## Chapter 10: Free type constructions

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

2018-11-22

#### The interpreter pattern I. Expression trees

Main idea: Represent a program as a data structure, run it later

Example: a simple DSL for complex numbers

```
val a = "1+2*i".toComplex
val b = a * "3-4*i".toComplex
b.conj
Conj(
Mul(
Str("1+2*i"), Str("3-4*i")
))
```

• Unevaluated operations Literal, Mul, Conj are defined as case classes:

```
sealed trait Prg
case class Str(s: String) extends Prg
case class Mul(p1: Prg, p2: Prg) extends Prg
case class Conj(p: Prg) extends Prg
```

An interpreter will "run" the program and return a complex number

```
def run(prg: Prg): (Double, Double) = ...
```

- Benefits: programs are data, can compose & transform before running
- Shortcomings: this DSL works only with simple expressions
  - Cannot represent variable binding and conditional computations
  - ► Cannot use any non-DSL code (e.g. a numerical algorithms library)

## The interpreter pattern II. Variable binding

A DSL with variable binding and conditional computations

- Example: imperative API for reading and writing files
  - Need to bind a non-DSL variable to a value computed by DSL
  - ▶ Later, need to use that non-DSL variable in DSL expressions
- The rest of the DSL program is a (Scala) function of that variable

```
val p = path("/file")
val str: String = read(p)
if (str.nonEmpty)
  read(path(str))
else "Error: empty path"

Bind(
Read(Path(Literal("/file"))),
{ str \( \Rightarrow / \) read value 'str'
  if (str.nonEmpty)
    Read(Path(Literal(str)))
  else Literal("Error: empty path")
})
```

Unevaluated operations are implemented via case classes:

```
sealed trait Prg
case class Bind(p: Prg, f: String ⇒ Prg) extends Prg
case class Literal(s: String) extends Prg
case class Path(s: Prg) extends Prg
case class Read(p: Prg) extends Prg
```

• Interpreter: def run(prg: Prg): String = ...

## The interpreter pattern III. Type safety

- So far, the DSL has no type safety: every value is a Prg
- We want to avoid errors, e.g. Read(Read(...)) should not compile
- Let Prg[A] denote a DSL program returning value of type A when run:

```
sealed trait Prg[A]
case class Bind(p: Prg[String], f: String ⇒ Prg[String])
  extends Prg[String]
case class Literal(s: String) extends Prg[String]
case class Path(s: Prg[String]) extends Prg[nio.file.Path]
case class Read(p: Prg[nio.file.Path]) extends Prg[String]
```

- Interpreter: def run(prg: Prg[String]): String = ...
- Our example DSL program is type-safe now:

# The interpreter pattern IV. Cleaning up the DSL

#### Our DSL so far:

```
sealed trait Prg[A] { def bind(f: String > Prg[String]): Prg[String] }
case class Path(s: Prg[String]) extends Prg[nio.file.Path]
case class Read(p: Prg[nio.file.Path]) extends Prg[String]
case class Literal(s: String) extends Prg[String]
```

#### Problems with this DSL:

- Cannot use Read(p: nio.file.Path), only Read(p: Prg[nio.file.Path])
- Cannot bind variables or return values other than String

#### To fix these problems:

- Promote Literal to a fully parameterized operation
- Replace Prg[A] by A in case class arguments

#### Resulting DSL:

```
sealed trait Prg[A]
case class Bind[A, B](p: Prg[A], f: A⇒Prg[B]) extends Prg[B]
case class Literal[A](a: A) extends Prg[A]
case class Path(s: String) extends Prg[nio.file.Path]
case class Read(p: nio.file.Path) extends Prg[String]
```

Note the flatMap-like type signature of Bind

#### The interpreter pattern V. Define Monad-like methods

• We can actually define the methods map, flatMap, pure:

```
sealed trait Prg[A] {
  def flatMap[B](f: A \Rightarrow Prg[B]): Prg[B] = Bind(this, f)
  def map[B](f: A \Rightarrow B): Prg[B] = flatMap(this, f andThen Prg.pure)
object Prg { def pure[A](a: A): Prg[A] = Literal(a) }
```

