
CS2030 Lecture 4

Interface as an Abstraction Barrier

Henry Chia (hchia@comp.nus.edu.sg)

Semester 2 2019 / 2020

Lecture Outline

- Abstract class
- Interface
- Polymorphism revisited
- OO design principles
 - Single Responsibility Principle
 - Open-Closed Principle
 - Liskov-Substitution Principle
 - Interface Segregation Principle
 - Dependency Inversion Principle
- Preventing inheritance and overriding

Adding More Shapes

- Suppose we would like to create a rectangle, in addition to the `Circle` class that we have developed previously

```
jshell> new Circle(1.0)
```

```
$.. ==> Area 3.14 and perimeter 6.28
```

```
jshell> new Rectangle(8.9, 1.2)
```

```
$.. ==> Area 10.68 and perimeter 20.20
```

- Some design considerations for the `Rectangle` class
 - a rectangle has a width and a height
 - obtain the area and perimeter from a rectangle
- Since both `Rectangle` and `Circle` are shapes, define a `Shape` class as the parent of these two classes

“Inheriting” from Shape

- Some considerations:
 - Circle and Rectangle have different properties
 - both Circle and Rectangle must provide `getArea()` and `getPerimeter()` methods, although computed differently
- Redefine the Circle and Rectangle classes so that it now extends from Shape
- How to ensure that Circle and Rectangle must have `getArea` and `getPerimeter` methods?
 - define `getArea` and `getPerimeter` in Shape and have them overridden in Circle and Rectangle
 - how should the methods be implemented in Shape?

Design #1: Shape as a Concrete Class

```
class Shape {  
    double getArea() { return -1; }  
    double getPerimeter() { return -1; }  
}
```

```
class Circle extends Shape {  
    private final double radius;  
  
    Circle(double radius) {  
        this.radius = radius;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    double getArea() {  
        return Math.PI * radius * radius;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    double getPerimeter() {  
        return 2 * Math.PI * radius;  
    }  
}
```

```
class Rectangle extends Shape {  
    private final double width;  
    private final double height;  
  
    Rectangle(double width, double height) {  
        this.width = width;  
        this.height = height;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    double getArea() {  
        return width * height;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    double getPerimeter() {  
        return 2 * (width + height);  
    }  
}
```

Design #2: Shape as an **Abstract Class**

- Does not make sense to instantiate a Shape object!

```
jshell> new Shape()  
$.. ==> Area -1.00 and perimeter -1.00
```

- Redefine Shape as an **abstract** class with abstract methods; these methods will be implemented in the child classes

```
abstract class Shape {  
    abstract double getArea();  
    abstract double getPerimeter();  
}
```

```
jshell> new Shape()  
| Error:  
| Shape is abstract; cannot be instantiated  
| new Shape()  
| ^-----^
```

Design #2: Shape as an Abstract Class

- Method implementations can be included within an abstract class to be inherited by the subclasses

```
abstract class Shape {  
    abstract double getArea();  
    abstract double getPerimeter();  
  
    void print() {  
        System.out.println("Area " + getArea() +  
                           " and perimeter " + getPerimeter());  
    }  
}
```

```
jshell> new Rectangle(2.0, 3.0).print()  
Area 6.0 and perimeter 10.0
```

- Shape implementation needs to be changed when:
 - shape properties are modified, e.g. **double** to Double
 - changes to where output is redirected, e.g. print to a file

Responsibilities of a Class

- Realize that now Shape has multiple *responsibilities*
- This violates the **Single Responsibility Principle**

“A class should have only one reason to change.”

— *Robert C. Martin (aka Uncle Bob)*

- Responsibility is defined as the “reason to change”
- In our example,
 - let Shape be responsible for shape related properties and methods
 - Shape class returns a String representation instead
 - responsibility of output redirection given to another class

Responsibilities of a Class

```
abstract class Shape {  
    abstract double getArea();  
    abstract double getPerimeter();  
  
    String print() {  
        return "Area " + String.format("%.2f", getArea()) +  
            " and perimeter " + String.format("%.2f", getPerimeter());  
    }  
}
```

```
jshell> new Rectangle(2.0, 3.0).print()  
$.. ==> "Area 6.00 and perimeter 10.00"
```

- Consider a `scale` functionality to resize any concrete shape
 - Scaling a rectangle is different from scaling a circle
 - Scaling is not only relevant to `Shape`, but also to `3DShapes`

Inheriting from Multiple Parents?

- Define another abstract class Scalable

```
abstract class Scalable {  
    abstract Scalable scale(double factor);  
}
```

in C you can inherit from multiple parents

- But a class can **only inherit from one parent class!**

```
jshell> class Circle extends Shape, Scalable { }  
| Error:  
| '{' expected  
| class Circle extends Shape, Scalable { }
```

- Java prohibits multiple inheritance to avoid the creation of *weird* objects, e.g. `class Spork extends Spoon, Fork`
 - not desirable to inherit **properties** from different parents
 - but still appropriate to inherit functionality as specified by the **methods** from different parents

Defining an Interface as a Contract

- Even though a class can only inherit from one parent class, **a class can implement multiple interfaces**
- In our example, each shape
 - has associated properties and methods to support area and perimeter computations
 - can be scaled by a given factor and returned as a new shape
 - ▷ define a Scalable interface as a contract between the client and implementer

```
interface Scalable {  
    Scalable scale(double factor);  
}
```

