

## Problem 1

Let  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the space of infinite binary sequences  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots)$ , and, for  $a \leq b$ , write  $\omega_a^b$  for the vector  $(\omega_a, \omega_{a+1}, \dots, \omega_b)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by cylindrical sets

$$C_{\ell, \xi} = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega_1^\ell = \xi_1^\ell\}, \quad (1)$$

for  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\xi \in \Omega$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the product measure over  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ , defined by

$$\mathbb{P}(C_{\ell, \xi}) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p(\xi_i), \quad (2)$$

where  $p(1) = 1 - p(0) = p \in (0, 1)$ . Define, for  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2]$

$$X(\omega) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \omega_i \lambda^{i-1}, \quad (3)$$

and let  $\mathcal{P}_X$  be its law.

(a) Prove that, for  $\lambda = 1/2$  and any  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < 2$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_X((x_1, x_2)) > 0$ . What happens if  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)$ ?

**Solution :** Assume, without loss of generality  $|x_2 - x_1| \geq 2^{-n+1}$ . Then there exists an integer  $k \in \{1, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ , such that  $x_1 < k \cdot 2^{-n} < (k+1)2^{-n} < x_2$ . Of course

$$\mathcal{P}_X((x_1, x_2)) \geq \mathbb{P}(k \cdot 2^{-n} \leq X(\omega) \leq (k+1)2^{-n}). \quad (4)$$

The integer  $k$  admits the unique binary expansion  $k = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i 2^{n-i}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(k \cdot 2^{-n} \leq X(\omega) \leq (k+1)2^{-n}) = \mathbb{P}(C_{n, (k_1, \dots, k_n)}) = p^{n_1(k)} (1-p)^{n_0(k)}, \quad (5)$$

with  $n_0(k)$  and  $n_1(k)$  the number of zeros and ones in  $(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ . For  $p \in (0, 1)$  the above probability is strictly positive.

(b) Prove that, for  $\lambda \in (0, 1/2)$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_X$  does not have atoms. What happens if  $\lambda = 1/2$ ?

[Recall that an atom is a Borel set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{P}_X(A) > 0$  and, for any Borel set  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_X(B) = 0$  or  $\mathcal{P}_X(B) = \mathcal{P}_X(A)$ .]

**Solution :** For  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$X_n(\omega) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \omega_i \lambda^i. \quad (6)$$

Obviously  $X_n(\omega) \leq X(\omega) \leq X_n(\omega) + (1-\lambda)^{-1} \lambda^n$ , whence, for any interval  $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X(\omega) \in [a, b]\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{X_n(\omega) \in [a - \delta_n, b]\}, \quad (7)$$

with  $\delta_n \equiv (1 - \lambda)^{-1} \lambda^n \leq 2\lambda^n$ . In particular,

$$\mathbb{P}\{X(\omega) \in [a, a + \lambda^n]\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{X_n(\omega) \in [a - 2\lambda^n, a + \lambda^n]\}. \quad (8)$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $J_n(a) \equiv [a, a + C\lambda^n]$ , with  $C = (1 - 2\lambda)/(1 - \lambda) > 0$ . If for any  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $X(\omega) \notin J_n(a)$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\{X(\omega) \in J_n(a)\} = 0$ . Assume therefore, that there is at least one realization  $\omega^* = (\omega_1^*, \dots, \omega_n^*, \dots)$  such that  $X_n(\omega^*) \in J_n(\lambda)$ . For any  $\omega \neq \omega_*$ , let  $k = k(\omega)$  be the smallest index such that  $\omega_k^* \neq \omega_k$ . Then

$$|X(\omega) - X(\omega^*)| \geq \lambda^k - \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \lambda^l = C(\lambda) \lambda^k. \quad (9)$$

Therefore  $X(\omega) \in J_n(a)$  only if the first  $n$  coordinates of  $\omega$  coincide with those of  $\omega^*$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}\{X(\omega) \in J_n(a)\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{\omega_1 = \omega_1^*, \dots, \omega_n = \omega_n^*\} \leq \max(p, 1 - p)^n. \quad (10)$$

As a consequence, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{P}\{X_n(\omega) \in [a, a + \delta(\varepsilon)]\} \leq \varepsilon$ .

