

---

# CS2030 Lecture 3

## Substitutability in Object-Oriented Design

Henry Chia (hchia@comp.nus.edu.sg)

Semester 2 2019 / 2020

# Lecture Outline

---

- OO Principles
  - Abstraction
  - Encapsulation
  - **Inheritance**
    - ▷ Abstraction principle
    - ▷ Super–sub (Parent–child) classes
  - **Polymorphism**
    - ▷ Dynamic vs Static binding
    - ▷ Compile-time vs run-time type
- Method overriding vs method overloading
- Liskov Substitution Principle

# Designing a Filled Circle

- Given below is a simplified Circle class having one radius property and methods getArea() and getPerimeter()

```
class Circle {
    private final double radius;

    Circle(double radius) {
        this.radius = radius;
    }

    double getArea() {
        return Math.PI * radius * radius;
    }

    double getPerimeter() {
        return 2 * Math.PI * radius;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return "circle: area " + String.format("%.2f", getArea()) +
            ", perimeter " + String.format("%.2f", getPerimeter());
    }
}
```

# Designing a Filled Circle

---

- Creating a `FilledCircle` object to be filled with a color using `java.awt.Color`

```
jshell> /open Circle.java
```

```
jshell> /open FilledCircle.java
```

```
jshell> new Circle(1.0)
```

```
$4 ==> circle: area 3.14, perimeter 6.28
```

```
jshell> new FilledCircle(1.0, Color.BLUE)
```

```
$5 ==> circle: area 3.14, perimeter 6.28, java.awt.Color[r=0,g=0,b=255]
```

- What are the different ways in which `FilledCircle` class can be defined?

# Design #1: As a Stand-alone Class

```
import java.awt.Color;

class FilledCircle {
    private final double radius;
    private final Color color;

    FilledCircle(double radius, Color color) {
        this.radius = radius;
        this.color = color;
    }

    double getArea() {
        return Math.PI * radius * radius;
    }

    double getPerimeter() {
        return 2 * Math.PI * radius;
    }

    Color getColor() {
        return color;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return "circle: area " + String.format("%.2f", getArea()) +
            ", perimeter " + String.format("%.2f", getPerimeter()) +
            ", " + getColor();
    }
}
```

# Abstraction Principle

---

Where similar functions are carried out by distinct pieces of code, it is generally beneficial to combine them into one by abstracting out the varying parts

— *Benjamin C. Pierce*

# Design #2: Using Composition

- **has-a** relationship: FilledCircle *has a* Circle

```
class FilledCircle {
    private final Circle circle;
    private final Color color;

    FilledCircle(double radius, Color color) {
        circle = new Circle(radius);
        this.color = color;
    }

    double getArea() {
        return circle.getArea();
    }

    double getPerimeter() {
        return circle.getPerimeter();
    }

    Color getColor() {
        return color;
    }

    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return "circle: area " + String.format("%.2f", getArea()) +
            ", perimeter " + String.format("%.2f", getPerimeter()) +
            ", " + getColor();
    }
}
```

# Design #3: Using Inheritance

- **is-a** relationship: FilledCircle is a Circle

```
class FilledCircle extends Circle {  
    private final Color color;  
  
    FilledCircle(double radius, Color color) {  
        super(radius); calling parent's constructor  
        this.color = color;  
    }  
  
    Color getColor() {  
        return color;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public String toString() {  
        return super.toString() + ", " + getColor();  
    }  
}
```



- Parent/Super class: Circle; child/sub class: FilledCircle



# Inheritance

- FilledCircle invokes the parent class Circle's constructor using **super**(radius) within its own constructor
- The radius variable in Circle can also be made accessible to the child class by **changing the access modifier**

```
class Circle {  
    protected final double radius;  
    ...  
}
```

- The **super** keyword is used for the following purposes:
  - **super**(..) to access the parent's constructor
  - **super.radius** or **super.toString()** can be used to **make reference to the parent's properties or methods**; especially useful when there is a conflicting property of the same name in the child class

# Polymorphism

- Other than as an “aggregator” of common code fragments in similar classes, inheritance is used to support **polymorphism**
- Polymorphism means “many forms”

```
jshell> Circle c = new Circle(1.0)
c ==> circle: area 3.14, perimeter 6.28
```

```
jshell> c = new FilledCircle(1.0, Color.BLUE)
c ==> circle: area 3.14, perimeter 6.28, java.awt.Color[r=0,g=0,b=255]
```

why call toString of FilledCircle

```
jshell> FilledCircle fc = new FilledCircle(1.0, Color.BLUE)
fc ==> circle: area 3.14, perimeter 6.28, java.awt.Color[r=0,g=0,b=255]
```

```
jshell> fc = new Circle(1.0)
| Error:
| incompatible types: Circle cannot be converted to FilledCircle
| fc = new Circle(1.0)
|      ^-----^
```

# Static binding

- Consider an array `Circle[] circles`

```
jshell> Circle[] circles = {new Circle(1), new FilledCircle(1, Color.BLUE)}
```

- How do we output the objects one at a time?

