

# Extended Field Solver

6/25/20

- Goal : Extend the scope of auxiliary-field Poisson solver [Car & Parrinello, SSC 62, 403 ('87)] to include wave equations for vector potential, in the framework of Maxwell-TDDFT (time-dependent density functional theory) approach [Yabana, PRB 85, 045134 ('12)] in the Lorenz gauge [Gabay, PRB 101, 235101 ('20)].
- Maxwell equations

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} \quad (2)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \rho \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}$  &  $\mathbf{B}$  are electric & magnetic fields, while charge ( $\rho$ ) & current ( $\mathbf{J}$ ) densities satisfies continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J} = 0 \quad (5)$$

(2)

## - Vector & scalar potentials

Since the magnetic field is divergence-free (Eq.(4)), it can be represented as the curl of a vector field.

Thus, we define vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  through

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad (6)$$

Substituting Eq.(6) in (1), we obtain

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}) = 0 \quad (7)$$

Since  $\mathbf{E} + c^{-1}\partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t$  is curl-free, it can be represented as the gradient of a scalar field. Thus, we define scalar potential  $\phi$  through

$$\mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \phi \quad (8)$$

or

$$\mathbf{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} - \nabla \phi \quad (9)$$

(3)

## - Wave equations for potentials

We have used the source-free equations, (1) & (4), to define vector & scalar potentials. We now use the rest, (2) & (3), to derive partial differential equations for vector & scalar potentials.

Substituting Eqs. (6) & (9) to (2),

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times A - \underbrace{\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left[ -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \nabla \phi \right]}_{-\nabla^2 + \nabla \nabla \cdot} = \frac{4\pi}{c} J$$

$$-\nabla^2 A + \nabla \nabla \cdot A + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} A + \frac{1}{c} \nabla \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} J$$

$$\therefore \left[ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + \nabla \nabla \cdot \right] A + \frac{1}{c} \nabla \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} J \quad (10)$$

Substituting Eq. (9) in (3),

$$\nabla \cdot \left[ -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \nabla \phi \right] = 4\pi \rho$$

$$-\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot A = 4\pi \rho \quad (11)$$

(4)

## - Lorenz gauge

Equations (10) & (11) constitute four equations to determine four unknown quantities ( $A_x, A_y, A_z, \phi$ ) from four known quantities ( $J_x, J_y, J_z, \rho$ ). However,  $J$  &  $\rho$  are not independent but are related by continuity equation (5). Accordingly, we need to introduce one more condition (i.e., gauge condition) to uniquely determine  $A$  &  $\phi$ .

We here adopt the Lorenz gauge

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot A = 0 \quad (12)$$

Taking gradient of Eq. (12),

$$\frac{1}{c} \nabla \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \nabla \cdot A = 0 \quad (13)$$

Using Eq. (13) to eliminate  $\phi$  from Eq. (10), we obtain

$$\left[ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + \nabla \nabla \cdot \cancel{A} \right] \cancel{A} - \nabla \nabla \cdot A = \frac{4\pi}{c} J$$

(5)

$$\therefore \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) A = \frac{4\pi}{c} J \quad (14)$$

Also, using Eq. (12) to eliminate  $A$  in (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right) &= 4\pi \rho \\ \therefore \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) \phi &= 4\pi \rho \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In summary, both vector & scalar potentials follow wave equations in Lorenz gauge.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) A = \frac{4\pi}{c} J \\ \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) \phi = 4\pi \rho \end{array} \right. \quad (16)$$

$$\boxed{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) A = \frac{4\pi}{c} J \\ \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) \phi = 4\pi \rho \end{array} \right.} \quad (17)$$

(6)

- Single-electron Hamiltonian

(Classical Hamiltonian)

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \mathbf{P} + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right)^2 - e\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (18)$$

where  $m$  &  $e$  are mass & (absolute) charge of electron, and  $\mathbf{r}$  &  $\mathbf{P}$  are its position & momentum.

(Hamiltonian operator)

$$\hat{H}(t) = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2m} + \frac{e}{2mc} [\hat{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{P}}] + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \hat{\mathbf{A}}^2(\mathbf{r}, t) - e\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (19)$$

(7)

- Current operator

In second-quantization,

$$\hat{H}(t) = \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left\{ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + \frac{e}{2mc} \left[ \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla A(\mathbf{r}, t) + A(\mathbf{r}, t) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \right] \right. \\ \left. + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} A^2(\mathbf{r}, t) - e\phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \right\} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (20)$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \\ + \frac{e}{2mc} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{r} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \left\{ \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{e}{c} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right\} \\ - e \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (21)$$

$$= \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \\ - \frac{1}{c} \left( \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{r} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \times \left( -\frac{e}{2m} \right) \right) \left\{ \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{e}{c} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right\}$$

$$- e \int d\mathbf{r} \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r})$$

(8)

In summary,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}(t) = & \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{r} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \\ & - \frac{1}{c} \int d\mathbf{r} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \left[ \hat{j}_P(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{j}_d(\mathbf{r}) \right] \\ & + \int d\mathbf{r} \phi(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r})\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

Here, the current operator  $\hat{j}(\mathbf{r})$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{j}(\mathbf{r}) = & -\frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \\ & + \frac{e^2}{mc} A(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r})\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

$$= \hat{j}_P(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{j}_d(\mathbf{r}) \quad (23)$$

and the charge density operator  $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r})$  is

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = -e \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (24)$$

In Eq. (23),  $\hat{j}_P$  &  $\hat{j}_d$  are paramagnetic & diamagnetic current operators, respectively.

