

Document Validation Report

for expert



Correspondence: Yes

Percentages: 90.0%

Conclusion:

The codebase closely matches the Russian documentation's experimental and architectural descriptions. The listed algorithms (aggression detection, confidence scoring, emotion congruence evaluation, contradiction detection, evasiveness, and various supporting NLP and audio modules) are implemented as described, with identifiable classes or functions aligning to each experiment. The expected input formats (multimodal files, JSON annotations, video/audio paths), methods (specific detector classes for each behavioral marker), and parameters (such as language, device, segment duration) are consistent throughout code and docs. However, the repository appears to lack unified top-level evaluation scripts or detailed reporting code for output metrics, and there is limited evidence of direct evaluation metric computation or experiment result logging—hence, full end-to-end reproducibility or benchmark comparison is likely nontrivial without additional scripting or external orchestration. For these reasons, the correspondence is high but not complete.