CS363 AI Spring 2021

Student: Fengzhang Du

Problem Analysis:

Method	Level	Optimal Path(Steps)	Nodes Expanded	Rum Time (ms)
A* Misplaced	Easy	5	7	0
	Medium	9	163	4
	Hard	12	1007	4
	Worst	java.lang.OutOfMemoryError: Java heap space		
A* Manhattan	Easy	5	6	1
	Medium	9	10	1
	Hard	12	904	10
	Worst	java.lang.OutOfMemoryError: Java heap space		
IDA*	Easy	5	68	9
	Medium	9	911	13
	Hard	12	3395	20
	Worst	30	97103111	115663
Depth First Branch and Bound	Easy	5	35	0
	Medium	9	582725	882
	Hard	12	13	0
	Worst	30	40837279	48271

- 1. Number of possible states of the board: 9! Reachable states are 9!/2 = 181440
- 2. Average number of moves: 2*4+3*4+4 = 3
- 3. Sorry, no idea.
- 4. Sorry, no idea.
- No. average branching factor is b = 3.
 Worst depth = 9!/2 = 181440 reachable states.
 Run time: b^d = roughly 181440³. Not going to end before this semester.
- 6. Because the position of each tile is completely upside down and left to right, but the order is the same. For humans, we can solve it by pushing any tile of { 2,4,6,8 } to the middle and keep rotating the rest of the tiles on the edge until they are in the right position. Then push back the middle tile to its position.

But for Algorithms to solve it, it relies on the index/coordinates and heuristics. It will easily run into a tie for all the neighbor's h value and have to try almost all the possible moves in the end. So it's not very machine friendly.

Lessons for AI programs would be to make the algorithm think more like a human. Teach it the tricks or shortcuts, check edge cases before going to blindly solve it.

7. A*: Assume h(n) is consistent.

pros: Simply logic. Complete. Optimal. Tighter bound gives better solution. Stops at first optimal solution.

cons: Runtime and Space complexity can be bad. Worst case for both: b^d. Bad for large search problems.

DFBnB:

pros: Prune the node already worse than the current best solution.

When you find the first solution, you will find the optimal.

Use Linear Space.

cons: When m is infinite, it's not complete.

Need to set an upper limit to prevent the algorithm going too deep to a branch.

Use Exponential Run Time.

Not efficient for wider trees.

IDA*:

pros: Guarantee to stop and find the optimal solution.

Use Linear Space.

The Limits are expanded from lower to higher value. Opposite of DFBnB.

Use Linear Space.

cons: Use Exponential Run Time.

Not efficient for wider trees.

Easy Optimal Sequence: The shortest path is 5 steps.

Step: 0 134

862

705

Step:1

134

802

765

Step: 2

134

820

```
130
824
765
Step:4
103
824
765
Step:5
123
804
765
Medium Optimal Sequence : The shortest path is 9 steps.
Step:0
281
043
765
Step:1
081
243
765
Step: 2
801
243
765
Step:3
8 1 0
243
765
Step:4
813
240
765
Step:5
813
204
765
```

```
813
024
765
Step:7
0 1 3
824
765
Step:8
103
824
765
Step:9
123
804
765
Hard Optimal Sequence : The shortest path is 12 steps.
Step:0
281
463
075
Step:1
281
463
705
Step:2
281
403
765
Step: 3
281
043
765
Step:4
081
243
765
```

```
Step:5
801
243
765
Step:6
8 1 0
243
765
Step:7
8 1 3
240
765
Step:8
813
204
765
Step:9
8 1 3
024
765
Step: 10
0 1 3
824
765
Step: 11
103
824
765
Step: 12
123
804
```

Worst: Optimal Sequence : The shortest path is 30 steps.

567

428

301

Step:2

567

428

031

Step:3

567

028

4 3 1

Step:4

067

528

4 3 1

Step:5

607

528

4 3 1

Step:6

670

528

4 3 1

Step:7

678

520

4 3 1

Step:8

678

521

4 3 0

Step:9

678

521

0 4 3

Step: 11

5 4 3

Step: 12

Step : 13

Step: 14

Step: 15

Step: 16

Step : 17

Step: 18

781

023

654

Step : 20

081

723

654

Step: 21

801

723

654

Step : 22

8 1 0

7 2 3

654

Step : 23

8 1 3

720

654

Step : 24

8 1 3

724

650

Step : 25

813

724

605

Step: 26

8 1 3

724

065

Step : 27

8 1 3

024

0 1 3

Step : 29

Step : 30