

Preliminary exploration of geographic trends in *P. falciparum* relatedness on the Colombian coast


To-do list

- Removing mixed
- Add fst analysis???
- put binned_residuals somewhere
- What to do about multiclonal: Could use McCOIL in final version

Introduction





In the following I have quantified the trend in parasite relatedness (based on identity by descent, IBD, estimated under a hidden Markov model, HMM, described elsewhere) with inter-city distance (km) and time between collection dates (weeks), with a view to assessing the potential for comparison with the Thai-Myanmar border, where, on average, the log-odds of relatedness decrease by 0.02 with every kilometer between collection sites and week between collection dates. I have treated calls labelled ‘-’ as missing.


Sample ID (187 samples)





Pairwise identity by state and identity by descent

The empirical density of SNP-fraction identity by state (IBS), $\hat{\pi}_{IBS}$ for all pairwise sample comparisons appears to be Guassian, but with very slightly lighter tails on the left and heavier tails on the right. The empirical density of SNP-fraction IBD, $\hat{\pi}_{IBD}$ for all pairwise sample comparisons is positively skewed with some highly related parasites. They do not appear to be correlated.












	M1_inter_IBD	M1_all_IBD	M2_all_IBD	M1_inter_IBS	M1_all_IBS	M2_all_IBS
(Intercept)	9.2e-02 (0.00)	1.9e-01 (0.00)	1.0e-01 (0.00)	5.0e-01 (0.00)	5.0e-01 (0.00)	5.0e-01 (0.00)
geo_dist	-1.0e-04 (0.00)	-2.9e-04 (0.00)	-1.0e-04 (0.00)	1.6e-06 (0.67)	-1.9e-06 (0.46)	1.6e-06 (0.67)
time_dist	-1.0e-04 (0.00)	-2.4e-04 (0.00)	-1.7e-04 (0.00)	-8.9e-06 (0.06)	-1.1e-05 (0.01)	-9.1e-06 (0.03)
TunacoTRUE	NA	NA	9.0e-02 (0.00)	NA	NA	2.5e-03 (0.19)
GuapiTRUE	NA	NA	2.4e-01 (0.00)	NA	NA	5.6e-03 (0.06)
BuenaventuraTRUE	NA	NA	2.2e-02 (0.08)	NA	NA	5.5e-04 (0.90)
QuibdoTRUE	NA	NA	1.0e-01 (0.00)	NA	NA	1.6e-04 (0.94)
TadoTRUE	NA	NA	4.3e-02 (0.46)	NA	NA	2.8e-02 (0.16)

Propotions of highly related sample pairs







Regression

Before accounting for inter-state variability, regression coefficients suggest significant decrease of IBD with time and distance, but binned residuals suggest a poor fit:

	M1_inter_IBD	M1_all_IBD	M2_all_IBD	M1_inter_IBS	M1_all_IBS	M2_all_IBS
(Intercept)	-2.08e+00 (0.000)	-1.21e+00 (0.000)	-2.12e+00 (0.000)	-2.94e+00 (0.000)	-2.77e+00 (0.000)	-2.94e+00 (0.000)
geo_dist	-2.49e-03 (0.000)	-5.00e-03 (0.000)	-2.47e-03 (0.000)	1.15e-04 (0.682)	-2.82e-04 (0.121)	1.14e-04 (0.683)
time_dist	-8.68e-03 (0.000)	-9.29e-03 (0.000)	-8.24e-03 (0.000)	-2.61e-04 (0.460)	-4.11e-04 (0.160)	-2.67e-04 (0.368)
NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
TunacoTRUE	NA	NA	1.12e+00 (0.000)	NA	NA	1.03e-01 (0.451)
GuapiTRUE	NA	NA	1.57e+00 (0.000)	NA	NA	4.46e-01 (0.018)
BuenaventuraTRUE	NA	NA	5.61e-01 (0.044)	NA	NA	1.74e-01 (0.565)
QuibdoTRUE	NA	NA	3.24e-01 (0.044)	NA	NA	2.83e-01 (0.045)
TadoTRUE	NA	NA	-1.13e+01 (0.947)	NA	NA	-1.06e+01 (0.950)