(9)

- Continuity equation

In Heisenberg picture, equation of motion of charge density operator is

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(\mathbf{r}, t) = [\hat{\rho}_H(\mathbf{r}), \hat{H}] \quad (25)$$

Note the Hamiltonian terms involving diamagnetic current & scalar potential are proportional to the density operator, thus their commutator with  $\hat{\rho}$  vanishes. The remaining terms containing kinetic operator & paramagnetic current give rise to:

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(\mathbf{r}) = & -e \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{x} [\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}), \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(\mathbf{x}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m}\right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{x})] \\ & + \frac{e}{C} \sum_{\sigma} \int d\mathbf{x} A(\mathbf{x}) [\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}), \hat{j}_p(\mathbf{x})] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

(10)

In the first term in rhs, note

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\hat{\Psi}_0^+(r) \hat{\Psi}_0(r), \hat{\Psi}_0^+(x) \left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2m}\right) \hat{\Psi}_0(x)] \\
 &= \underbrace{r^+ r^- x^+ \left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right) x^-}_{\delta(x-r) - x^+ r^-} - \underbrace{x^+ \left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right) x^- r^+ r^-}_{\delta(x-r) - r^+ x^-} \\
 &= \delta(x-r) r^+ \left(-\frac{\nabla_r^2}{2}\right) r^- - r^+ x^+ r^- \cancel{\left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right) x^-} \\
 &\quad - x^+ \cancel{\left(-\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right)} \delta(x-r) r^- + \cancel{x^+ r^+ \left(\frac{\nabla_x^2}{2}\right) x^- r^-} \sim \mathcal{A}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here, note the integration by parts:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int dx x^+ \overset{\uparrow}{\underset{\downarrow}{\nabla_x^2}} \delta(x-r) r^- \\
 &= - \int dx \overset{\uparrow}{\nabla_x} x^+ \cdot \underset{\downarrow}{\nabla_x^2} \delta(x-r) r^- \\
 &= + \int dx (\nabla_x^2 x^+) \delta(x-r) r^- \\
 &= (\nabla_r^2 r^+) r^- 
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{A} \sim \delta(x-r) r^+ \left(-\frac{\nabla_r^2}{2}\right) r^- - \delta(x-r) \left(-\frac{\nabla_r^2}{2} r^+\right) r^-$$

(11)

Namely, the first term of Eq.(26) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -e \sum_{\sigma} \left[ \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(ir) - \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(ir) \right] \\
 &= \frac{e\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \underbrace{\left[ \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \nabla^2 \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(ir) - (\nabla^2 \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir)) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(ir) \right]}_{\nabla \cdot (r^+ \nabla r) - \nabla r^+ \nabla r - \{ \nabla \cdot [(\nabla r^+) r] - \nabla r^+ \cdot \nabla r \}} \\
 &= \frac{e\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \nabla \cdot \left[ \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \nabla \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(ir) - (\nabla \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir)) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(ir) \right] \\
 &\quad \rightarrow \beta
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the second term of Eq.(26) is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{e e^2}{2mc} \sum_{\sigma} \int dx A(x) \left[ \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(ir) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(ir), \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(x) \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla_x \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(x) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \left( \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla_x \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(x) \right) \hat{\Psi}_{\sigma}(x) \right] \gamma
 \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [r^+ r, x^+ \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla_x x] - [r^+ r, ( \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla_x x^+ ) x] \\
 &= \underbrace{r^+ r x^+ \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla_x x}_{\delta(x-r)} - \underbrace{x^+ \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla_x x r^+ r}_{\delta(x-r)} - \underbrace{r^+ r ( \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla_x x^+ ) x}_{\delta(x-r)} + \underbrace{( \frac{i}{\hbar} \nabla_x x^+ ) x r^+ r}_{\delta(x-r)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(12)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A} \psi^+ \psi) \\
 \therefore \gamma = & -\frac{e^2 \hbar}{2mc i} \sum_{\sigma} \left\{ A(r) \psi_{\sigma}^+(r) \nabla \psi_{\sigma}(r) + \nabla [A(r) \psi_{\sigma}^+(r)] \psi_{\sigma}(r) \right. \\
 & \left. + \psi_{\sigma}^+(r) \nabla [A(r) \psi_{\sigma}(r)] + A(r) (\nabla \psi_{\sigma}^+(r)) \psi_{\sigma}(r) \right\} \\
 = & -\frac{e^2 \hbar}{mci} \sum_{\sigma} \nabla \cdot [A(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)] \quad \sim 8
 \end{aligned}$$