This immediately implies that  $\mathcal{P}_X$  does not have atoms. Indeed, assume this is not the case and let  $S$  be such an atom, with  $\mathcal{P}_X(S) = 2\varepsilon$ . Obviously  $S \subseteq [0, 2]$ . Partition the interval  $[0, 2]$  into intervals  $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_M$  of length  $\delta(\varepsilon)$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_X(J_i \cap S) > 0$  for at least one interval  $i$ . On the other hand  $\mathcal{P}_X(J_i \cap S) \leq \mathcal{P}_X(J_i) \leq \varepsilon$ .

## Problem 2

Let  $\Omega$  be the space of functions  $\omega : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and, for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , define  $X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \equiv \sigma(\{X_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]})$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $X_t$  is measurable for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Also, for any  $S \subseteq [0, 1]$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_S \equiv \sigma(\{X_t\}_{t \in S})$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $X_t$  is measurable for each  $t \in S$ .

(a) Prove that

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{S \text{ countable}} \mathcal{F}_S. \quad (11)$$

**Solution:** Let  $\mathcal{A} \equiv \bigcup_{S \text{ countable}} \mathcal{F}_S$ . It is clear that  $X_t$  is measurable on  $\mathcal{A}$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{A}$  contains in particular  $\mathcal{F}_{\{t\}} = \sigma(X_t)$ .

Further  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , since  $\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  for each  $S \subseteq [0, 1]$  (indeed  $\mathcal{F}_S$  is the *minimal*  $\sigma$  algebra such that  $X_t$  is measurable for each  $t \in S$ ).

The claim follows if we show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Let  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $B \in \mathcal{F}_S$  for some  $S$  countable, whence  $B^c \in \mathcal{F}_S$  (because  $\mathcal{F}_S$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra) and thus  $B^c \in \mathcal{A}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under complements.

Let  $\{B_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a countable collection in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exist countable sets  $S_i \subseteq [0, 1]$  such that  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}_{S_i}$  for each  $i$ . In particular  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}_S$  with  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ . Let  $B \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ . By the  $\sigma$ -algebra property,  $B \in \mathcal{F}_S$  as well. But  $S$  is countable (countable union of countable sets), whence  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(b) Show that, for any random variable  $Z$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  there exists  $S$  countable such that  $Z$  is measurable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_S)$ .

**Solution:** Let  $\mathbb{Q} = \{q_1, q_2, q_3, \dots\}$  be an ordering of the rationals. By point (a) above, for each  $i$ , there exist  $S_i$  countable, such that the set  $B_i = \{\omega : Z(\omega) \leq q_i\}$  is in  $\mathcal{F}_{S_i}$ . As a consequence for each  $i$ ,  $B_i \in \mathcal{F}_S$  with  $S \equiv \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$ . This imply that  $\{Z^{-1}((-\infty, q]) : q \in \mathbb{Q}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S$ . Since  $\mathcal{P} = \{(-\infty, q] : q \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is a  $\pi$  system which generates the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra, the thesis follows.

---

(c) Define

$$Z(\omega) = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} X_t(\omega). \quad (12)$$

Is  $Z$  measurable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ ?

---

**Solution:** No, it is not measurable. Indeed, assume by contradiction that it is measurable. Then by point (b) above, there exist  $S$  countable such that  $Z$  is measurable on  $\mathcal{F}_S$ . Consider the set  $B = \{\omega : Z(\omega) \leq 0\}$ , and let  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be two functions such that  $\omega_1(t) = \omega_2(t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \in S$  and  $\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \omega_1(t) > 0 \geq \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \omega_2(t)$ . Then of course  $\omega_1 \notin B$ ,  $\omega_2 \in B$ . On the other hand, for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$  either  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in S$  or  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \notin S$ , which leads to a contradiction. (The last claim follows from Problem 2 in the midterm.)

