- 1. Suppose you are given an arbitrary directed graph G=(V,E) with arbitrary edge weights  $\ell:E\to\mathbb{R}$ . Each edge in G is colored either red, white, or blue to indicate how you are permitted to modify its weight:
  - You may increase, but not decrease, the length of any red edge.
  - You may decrease, but not increase, the length of any blue edge.
  - You may not change the length of any black edge.

The **cycle nullification** problem asks whether it is possible to modify the edge weights—subject to these color constraints—so that *every cycle in G has length* 0. Assume that G is strongly connected.

(a) Describe a linear program that is feasible if and only if it is possible to make every cycle in *G* have length 0.

**Solution:** As suggested by the hint, our linear program has a variable dist(v) for every vertex v of the graph, which represents the length of every walk from s to v with the new edge lengths.

maximize 0  
subject to 
$$dist(v) - dist(u) \ge \ell(u \rightarrow v)$$
 for every red edge  $u \rightarrow v$   
 $dist(v) - dist(u) = \ell(u \rightarrow v)$  for every white edge  $u \rightarrow v$   
 $dist(v) - dist(u) \le \ell(u \rightarrow v)$  for every blue edge  $u \rightarrow v$   
 $dist(s) = 0$ 

Because we only care about feasibility, the objective function doesn't actually matter here; the objective function 0 is convenient for part (b).

(The last constraint dist(s) = 0 is actually redundant.)

Rubric: 5 points.

(b) Construct the dual of the linear program from part (a). [Hint: Choose a convenient objective function for your primal LP.]

**Solution:** We have a dual variable  $f(u \rightarrow v)$  for each edge  $u \rightarrow v$ , corresponding to the primal constraints.

minimize 
$$\sum_{u \to v} f(u \to v) \cdot \ell(u \to v)$$
 subject to 
$$\sum_{u \to v} f(u \to v) - \sum_{v \to w} f(v \to w) = 0 \quad \text{for every vertex } v \neq s$$
 
$$f(u \to v) \leq 0 \quad \text{for every red edge } u \to v$$
 
$$f(u \to v) \geq 0 \quad \text{for every blue edge } u \to v$$

I called the dual variable f because the vertex constraints look like flow conservation; that's also why I chose the primal objective vector 0.

(If we omit the redundant constraint dist(s) = 0 from the primal LP, the dual LP includes a redundant conservation constraint at s.)

Rubric: 5 points.

(c) Give a self-contained description of the combinatorial problem encoded by the dual linear program from part (b), and prove *directly* that it is equivalent to the original cycle nullification problem. Do not use the words "linear", "program", or "dual".

**Solution:** Let H be the graph obtained from G by inserting the reversal  $v \rightarrow u$  of every white or red edge  $u \rightarrow v$ , defining  $\ell(v \rightarrow u) = -\ell(u \rightarrow v)$  for each reversed edge, and then deleting every original red edge. The dual LP is an uncapacitated minimum-cost flow problem.

I claim that *all cycles in G can be nullified if and only if H does not contain a negative cycle*. As usual, the proof has two parts.

(⇒) Suppose all cycles in *G* can be nullified. Let  $\ell'$ :  $E \to \mathbb{R}$  be any new length function such that all cycles in *G* have length 0.

Fix two vertices s and v, let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two walks from s to v, and let  $\gamma$  be a walk from v to s (which must exist because G is strongly connected). The closed walks  $\alpha \cdot \gamma$  and  $\beta \cdot \gamma$  are composed of cycles and therefore have length zero. Thus  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  have the same length, namely the negation of the length of  $\gamma$ . We conclude that all walks from s to v have the same length.

Fix an arbitrary vertex s in G, and then for each vertex v, let dist'(v) denote the common length of every walk from s to v in G with respect to the new edge lengths  $\ell'$ . Think of each dist'(v) as an estimated shortest-path distance in H.

To prove that H has no negative cycles (with the original edge lengths  $\ell$ ), it suffices to show that no edge in H is tense. Let  $u \rightarrow v$  be an arbitrary edge in H; there are two cases to consider:

- If  $u \rightarrow v$  is a (blue or white) edge in G, then

$$dist'(v) - dist'(u) = \ell'(u \rightarrow v) \le \ell(u \rightarrow v),$$

which means  $u \rightarrow v$  is not tense in H.

- If  $\nu$ →u is a (red or white) edge in G, then

$$dist'(u) - dist'(v) = \ell'(v \rightarrow u) \ge \ell(v \rightarrow u) = -\ell(u \rightarrow v)$$

and thus  $dist'(v) - dist'(u) \le \ell(u \rightarrow v)$ , which means  $u \rightarrow v$  is not tense in H.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now suppose H does not contain a negative cycle. Then shortest-path distances in H are well-defined. Add a new vertex  $\hat{s}$  with zero-length edges to every vertex in H, and then for each vertex v, let dist(v) denote the shortest-path distance from  $\hat{s}$  to v in H. (We need the extra vertex  $\hat{s}$  because there might be no vertex that can reach every other vertex in H.) Finally, for every edge  $u \rightarrow v$  in G, define  $\ell'(u \rightarrow v) := dist(v) - dist(u)$ .

Let  $u \rightarrow v$  be an arbitrary edge in G. We need to verify that  $\ell'(u \rightarrow v)$  is at least, at most, or equal to  $\ell(u \rightarrow v)$ , depending on the color of  $u \rightarrow v$ . There are three cases to consider.

**–** Suppose u→v is blue or white. Then

$$\ell'(u \rightarrow v) = dist(v) - dist(u) \le \ell(u \rightarrow v)$$

because  $u \rightarrow v$  is not tense in H.

- Suppose  $u \rightarrow v$  is red or white. Then

$$\ell'(u \rightarrow v) = dist(v) - dist(u) \ge -\ell(v \rightarrow u) = \ell(u \rightarrow v)$$

because  $v \rightarrow u$  is not tense in H.

– The previous two cases imply that if  $u \rightarrow v$  is white, then  $\ell'(u \rightarrow v) = \ell(u \rightarrow v)$ . We conclude that the new lengths are consistent with the edge colors. Finally, any cycle  $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_{k-1} \rightarrow v_0$  in G has length zero, because

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ell'(\nu_i \to \nu_{i+1 \bmod k}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( dist(\nu_{i+1 \bmod k}) - dist(\nu_i) \right) = 0.$$

(Each term  $dist(v_i)$  appears once positively and once negatively in the second sum.)

**Rubric:** 8 points = 2 for "no negative cycles in H + 3 for if proof + 3 for only if proof.

(d) Describe and analyze an algorithm to determine in O(EV) time whether it is possible to make every cycle in G have length 0, using your dual formulation from part (c).

**Solution:** We can construct the graph H in O(V + E) time, and then find negative cycles in H using a modification of the Bellman-Ford shortest-path algorithm, as described in the lecture notes, in O(VE) time.

Rubric: 2 points.