- Using **static (early) binding**

- check the types (more specific first):

```
for (Circle circle : circles) {  
    if (circle instanceof FilledCircle) {  
        System.out.println((FilledCircle) circle);  
    } else if (circle instanceof Circle) {  
        System.out.println((Circle) circle);  
    }  
}
```

not recommended using instanceof

syntax: `object instanceof Type` -> to check the type

- **Static binding occurs during compile time**, i.e. decide which specific method to call during program compilation

# Dynamic binding

---

- Contrast static binding with dynamic (or late) binding

```
for (Circle circle : circles) {  
    System.out.println(circle);  
}
```

- Notice that the exact type of circle, and the exact toString method to invoke, is not **known until runtime**
- Polymorphism and dynamic binding leads to **extensible implementations**
  - Simply add a new sub-class of circle that extends the Circle class and overriding the appropriate methods
  - Does not require the client code (above) to be modified

# Compile-Time vs Run-Time Type

- Consider the following statement:

```
Circle circle = new FilledCircle(1.0, Color.BLUE);
```

- `circle` has a compile-time type of `Circle`
  - the type in which the variable is declared
  - restricts the methods it can call during compilation, e.g. `circle.getArea()`, but not `circle.getColor()`
- `circle` has a run-time type of `FilledCircle`
  - the type of the object that the variable is pointing to
  - determines the actual method called, e.g. `toString()` in `FilledCircle`, rather than `Circle`
- Clearly, a variable's compile-type is fixed at compile time, while its run-time type may vary as the program runs

# Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP)

- Introduced by Barbara Liskov whatever you can do with a supertype, you can replace it with a subtype and you still can do it.

“Let  $\phi(x)$  be a property provable about objects  $x$  of type  $T$ . Then  $\phi(y)$  should be true for objects  $y$  of type  $S$  where  $S$  is a subtype of  $T$ .”

- This **substitutability** principle means that if  $S$  is a subclass of  $T$ , then an object of type  $T$  can be replaced by that of type  $S$  without changing the *desirable property* of the program
- As an example, if `FilledCircle` is a subclass of `Circle`, then everywhere we can expect areas and perimeters of circles to be computed, we can always replace a circle with a filled-circle
  - Example, using `getArea()` and `getPerimeter()`

# LSP and Type/Sub-type Consistency

- Suppose **class** B **extends** A, and A has a method foo
- What are the possible ways that a method foo defined in B overrides that of A?

– Consider how can clients use a variable of type A

```
A a = new A();  
a.foo();  
a = new B();  
b.foo();
```

example: if class A returns Number, then class B extends class A can return an integer but not an object because an integer is-a number, while the object isn't

- Return type cannot be more general than that of the overridden method (it can be more specific)
- How about accessibility modifiers of the methods?
- Parameter type cannot be more specific than the overridden method, but *need to also consider method overloading...*

the access privilege of the overriding method cannot be weaker than the overridden method in it's parent's class

# Access Modifiers

---

- We have seen **public**, **private** and **protected** modifiers
- There is also a default modifier
  - Java adopts an additional **package** abstraction mechanism that allows the grouping of relevant classes/interfaces together under a *namespace*, just like `java.lang`
- In particular, a **protected** field can be accessed by other classes within the same package
- The access level (most restrictive first) is given as follows:
  - private (visible to the class only)
  - default (visible to the package)
  - protected (visible to the package and all sub classes)
  - public (visible to the world)



# Method Overloading

- Methods of the same name can co-exist if the *signatures (number, order, and type of arguments)* are different
- Method overloading is very common among constructors

```
Circle() {  
    this.radius = 1.0;  
}  
  
Circle(double radius) {  
    this.radius = radius;  
}
```

- **Static binding** occurs during method overloading
  - method to be called is determined during compile time

```
class A {  
    Number foo(Number x) { ... }  
    Number foo(String x) { ... }  
}  
  
A a = new A();  
a.foo(123)  
a.foo("123")
```

# Overriding or Overloading?

- We have considered defining equals as an overriding method

```
@Override
public boolean equals(Object obj) {
    return this == obj ||
        (obj instanceof Circle && this.radius == ((Circle) obj).radius);
}
```

