

# 23MAC260 Elliptic Curves: Week 4

Last updated: February 16, 2024

## 1 Isomorphism of elliptic curves

As with other kinds of mathematical structures (groups, vector spaces, algebraic varieties. . .) we want to be able to say when two elliptic curves are “really the same”.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $K$  be a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $E$  and  $E'$  be two elliptic curves that are defined over  $K$ . Suppose the curves are given in Weierstrass form as

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

$$E': y^2 = x^3 + \alpha x + \beta$$

where  $a, b, \alpha, \beta$  are elements of  $K$ .

We say that  $E$  and  $E'$  are **isomorphic over  $K$**  if there exists a nonzero element  $\mu \in K$  such that

$$\alpha = \mu^4 a$$

$$\beta = \mu^6 b.$$

If  $E$  and  $E'$  are isomorphic over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we will usually just say that they are **isomorphic**.

**Notation:** If  $E$  and  $E'$  are isomorphic over  $K$ , we write

$$E \simeq_K E'.$$

If  $E$  and  $E'$  are isomorphic over  $\mathbb{C}$ , we drop the subscript and just write

$$E \simeq E'.$$

**Remarks:**

1. If  $E$  and  $E'$  are isomorphic over  $K$ , then they are isomorphic over any field  $L$  that contains  $K$ ; in particular, they are isomorphic. But the converse is not true, as we will see in examples below.
2. If you took the “Algebraic Geometry” module, you may have seen a general definition of isomorphism of algebraic varieties. In fact, the definition above is equivalent to the abstract one in the special case of elliptic curves.

**Example:** Let  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  and  $E_3$  be the elliptic curves given by

$$E_1 : y^2 = x^3 + x + 1$$

$$E_2 : y^2 = x^3 + 16x + 64$$

$$E_3 : y^2 = x^3 + 4x + 8.$$

Note that all 3 curves are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . What about isomorphism?

- $E_1 \simeq_{\mathbb{Q}} E_2$ : take  $\mu = 2$  in Definition 1.1.
- $E_1 \simeq E_3$ : take  $\mu = \sqrt{2}$ . But they are **not** isomorphic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Next we will show that isomorphic elliptic curves are the same not just as curves, but also as **groups**. Recall from last time that if  $K$  is a subfield of  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $E$  is an elliptic curve defined over  $K$ , then  $E(K)$  means the set of points of  $E$  with coordinates in  $K$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $E$  and  $E'$  be elliptic curves defined over  $K$ , given by Weierstrass equations*

$$E : y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

$$E' : y^2 = x^3 + \alpha x + \beta$$

where  $a, b, \alpha, \beta$  are elements of  $K$ . Assume that  $E \simeq_K E'$ , so there exists a nonzero  $\mu \in K$  such that

$$\alpha = \mu^4 a, \quad \beta = \mu^6 b.$$

Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : E(K) &\rightarrow E'(K) \\ (x, y) &\mapsto (\mu^2 x, \mu^3 y) \\ O &\mapsto O \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of groups.

*Proof.* First note that

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in E(K) &\Leftrightarrow y^2 = x^3 + ax + b \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mu^6 y^2 = \mu^6 (x^3 + ax + b) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mu^3 y)^2 = (\mu^2 x)^3 + \mu^4 a (\mu^2 x) + \mu^6 b \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mu^3 y)^2 = (\mu^2 x)^3 + \alpha (\mu^2 x) + \beta \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\mu^2 x, \mu^3 y) \in E'(K). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\phi$  gives a bijection between the subsets of  $E(K)$  and  $E'(K)$  that lie in the affine plane. Since by definition  $\phi$  also maps  $O$  to  $O$ , this shows that  $\phi$  gives a bijection from  $E(K)$  to  $E'(K)$ .