---

### Problem 3

Let  $S^{d-1}$  be the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$S^{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| = 1\}. \quad (13)$$

The sphere  $S^{d-1}$  can be given the topology induced by  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . More precisely  $A \subseteq S^{d-1}$  is open if for any  $x \in A$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\{y \in S^{d-1} : \|x - y\| \leq \varepsilon\} \subseteq A$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}(S^{d-1})$  be the corresponding Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra. For any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S^{d-1})$ , define

$$\Gamma(A) = \{rx : r \in [0, 1], x \in A\}, \quad (14)$$

(a) Show that, for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S^{d-1})$ ,  $\Gamma(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

---

**Solution:** For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\Gamma_\varepsilon(A) \equiv \{rx : r \in (\varepsilon, 1], x \in A\}$ . Then  $\Gamma_\varepsilon(A) = f_\varepsilon^{-1}(A)$ , for the continuous mapping  $f_\varepsilon : \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \varepsilon \leq \|x\| \leq 1\} \rightarrow S^{d-1}$ ,  $x \mapsto x/\|x\|$ . Since counterimages of Borel sets under continuous mappings are Borel, we have  $\Gamma_\varepsilon(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The thesis follows since

$$\Gamma(A) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_{1/n}(A) \cup \{0\}. \quad (15)$$

---

(b) Let  $\lambda_d$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and define, for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S^{d-1})$ ,

$$\mu(A) = d \lambda_d(\Gamma(A)). \quad (16)$$

Prove that  $\mu$  is a finite measure on  $(S^{d-1}, \mathcal{B}(S^{d-1}))$ .

---

**Solution:** Obviously  $\mu$  is a non-negative set function, with  $\mu(\emptyset) = d \lambda_d(\emptyset) = 0$ . If  $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(S^{d-1})$  is a disjoint collection then  $\{B_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are also disjoint with  $B_i = \Gamma(A_i) \setminus \{0\}$ . Further  $\Gamma(\cup_i A_i) = \cup_i \Gamma(A_i)$ . Therefore, since  $\lambda_d(\{0\}) = 0$ , we have

$$\mu(\cup_{i \geq 1} A_i) = d \lambda_d(\cup_{i \geq 1} \Gamma(A_i)) = d \lambda_d(\cup_{i \geq 1} B_i) = \sum_{i \geq 1} d \lambda_d(B_i) = \sum_{i \geq 1} d \lambda_d(\Gamma(A_i)) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu(A_i), \quad (17)$$

i.e.  $\mu$  is countably additive, hence a measure.

Finally  $\mu(S^{d-1}) = d\lambda_d(\{x : \|x\| \leq 1\}) \leq d\lambda_d(\{x : \max_i |x_i| \leq 1\}) = d2^d$ . Therefore  $\mu$  is finite.

(c) For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S^{d-1})$  and  $0 \leq a \leq b$ , define the set  $C_{a,b}(A) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as  $C_{a,b}(A) = \{rx : a < r \leq b, x \in A\}$ . Prove that

$$\lambda_d(C_{a,b}(A)) = \frac{b^d - a^d}{d} \mu(A). \quad (18)$$

[Hint: Use the fact that, for  $\gamma > 0$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\lambda_d(\gamma B) = \gamma^d \lambda_d(B)$  (with  $\gamma B$  the set obtained by ‘dilating’  $B$  by a factor  $\gamma$ ).]

**Solution:** First consider the case  $b = 1$ ,  $a/b = \alpha < 1$ . Using the definition of  $\Gamma_\varepsilon(A)$  in point (a), we have  $\Gamma_0(A) = \cup_{i=0}^\infty C_{\alpha^{i+1}, \alpha^i}(A)$ . Since the union is disjoint, and  $\lambda_d(\{0\}) = 0$ , we have

$$\mu(A) = d\lambda_d(\Gamma_0(A)) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty d\lambda_d(C_{\alpha^{i+1}, \alpha^i}(A)) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty d\alpha^{id} \lambda_d(C_{\alpha, 1}(A)) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha^d} d\lambda_d(C_{\alpha, 1}(A)). \quad (19)$$

For  $b \neq 1$ , it is sufficient to use  $\lambda_d(C_{a,b}(A)) = b^d \lambda_d(C_{\alpha, 1}(A))$  for  $\alpha = a/b$ .