Using expressions  $\beta$  &  $\delta$  in Eq. (26),

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(r) &= \nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{e\hbar}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} [\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(r) \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) - (\nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(r)) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{e^2 \hbar}{mci} \sum_{\sigma} [A(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)] \right\} \\
 \therefore \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(r) &= \nabla \cdot \left\{ \underbrace{\frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} [\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(r) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r) - (\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(r)) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)]}_{-\hat{j}_P(r)} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \underbrace{\frac{e^2}{mc} [A(r) \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(r) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(r)]}_{- \frac{e}{mc} [A(r) \hat{\rho}(r)]} \right\} \\
 &\quad - \frac{e}{mc} [A(r) \hat{\rho}(r)] = -\hat{j}_d(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

Namely,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho}_H(r, t) + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{[\hat{j}_P(r) + \hat{j}_d(r)]}_{\hat{j}(r)} = 0$$

(13)

In summary

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{P}_H(\mathbf{r}, t) + \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}}_H^*(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$\left\{ \rho(\mathbf{r}) = -e \sum_{\sigma} \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(\mathbf{r}) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right. \quad (24)$$

$$\left[ \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{e}{2m} \sum_{\sigma} \left[ \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}^+(\mathbf{r}) \right) \hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right] + \frac{e}{mc} \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{P}(\mathbf{r}) \right] \quad (22)$$

$$= \hat{\mathbf{j}}_P(\mathbf{r}) + \hat{\mathbf{j}}_d(\mathbf{r}) \quad (23)$$

## - Multiscale field solvers

We consider a spatially-uniform laser pulse & embedding electric field as an external vector potential [6/18/20]

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_{ext}(t) &= -\frac{1}{c} A_{ext}(t) \\ &= \frac{D_{ext}}{\omega_{ext}} \sin(\omega_{ext} t - \varphi_{ext}) \times \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi t}{T_{0ext}}\right) \Theta(0 < t < T_0) \\ &\quad + D_{embt} \end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

The total vector potential  $A(t)$  is a sum of external & induced terms:

$$A(t) = A_{ext}(t) + A_{ind}(t)\tag{29}$$

Following the multiscale Maxwell-TDDFT approach, we take  $A_{ind}(t)$  to be a smoothly varying function in space. We consider it to be constant, at least within a divide-&-conquer (DC) domain.

Therefore, Eq.(16) becomes

$$\left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \cancel{\nabla^2} \right) A_{\text{ind}} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \bar{J}_{\text{avg}} \quad (30)$$

Here,  $\bar{J}_{\text{avg}}$  is spatially-averaged current,

$$\bar{J}_{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{r} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (31)$$

and the current density in the Kohn-Sham (KS) scheme is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{e}{2m} \sum_{n\sigma} \left[ \psi_{n\sigma}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) - \left( \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi_{n\sigma}^*(\mathbf{r}) \right) \psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right] f_{n\sigma} \\ &\quad + \frac{e}{mc} \sum_{n\sigma} |A(\mathbf{r}, t)| \left\{ -e \sum_{n\sigma} |\psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r})|^2 f_{n\sigma} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}) &= -\frac{e}{m} \sum_{n\sigma} \text{Re} \left[ \psi_{n\sigma}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \right] f_{n\sigma} \\ &= \frac{e^2}{mc} |A(\mathbf{r}, t)| \underbrace{\sum_{n\sigma} |\psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r})|^2 f_{n\sigma}}_{\text{electron number density } n(\mathbf{r})} \quad (32) \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_{n\sigma}$  is the occupation number.

We introduce

$$\tilde{A}_{\text{ind}}(t) = -\frac{1}{c} A_{\text{ind}}(t) \quad (33)$$

and its field equation becomes

$$\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \tilde{A}_{\text{ind}} = -\frac{4\pi}{c^2} \bar{J}_{\text{avg}} \quad (34)$$

where

$$\bar{J}_{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} d\mathbf{r} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) \quad (31)$$

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{e}{m} \sum_{n\sigma} \text{Re} [\psi_{n\sigma}^*(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r})] f_{n\sigma}$$

$$+ \frac{e^2}{m} \tilde{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) n(\mathbf{r}) \quad (35)$$

$$n(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n\sigma} |\psi_{n\sigma}(\mathbf{r})|^2 f_{n\sigma} \quad (36)$$

(17)

For induced scalar potential, we instead consider Hartree potential

$$V_H(r,t) = -e\phi(r,t) \quad (37)$$

and it follows, instead of Eq.(17),

$$\left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) V_H = -4\pi e\rho = 4\pi e^2 n$$

$$\therefore \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) V_H(r,t) = 4\pi e^2 n(r,t) \quad (38)$$

- \* In Eqs. (35) & (38), electron number density in LFD is interpreted as the deviation of density from time  $t=0$ . [6/13/20].