

Sorting (runtime, memory and stability considerations)

bubble

insertion

merge

quick

sort

sorting

stable sort

Original Article:

- [Sorting \(by timmac\)](#)

General Considerations

The top considerations for sorting are:-

- Runtime
- Memory
- Stability

Faster algorithms that require multiple recursive calls may need to make a copy of the data again and again, this may make the entire operation extremely memory intensive in cases where memory is at a premium (embedded systems).

Stability in sorting means that whether the algorithm preserves the relative order of elements that are comparatively the same. A stable sort algorithm is the one where the relative order is unchanged.

Bubble Sort

- $O(n^2)$
- In place sort (no additional memory)
- Stable sort

```
1 void bubble_sort(vector<int> &arr){
2     int n = arr.size();
3     for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i){
4         for(int j = 0; j < n - i - 1; ++j){
5             if(arr[j] > arr[j + 1])
6                 swap(arr[j], arr[j + 1]);
7         }
8     }
9 }
```

Insertion Sort

- works well on lists that are mostly sorted
- worst case $O(n^2)$
- in place sort
- stable sort
- easy to maintain a DS that gets added to frequently (if one wanted to maintain a sorted list and elements kept on adding to it)

```

1 void insert_sort(vector<int> &arr){
2     int n = arr.size();
3     for(int i = 0; i < n; ++i){
4         int k = i - 1;
5         int temp = arr[i];
6         while(k > 0){
7             if(arr[k] <= temp)
8                 break;
9             arr[k] = temp;
10            k--;
11        }
12        arr[k + 1] = temp;
13    }
14 }

```

Merge Sort

- $O(n \log n)$
- needs extra storage for the merge step
- stable sort
- application in counting the number of inversions (determining how "unsorted" a given list is)

```

1 void merge_sort(vector<int> &arr){
2     if(arr.size() == 0 || arr.size() == 1)
3         return;
4     int sz = arr.size() / 2;
5     vector<int> A(arr.begin(), arr.begin() + sz);
6     vector<int> B(arr.begin() + sz, arr.end());
7     merge_sort(A);
8     merge_sort(B);
9     int i = 0, j = 0, k = 0;
10    while(i < A.size() && j < B.size()){
11        if(A[i] <= B[j]){
12            arr[k] = A[i];
13            i++;
14            k++;
15        }
16        else{
17            arr[k] = B[j];
18            j++;
19            k++;
20        }
21    }
22    while(i < A.size()){
23        arr[k] = A[i];
24        i++;
25        k++;
26    }
27    while(j < B.size()){
28        arr[k] = B[j];
29        j++;
30        k++;
31    }
32 }

```

Heap Sort

- $O(n \log n)$ with low constants
- in place sort
- **not** a stable sort
- somewhat difficult to implement
- to sort in the ascending (descending) order first construct the max (min) heap and then repeatedly remove the top element from the heap. the heapify process has an amortized cost of $O(n)$ and each deletion takes $O(\log n)$ time hence it takes $O(n \log n)$ to delete all elements. since the deleted elements are replaced at the end of the heap you finally get a completely sorted list

Quick Sort

- best case $O(n \log n)$, worst case $O(n^2)$, average case randomized quick sort $O(n \log n)$.
- in place sort
- **not** a stable sort
- partition step needs to be applied

```

1 int partition(int l, int r, vector<int> &arr){
2     int p = l;
3     for(int i = l + 1; i <= r; ++i){
4         if(arr[i] >= arr[p])
5             continue;
6         swap(arr[i], arr[p]);
7         swap(arr[i], arr[p + 1]);
8         p++;
9     }
10    return p;
11 }
12
13 void quick_helper(int l, int r, vector<int> &arr){
14     if(l >= r)
15         return;
16     int p = partition(l, r, arr);
17     quick_helper(l, p - 1, arr);
18     quick_helper(p + 1, l, arr);
19 }
20
21 void quick_sort(vector<int> &arr){
22     quick_helper(0, arr.size() - 1, arr);
23 }

```

Radix Sort

- looks into the structure of the keys itself
- $O(bn)$ where b is the number of bits in a key

Radix Exchange Sort

- start left to right from the MSB, in each step partition the array based on the bit under examination, then recurse on the sub arrays
- $O(bn)$
- in place sort
- **not** a stable sort (due to the partition step)

To partition in on a given bit:

repeat

- scan left to right to find key with 1 (at bit b)
- scan right to left to find key with 0 (at bit b)
- exchange indices
until indices cross

```
1 int partition(int b, vector<int> &arr){
2     int scan = 1 << (b - 1);
3     int i = 0, j = arr.size() - 1;
4     while(i < j){
5         if(arr[i] & scan == 0){
6             i++;
7             continue;
8         }
9         if(arr[j] & scan == 1){
10            j--;
11            continue;
12        }
13        swap(arr[i], arr[j]);
14        i++;
15        j--;
16    }
17    return arr[i] & scan ? i : i + 1;
18 }
```

Straight Radix Sort

- start right to left from the LSB, in each step sort the array in a **stable** way based on the bit under examination, then keep on sorting with the next significant bit
- $O(bn)$
- out of place sort (need to use bucket sort for stable sorting)
- a stable sort

Lower Bound on comparison based sorting

Assume all possible arrays of n elements. Total possible such arrays is $n!$. Now make a tree of all the comparison decisions that need to be taken to sort the array. The leaves of the tree denote each possible permutation. Since the number of leaves is $n!$, the smallest height of the tree can be $O(\log(n!))$ which is roughly equal to $O(n \log n)$. This gives us the lower bound on comparison based sorting. Radix sort is not counted here because it is not a comparison based sort. It analyses the structure of the keys.