

1. Summary

I think group 5 did a nice job. You used different perspectives to analyze the problem and tried several models to solve that.

2. Strengths:

It is good that you did many works to help yourself to understand the problem.

It is very good to handle missing data and do feature selection. What's more, you tried several models in middle process but why not did again in final process? I think some results are very close to each other.

3. Weakness:

When you do **2.2 correlation**, many results' absolute is smaller than 0.06 and only three results' absolute from 0.15 to 0.18. In my opinion, I do not think it is significant correlation test, which means your conclusion from **2.2 correlation** may not correct.

you display top features but you may need to explain why they were useful to fit your model. You fit model, you explain logics.

4. Clarity and quality of writing: 5

5. Technical Quality: 4

6. Overall rating: 4

7. Confidence on your assessment: 3