	geo_dist	time_dist
GLM_IBD1_all	-5.01e-03 (0.000)	-5.13e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD1_all	-5.12e-03 (0.000)	-5.88e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD1_all	-4.92e-03 (0.000)	-6.82e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD1_all	-5.37e-03 (0.000)	-7.17e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD1_all	-5.06e-03 (0.000)	-8.30e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD1_all	-5.00e-03 (0.000)	-9.29e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD1_all	-4.90e-03 (0.000)	-9.47e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD1_all	-4.67e-03 (0.000)	-9.35e-03 (0.000)

	geo_dist	time_dist
GLM_IBD1_all	-4.53e-03 (0.000)	-1.07e-02 (0.000)
GLM_IBD1_all	-5.26e-03 (0.000)	-1.19e-02 (0.000)
GLM_IBD1_all	-4.85e-03 (0.000)	-1.08e-02 (0.000)
GLM_IBS1_all	-1.96e-04 (0.137)	-2.40e-04 (0.253)
GLM_IBS1_all	-2.17e-04 (0.116)	-2.82e-04 (0.201)
GLM_IBS1_all	-1.94e-04 (0.183)	-3.14e-04 (0.179)
GLM_IBS1_all	-1.97e-04 (0.213)	-3.21e-04 (0.204)
GLM_IBS1_all	-2.08e-04 (0.207)	-2.09e-04 (0.424)
GLM_IBS1_all	-2.82e-04 (0.121)	-4.11e-04 (0.160)
GLM_IBS1_all	-2.33e-04 (0.216)	-4.76e-04 (0.121)
GLM_IBS1_all	-8.86e-05 (0.658)	-5.89e-04 (0.074)
GLM_IBS1_all	-6.66e-05 (0.750)	-7.12e-04 (0.041)
GLM_IBS1_all	1.22e-04 (0.602)	-5.70e-04 (0.139)
GLM_IBS1_all	2.27e-04 (0.360)	-3.41e-04 (0.396)

	geo_dist	time_dist
GLM_IBD2_all	-2.70e-03 (0.000)	-4.05e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-2.69e-03 (0.000)	-4.83e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-2.28e-03 (0.000)	-5.83e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-2.63e-03 (0.000)	-6.18e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-2.36e-03 (0.000)	-7.37e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-2.47e-03 (0.000)	-8.24e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-1.82e-03 (0.000)	-8.69e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-2.06e-03 (0.000)	-8.98e-03 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-1.64e-03 (0.001)	-1.03e-02 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-2.28e-03 (0.000)	-1.11e-02 (0.000)
GLM_IBD2_all	-2.31e-03 (0.000)	-1.07e-02 (0.000)
GLM_IBS2_all	3.31e-05 (0.869)	-1.98e-04 (0.353)
GLM_IBS2_all	-9.66e-06 (0.963)	-2.35e-04 (0.295)
GLM_IBS2_all	5.67e-05 (0.799)	-2.47e-04 (0.300)
GLM_IBS2_all	1.40e-04 (0.562)	-2.15e-04 (0.404)
GLM_IBS2_all	8.96e-05 (0.722)	-1.34e-04 (0.615)
GLM_IBS2_all	1.14e-04 (0.683)	-2.67e-04 (0.368)
GLM_IBS2_all	1.91e-04 (0.512)	-3.32e-04 (0.286)
GLM_IBS2_all	2.08e-04 (0.498)	-4.26e-04 (0.202)
GLM_IBS2_all	1.37e-04 (0.668)	-5.92e-04 (0.094)
GLM_IBS2_all	2.65e-04 (0.455)	-4.05e-04 (0.303)
GLM_IBS2_all	5.07e-04 (0.179)	-1.45e-04 (0.721)

Conclusion

In summary, there is evidence of a spatial and temporal trends in these data. The spatial trend is driven by within and inter city differences, and so disappears when within site IBD estimates are removed. Differences are not recovered using IBS in lieu of IBD. However, ChromoPainter, does recover a similar trend?

Future work

Poster