Next we want to prove that  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism. To see this, note that  $\phi$  is a linear map, so it sends lines to lines. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be any two points in  $E(K)$ . The points  $\{P, Q, P * Q\}$  lie on a line, therefore so too do their images  $\{\phi(P), \phi(Q), \phi(P * Q)\}$ . Therefore

$$\phi(P) * \phi(Q) = \phi(P * Q).$$

So finally we get

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(P) \oplus \phi(Q) &= O * (\phi(P) * \phi(Q)) \\ &= O * (\phi(P * Q)) \\ &= \phi(O) * (\phi(P * Q)) \\ &= \phi(O * (P * Q)) \\ &= \phi(P \oplus Q)\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

## 2 The $j$ -invariant of an elliptic curve

A very convenient way to understand isomorphism of elliptic curves is via their so-called  $j$ -invariant, which we now introduce.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve given by an equation in Weierstrass form:

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + ax + b.$$

Its  $j$ -invariant is defined as

$$j(E) = 1728 \cdot \frac{4a^3}{\Delta}$$

where as before  $\Delta = -4a^3 - 27b^2$ .

Perhaps surprisingly, this number completely determines isomorphism of elliptic curves:

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $E$  and  $E'$  be two elliptic curves. Then  $E \simeq E'$  if and only if  $j(E) = j(E')$ .

*Proof.* Suppose our two elliptic curves are given by

$$\begin{aligned}E: y^2 &= x^3 + ax + b \\ E': y^2 &= x^3 + \alpha x + \beta.\end{aligned}$$

Their respective discriminants are then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta &= -4a^3 - 27b^2 \\ \Delta' &= -4\alpha^3 - 27\beta^2.\end{aligned}$$

and recall from Week 3 that  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta'$  are nonzero.

First, assume that  $E \simeq E'$ . So there exists  $\mu \neq 0$  such that  $\alpha = \mu^4 a$  and  $\beta = \mu^6 b$ . Therefore  $\Delta' = -4\alpha^3 - 27\beta^2 = \mu^{12}\Delta$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} j(E') &= 1728 \cdot \frac{4\alpha^3}{\Delta'} \\ &= 1728 \cdot \frac{\mu^{12} \cdot 4a^3}{\mu^{12}\Delta} \\ &= j(E). \end{aligned}$$

Now let's prove the converse. Suppose that  $j(E) = j(E')$ . There are a few cases to consider:

- First consider the case  $j(E) = j(E') = 0$ . This means  $a = \alpha = 0$  (and hence  $b$  and  $\beta$  are nonzero). Choose any  $\mu$  such that  $\mu^6 = \beta/b$  (which is possible since we are working over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). Then

$$\alpha = \mu^4 a, \beta = \mu^6 b$$

and therefore the curves are isomorphic.

- Next consider the case  $j(E) = j(E') = -1728$ . This means

$$\frac{4a^3}{\Delta} = \frac{4\alpha^3}{\Delta'} = -1$$

hence  $b = \beta = 0$ . So choose  $\mu$  such that  $\mu^4 = \alpha/a$ .

- Now suppose  $j(E) = j(E') = j$ , some number different from 0 and  $-1728$ .

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} j + 1728 &= 1728 \left( \frac{4a^3}{\Delta} + 1 \right) \\ &= 1728 \left( \frac{4a^3 + \Delta}{\Delta} \right) \\ &= -1728 \cdot \frac{27b^2}{\Delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$j + 1728 = -1728 \cdot \frac{27\beta^2}{\Delta'}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{j}{j + 1728} &= -\frac{4a^3}{27b^2} \\ &= -\frac{4\alpha^3}{27\beta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\left(\frac{a}{\alpha}\right)^3 = \left(\frac{b}{\beta}\right)^2. \quad (\dagger)$$

Let  $\mu$  be a solution of

$$\mu^2 = \frac{a}{\alpha} \frac{\beta}{b}.$$

Then using Equation  $(\dagger)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^4 &= \left(\frac{a}{\alpha}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\beta}{b}\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{\alpha}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\alpha}{a}\right)^3 \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\alpha = \mu^4 a$ .

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^6 &= \left(\frac{a}{\alpha}\right)^3 \left(\frac{\beta}{b}\right)^3 \\ &= \frac{\beta}{b}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\beta = \mu^6 b$ .

□

### Examples:

1. Consider the family of curves

$$E_t: y^2 = x^3 + t$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  is a parameter.

