566. American Oil Co. v. Neill, 380 U.S. 451 (1965).

An Idaho tax statute applied to levy an excise tax on licensed Idaho motor fuel dealer's sale and transfer of gasoline in Utah for importation into Idaho by purchaser violated the Due Process Clause of Fourteenth Amendment.

Justices concurring: Warren, C.J., Douglas, Clark, Harlan, Brennan, Stewart, White, Goldberg
Justices dissenting: Black

567. Dombrowski v. Pfister, 380 U.S. 479 (1965).

The Louisiana Subversive Activities and Communist Control Law is unconstitutional because of overbreadth of its coverage in violation of the First Amendment, and because of its lack of procedural due process.

Justices concurring: Brennan, Douglas, White, Goldberg, Warren, C.J. Justices dissenting: Harlan, Clark

568. Harman v. Forssenius, 380 U.S. 528 (1965).

A Virginia statute requiring voters in federal election who do not qualify by paying poll tax to file a certificate of residence six months in advance of election is contrary to Twenty-fourth Amendment, which absolutely abolished payment of a poll tax as a qualification for voting in federal elections.

569. Jordan v. Silver, 381 U.S. 415 (1965).

District court decision holding unconstitutional California constitutional provisions on apportionment of state senate is affirmed.

Justices concurring: Warren, C.J., Black, Douglas, Brennan, White, Goldberg Justices dissenting: Harlan, Clark, Stewart

570. Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965).

A Connecticut statute making it a crime for any person to use any drug or article to prevent conception is an unconstitutional invasion of privacy of married couples.

Justices concurring: Douglas, Clark Justices concurring specially: Goldberg, Brennan, Warren, C.J., Harlan, White Justices dissenting: Black, Stewart

571. Giaccio v. Pennsylvania, 382 U.S. 399 (1966).

A Pennsylvania statute permitting jurors to determine whether an acquitted defendant should pay the costs of the trial was void under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment because of vagueness and the absence of any standard that would prevent arbitrary imposition of costs.