

586. *Reitman v. Mulkey*, 387 U.S. 369 (1967).

A California constitutional provision adopted on referendum repealing “open housing” law and prohibiting state abridgement of realty owner’s right to sell and lease, or to refuse to sell and lease, as he pleases violates the Equal Protection Clause.

Justices concurring: White, Douglas, Brennan, Fortas, Warren, C.J.

Justices dissenting: Harlan, Black, Clark, Stewart

587. *Berger v. New York*, 388 U.S. 41 (1967).

A New York eavesdrop statute that does not require particularity with respect to the crime suspected and conversations sought, sufficiently limit period of order’s effectiveness, terminate order once desired conversation is overheard, or require notice or showing of exigent circumstances to justify dispensing with notice, violates Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments.

Justices concurring: Clark, Douglas, Brennan, Fortas, Warren, C.J.

Justices dissenting: Black, Harlan, White

588. *Loving v. Virginia*, 388 U.S. 1 (1967).

A Virginia statute prohibiting interracial marriage violates Equal Protection Clause.

589. *Washington v. Texas*, 388 U.S. 14 (1967).

A Texas statute prohibiting persons charged as co-participants in the same crime from testifying for one another violated the Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments.

590. *Whitehill v. Elkins*, 389 U.S. 54 (1967).

A Maryland loyalty oath is unconstitutionally vague when read with surrounding authorization and supplementary statutes that infringe on rights of association.

Justices concurring: Douglas, Black, Brennan, Fortas, Marshall, Warren, C.J.

Justices dissenting: Harlan, Stewart, White

591. *Lucas v. Rhodes*, 389 U.S. 212 (1967).

Ohio’s congressional districting statute violates principles of population equality established in *Wesberry v. Sanders*, 376 U.S. 1 (1964).

Justices concurring: Warren, C.J., Black, Douglas, Brennan, White, Fortas

Justices dissenting: Harlan, Stewart

592. *Rockefeller v. Wells*, 389 U.S. 421 (1967).

A district court decision holding unconstitutional New York’s congressional districting statute is summarily affirmed.