(d) Deduce that, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\lambda_d(B) = \int_0^\infty \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbb{I}(rx \in B) r^{d-1} d\mu(x) dr. \quad (20)$$

**Solution:** We can assume  $0 \notin B$ , since both sides are modified by a vanishing term. Let  $\omega(B)$  be the quantity defined on the right hand side of Eq. (20). Notice, by Fubini, that  $\omega(B)$  is the integral of the simple function  $\mathbb{I}(rx \in B)$  under the product measure  $\mu \times \lambda_1$  on  $S^{d-1} \times (0, \infty)$ . Therefore  $\omega$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Further, both  $\lambda_d$  and  $\omega$  are  $\sigma$ -finite (it is sufficient to consider the sets  $B_n \equiv \{x : \|x\| \leq n\} \uparrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ). Finally, by point (c) above

$$\lambda_d(C_{a,b}(A)) = \omega(C_{a,b}(A)), \quad (21)$$

for any  $a < b$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S^{d-1})$ . The thesis follows by showing that  $\mathcal{P} = \{C_{a,b}(A) : a < b, A \in \mathcal{B}(S^{d-1})\}$  is a  $\pi$ -system (this is obvious) that generates  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

There are many ways of proving the last claim. One is the following. First define, for  $A \in \mathcal{B}(S^{d-1})$ ,

$$D_{a,b}(A) = \{rx : a < r < b, x \in A\}. \quad (22)$$

It is clear that  $D_{a,b}(A)$  can be constructed by finite intersections and unions of sets  $\{C_{a,b}(A)\}$ . Consider next any open set  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . We want to show that it is a countable union of sets  $\{D_{a,b}(A)\}$  with  $A$  relatively open in  $S^{d-1}$ . Without loss of generality we can assume  $0 \notin Q$  and  $Q \subseteq H_\varepsilon$  with  $H_\varepsilon \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_1 \geq \varepsilon\}$  an half space. Let  $\psi : H_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be the mapping

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (r(x), x_2/r(x), \dots, x_d/r(x)), \quad (23)$$

$$r(x) \equiv \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2}, \quad (24)$$

which is differentiable together with its inverse on  $\psi(H_\varepsilon)$ . The set  $\psi(Q)$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Therefore

$$\psi(Q) = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty R_i, \quad (25)$$

with the  $R_i$ 's open rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (because rectangles generate the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra). Therefore

$$Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi^{-1}(R_i), \quad (26)$$

but  $\psi^{-1}(R_i) = D_{a_i, b_i}(A_i)$  for some  $a_i, b_i, A_i$ .

---

## Problem 4

Consider the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , with  $\Omega = \{A, B, C, \dots, Z\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  the space of infinite strings of capital letters from the english alphabet (it might be useful to recall that there are 26 such letters). Further, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by cylindrical sets (i.e. sets of the form  $C_{\ell, a} = \{\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) : \omega_1 = a_1, \dots, \omega_{\ell} = a_{\ell}\}$  for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  and some sequence of letters  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{\ell})$ ), and  $\mathbb{P}$  the uniform measure, defined by

$$\mathbb{P}(C_{\ell, a}) \equiv \frac{1}{26^{\ell}}. \quad (27)$$

For any  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $Z_N(\omega)$  be the number of occurrences of the word PROBABILITY in  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_N)$ .

(a) Show that  $Z_N$  is indeed a random variable (i.e. it is measurable on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ ).

---

**Solution:** Let  $X_n(\omega)$  be the indicator on the event

$$\{\omega_{n-10} = P, \omega_{n-9} = R, \omega_{n-8} = O, \omega_{n-7} = B, \omega_{n-6} = A, \omega_{n-5} = B, \omega_{n-4} = I, \omega_{n-3} = L, \omega_{n-2} = I, \omega_{n-1} = T, \omega_n = Y\},$$

with, by convention  $X_n(\omega) = 0$  for  $n \leq 10$ . Clearly,  $X_n$  is an indicator on finite union of cylinder sets, hence it is measurable. Further

$$Z_N(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^N X_n(\omega), \quad (28)$$

whence  $Z_N$  is also measurable.

---

(b) Show that the limit  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[Z_N]/N$  exists, and compute it. Call the result  $m$ .

---

**Solution :** By independence, we have, for any  $n > 10$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X_n] = 1/26^{11}$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{E}[Z_N] = (N-10)/26^{11}$ , which immediately implies the thesis with  $a = 1/26^{11}$ .

