


Linear-Scaling Quantum Molecular Dynamics

Aiichiro Nakano

*Collaboratory for Advanced Computing & Simulations
Depts. of Computer Science, Physics & Astronomy, Chemical
Engineering & Materials Science, and Biological Sciences*

University of Southern California

Email: anakano@usc.edu



Quantum Molecular Dynamics (QMD)

$$M_I \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathbf{R}_I = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{R}_I} E[\{\mathbf{R}_I\}, \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)] \quad (I = 1, \dots, N_{\text{atom}})$$

First molecular dynamics using an empirical interatomic interaction

A. Rahman, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, A405 ('64)



$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmin} E[\{\mathbf{R}_I\}, \psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N)]$$

Density functional theory (DFT)

Hohenberg & Kohn, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, B864 ('64)

W. Kohn, *Nobel chemistry prize*, '98

$$O(C^N) \rightarrow O(N^3)$$

1 N -electron problem intractable \rightarrow N 1-electron problems tractable


$$\psi(\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_N) \quad \{\psi_i(\mathbf{r}) | i = 1, \dots, N\}$$

$O(N)$ DFT algorithms

- **Divide-&-conquer DFT** [W. Yang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **66**, 1438 ('91); F. Shimojo *et al.*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **167**, 151 ('05); *Phys Rev. B* **77**, 085103 ('08); *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **95**, 043114 ('09); *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 18A529 ('14)]
- **Quantum nearsightedness principle** [W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3168 ('96); E. Prodan & W. Kohn, *P. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **102**, 11635 ('05)]
- **A recent review** [Bowler & Miyazaki, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 036503 ('12)]

Divide-&-Conquer Density Functional Theory

Global Kohn-Sham potential



Divide-&-conquer domains

- Overlapping spatial domains: $\Omega = \bigcup_{\alpha} \Omega_{\alpha}$
- Domain Kohn-Sham equations

Global-local
self-consistent
field (SCF)
iteration

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + \hat{V}_{\text{ion}} + \hat{V}_{\text{H,xc}}[\rho_{\text{global}}(\mathbf{r})] \right) \psi_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \epsilon_n^\alpha \psi_n^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$$

- Global & domain electron densities

$$\rho_{\text{global}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})$$

Domain support function

$$\sum_{\alpha} p_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = 1$$


$$\rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_n [\psi_n^\alpha]^2 \Theta(\mu - \epsilon_n^\alpha)$$

Global chemical potential

$$N = \int d\mathbf{r} \rho_{\text{global}}(\mathbf{r})$$

Optimization of Divide-&-Conquer DFT

- Computational parameters of DC-DFT = domain size (l) + buffer thickness (b)



- Complexity analysis to optimize the domain size l

$$l_* = \operatorname{argmin}(T_{\text{comp}}(l)) = \operatorname{argmin}\left(\left(\frac{L}{l}\right)^3 (l + 2b)^{3\nu}\right) = \frac{2b}{\nu - 1}$$

Per-domain computational complexity of DFT = $O(n^\nu)$: $\nu = 2$ or 3 ($n <$ or $> 10^3$)

- Error analysis: Buffer thickness b is dictated by the accuracy requirement

$$b = \lambda \ln (\max \{|\Delta\rho_\alpha(\mathbf{r})| \mid \mathbf{r} \in \partial\Omega_\alpha\}) / \varepsilon \langle \rho_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$$

Decay length

$\rho_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_{\text{global}}(\mathbf{r})$

Error tolerance



cf. quantum nearsightedness [Kohn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 3168 ('96)]

Lean Divide-&-Conquer (LDC) DFT

- Density-adaptive boundary potential to reduce the $O(N)$ prefactor

$$v_{\alpha}^{\text{bc}}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\partial v(\mathbf{r}')}{\partial \rho(\mathbf{r}')} (\rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}') - \rho_{\text{global}}(\mathbf{r}')) \cong \frac{\rho_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_{\text{global}}(\mathbf{r})}{\xi}$$

- More rapid energy convergence of LDC-DFT compared with nonadaptive DC-DFT




- Factor 2.03 (for $\nu = 2$) ~ 2.89 (for $\nu = 3$) reduction of the computational cost with an error tolerance of 5×10^{-3} a.u. (per-domain complexity: n^{ν})


F. Shimojo *et al.*, *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 18A529 ('14);
Phys. Rev. B **77**, 085103 ('08); *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **167**, 151 ('05)

Hierarchical Computing

- Globally scalable (real-space multigrid) + locally fast (plane wave) electronic solver



- Hierarchical band (*i.e.* Kohn-Sham orbital) + space + domain (BSD) decomposition




F. Shimojo *et al.*, *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 18A529 ('14)

H₂ Production from Water Using LiAl Particles

16,661-atom QMD simulation of Li₄₄₁Al₄₄₁ in water
on 786,432 IBM Blue Gene/Q cores


K. Shimamura *et al.*,
Nano Lett. **14**, 4090 ('14)




21,140 time steps (129,208 self-consistent-field iterations)

