

duct is forbidden, because it encourages arbitrary and erratic enforcement of the law, because it makes criminal activities which by modern standards are normally innocent, and because it vests unfettered discretion in police.

89. *Police Dep't of Chicago v. Mosley*, 408 U.S. 92 (1972).

A Chicago ordinance prohibiting all picketing within a certain distance of any school except labor picketing violates the Equal Protection Clause by impermissibly distinguishing between types of peaceful picketing.

90. *Cason v. City of Columbus*, 409 U.S. 1053 (1972).

A Columbus, Ohio ordinance prohibiting use of abusive language toward another as applied by court below without limitation to fighting words cannot sustain conviction.

91. *Lewis v. City of New Orleans*, 415 U.S. 130 (1974).

New Orleans ordinance interpreted by state courts to punish the use of opprobrious words to police officer without limitation of offense to uttering of fighting words is invalid.

Justices concurring: Brennan, Douglas, Stewart, White, Marshall

Justice concurring specially: Powell

Justices dissenting: Blackmun, Rehnquist, Burger, C.J.

92. *Erznoznik v. City of Jacksonville*, 422 U.S. 205 (1975).

A Jacksonville, Florida ordinance making it a public nuisance and a punishable offense for a drive-in movie theater to exhibit films containing nudity, when the screen is visible from a public street or place, is facially invalid as an infringement of First Amendment rights.

Justices concurring: Powell, Douglas, Brennan, Stewart, Marshall, Blackmun

Justices dissenting: White, Rehnquist, Burger, C.J.

93. *Hynes v. Mayor of Oradell*, 425 U.S. 610 (1976).

An Oradell, New Jersey ordinance requiring that advance written notice be given to local police by any person desiring to canvass, solicit, or call from house to house for a charitable or political purpose was held void for vagueness.

Justices concurring: Burger, C.J., Brennan, Stewart, White, Marshall, Blackmun, Powell

Justice dissenting: Rehnquist

94. *Linmark Assocs. v. Township of Willingboro*, 431 U.S. 85 (1977).

A Willingboro, New Jersey ordinance prohibiting posting of real estate "For Sale" and "Sold" signs for the purpose of stemming what the township perceived as flight of white homeowners violated the First Amendment.