- Can we define as an overloaded method instead?

```
public boolean equals(Circle c) {
    return this.radius == c.radius;
}
```

overloading doesn't ensure that the method will be invoke. -> recommend using overriding

- Using an overloaded method, would it be possible for a client to invoke the equals method of the superclass Object? **yes**
- With an overriding equals method, is it possible for a client to invoke the overridden one? **no**

– *Ponder... can an overridden method ever be invoked?*

only the overriding method can invoke the overridden method

# Effective use of LSP in OOP Design

- Consider a 20% salary upgrade for the salary bracket (0, 1000)

```
class Salary {  
    protected final double amount;  
  
    protected Salary(double amount) {  
        this.amount = amount;  
    }  
  
    Salary upgrade() {  
        assert this.amount > 0 && this.amount < 1000;  
        return new Salary(this.amount * 1.2);  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public String toString() {  
        return "Salary: $" + this.amount;  
    }  
}
```

run jshell -R -ea for assertions to take effect

use assertion to test bugs, if the program is bug free, it will run without AssertionError.

- Consider a client's upgradeSalary method as follows:

```
static Salary upgradeSalary(Salary salary) {  
    salary = salary.upgrade();  
    return salary;  
}
```

# Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP)

- Which SalaryTest classes is/are substitutable for Salary?

```
public static void main(String[] args) {  
    Salary s = new SalaryTest(Double.valueOf(args[0]));  
    System.out.println(upgradeSalary(s));  
}
```

```
class SalaryTest extends Salary {  
    ...  
    Salary upgrade() {  
        assert amount > 0 && amount < 100; not substitutable  
        return new SalaryTest(amount * 1.2);  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

```
class SalaryTest extends Salary {  
    ... substitutable  
    Salary upgrade() {  
        assert amount > 0 && amount < 100000;  
        return new SalaryTest(amount * 1.2);  
    }  
    ... must have wider range in  
order to be substitutable  
}
```

# Inheritance Misuse

- Keeping in mind the *substitutability* principle can help us avoid incorrect usage of inheritance
- The following is incorrectly designed, although looks functional

```
class FilledCircle {
    private final double radius;
    private final Color color;
    FilledCircle(double radius, Color color) {
        this.radius = radius;
        this.color = color;
    }
    double getArea() {
        return Math.PI * this.radius * this.radius;
    }
    double getPerimeter() {
        return 2 * Math.PI * this.radius;
    }
    Color getColor() {
        return this.color;
    }
    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return getArea() + " " + getPerimeter() + " " +
            getColor();
    }
}
```

```
class Circle extends FilledCircle {
    Circle(double radius) {
        super(radius, null);
    }
    @Override
    public String toString() {
        return getArea() + " " +
            getPerimeter();
    }
}
```

# Inheritance Misuse

---

```
jshell> FilledCircle[] fcs = {new FilledCircle(1.0, Color.BLUE), new Circle(2.0)}  
fcs ==> FilledCircle[2] { 3.141592653589793 6.28318530717 ... 59172 12.566370614359172}
```

```
jshell> fcs[0].getArea()  
$5 ==> 3.141592653589793
```

```
jshell> fcs[1].getArea()  
$6 ==> 12.566370614359172
```

- However, when testing the property of color, substitutability implies that `FilledCircle` can be replaced by `Circle`

```
jshell> fcs[0].getColor()  
$7 ==> java.awt.Color[r=0,g=0,b=255]
```

```
jshell> fcs[1].getColor()  
$8 ==> null
```

# Inheritance Misuse

- Do not confuse a **has-a** relationship with **is-a**

```
class Point {  
    protected double x;  
    protected double y;  
  
    Point(double x, double y) {  
        this.x = x; this.y = y;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public String toString() {  
        return "(" + this.x + ", " + this.y + ")";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Circle extends Point {  
    private double radius;  
  
    Circle(Point point, double radius) {  
        super(point.x, point.y);  
        this.radius = radius;  
    }  
  
    @Override  
    public String toString() {  
        return "circle: radius " + radius + " centered at " + super.toString();  
    }  
}
```

# Lecture Summary

---

- ❑ Understand the object-oriented principles of abstraction, encapsulation, inheritance and polymorphism
- ❑ Know the difference between static (early) and dynamic (late) binding, and understand their use in relation to compile-time type and run-time type
- ❑ Differentiate between method overloading and method overriding, and circumstances in which they are used
- ❑ Distinguish between an is-a relationship and a has-a relationship, and choose the appropriate one during object-oriented design
- ❑ Appreciate Liskov Substitution Principle so as to avoid incorrect inheritance implementations