Rapid & Scalable H₂ Production

- Orders-of-magnitude faster H₂ production from water than with pure Al



- Activation barrier = 0.068 eV
- Reaction rate = $1.04 \times 10^9 (\text{s}^{-1})$ per LiAl pair at 300 K



K. Shimamura *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* **14**, 4090 ('14)

K. Nomura *et al.*, *IEEE/ACM SC14* ('14)

- Reaction rate does not decrease for larger particles → industrial scalability

cf. discontinuous Galerkin DFT [Lin *et al.*, *J. Comput. Phys.* **231**, 2140 ('12)]

See notes on (1) origin of DCDFT, (2) parallel DCDFT, (3) DCDFT data structures, (4) DCDFT algorithm, (5) DC forces, & (6) lean DCDFT

Fermi Operator

- Fermi operator

$$F(\hat{H}) = \frac{2}{\exp\left(\frac{\hat{H} - \mu}{k_B T}\right) + 1}$$

- Projection to the occupied subspace

$$|\psi_{\text{proj}}\rangle = F(\hat{H})|\psi\rangle$$




- The expectation value of any operator A is obtained by

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \text{tr}[\hat{A}\hat{F}]$$

- Widely used in $O(N)$ electronic structure calculations (N = number of electrons) through its sparse representation

S. Goedecker, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 1085 ('99);
K. Tsuruta *et al.*, *Phil. Mag. Lett.* **81**, 357 ('01)

Fermi-Operator Approximations




$$F(\hat{H}) \cong \sum_{\nu=1}^M \frac{R_\nu}{\hat{H} - z_\nu}$$

$$(\hat{H} - z_\nu) |\psi_{\text{out}}^\nu\rangle = R_\nu |\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle$$

Rational Fermi-Operator Expansion

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{\exp(z) + 1} \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{z}{2M}\right)^{2M} + 1} \\ &\approx \sum_{\nu=0}^{2M-1} \frac{R_\nu}{z - z_\nu} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} \textbf{Poles} & z_\nu = 2M \left(\exp\left(i \frac{(2\nu+1)\pi}{2M}\right) - 1 \right) \\ \textbf{Residues} & R_\nu = -\exp\left(i \frac{(2\nu+1)\pi}{2M}\right) \end{cases} \quad (\nu = 0, \dots, 2M-1)$$



D. M. C. Nicholson *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 14686 ('94);
A. P. Horsfield *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 12694 ('96);
L. Lin *et al.*, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **25**, 1295501 ('13)

$O(N)$ Fermi Operator Expansion

- Truncated expansion of Fermi-operator by Chebyshev polynomial $\{T_p\}$

$$F(\hat{H}) \cong \sum_{p=0}^P c_p T_p(\hat{H})$$

- $O(N)$ algorithm

prepare a basis set of size $O(N)$

(let the size be N for simplicity)

for $l = 1, N$

let an N -dimensional unit vector be $|e_l\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_l$

recursively construct the l^{th} column of
matrix T_p , $|t_l^p\rangle$, keeping only $O(1)$
off-diagonal elements (*cf.* quantum nearsightedness)

$$\begin{cases} |t_l^0\rangle = |e_l\rangle \\ |t_l^1\rangle = \hat{H}|e_l\rangle \\ |t_l^{p+1}\rangle = 2\hat{H}|t_l^p\rangle - |t_l^{p-1}\rangle \end{cases}$$

build a sparse representation of the l^{th} column of F as

$$|f_l\rangle \cong \sum_{p=0}^P c_p |t_l^p\rangle$$

See note on Fermi-operator expansion

Local Orbital Minimization


$O(N)$ DFT algorithm

- Asymptotic decay of density matrix:

- Localized functions:



$$\rho(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\text{el}}} \psi_n(\mathbf{r}) \psi_n^*(\mathbf{r}')$$

Nearsightedness! $\propto \exp(-C |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)$



$$\psi_n(\mathbf{r}) \quad \xrightarrow{\hspace{1cm}} \quad \phi_m(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\phi_m(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_n \psi_n(\mathbf{r}) U_{nm}$$



- Unconstrained minimization:

$$\tilde{E}[\{\phi_n\}] = \sum_{m=1}^{N_{\text{wf}}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{\text{wf}}} \int d^3r \phi_m^*(\mathbf{r})(H - \eta I)\phi_n(\mathbf{r}) \left(2\delta_{nm} - \int d^3r \phi_n^*(\mathbf{r})\phi_m(\mathbf{r}) \right) + \eta N_{\text{el}}$$


cf. $O(N^3)$ QR or Cholesky decomposition for orthogonalization

See notes on (1) nonorthogonal orbitals & (2) local orbital minimization


Kim, Mauri & Galli, *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, 1640 ('95); Ordejon *et al.*, *ibid.* **51**, 1456 ('95)
Shimojo *et al.*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **140**, 303 ('01)

Analysis of Parallel DFT Algorithms

Spatial decomposition



DFT



Linear-scaling (LS) DFT

Computation

DFT

$$O(N^3/P)$$

DC-DFT

$$O(N/P)$$

Communication

$$O(N(N/P)^{2/3} + N^2 \log P)$$

$$O((N/P)^{2/3})$$

Zoo of $O(N)$ DFT Algorithms (Small Subset)



