2 + \dots}{4 - \frac{16}{3}z^2 + \dots} \right)$$

Denote the expression in parentheses by h(z). Then plugging in z=0 we see that  $h(0)=\frac{3}{4}$ , so if we expand h in a power series about z=0 we will get something of the form

$$h(z) = \frac{3}{4} + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

(In fact since the numerator and denominator of h only involve **even** powers of z, in our series expansion only even powers of z will have nonzero coefficients.)

Combining this with our previous equation gives

$$f(z) = \frac{3}{4}z^{-2} + \cdots$$

So ord(f, 0) = -2.

(b) Compute the residue Res(f, 0) of f at 0.

**Solution:** Recall that  $\mathrm{Res}(f,0)$  means the coefficient of  $z^{-1}$  in the series expansion of f around 0. As remarked above, in the series expansion of the function h around 0, only even powers of z have nonzero coefficients, and since  $f(z)=z^{-2}h(z)$ , the same thing is true for f. Hence

$$Res(f,0) = 0.$$

(c) Compute the integral

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) \, dz$$

where  $\gamma$  is the ellipse in the complex plane defined by the equation

$$17 \operatorname{Re}(z)^2 + 23 \operatorname{Im}(z)^2 = 13.$$

**Solution:** As you might guess, the solution has nothing to do with the form of the ellipse. We will use the Reside Theorem which says that

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Res}(f, z_i)$$

where  $z_1, \ldots, z_n$  are the poles of f that lie inside  $\gamma$ .

Now the only possible poles of f are those points where its denominator  $\sin^2(2z)$  equals 0. We have

$$\sin^{2}(2z) = 0$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow \sin(2z) = 0$$
  

$$\Leftrightarrow z = \frac{k}{2}\pi, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now if  $k\neq 0$  then  $|\frac{k}{2}\pi|>1$  and so  $17(\frac{k}{2}\pi)^2>13$ , so these (possible) poles of f are all outside the ellipse  $\gamma$ . Hence there is only one possible pole of f inside  $\gamma$ , namely z=0. Considering the Laurent expansion of f around 0, we can see that z=0 is indeed a pole, since the expansion starts with a negative power of z. Therefore the Residue Theorem says that

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}(f, 0)$$
$$= 0.$$

Remark: Using the trigonometric identity

$$\sin(2z) = 2\sin(z)\cos(z)$$

we can rewrite f as

$$f(z) = \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\sin^2(z)} \right)$$

This expression for f shows that in fact it has poles only at  $z = k\pi$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

2. Show that if f(z) is meromorphic at a point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , then for the function g(z) = f'(z)/f(z) we have

$$\operatorname{Res}(g, z_0) = \operatorname{ord}(f, z_0).$$

(This was used in the proof of the Equivalence Theorem in Week 9.)

**Solution:** Denote  $\operatorname{ord}(f, z_0) = k$ , so the series expansion of f around  $z_0$  looks like:

$$f(z) = a_k(z - z_0)^k + a_{k+1}(z - z_0)^{k+1} + \cdots$$

where the coefficient  $a_k$  is nonzero. Differentiating this expresssion we get the series expansion for f'(z) around  $z_0$ :

$$f'(z) = ka_k(z - z_0)^{k-1} + +(k+1)(a_{k+1})(z - z_0)^k + \cdots$$

and hence

$$g(z) = \frac{ka_k(z - z_0)^{k-1} + (k+1)(a_{k+1})(z - z_0)^k + \cdots}{a_k(z - z_0)^k + a_{k+1}(z - z_0)^{k+1} + \cdots}$$

We can divide top and bottom by  $a_k(z-z_0)^k$  to rewrite this in the form

$$g(z) = \frac{k(z - z_0)^{-1} + \cdots}{1 + (z - z_0)\gamma(z - z_0)}$$

where  $\gamma(z-z_0)$  is some power series with nonnegative powers of  $z-z_0$  only. Using the series representation

$$\frac{1}{1+x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^n$$

applied with  $x=(z-z_0)\gamma(z-z_0)$  we get

$$g(z) = k(z - z_0)^{-1} + \cdots$$

and hence  $Res(g, z_0) = k = ord(f, z_0)$ .

3. Let L be the lattice spanned by the complex numbers  $\omega_1$  ad  $\omega_2$ . Let m, n, r, s be integers. Let L' be the lattice spanned by

$$\tau_1 = m\omega_1 + n\omega_2$$
$$\tau_2 = r\omega_1 + s\omega_2.$$

Show that L = L' if and only if the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m & n \\ r & s \end{pmatrix}$$

has determinant  $\pm 1$ . (Equivalently, M is invertible and its inverse is also an integer matrix.)

**Solution:** First suppose that L=L'. That means in particular that  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are elements of L'. Every element of L' is an integer linear combination of  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , so there are integers  $\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma$  such that

$$\omega_1 = \mu \tau_1 + \nu \tau_2$$
$$\omega_2 = \rho \tau_1 + \sigma \tau_2$$

Substituting the original expressions for  $au_1$  and  $au_2$  into these new equations we get

$$\omega_1 = \mu(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2) + \nu(r\omega_1 + s\omega_2)$$
  
$$\omega_2 = \rho(m\omega_1 + n\omega_2) + \sigma(r\omega_1 + s\omega_2)$$

Simplify these gives

$$\omega_1 = (\mu m + \nu r)\omega_1 + (\mu n + \nu s)\omega_2$$
  
$$\omega_2 = (\rho m + \sigma_r)\omega_1 + (\rho n + \sigma s)\omega_2$$

We can write this as a matrix equation as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \nu \\ \rho & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \cdot M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now if we write  $\omega_1=x_1+iy_1$  and  $\omega_2=x_2+iy_2$  and consider the real and imaginary parts of the above equation we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \nu \\ \rho & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \cdot M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are not real multiples of each other, the matrix on the left-hand side is invertible. Multiplying on both sides by its inverse we get

$$I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \nu \\ \rho & \sigma \end{pmatrix} \cdot M$$

So M is invertible with inverse an integer matrix, hence has determinant  $\pm 1$ .

Conversely, if M has determinant  $\pm 1$  then there is an integer matrix

$$M^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & \nu \\ \rho & \sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

Starting from the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{pmatrix} = M \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

we can multiply on both sides by  $M^{-1}$  to get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 \end{pmatrix} = M^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} \mu \tau_1 + \nu \tau_2 \\ \rho \tau_1 + \sigma \tau_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since L is spanned by  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ , this shows  $L \subset L'$ . But  $L' \subset L$  since  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are by definition linear combinations of  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ . Hence L = L'.