of suffrage, to whom that right is guaranteed by the Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, by means of bribery or threats . . . ," held not authorized by the Fifteenth Amendment.

James v. Bowman, 190 U.S. 127 (1903). Concurring: Brewer, Fuller, Peckham, Holmes, Day, White, C.J. Dissenting: Harlan, Brown

19. Revised Statutes 5519 (Act of April 20, 1871, 17 Stat. 13, § 2)

Section providing punishment in case "two or more persons in any State . . . conspire . . . for the purpose of depriving . . . any person . . . of the equal protection of the laws . . . or for the purpose of preventing or hindering the constituted authorities of any State . . . from giving or securing to all persons within such State . . . the equal protection of the laws . . . ," held invalid as not being directed at state action proscribed by the Fourteenth Amendment.

United States v. Harris, 106 U.S. 629 (1883). Concurring: Woods, Miller, Bradley, Gray, Field, Matthews, Blatchford, White, C.J. Dissenting: Harlan

20. Revised Statutes of the District of Columbia, § 1064 (Act of June 17, 1870, 16 Stat. 154,§ 3)

Provision that "prosecutions in the police court [of the District of Columbia] shall be by information under oath, without indictment by grand jury or trial by petit jury," as applied to punishment for conspiracy, held to contravene Article III, § 2, clause 3, requiring jury trial of all crimes.

Callan v. Wilson, 127 U.S. 540 (1888).

21. Act of March 1, 1875 (18 Stat. 336, §§ 1, 2)

Provision "That all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States shall be entitled to the full and equal enjoyment of the accommodations . . . of inns, public conveyances on land or water, theaters, and other places of public amusement; subject only to the conditions and limitations established by law, and applicable alike to citizens of every race and color, regardless of any previous condition of servitude"—subject to penalty, held not to be supported by the Thirteenth or Fourteenth Amendments.

Civil Rights Cases, 109 U.S. 3 (1883), as to operation within states. Concurring: Bradley, Miller, Field, Woods, Matthews, Gray, Blatchford, Waite, C.J. Dissenting: Harlan

22. Act of March 3, 1875 (18 Stat. 479, § 2)

Provision that "if the party [i.e., a person stealing property from the United States] has been convicted, then the judgment against him