## Sec. 8—Powers of Congress

## Cl. 4—Naturalization and Bankruptcies

of the bankruptcy power, and may be modified by a reasonable extension of the period for redemption from such sale. Moreover, the Court expanded the bankruptcy court's power over the property of the estate by affording the trustee affirmative relief on counterclaim against a creditor filing a claim against the estate. 1314

Underlying most Court decisions and statutes in this area is the desire to achieve equity and fairness in the distribution of the bankrupt's funds. 1315 United States v. Speers, 1316 codified by an amendment to the Bankruptcy Act, 1317 furthered this objective by strengthening the position of the trustee as regards the priority of a federal tax lien unrecorded at the time of bankruptcy. 1318 The Supreme Court has held, in other cases dealing with the priority of various creditors' claims, that claims arising from the tort of the receiver is an "actual and necessary" cost of administration, 1319 that benefits under a nonparticipating annuity plan are not wages and are therefore not given priority, 1320 and that when taxes are allowed against a bankrupt's estate, penalties due because of the trustee's failure to pay the taxes incurred while operating a bankrupt business are also allowable. 1321 The Court's attitude with regard to these and other developments is perhaps best summarized in the opinion in *Conti*nental Bank v. Rock Island Ry., 1322 where Justice Sutherland wrote, on behalf of a unanimous court: "[T]hese acts, far-reaching though they may be, have not gone beyond the limit of Congressional power; but rather have constituted extensions into a field whose boundaries may not yet be fully revealed." 1323

## Constitutional Limitations on the Bankruptcy Power

In the exercise of its bankruptcy powers, Congress must not transgress the Fifth and Tenth Amendments. The Bankruptcy Act provides that use immunity may be granted "for persons required to submit to examination, to testify, or to provide information" in a bankruptcy case. <sup>1324</sup> Congress may not take from a creditor specific property previously acquired from a debtor, nor circumscribe the creditor's right to such an unreasonable extent as to deny him due pro-

```
<sup>1313</sup> Wright v. Union Central Ins. Co., 304 U.S. 502 (1938).
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1314</sup> Katchen v. Landy, 382 U.S. 323 (1966).

 $<sup>^{1315}</sup>$  Bank of Marin v. England, 385 U.S. 99, 103 (1966).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1316</sup> 382 U.S. 266 (1965). Cf. United States v. Vermont, 337 U.S. 351 (1964).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1317</sup> Act of July 5, 1966, 80 Stat. 269, 11 U.S.C. § 501, repealed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1318</sup> 382 U.S. at 271–72.

<sup>1319</sup> Reading Co. v. Brown, 391 U.S. 471 (1968).

<sup>1320</sup> Joint Industrial Bd. v. United States, 391 U.S. 224 (1968).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1321</sup> Nicholas v. United States, 384 U.S. 678 (1966).

 $<sup>^{1322}\ 294\</sup> U.S.\ 648\ (1935).$ 

<sup>1323 294</sup> U.S. at 671.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1324</sup> 11 U.S.C. § 344.