- These methods don't run anything, only create unevaluated structures
- DSL programs can now be written as functor blocks and composed:

```
def readPath(p: String): Prg[String] = for {
    path \leftarrow Path(p)
    str \leftarrow Read(path)
  } yield str
  val prg: Prg[String] = for {
    str \( \text{readPath("/file")} \)
    result ← if (str.nonEmpty)
         readPath(str)
      else Prg.pure("Error: empty path")
  } yield result
Interpreter: def run[A](prg: Prg[A]): A = ...
```

Sergei Winitzki (ABTB)

# The interpreter pattern VI. Refactoring to an abstract DSL

• Write a DSL for complex numbers in a similar way:

```
sealed trait Prg[A] { def flatMap ... } // no code changes case class Bind[A, B] (p: Prg[A], f: A \Rightarrow Prg[B]) extends Prg[B] case class Literal[A] (a: A) extends Prg[A] type Complex = (Double, Double) // custom code starts here case class Str(s: String) extends Prg[Complex] case class Mul(c1: Complex, C2: Complex) extends Prg[Complex] case class Conj(c: Complex) extends Prg[Complex]
```

Refactor this DSL to separate common code from custom code:

```
sealed trait DSL[F[_], A] { def flatMap ... } // no code changes type Prg[A] = DSL[F, A] // just for convenience case class Bind[A, B](p: Prg[A], f: A \Rightarrow Prg[B]) extends Prg[B] case class Literal[A](a: A) extends Prg[A] custom operations here
```

- Interpreter is parameterized by a "value extractor" ex : ∀A.F<sup>A</sup> ⇒ A
   def run[F[\_], A](ex: Ex[F])(p: DSL[F, A]): A = ...
- The constructor DSL[F[\_], A] is called a free monad over F

#### Free

Consider

#### Free

Consider

#### Free constructions in mathematics: Example I

- Consider the Russian letter ц (tsè) and the Chinese word 水 (shui̇́)
- We want to *multiply* ц by 水. Multiply how?
- Say, we want an associative (but noncommutative) product of them
  - ► So we want to define a *semigroup* that *contains* 以 and 水 as elements

    \* while we still know nothing about 以 and 水
- Consider the set of all *unevaluated expressions* such as ц·水·水·ц·水
  - ► Here  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}$  is different from  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{u}$  but  $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$
- ullet All these expressions form a **free semigroup** generated by ц and x
- Example calculation: (水·水)·(ц·水)·ц = 水·水·ц·水·ц

#### How to represent this as a data type:

- Redundant encoding, as the full expression tree:  $((水,水),(\mathtt{u},水)),\mathtt{u})$ 
  - ▶ Implement the operation  $a \cdot b$  as pair constructor (easy and cheap)
- Reduced encoding, as a "smart" structure: List(水,水,ц,水,ц)
  - ▶ Implement the opration  $a \cdot b$  by concatenating the lists (more expensive)

## Free constructions in mathematics: Example II

- ullet Want to define a product operation for *n*-dimensional vectors:  ${f v}_1 \otimes {f v}_2$
- The ⊗ must be linear and distributive (but not commutative):

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 \otimes v_1 + \left(u_2 \otimes v_2 + u_3 \otimes v_3\right) &= \left(u_1 \otimes v_1 + u_2 \otimes v_2\right) + u_3 \otimes v_3 \\ u \otimes \left(a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2\right) &= a_1 \left(u \otimes v_1\right) + a_2 \left(u \otimes v_2\right) \\ \left(a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2\right) \otimes u &= a_1 \left(v_1 \otimes u\right) + a_2 \left(v_2 \otimes u\right) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We have such a product for 3-dimensional vectors only; ignore that
- Consider unevaluated expressions of the form  $\mathbf{u}_1 \otimes \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 \otimes \mathbf{v}_2 + ...$ 
  - ► A free vector space generated by pairs of vectors
- Impose the equivalence relationships shown above
  - ► The result is known as the **tensor product**
- Redundant encoding: unevaluated expression tree
  - ▶ A list of any number of vector pairs  $\sum_i \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i$
- Reduced encoding: a matrix
  - ▶ Reduced encoding requires proof and more complex operations

## Exercises

Show that