Computing the discriminant as a function of  $t$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(t) &= -4a^3 - 27b^2 \\ &= -27t^2. \end{aligned}$$

So  $E_t$  is an elliptic curve if and only if  $t \neq 0$ .

For these values of  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} j(E_t) &= 1728 \cdot \frac{4a^3}{\Delta} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So all the curves in this family are isomorphic.

However, two curves in the family that are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  will usually **not** be isomorphic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . For example, consider the curves

$$\begin{aligned}E_1: y^2 &= x^3 + 1 \\E_2: y^2 &= x^3 + 2.\end{aligned}$$

Since there is no  $\mu \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\mu^6 = 2$ , these two curves are not isomorphic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In fact, later in the module, we will be able to prove that the group  $E_1(\mathbb{Q})$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ , whereas  $E_2(\mathbb{Q})$  has no points of finite order.

2. Consider the family of curves

$$E_\lambda: y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is a parameter. Clearly the cubic on the right hand side has 3 distinct roots if and only if  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 1$ , so  $E_\lambda$  is an elliptic curve for  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ .

On Problem Sheet 4 you will show

$$j(E_\lambda) = 256 \cdot \frac{(\lambda^2 - \lambda + 1)^3}{\lambda^2(\lambda - 1)^2}$$

So for a given value  $j_0$ , there are (at most) 6 values of  $\lambda$  such that  $j(E_\lambda) = j_0$ .

### 3 Congruent Number Problem (Non-examinable)

As a link into the topic of rational points on elliptic curves, let's look at an application of elliptic curves to a classic problem of number theory.

**Congruent Number Problem:** given a rational number  $q$ , does there exist a right-angled triangle with rational sides and area equal to  $q$ ?

If so, the number  $q$  is called a **congruent** number.

If there is such a triangle with short sides  $a$  and  $b$  and hypotenuse  $c$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= 2q \\ a^2 + b^2 &= c^2. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *There is a 1-1 correspondence*

$$\{(a, b, c) \mid ab = 2q, a^2 + b^2 = c^2\} \leftrightarrow \{(x, y) \mid y^2 = x^3 - q^2x, y \neq 0\}$$

given by

$$(a, b, c) \mapsto \left( \frac{qb}{c-a}, \frac{2q^2}{c-a} \right) \tag{1}$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto \left( \frac{x^2 - q^2}{y}, \frac{2qx}{y}, \frac{x^2 + q^2}{y} \right). \tag{2}$$

The relevance of this theorem for us is that the equation  $y^2 = x^3 - q^2x$  defines an elliptic curve  $E_q$ . So given  $(a, b, c)$  as above, we can use the correspondence in Theorem 3.1 to “convert” them into a point  $(x, y) \in E_q$ . Addition of points on  $E_q$  then generates new triangles with rational sides and area  $q$ .

**Example:** Let  $q = 6$ . So our curve is

$$E_6: y^2 = x^3 - 36x.$$

There is an obvious rational triangle with area 6: its side-lengths are  $(a, b, c) = (3, 4, 5)$ . Using (1) above, this gives us the point  $P = (12, 36) \in E_6$ . Doubling this point we get

$$2P = \left( \frac{25}{4}, -\frac{35}{8} \right).$$

Mapping this via (2) would give  $(a, b, c)$  with negative values, hence no triangle, but instead we can use the point

$$-2P = \left( \frac{25}{4}, \frac{35}{8} \right).$$

Substituting  $x = \frac{25}{4}$ ,  $y = \frac{35}{8}$  into (2), we get

$$(a, b, c) = \left( \frac{7}{10}, \frac{120}{7}, \frac{1201}{70} \right).$$

So there is a right-angled triangle with area 6 whose sides have these lengths!

In fact, the point  $P$  has infinite order as an element of the group  $E_6$ , so we can repeat this process to get as many of these points as we like. For example, the point  $4P$  gives us a triangle whose hypotenuse is

$$c = \frac{2094350404801}{241717895860}$$

For much more on the congruent number problem, a nice writeup is "The Congruent Number Problem" by Keith Conrad, available at <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/ugradnumthy/congnumber.pdf>