

**For review 1**

PCA is not used for model training time reduction, and indeed it cannot reduce time. We would regard it as a general method for feature selection. Or we can say it is more like a trial-and-error process. If features show strong correlation, PCA helps reduce the dimensions so that multi-linearity and overfitting can be avoided. If not, PCA does not show good performance, and we just drop PCA. In our project, PCA performs not outstandingly, so just present a comparison and drop it. We also make a conclusion that the failure of PCA indicates weak correlation in data.

Other explanation of techniques, like the theories of models, can be googled. Writing all theories in one poster is redundant.

---

**For review 2**

We do explain feature selection in part 3. Some data consolidation is based on certain economics knowledge. It contains a trial-and-error process as well.

---

**For review 3**

For the weakness, the paragraph seems to make no sense at all. It would be better for the reviewer to clarify what exactly the “huge bias” is, what the “percentage” refers to. Also, we would like to know what the “methods to deal with the imbalance” refers to in such context.

Last but not least, please show respect to our effort by at least stating the reason clearly instead of giving comments with no substance but grammatical errors.

---

Finally, we believe our project deserves an overall score of 4.5.