Summary of the report.

This group accurately expressed the results of the project and the how the general data use through the poster presentation, with a score of 0.68.

Describe the strengths of the report.

The advantage of this group is that the parts they divide of the poster are clear, and they use plot and formulars in the poster.

Describe the weaknesses of the report.

They lack the introduction and the motivation of the selected model, as well as the reason and motivation of how they use the data. Moreover, they could add more discussion or their analysis about the experiment results.

Evaluation on Clarity and quality of writing (1-5):

Is the report clearly written?

3

Is there a good use of examples and figures?

3

Is it well organized?

4

Are there problems with style and grammar?

5

Are there issues with typos, formatting, references, etc.?

5

Please make suggestions to improve the clarity of the paper and provide details of typos.

Add more analysis and experimental process introduction

Evaluation on Technical Quality (1-5):

Are the results technically sound?

5

Are there obvious flaws in the reasoning?

4

Are claims well-supported by theoretical analysis or experimental results?

3

Are the experiments well thought out and convincing?

5

Will it be possible for other researchers to replicate these results?

5

Is the evaluation appropriate?

4. If more explanation would be better.

Did the authors clearly assess both the strengths and weaknesses of their approach?

1

Are relevant papers cited, discussed, and compared to the presented work?

3 not clear about if they cited

Overall rating: 3.5

3.5

(5- My vote as the best-report. 4- A good report. 3- An average one. 2- below average. 1- a poorly written one).

Confidence on your assessment (1-3)

3

(3- I have carefully read the paper and checked the results, 2- I just browse the paper without checking the details, 1- My assessment can be wrong)