

# CS2030 Programming Methodology

## Semester 2 2019/2020

13 February 2020

Problem Set #4

1. Don't forget your diamond <>

1. Consider a generic class `A<T>` with a type parameter `T` having a constructor with no argument. Which of the following expressions are valid (with no compilation error) ways of creating a new object of type `A`? We still consider the expression as valid if the Java compiler produces a warning.

(a) `new A<int>()` **invalid: int -> Integer**

type argument of a generic class has to be a reference type, but `int` is a primitive type => error

(b) `new A<>()` **valid** if you don't put in any type, java will try to infer the type for you  
Ex: `A<Integer> a = new A<>()`

(c) `new A()` **valid with warning** shouldn't use in practice

for ex, for the class `A` in the note, if we call `A a = new A()` (this is called raw type) then we run `a.put("abc")`, the program cannot check whether `"String"` is of valid type or not. `"abc"` will be treated as an object.  
- If we call `String s = a.get()` it will return an error because the program returns `"abc"` as an object, not a string. this error can be dismissed if you run `String s = (String) a.get()` instead  
- If we run `Integer s = (Integer) a.get()` => no compilation error, but there is runtime error  
Conclusion: if use raw type, ignoring the warning, could get runtime error. If not use raw type, will return compile time error => easier to fix

2. Given the following Java program fragment,



```
class Main {  
    public static void main(String[] args) {  
        double sum = 0.0;  
  
        for (int i = 0; i < Integer.MAX_VALUE; i++) {  
            sum += i;  
        }  
    }  
}
```

2. Don't use wrapper class for primitive types unnecessarily

you can determine how long it takes to run the program using the `time` utility

`$time java Main`

need more time to "unbox" `sum` `Double` to `double` and auto "box" `double` to `Double` again  
wrapper objects are immutable => every time add `i`, we have to create a new object

Now, replace `double` with the wrapper class `Double` instead. Determine how long it takes to run the program now. What inferences can you make?

3. Recall that the `==` operator compares only references, i.e. whether the two references are pointing to the same object. On the other hand, the `equals` method is more flexible in that it can override the method specified in the `Object` class.

In particular, for the `Integer` class, the `equals` method has been overridden to compare if the corresponding `int` values are the same or otherwise.

What do you think is the outcome of the following program fragment?

```
Integer x = 1;    Integer x = 1 <=> Integer x = new Integer(1)
```

```
Integer y = 1;
```

```
x == y           true
```

Integer caching: From java 5, there is an array that store `Integer` object from -127 to 128 in order to excessive creation of objects

```
x = 1000;
```

```
y = 1000;
```

```
x == y           false
```

3. Always `.equals()` to compare to objects

Why do you think this happens? *Hint: check out Integer caching*

4. In the Java Collections Framework, `List` is an interface that is implemented by `ArrayList`. For each of the statements below, indicate if it is a valid statement with no compilation error. Explain why.

(a) `void foo(List<?> list) { }`

`foo(new ArrayList<String>());` valid

(b) `void foo(List<? super Integer> list) { }`

`foo(new List<Object>());` invalid: can never create an object of interface - type

(c) `void foo(List<? extends Object> list) { }`

`foo(new ArrayList<Object>());` valid

(d) `void foo(List<? super Integer> list) { }`

`foo(new ArrayList<int>());` invalid: type argument must be reference type

(e) `void foo(List<? super Integer> list) { }`

`foo(new ArrayList());` valid with a warning of not type - safe