

## CS498 Applied Machine Learning HW6 Report

1. Latitude: Linear regression:  $R^2 = 0.2928092$ , max Lambda = 2  
Longitude: Linear regression:  $R^2 = 0.3645767$ , max Lambda = 0.989899  
Plots: Latitude: 117before.png, 117boxcox.png, 117after.png  
Longitude: 118before.png, 118boxcox.png, 118after.png

After box-cox: Latitude:  $R^2 = 0.4147814$  Longitude:  $R^2 = 0.3916395$

It is slightly better then the raw data because it does normalization

2. Lambda.min after box-cox transformation

Latitude:  $L1 = 2.24$ ,  $L2 = 41.02$ ,  $L0.25 = 8.96$ ,  $L0.5 = 4.91$ ,  $L0.75 = 2.98$

Longitude:  $L1 = 0.2958$ ,  $L2 = 4.09$ ,  $L0.25 = 0.89$ ,  $L0.5 = 0.40$ ,  $L0.75 = 0.475$

Mean-square-error:

Latitude:

$L1 = 118025.2$ ,  $L2 = 116495.4$ ,  $L0.25 = 117332.1$ ,  $L0.5 = 116075.9$ ,  $L0.75 = 117399.4$

Longitude:

$L1 = 1894.768$ ,  $L2 = 1872.704$ ,  $L0.25 = 1859.283$ ,  $L0.5 = 1872.528$ ,  $L0.75 = 1861.013$

Based on the mean-square-error, L0.25 and L0.5 works better than other alpha value

Graphs: longL1, longL2, longL05, longL025, longL075

latiL1, latiL2, latiL05, latiL025, longL075

3. For the logistic regression, please see the plots:

P2L1.png

P2L2.png

P2L025.png

P2L05.png

P2L075.png

As above, L2 regression seems to work the best, with lambda.min = 0.01323468