

## Sec. 1—The Congress

## Legislative Powers

pulsion are essential to obtain what is needed. All this was true before and when the Constitution was framed and adopted. In that period the power of inquiry—with enforcing process—was regarded and employed as a necessary and appropriate attribute of the power to legislate—indeed, was treated as inhering in it. Thus there is ample warrant for thinking, as we do, that the constitutional provisions which commit the legislative function to the two houses are intended to include this attribute to the end that the function may be effectively exercised.”<sup>193</sup>

Even a 1957 opinion by Chief Justice Warren which was generally hostile to the exercise of the investigatory power in the post-World War II years did not question this basic power. “The power of the Congress to conduct investigations is inherent in the legislative process. That power is broad. It encompasses inquiries concerning the administration of existing laws as well as proposed or possibly needed statutes. It includes surveys of defects in our social, economic or political system for the purpose of enabling Congress to remedy them. It comprehends probes into departments of the Federal Government to expose corruption, inefficiency or waste.”<sup>194</sup> Justice Harlan summarized the matter in 1959: “The power of inquiry has been employed by Congress throughout our history, over the whole range of the national interests concerning which Congress might legislate or decide upon due investigation not to legislate; it has similarly been utilized in determining what to appropriate from the national purse, or whether to appropriate. The scope of the power of inquiry, in short, is as penetrating and far-reaching as the potential power to enact and appropriate under the Constitution.”<sup>195</sup>

Broad as the power of inquiry is, it is not unlimited. The power of investigation may properly be employed only “in aid of the legislative function.”<sup>196</sup> Its outermost boundaries are marked, then, by the outermost boundaries of the power to legislate. In principle, the Court is clear on the limitations, clear “that neither house of Congress possesses a ‘general power of making inquiry into the private affairs of the citizen’; that the power actually possessed is limited to inquiries relating to matters of which the particular house ‘has jurisdiction’ and in respect of which it rightfully may take other action; that if the inquiry relates to ‘a matter wherein relief or redress could be had only by a judicial proceeding’ it is not within the range of this power, but must be left to the courts, conformably

<sup>193</sup> *McGrain v. Daugherty*, 273 U.S. 135, 174–175 (1927).

<sup>194</sup> *Watkins v. United States*, 354 U.S. 178, 187 (1957).

<sup>195</sup> *Barenblatt v. United States*, 360 U.S. 109, 111 (1959). *See also* *Eastland v. United States Servicemen’s Fund*, 421 U.S. 491, 503–07 (1975).

<sup>196</sup> *Kilbourn v. Thompson*, 103 U.S. 168, 189 (1881).