---

(c) Prove that  $Z_N$  satisfies the law of large numbers, i.e. that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z_N(\omega)}{N} = a\right\} = 1. \quad (29)$$

**Solution :** Let  $Y_n \equiv X_n - a$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\frac{Z_N}{N} - a\right)^4\right\} = \frac{1}{N^4} \sum_{i,j,k,l=11}^N \mathbb{E}\{Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_l\} \leq \frac{24}{N^4} \sum_{11 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq l \leq N} |\mathbb{E}\{Y_i Y_j Y_k Y_l\}|. \quad (30)$$

Notice that  $\mathbb{E}(Y_i) = 0$ ,  $|Y_i| \leq 1$  and  $Y_i$  is independent from  $Y_j, Y_k, Y_l$  unless  $j - i \leq 10$ . Analogously  $Y_l$  is independent from  $Y_i, Y_j, Y_k$  unless  $l - k \leq 10$ . Therefore

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\frac{Z_N}{N} - a\right)^4\right\} \leq \frac{24}{N^4} \sum_{11 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq l \leq N} \mathbb{I}(j - i \leq 10) \mathbb{I}(l - k \leq 10) \leq \frac{24 \cdot 11^2}{N^4} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq N} 1 \leq \frac{2000}{N^2}. \quad (31)$$

By Markov inequality for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}\{|Z_N/N - a| \geq \varepsilon\} \leq C(\varepsilon)/N^2$ . Applying Borel-Cantelli I we obtain the desired result.

(d) Show that  $Z_N$  satisfies the following central limit theorem

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{Z_N(\omega) - Nm}{b\sqrt{N}} \leq z\right\} = F_G(z). \quad (32)$$

for some  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here  $F_G(z) = \mathbb{P}\{Y \leq z\}$  is the distribution function of a standard normal random variable  $Y$ . [Hint: Partition the string  $(\omega_1 \dots \omega_N)$  into blocks.]

**Solution :** Throughout we let  $S_N = Z_N(\omega) - Na = \sum_{n=11}^N Y_n$ . We want to prove that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_N(\omega)/b\sqrt{N} \leq z\} = F_G(z). \quad (33)$$

Fix  $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$  and let  $m \equiv \lfloor N^{1/2-\gamma} \rfloor$ . Partition the set  $\{11, \dots, N\}$  into  $m$  consecutive intervals, each of length  $\ell \equiv \lfloor (N - 10)/m \rfloor$  or  $\ell + 1$ , to be denoted by  $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_m$  (that is  $J_1 = \{11, \dots, 11 + \ell - 1\}$ , etc). Partition each of these intervals into two consecutive intervals as  $J_i = K_i \cup L_i$  with  $|L_i| = 10$  or  $11$  and  $|K_i| = \ell - 10$ . Define

$$W_i = \sum_{n \in K_i} Y_n, \quad S_N^* = \sum_{i=1}^m W_i. \quad (34)$$

The  $W_i$ 's are independent and identically distributed with  $\mathbb{E}W_i = 0$ . Further, proceeding as in point (b) above, it is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{E}(W_i^2) \equiv b_\ell \ell = b\ell + O(1), \quad (35)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(W_i^4) \leq c\ell^2. \quad (36)$$

Consider therefore the normalized sum  $\hat{S}_N^* = \sum_{i=1}^m W_i/\sqrt{Nb}$ . The Lindeberg parameter reads

$$g_N(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{Nb} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}\{W_i^2 : |W_i| \geq \varepsilon\sqrt{Nb}\} \leq \frac{1}{(N\varepsilon b)^2} \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E}\{W_i^4\} \leq \frac{cm\ell^2}{(N\varepsilon b)^2} \leq \frac{c'}{\varepsilon^2 m}, \quad (37)$$

Since  $m \rightarrow \infty$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $g_N(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ . Further  $\text{Var}(\hat{S}_N^*) = m\mathbb{E}(W_i^2)/(Nb) \rightarrow 1$  because  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$  as well. By Lindeberg central limit theorem

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_N^*/b\sqrt{N} \leq z\} = F_G(z). \quad (38)$$

Since  $|Y_n| \leq 1$ , we have  $|S_N - S_N^*| \leq 11m \leq \delta\sqrt{N}$ , for any  $\delta > 0$  and all  $N > N_0(\delta)$ . Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\{S_N^* \leq zb\sqrt{N} - \delta\sqrt{N}\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{S_N \leq zb\sqrt{N}\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{S_N^* \leq zb\sqrt{N} + \delta\sqrt{N}\}. \quad (39)$$

By taking the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and using Eq. (38), we get

$$F_G(z - \delta) \leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_N(\omega)/b\sqrt{N} \leq z\} \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{S_N(\omega)/b\sqrt{N} \leq z\} \leq F_G(z + \delta). \quad (40)$$

The thesis follows by taking  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  by continuity of  $F_G$ .