Java Interface

- Just like abstract classes, interfaces cannot be instantiated
- Methods in interfaces are implicitly **public**
 - What is an appropriate return type and access modifier?

```
public
class Circle extends Shape implements Scalable {
    private final double radius;

    Circle(double radius) {
        this.radius = radius;
    }

    @Override
    double getArea() {
        return Math.PI * radius * radius;
    }

    @Override
    double getPerimeter() {
        return 2 * Math.PI * radius;
    }

    @Override
    public Circle scale(double factor) {
        return new Circle(this.radius * factor);
    }
}
```

Polymorphism Revisited

- Abstract classes and interfaces also support polymorphism

```
jshell> Shape[] shapes = {new Circle(1.0), new Rectangle(2.0, 3.0)}  
shapes ==> Shape[2] { Circle@14acaea5, Rectangle@46d56d67 }
```

```
jshell> for (Shape s : shapes) System.out.println(s.print())  
Area 3.14 and perimeter 6.28  
Area 6.00 and perimeter 10.00
```

- Can *extend* a new shape (say Square) without *modifying* the client's implementation — **Open-Closed Principle**

```
jshell> /open Square.java  
jshell> Shape[] shapes = {new Circle(1), new Rectangle(2, 3), new Square(4)}  
shapes ==> Shape[3] { Circle@d8355a8, Rectangle@59fa1d9b, Square@28d25987 }
```

```
jshell> for (Shape s : shapes) System.out.println(s.print())  
Area 3.14 and perimeter 6.28  
Area 6.00 and perimeter 10.00  
Area 16.00 and perimeter 16.00
```

Polymorphism Revisited

```
jshell> Circle c = new Circle(1.0); Shape sh = c; Scalable sc = c
c ==> Circle@14acaea5
sh ==> Circle@14acaea5
sc ==> Circle@14acaea5
```

```
jshell> c.print()
$.. ==> "Area 3.14 and perimeter 6.28"
```

```
jshell> c.scale(2.0)
$.. ==> Circle@59494225
```

```
jshell> sh.print()
$.. ==> "Area 3.14 and perimeter 6.28"
```

```
jshell> sh.scale(2.0)
| Error:
| cannot find symbol
|   symbol:   method scale(double)
|   sh.scale(2.0)
| ^-----^
```

```
jshell> sc.scale(2.0)
$.. ==> Circle@5cb9f472
```

```
jshell> sc.print()
| Error:
| cannot find symbol
|   symbol:   method print()
|   sc.print()
| ^-----^
```

“Fat” Interface

- Why not combine scalability into Shape?

```
abstract class Shape {  
    abstract double getArea();  
    abstract double getPerimeter();  
  
    abstract Shape scale(double factor);  
  
    String print() {  
        return "Area " + String.format("%.2f", getArea()) +  
            " and perimeter " + String.format("%.2f", getPerimeter());  
    }  
}
```

- **Interface Segregation Principle**

“no client should be forced to depend on methods it does not use.” — *Uncle Bob*

- Classes should not implement methods that they can't
- Clients should not know of methods they don't need

From Concrete Class to Interfaces

- Difference between concrete, abstract classes and interface:
 - **concrete class** is the actual implementation
 - **interface** is a contract specifying the abstraction between
 - ▷ what the client can use, and
 - ▷ what the implementer should provide
 - **abstract class** is a trade off between the two, i.e. partial implementation of the contract
 - ▷ typically used as a base class
- *“Impure” interfaces*
 - Since Java 8, **default methods with implementations** can be included into interfaces

“Sub-classing” Arrays

- Since `Circle` is a sub-class (sub-type) of `Shape`, `Circle[]` is also a sub-type of `Shape[]`
 - **Arrays are covariant** (*variance of types covered later...*)

```
jshell> Circle[] circles = {new Circle(1.0), new Circle(2.0)}  
circles ==> Circle[2] { Circle@59fa1d9b, Circle@28d25987 }
```

```
jshell> Shape[] shapes = circles  
shapes ==> Circle[2] { Circle@59fa1d9b, Circle@28d25987 }
```

- Caution!! May lead to heap pollution

```
jshell> shapes[0] = new Rectangle(2.0, 3.0)  
| java.lang.ArrayStoreException thrown: REPL.$JShell$14$Rectangle  
|       at (#8:1)
```

- Above assignment still allows the program to compile, but an `ArrayStoreException` is thrown during run-time

SOLID Principles

- ❑ **S**ingle Responsibility Principle*
- ❑ **O**pen-Closed Principle*
- ❑ **L**iskov Substitution Principle*
- ❑ **I**nterface Segregation Principle
- ❑ **D**ependency Inversion Principle

interface ensure that changes in
implementor's classes don't
sabotage codes in client's classes

- *Program to an interface, not an implementation*

“High-level modules should not depend on low-level modules. Both should depend on abstractions.

Abstractions should not depend on details. Details should depend on abstractions.”

— *Uncle Bob*

Preventing Inheritance and Overriding

- The **final** keyword can also be applied to methods or classes

- Use the **final** keyword to explicitly prevent inheritance

```
public final class Circle {  
    :  
}
```

final for class: no subclass
final for method: no override

- To allow inheritance but prevent overriding

```
public class Circle {  
    :  
    @Override  
    public final double getArea() {  
        :  
    }  
    :  
    @Override  
    public final double getPerimeter() {  
        :  
    }  
}
```

Lecture Summary

- Know how to define concrete/abstract classes or an interface
- Understand when to use inheritance or interfaces
- Understand how interfaces can also support polymorphism
- Demonstrate the application of SOLID principles in the design of object-oriented software, focusing on
 - **Single responsibility principle (SRP)**
 - **Open-closed principle (OCP)**
 - **Liskov substitution principle (LSP)**
- Appreciate “programming to an interface” that supports the maintainability, extensibility, and testing of the software