

# Review2 Group15

HUANG Wenjin

## 1. Summary

Briefly speaking, I think the report of Group 15 is pretty a good article. The report illustrates the different methods, which shows their comprehensive understanding on the material learned at class. Moreover, the report is well-structured and graphs are easy-captured for understanding. In the end, they also showed their self-reflection and take-away from this project, which proved their thinking, and I believe they can be better in the final project.

## 2. Clarity and quality of writing

**I think it should be 5 points in this part.** Here is my point of view with suggestion.

- Is the report clearly written? Yes, it focuses on introducing the basic concept of each learning method.
- Is there a good use of examples and figures? Yes, the figures are used like the original paper, just like a more briefly one. But still there are some mistakes in the figures in page 6.
- Is it well organized? Paper structure is easy to follow.
- Are there problems with style and grammar? Not any. The language is precisely to read.
- Are there issues with typos, formatting, references, etc.? Nothing wrong as far as I am considered.

## 3. Evaluation on Technical Quality

**I think it should be 5 points in this part.** Here is my point of view with suggestion.

- Are the results technically sound? Reasonably, it is well replicated from the original paper.
- Are there obvious flaws in the reasoning? No any. The logic of experiment seems to so clear and make others easily follow it.
- Are claims well-supported by theoretical analysis or experimental results? Yes, the experiment results are well support the conclusion.
- Are the experiments well thought out and convincing? Yes, the experimental results really similar to the models perform in the original paper.
- Will it be possible for other researchers to replicate these results? Yes. I think there is not fuzzy in the report. The statement of model is ease to follow, but it would be better to

announce the parameters setting.

## **4. Overall rating and confidence of mine on assessment**

**I think it should be 5 points overall.** Here is my point of view with suggestion. I keep a level 3 confidence on my comment. I made this evaluation prudently by reading it many times.