Adipocyte Hackathon Evaluation Criteria

In this document we list the evaluation criteria used to rank the submitted solutions.

| Metric Description | Weight | Metric |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|
| Combined metric based on | 0.50 | Float in {0,1} |
| pixelwise and image feature | | Number is normalized to the |
| mean absolute error – the | | maximum error |
| fidelity is calculated on all | | |
| channels and magnifications. | | |
| Coverage of the project report | 0.15 | Three levels in {0,1} |
| with respect to code. | | > 2 follow up questions |
| | | 1-2 follow up questions |
| | | No follow up. |
| Model complexity measured as | 0.05 | Float in {0,1} |
| number of tuned hyper- | | Number is normalized to the |
| parameters including pre- | | maximum contribution |
| processing, model architecture | | |
| and training. | | |
| Inference execution time on | 0.05 | Float in {0,1} |
| test set. This is run on A100. | | Number is normalized to the |
| | | maximum contribution |
| Jury presentation | 0.25 | Ten levels in {0,1} |
| | | How was the problem solved |
| | | and what was the result? This is |
| | | an opportunity to show things |
| | | that are not assessed in the |
| | | metrics above. |