The summary of the report is clear (from abstract). The strengths are described in the report but the weaknesses are not.

Evaluation on Clarity and quality of writing: 4. The report is well organized and written clearly while there are some grammar mistakes (for example, tense).

Evaluation on Technical Quality: 4. The results sound technically and are possible for others to replicate although there are less relevant papers cited.

Overall rating: 4. The report is good but the code is almost written by one student. The group should plan better for everyone's work.

Confidence on your assessment: 3.