- **Density-matrix minimization (DMM)** [Li *et al.*, *Phys Rev. B* **47**, 10891 ('93); Nunes & Vanderbilt, *ibid.* **50**, 17611 ('94); Hernandez *et al.*, *ibid.* **53**, 7147 ('96)]
See notes on (1) idempotency, (2) orthogonal DMM, (3) nonorthogonal DMM & (4) real-space DMM
- **Filter diagonalization** [Wall & Neuhauser, *J. Chem. Phys.* **102**, 8011 ('95)]
See note on filter diagonalization *cf.* Koshiba's “egg of dream”: 小柴昌俊–夢の卵を孵す
- **Green's function (GF) approaches** [Horsfield *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 12694 ('96); Hoshi *et al.*, *Proc. SCALA16* ('16)]
See (1) slide & notes on Lanczos tridiagonalization, and (2) note on Pade *via* Lanczos
cf. Bethe lattice & Laughlin *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **20**, 5228 ('79)
- **Block tridiagonal divide-&-conquer (DC)** [Gansterer *et al.*, *ACM T. Math. Software* **28**, 45 ('02)]
See note on block tridiagonal DC
- **Embedded-cluster boundary condition (BC): Reduce $O(N)$ prefactor of DCDFT?**
See notes on (1) embedded-cluster BC, (2) orbital BC, (3) multiple scattering & (4) surface GF
cf. **Dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT)** [Georges *et al.*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 13 ('96); Kotliar *et al.*, *ibid.* **78**, 865 ('06)]

Related Topics: Research = Directed Random Walk

- **Fragment molecular orbital (FMO) method: fragment dimer & beyond**
Kitaura *et al.*, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **312**, 319 ('99); Tanaka *et al.*, *ibid.* **556**, 272 ('13)
- **Linearly scaling 3D fragment (LS3DF) method ~ real-space FMO**
Wang *et al.*, *Proc. Supercomputing*, SC08 ('08)
- **Sublinear-scaling stochastic DFT: self-averaging**
Baer *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 106402 ('13)
- **Density matrix renormalization group (DMRG)**
White, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 10345 ('93)
See notes on (1) singular value decomposition (SVD) & (2) DMRG
- **Tensor networks: modern DMRG — combine with message passing (belief propagation or Bethe lattice) in 3D?**
Chan & Sharma, *Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem.* **62**, 465 ('11)

Next: Divide-Conquer-Recombine (DCR)

Divide-and-conquer



M. Kunaseth *et al.*, ACM/IEEE SC13 ('13)

Globally-informed local DC solutions are used in the recombine phase as compact bases to synthesize global properties in broad applications

F. Shimojo *et al.*, J. Chem. Phys. **140**, 18A529 ('14)

K. Nomura *et al.*, IEEE/ACM SC14 ('14)

Divide-Conquer-Recombine Applications

- **High-order inter-molecular-fragment correlation**
Tanaka *et al.*, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **556**, 272 ('13)
- **Global frontier orbitals (HOMO & LUMO) via linear combination of domain orbitals (LCDO)**
Yamada *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 045106 ('17)
Note the projection (P & $Q = 1-P$) — generalized Langevin approach?
Mori, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **33**, 423 ('65); Kinjo & Hyodo, *Mol. Sim.* **33**, 417 ('07)
- **Dielectric response — simplified inter-layer coupling; equation-of-motion decoupling for density response function, cf.** linear-response time-dependent DFT in nonadiabatic QMD lecture
Andersen *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* **15**, 4616 ('15)
- **Electron dynamics: Local plane-wave time-dependent KS equations glued together by Maxwell's equations**
Sato & Yabana *et al.*, *J. Adv. Sim. Sci. Eng.* **1**, 98 ('14)
- **Exciton dynamics: Local nonadiabatic QMD simulations recombined into a global exciton-kinetics graph**
Mou *et al.*, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **102**, 173301 ('13)

Divide-Conquer-Recombine Approach (1)


- Dielectric response — simplified inter-layer coupling; equation-of-motion decoupling for density response function, *cf.* linear-response time-dependent DFT in nonadiabatic QMD lecture
- Density response function

$$n_{\text{ind}}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \int d\mathbf{r}' \chi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', \omega) V_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{r}', \omega)$$

- Multiscale Dyson equations

$$\tilde{\chi} = \chi^0 + \chi^0 \tilde{\mathbf{V}} \tilde{\chi} \quad \text{Intra-layer (accurate)}$$


$$\chi = \tilde{\chi} + \tilde{\chi} \mathbf{V}^I \chi \quad \text{Inter-layer (simplified, 2 DOF)}$$



Andersen *et al.*, *Nano Lett.* **15**, 4616 ('15)


Divide-Conquer-Recombine Approach (2)

- Electron dynamics — Maxwell + TDDFT: Local plane-wave time-dependent KS equations glued together by global Maxwell's equations



At each macroscopic grid point,
we solve real-time electron dynamics in parallel

Divide-Conquer-Recombine Approach (3)



Global kinetic graph: Experimental Length & Time Scales

