

# Chapter 11: Computations in a functor context III. Monad transformers

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

2019-01-05

# Computations within a functor context: Combining monads

- Programs need to combine monadic effects
- “Effect”  $\equiv$  what else happens in  $A \Rightarrow M^B$  besides computing  $B$  from  $A$
- Examples of effects for some standard monads:
  - ▶ **Option** – computation will have no result or a single result
  - ▶ **List** – computation will have zero, one, or multiple results
  - ▶ **Either** – computation may fail to obtain its result
  - ▶ **Reader** – computation needs to read an external context value
  - ▶ **Writer** – some value will be appended to a (monoidal) log
  - ▶ **Future** – computation will be scheduled to run later
- How to combine several effects in the same functor block (**for**/**yield**)?

<pre>// This is not valid Scala! val result = for { i ← 1 to n     j ← Future { q(i) }     k ← maybeError(j) } yield f(k) // What should be the type of result??</pre>	<pre>// This is not valid Scala! (1 to n).flatMap { i ⇒     Future(q(i)).flatMap { j ⇒         maybeError(j).map { k ⇒             f(k)         }     } }</pre>
--	---

- The code will work if we “unify” all effects in a new, larger monad
- Need to compute the type of new monad that contains all given effects

# How to combine monadic effects

There are several ways of combining two monads into a new monad

- If  $M_1^A$  and  $M_2^A$  are monads then  $M_1^A \times M_2^A$  is also a monad
  - ▶ But  $M_1^A \times M_2^A$  describes two separate values with two separate effects
- If  $M_1^A$  and  $M_2^A$  are monads then  $M_1^A + M_2^A$  is usually not a monad
  - ▶ If it worked, it would be a choice between two different values / effects
- If  $M_1^A$  and  $M_2^A$  are monads then one of  $M_1^{M_2^A}$  or  $M_2^{M_1^A}$  is often a monad
- Examples and counterexamples:
  - ▶ Combine `Future[A]` and `Option[A]` as `Future[Option[A]]`
  - ▶ Combine  $Z \Rightarrow A$  and  $\text{List}^A$  as  $Z \Rightarrow \text{List}^A$
  - ▶ But `Either[Z, Future[A]]` and `Option[Z  $\Rightarrow$  A]` are not monads
  - ▶ Neither `Future[State[A]]` nor `State[Future[A]]` are monads
- The order of effects matters when composition works both ways:
  - ▶ Combine `Either` ( $M_1^A = Z + A$ ) and `Writer` ( $M_2^A = W \times A$ )
    - ★ as  $Z + W \times A$  – either compute result and write a message, or all fails
    - ★ as  $(Z + A) \times W$  – message is always written, but computation may fail
- Find a general way of defining a new monad with combined effects
- Derive properties required for the new monad

- 1 For a given