## Problem 5

Let  $\Omega$  be the interval  $[0, 2\pi)$  with the end-points identified (in other words, this is a circle indexed by the angular coordinate). Endow this set with the standard topology, whereby a basis of neighborhoods of  $x$  is given by the intervals  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$  for  $x \neq 0$  (and  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough) and  $(2\pi - \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  for  $x = 0$ . The resulting topological space  $\Omega$  is compact.

The mapping  $\varphi : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \Omega$  (the first space endowed with the standard topology), with  $\varphi(x) = x$ , is piecewise continuous together with its inverse. In particular both  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  are measurable with respect to the Borel  $\sigma$  algebras. The Lebesgue measure  $\lambda_\Omega$  is uniquely defined by  $\lambda_\Omega = \lambda \circ \varphi^{-1}$ . Analogously, for any measure  $\nu$  on  $([0, 2\pi), \mathcal{B}_{[0, 2\pi)})$  one can associate the measure  $\nu \circ \varphi^{-1}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_\Omega)$ .

Given a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_\Omega)$ , its Fourier coefficients are the numbers

$$c_k(\mu) = \int_{\Omega} e^{ikx} \mu(dx), \quad (41)$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is known that, for any  $0 < a < b < 2\pi$  with  $\mu(\{a\}) = \mu(\{b\}) = 0$ ,

$$\mu((a, b]) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(a, b]} \left\{ \frac{1}{2m\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=-l}^l c_k e^{-ikt} \right\} dt, \quad (42)$$

where  $c_k = c_k(\mu)$ . (You are welcome to use this fact in answering the following questions.)

(a) Show that the Fourier coefficients uniquely determine the probability measure, i.e. that given  $\mu, \nu$  probability measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_\Omega)$  with  $c_k(\mu) = c_k(\nu)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $\mu = \nu$ .

**Solution:** For  $z \in [0, 2\pi)$ , let  $G(z) = \mu([0, z])$ . It is clearly sufficient to show that  $G$  is uniquely determined by the Fourier coefficients, since the intervals  $[0, z]$  form a  $\pi$ -system that generates  $\mathcal{B}_\Omega$ . By assumption  $G(0) = 0$  and  $G(2\pi) = 1$ . Further  $G$  is non-decreasing and right-continuous. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the set of continuity points  $a \in (0, 2\pi)$  such that  $\mu(\{a\}) = 0$ . For  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $1 - G(a) = \mu((a, 2\pi))$  is uniquely determined by the inversion formula (42) as the limit for  $b \uparrow 2\pi$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{C}$  of  $\mu((a, b])$ . For general  $a$ , using right continuity we have  $G(a) = \inf\{G(a') : a' > a, a' \in \mathcal{C}\}$ .

Therefore  $G$  is uniquely determined by the Fourier coefficients.

(b) Given two independent random variables  $X, Y$  taking values in  $\Omega$ , let  $Z = X \oplus Y$  be defined by

$$X \oplus Y = \begin{cases} X + Y & \text{if } X + Y \in [0, 2\pi), \\ X + Y - 2\pi & \text{if } X + Y \in [2\pi, 4\pi). \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

Can you express the Fourier coefficients of (the law of)  $Z$  in terms of (the laws of)  $X$  and  $Y$ .

**Solution:** We have, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c_k(Z) = \mathbb{E}\{e^{ikZ}\}$ . But  $Z = X + Y - 2\pi\ell$  for an integer  $\ell$ , and therefore  $e^{ikZ} = e^{ik(X+Y)}$ . Using independence  $c_k(Z) = \mathbb{E}\{e^{ikZ}\} = \mathbb{E}\{e^{ikX}e^{ikY}\} = \mathbb{E}\{e^{ikX}\}\mathbb{E}\{e^{ikY}\} = c_k(X)c_k(Y)$ .

(c) Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be independent and identically distributed random variables taking values in  $\Omega$ , and assume their common distribution to admit a density  $f_X$  with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let  $\mu^{(n)}$  be the law of  $X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_n$ .

