or debts owned to the state and of salaries due public officers, violated the constitutional prohibition (Art. I, § 10, cl. 10) against emission of "bills of credit" by states.

Justices concurring: Marshall, C.J., Duvall, Story, Baldwin Justices dissenting: Johnson, Thompson, McLean

# 15. Boyle v. Zacharie, 31 U.S. (6 Pet.) 635 (1832).

Consistently with the principle of *Ogden v. Saunders*, a Maryland insolvency law could not be invoked to effect discharge of an obligation contracted in Louisiana subsequently to its passage.

#### 16. Dobbins v. Commissioners of Erie County, 41 U.S. (16 Pet.) 435 (1842).

A Pennsylvania law that diminished the compensation of a federal officer by subjecting him to county taxes imposed an invalid burden on a federal instrumentality (Art. VI).

# 17. Prigg v. Pennsylvania, 41 U.S. (16 Pet.) 539 (1842).

A Pennsylvania statute (1826) that penalized an owner's recovery of a runaway slave violated Art. IV, § 2, cl. 3, as well as federal implementing legislation.

Justices concurring: Story, Catron, McKinley, Taney (separately), C.J., Thompson (separately), Baldwin (separately), Wayne (separately), Daniel (separately), McLean (separately)

### 18. Bronson v. Kinzie, 42 U.S. (1 How.) 311 (1843).

An Illinois mortgage moratorium statute that, when applied to a mortgage negotiated prior to its passage, reduced the remedies of the mortgage lender by conferring a new right of redemption upon a defaulting borrower, impaired an obligation of contract contrary to Art. I, § 10.

Justices concurring: Taney, C.J., Baldwin, Wayne, Catron, Daniel Justice dissenting: McLean

# 19. McCracken v. Hayward, 43 U.S. (2 How.) 608 (1844).

An Illinois mortgage moratorium statute that, when applied to a mortgage executed prior to its passage, diminished remedies of the mortgage lender by prohibiting consummation of a foreclosure unless the foreclosure price equaled two-thirds of the value of the mortgaged property, impaired the lender's obligation of contract contrary to Art. I, § 10.

# 20. Gordon v. Appeal Tax Court, 44 U.S. (3 How.) 133 (1845).

As to stockholders of Maryland state banks afforded an exemption under prior act of 1821, Maryland statute of 1841 taxing these stockholders impaired the obligation of contract.