

# Corporate Gifting

---

## Facebook Hacker Cup 2015 Round 1

---

The fine people of Corpro Corp. are a festive bunch. Every holiday season, everybody buys a gift for their manager. A cynic might say that the employees are just trying to bribe their way to a better performance review, but if you asked them yourself, they'd say they just wanted to spread cheer.

The fine people of Corpro Corp. are a frugal bunch. When they buy gifts, they cooperate to collectively buy the least expensive gifts that they can. A cynic might say that the employees are cheap, but if you asked them yourself, they'd say it's the thought that counts.

There are  $N$  employees working at Corpro Corp., and each of them has a manager, except for the CEO who has no manager (the CEO also buys a gift every year, but she donates it to charity).

The employees each have a unique employee ID which is an integer from 1 to  $N$ . As you might expect, the CEO has the ID 1.

If there exists a set of two or more employees  $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$  such that, for all  $i < k$ ,  $p_i$  is the manager of  $p_{i+1}$ , then we say that  $p_1$  is "responsible for"  $p_k$ .

There are never two employees who are responsible for each other.

That would be a silly hierarchy indeed.

There are  $N$  kinds of gifts available for purchase, and the  $i^{\text{th}}$  kind of gift costs  $i$  dollars. That is, the prices of the different kinds of gifts are  $\{\$1, \$2, \$3, \dots, \$N\}$ . There are  $N$  copies of each gift available for purchase.

The only thing that stops all employees from purchasing gifts that cost \$1 is the awkwardness of buying a gift for their manager that's the same as the one their manager is giving away. No employee would ever do such a thing!

For example, in a company with just 2 employees, at least \$3 must be spent in total. If employee #1 (the CEO) buys a \$1 gift to donate to charity, then employee #2 cannot buy a \$1 gift for employee #1 (their manager), but they can buy a \$2 gift instead. Note that it would be equally optimal for the CEO to buy a \$2 gift, while receiving a \$1 gift from her subordinate.

What's the minimum possible total expenditure across the whole company during the gift exchange?

## Input

Input begins with an integer  $T$ , the number of corporate hierarchies to consider.

Each hierarchy is made up of two lines.

The first line contains the integer  $N$ .

The second line contains  $N$  space-separated integers.

The  $i^{\text{th}}$  integer is the employee ID of the manager of employee  $i$ , with the exception that the first integer is always 0, denoting that the CEO has no manager.

## Output

For the  $i^{\text{th}}$  hierarchy, print a line containing `Case #i:` followed by the smallest amount of money the entire company would need to spend.

## Constraints

$$1 \leq T \leq 100$$

$$1 \leq N \leq 200\,000$$

**NOTE:** The input file is about 10-20MB.

## Explanation of Sample

In the first test case, the CEO will spend \$2, and the other employees will spend \$1.

In the second test case, employees #2 and #3 will spend \$2, and the other employees will spend \$1.

## Sample Input

```
5
3
0 1 1
8
0 1 1 2 2 3 3 3
5
0 1 2 3 4
9
0 1 2 3 4 5 5 5 5
8
0 1 1 1 1 2 2 2
```

## Sample Output

```
Case #1: 4
Case #2: 10
Case #3: 7
Case #4: 12
Case #5: 11
```



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/).