MACIASZEK, L.A. (2007): Requirements Analysis and System Design, 3rd ed. Addison Wesley, Harlow England ISBN 978-0-321-44036-5

Chapter 2 Requirements Determination

© Pearson Education Limited 2007

Topics

- From business processes to solution envisioning
- Functional and nonfunctional requirements
- Requirements elicitation
 - traditional methods and modern methods
- Requirements negotiation and validation
- Requirements management
- Requirements business model
 - system scope, business use case model, business glossary, business class model
- Requirements document

3. Requirements elicitation methods

- The least technical phase of system development
- Demands social, communication and managerial skills
 - Delivers a (mostly narrative) definition of user requirements

Traditional methods of requirements elicitation

- Simple and cost effective
- When clear objectives and low project risks
- Include:
 - Interviewing customers and domain experts
 - Questionnaires
 - Observation
 - Study of documents and software systems

Interviewing customers and domain experts

- With customers mostly use case reqs
- With domain experts frequently a straight knowledge transfer
- Structured (formal) interview
 - Open-ended questions (unanticipated responses)
 - Close-ended questions (a list of possible responses known)
- Unstructured (informal) interview
- Questions to be avoided
 - Opinionated questions (do we have to do things the way we do them?)
 - Biased questions (you are not going to do this, are you?)
 - **Imposing** questions (you do things this way, don't you?)
- Summary report of the interview should be sent to the interviewee within a day or two, along with a request for comments

Interview – kinds of questions

- about specific details
 - five Ws: what, who, when, where, and why.
- about vision for the future
- about alternative ideas
 - these may be questions to an interviewee and suggestions from the interviewer asking for opinions
- about minimally acceptable solution to the problem
 - good usable systems are simple systems
- about other sources of information
 - can discover important documents and other knowledge sources unknown before to the interviewer
- soliciting diagrams
 - drawn by an interviewee to explain business processes may prove invaluable for understanding the requirements

Questionnaires

- In addition to interviews
- A passive technique
 - advantage time to consider the answers
 - disadvantage no possibility to clarify questions and answers
 - who are the people which did not respond? how would they respond?
- Close-ended questions
 - Multiple-choice questions
 - additional comments may be allowed
 - Rating questions (e.g. strongly agree, agree, ...)
 - when seeking opinions
 - Ranking questions
 - ranked with numbers, percent values, etc.

Observation

- In addition to interviews (and possibly questionnaires)
- When the user cannot convey sufficient information and/or has only fragmented knowledge
- Three forms
 - Passive
 - no interruption or direct inmvolvement
 - video camera may be used
 - Active
 - Explanatory
 - explaining what is done when observed
- Should be carried for a prolonged time, at different times and at different workloads
- People tend to behave differently when watched
 - 'work to rule' is a form of industrial action
 - 'knowledge work' is not susceptible to observation

Study of documents and software systems

- Always used, but may target portions of the system
- Use case requirements
 - Organizational documents
 - including procedures, policies, descriptions, plans, charts, internal and external correspondence
 - System forms and reports (if prior computer system exists)
 - record of change requests (defects and enhancements)
- Domain knowledge requirements
 - domain journals and reference books
 - ERPSs
 - using Internet searches

Modern methods of requirements elicitation

- Offer better insights at higher cost and effort
- When high project risks (unclear objectives, undocumented procedures, unstable requirements, eroded user expertise, inexperienced developers, insufficient user commitment, etc.)
- Include:
 - Prototyping
 - Brainstorming
 - Joint Application Development (JAD)
 - Rapid Application Development (RAD)

Prototyping

- 'Quick and dirty' solution to obtain feedback
- Necessary in complex and innovative projects
- Two kinds:
 - Throw-away prototype
 - targets requirement determination
 - Evolutionary prototype
 - targets the speed of product delivery

Brainstorming

- to form new ideas or to find a solution to specific problem by putting aside judgment, criticism, social inhibitions and rules
- to reach consensus among stakeholders
- 'cool' analysis and decision making done afterwards
- The process:
 - prior to meeting, the facilitator provides probortunity statement (the problem/opportunity area)
 - generic trigger questions to challenge the participants (e.g. what features should the system support? what are the main 'business objects'?
 - 12 to 20 participants around a table, feeling equal with the facilitator "one of the crowd"
 - answers to trigger questions recorded and passed around to stimulate more answers/ideas
 - answers/ideas get anonymous
 - answers/ideas are discussed
 - voting to prioritize answers/ideas

JAD

- Brainstorming-like technique capitalizing on group dynamics
 - Groups increase productivity, learn faster, make more educated judgments, eliminate more errors, take riskier decisions (this may be a negative though!), focus participants' attention to most important issues, integrate people, etc
- Frequently part of facilities management when the operation/implementation of an organization's information systems are contracted out to a third party
- The membership
 - Leader (communication skills, not a stakeholder, knowledge of business domain)
 - Scribe (touch typing, software development knowledge, CASE tool skills)
 - Customers
 - Users
 - Managers
 - Developers

RAD

- Five techniques
 - Evolutionary prototyping
 - CASE tools
 - with code generation and round-trip engineering
 - Specialists with Advanced Tools (SWAT)
 - colocated with the users
 - Interactive JAD
 - scribe replaced by a SWAT team with collaborative CASE tools
 - Timeboxing
 - no 'scope creep', timeboxed project
- Problems
 - suitable for smaller projects; too risky for larger developments
 - inconsistent GUI
 - specialized solutions with little reuse potential
 - deficient docs
 - unsupportable solution likely

Review Quiz 2.3

- 1. What are the three forms of closed-ended questions in questionnaires?
- 2. What are the participants in a JAD session?
- 3. How is the RAD development team called?