## Sec. 8—Powers of Congress

## Cl. 17—District of Columbia; Federal Property

gress remains the legislature of the Union, so that it may give its enactments nationwide operation to the extent necessary to make them locally effective. $^{1705}$ 

## AUTHORITY OVER PLACES PURCHASED

## "Places"

This clause has been broadly construed to cover all structures necessary for carrying on the business of the National Government. Total It includes post offices, Total and a hotel located in a national park, Total and locks and dams for the improvement of navigation. But it does not cover lands acquired for forests, parks, ranges, wild life sanctuaries or flood control. Nevertheless, the Supreme Court has held that a state may convey, and congressmay accept, either exclusive or qualified jurisdiction over property acquired within the geographical limits of a state, for purposes other than those enumerated in clause 17.1711

After exclusive jurisdiction over lands within a state has been ceded to the United States, Congress alone has the power to punish crimes committed within the ceded territory.<sup>1712</sup> Private property located thereon is not subject to taxation by the state,<sup>1713</sup> nor can state statutes enacted subsequent to the transfer have any operation therein.<sup>1714</sup> But the local laws in force at the date of cession that are protective of private rights continue in force until abrogated by Congress.<sup>1715</sup> Moreover, as long as there is no interference

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1705</sup> Cohens v. Virginia, 19 U.S. (6 Wheat.) 264, 428 (1821).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1706</sup> James v. Dravo Contracting Co., 302 U.S. 134, 143 (1937).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1707</sup> Battle v. United States, 209 U.S. 36 (1908).

<sup>1708</sup> Arlington Hotel v. Fant, 278 U.S. 439 (1929).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1709</sup> James v. Dravo Contracting Co., 302 U.S. 134, 143 (1937).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1710</sup> Collins v. Yosemite Park Co., 304 U.S. 518, 530 (1938).

<sup>1711 304</sup> U.S. at 528.

 $<sup>^{1712}</sup>$  Battle v. United States, 209 U.S. 36 (1908); Johnson v. Yellow Cab Co., 321 U.S. 383 (1944); Bowen v. Johnston, 306 U.S. 19 (1939).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1713</sup> Surplus Trading Co. v. Cook, 281 U.S. 647 (1930).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1714</sup> Western Union Tel. Co. v. Chiles, 214 U.S. 274 (1909); Arlington Hotel v. Fant, 278 U.S. 439 (1929); Pacific Coast Dairy v. Department of Agriculture, 318 U.S. 285 (1943). The Assimilative Crimes Act of 1948, 18 U.S.C. § 13, making applicable to a federal enclave a subsequently enacted criminal law of the state in which the enclave is situated entails no invalid delegation of legislative power to the state. United States v. Sharpnack, 355 U.S. 286, 294, 296–97 (1958).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1715</sup> Chicago, R.I. & P. Ry. v. McGlinn, 114 U.S. 542, 545 (1885); Stewart & Co. v. Sadrakula, 309 U.S. 94 (1940).