der the former could not be recovered as to cars operated on interstate railroads, although engaged only in intrastate traffic.

38. Kirmeyer v. Kansas, 236 U.S. 568 (1915).

A Kansas prohibition law could not be validly enforced to prevent Kansas dealer from accepting orders for alcoholic beverages which were to be completed by interstate delivery to Kansas purchasers from a point in Missouri; under the federal Wilson Act the interstate transportation did not end until delivery to the consignee was completed.

39. Charleston & W. Car. Ry. v. Varnville Furniture Co., 237 U.S. 597 (1915).

A South Carolina law that imposed a penalty on carriers for their failure to adjust claims within 40 days imposed an invalid burden on interstate commerce and also was in conflict with the federal Carmack Amendment.

40. Rossi v. Pennsylvania, 238 U.S. 62 (1915).

A Pennsylvania liquor law could not be enforced against one who solicited orders for the delivery of alcoholic beverages to be shipped to the consignee from another state; under the federal Wilson Act of 1890 liquor shipped in interstate commerce did not become subject to state regulation until after delivery to the consignee.

41. New York Central R.R. v. Winfield, 244 U.S. 147 (1917).

Congress, by enactment of the Federal Employees' Liability Act, having preempted the field as to determination of the liability of interstate railroad carriers to compensate employees for injuries sustained while engaged in interstate commerce, award under New York Workmen's Compensation Act for injuries sustained in interstate commerce by railway employee could not be upheld.

Justices concurring: Van Devanter, Holmes, Pitney, McReynolds, Day, McKenna, White, C.J.

Justices dissenting: Brandeis, Clarke

42. Accord: Erie R.R. v. Winfield, 244 U.S. 170 (1917).

For the same reason, a New Jersey Workmen's Compensation Act was held inapplicable to a railway worker injured while engaged in interstate commerce.

 $\label{lem:concurring: Van Devanter, Holmes, Day, Pitney, McKenna, McReynolds, White, C.J.$

Justices dissenting: Brandeis, Clarke

43. Southern Pacific Co. v. Jensen, 244 U.S. 205 (1917).

New York Workmen's Compensation Act was unconstitutional as applied to employees engaged in maritime work, for it afforded a rem-