Prove that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\mu^{(n)}$  converges weakly to the uniform distribution over  $\Omega$  (i.e. to  $U = \lambda_\Omega/(2\pi)$ ).

**Solution:** Let  $c_k^{(n)} = c_k(\mu^{(n)})$ . We claim that, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c_k^{(n)} \rightarrow c_k(U)$ . Since  $\Omega$  is compact, the sequence of probability measures  $\mu^{(n)}$  is uniformly tight. Hence any subsequence  $\{\mu^{(n(m))}\}$  admits a converging subsequence  $\mu^{(n'(m))} \xrightarrow{w} \nu$ , with  $\{n'(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \{n(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $x \mapsto e^{ikx}$  is a continuous

bounded function,  $c_k^{n'(m)} \rightarrow c_k(\nu)$  along such a subsequence. But as proved in point (a), the Fourier coefficients determine uniquely the distribution, whence  $\nu = U$  for any subsequence. Therefore (by the same argument as in Levy's continuity theorem)  $\mu^{(n)} \xrightarrow{w} U$

We are left with the task of proving  $c_k^{(n)} \rightarrow c_k(U)$ . Notice that  $c_0(U) = 1$  and  $c_k(U) = 0$  for  $k \neq 0$ . Let  $c_k^{(n)} = \int e^{ikx} \mu^{(n)}(dx)$ . By point (b) above  $c_k^{(n)} = (c_k)^n$  for  $c_k = \mathbb{E}\{e^{ikX}\}$ . Clearly  $c_0 = 1$ . It is therefore sufficient to prove that  $|c_k| < 1$  for all  $k \neq 0$ . Using Fubini, we get immediately  $|c_k|^2 = \mathbb{E}\{e^{ik(X-Y)}\} = \mathbb{E}\{\cos k(X-Y)\}$  for  $X, Y$  i.i.d. with density  $f_X$ . Therefore, since  $(\cos(\alpha/2))^2 = (1 - \cos \alpha)/2$  and using the fact that  $X, Y$  have a density

$$1 - |c_k|^2 = \int_{[0, 2\pi) \times [0, 2\pi)} \left( \cos \frac{k(x-y)}{2} \right)^2 f(x) f(y) dx \times dy \quad (44)$$

for  $dx \times dy$  the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Therefore  $|c_k| = 1$  implies  $f(x)f(y) = 0$  for almost every  $(x, y)$ , i.e.  $f(x) = 0$  for almost every  $x$ , which is impossible since  $\int f(x) = 1$ . This implies  $|c_k| < 1$  as claimed.

(d) Consider now the case in which  $X_i = \theta$  for all  $i$  almost surely, for some  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  with  $\theta/\pi$  irrational. Does  $\mu^{(n)}$  have a weak limit? Consider the average

$$\nu^{(n)} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^{(k)}. \quad (45)$$

Does  $\nu^{(n)}$  have a weak limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? Prove your answer.

**Solution:** We have  $\mu^{(n)} = \delta_{x_n}$  for  $x_n = n\theta - \ell 2\pi$  (with an appropriate choice of  $\ell$ ). For  $\theta/\pi$  irrational the sequence  $x_n$  does not converge, and hence  $\mu^{(n)}$  does not converge either.

We claim that  $\nu^{(n)}$  converges weakly to  $U$  (the uniform probability measure over  $[0, 2\pi)$ ). By the same argument as in point (c) above, it is sufficient to prove that the corresponding Fourier coefficients  $c_k^{(n)} = \int e^{ikx} \nu^{(n)}(dx)$  are such that  $c_k^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$  for all  $k \neq 0$  (obviously  $c_0^{(n)} = 1$ ).

We have  $\nu^{(n)} = n^{-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \delta_{x_\ell}$ . For  $k$  integer  $e^{ikx_\ell} = e^{ik\ell\theta}$ . Therefore, for  $k \neq 0$ ,

$$c_k^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n e^{2\pi i k \ell \theta} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{e^{ik\theta} - e^{ik(n+1)\theta}}{1 - e^{ik\theta}}, \quad (46)$$

whence  $|c_k^{(n)}| \leq 2/(n(1 - \cos k\theta)) \rightarrow 0$  (because for  $\theta/\pi$  irrational,  $\cos(k\theta) < 1$  for all  $k$ ).