

WCES-2010

Relationships between university students' achievement motivation, attitude and academic performance in Malaysia

Kamariah Abu Bakara *, Rohani Ahmad Tarmizia , Rahil Mahyuddina , Habibah Eliasa , Wong Su Luana , Ahmad Fauzi Mohd Ayuba

a Faculty of Educational Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

Received November 9, 2009; revised December 10, 2009; accepted January 21, 2010

Evaluation

The given study titled is self-explanatory from the way it was formulated. It summarizes the whole idea of the study in a specific, direct, and concise way where the purpose and content can be known intuitively by the reader at first glance. Moreover, the abstract was written eloquently and concisely as it contains fundamental contents such as the background and problem, purpose and objective, methodology, results, and the conclusion of the study. For the introduction, the topic was clearly introduced, and the study's background was well-delivered with cited literature that supports the concept. Furthermore, the research problem and objectives were specified except for the purpose of the study. However, some of the cited literature contains a few outdated sources.

The methodology was also well-written as it contains much of the necessary content, such as the methodological approach, method of data collection, and the justification on why such methods were appropriate and compatible in the study. However, the data analysis used was later presented in the results section. For the results section, the data was systematically introduced as it includes the summarization, descriptive interpretation and data analysis. Also, the findings were given with contextual analysis and detailed explanation, which is essential for the readers to know what the data means. Lastly, the discussion contains the reiteration of the study's aim and the previous studies, a summarization of the significant findings, and the implications of the study. However, because it was written concisely, it lacks further explication and rationalization of their implication.

Moreover, I can see that the conclusion section was not included because it was already given in the discussion part, presumably due to the institutional format. For the references, there were no needed changes as it was compiled perfectly. Overall, the study was well-written, but with minor suggestions, the study certainly gave the study's primary purpose, and the format style was written perfectly. The only suggestion I could give is to: (1) take advantage of the recent sources and omit outdated sources that are beyond ten years from the time it was written; (2) explicate the discussion and major findings further for the readers to understand the concepts deeply; (3) literature cited should be modified and omit outdated literature to take advantage of the recent studies; and (3) include the analysis method used in the methodology section.

Keywords: Cor Jesu College; Senior High School; 2nd Semester; English 2; Research Report; Final Task; Evaluation

* Aibre Cabrera. Tel.: 603-89467913; fax: +0-000-000-0000
E-mail address: cabreraaibre@g.cjc.edu.ph

Aibre Cabrera / Reading and Writing Skills 01 (2021) 002–012

© 2012 Published by Elsevier Ltd. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of Global Science and Technology Forum Pte Ltd