COVID-19 vaccination in Ontario: Exploring intra-provincial variations within Health Regions and socio-economic strata

Ariel Mundo Ortiz¹ Bouchra Nasri^{2,*}

- ⁵ Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, University of Montreal, Montréal, Canada
- ⁶ Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, École de Santé Publique, University of Mon-
- treal, Montréal, Canada
- * Correspondence: Bouchra Nasri <bouchra.nasri@umontreal.ca>

Abstract

- 10 The COVID-19 pandemic continues to be a worldwide public health concern. Although vac-
- 11 cines against this disease were rapidly developed, vaccination uptake has not ben equal across
- ₁₂ all the segments of the population. In particular, it has been shown that there have been dif-
- 13 ferences in vaccine uptake across different segments of the population. However, there are
- 14 also differences in vaccination across geographical areas, which might be important to con-
- sider in the development of future public health policies against COVID-19. In this study,
- we examined the relationship between vaccination status (having received the first dose of

a COVID-19 vaccine), and different socio-economic and geographical factors. Our results show differences in vaccination due to race/ethnicity, income, Health Regions (geographical areas used for health service access in Ontario), and their interactions. In particular, we 19 show that individuals who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, or Latin American, had 20 significantly lower odds of vaccination than White/Caucasian individuals (ORs=0.31, 0.32, 0.28, and p=0.004, p<0.001 and p=0.004, respectively), and that individuals with a household income below CAD 25,000 who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern (OR=3.05, p=0.013), Black 23 (OR=3.19, p=0.004), Latin American (OR=2.80, p=0.041), or that belonged to other minority 24 groups (OR=4.59, p<0.001) had higher odds of vaccination than individuals from the same 25 racial/ethnic group in higher income brackets. Finally, we also identified lower odds of vacci-26 nation within different minority groups in West Health Region. This study shows that there 27 is an ongoing need to better understand and address differences in vaccination uptake across diverse segments of the population that have been largely impacted by the pandemic.

30 Keywords

Covid-19, vaccination, survey, socio-economic factors, visible minorities.

Background

- The COVID-19 pandemic continues around the world with more than 600 million confirmed
- cases as of November of 2022¹. During the first months of the pandemic in early 2020, non-

- pharmaceutical interventions such as masking and social distancing were the only methods available to manage the spread of the disease; however, the rapid development of vaccines permitted their approval and use in some countries towards the last month part of 2020. For example, in the US and Canada vaccine campaigns began in mid-December of 2020^{2,3}. Although it has been estimated that COVID-19 vaccines have prevented around 14 millions of deaths worldwide⁴, the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines has faced multiple challenges since its inception.
- Indeed, multiple obstacles that have complicated vaccination efforts against COVID-19 have
 been identified: inequality in vaccine access, vaccine hesitancy, and differences in vaccination
 rates across different segments of the population^{5–7}. In the case of Canada, lower vaccine uptake has been associated with socio-economic factors such as younger age, educational level,
 presence of children in the household, lack of a regular healthcare provider, ethnic origin, and
 financial instability^{8–10}.
- Additionally, vaccination is influenced by changes in geography. In this regard, it has been shown that there have been spatial differences in COVID-19 vaccination rates due to regional differences in attitudes towards vaccination⁷, geographical differences in vaccine access and supply, vaccination location availability, and lack of prioritization of vulnerable groups^{3,11}.
- Studies that analyze geographical variations in vaccine uptake are important as they can help inform public health decision-makers to design policies to that consider spatial changes to address vaccination disparities. In this regard, previous geographical (spatial) analyses of vaccination rates have shown that variations in vaccine uptake can occur within small gov-

- ernmental administrative units (e.g., counties in the case of the US)^{12–15}, and that geographical analyses can be predictive of booster uptake patterns¹⁶.
- In Canada, studies that have used a spatial approach to analyze vaccine uptake have shown
- 59 disparities in vaccination rates across low and high income neighborhoods in the city of
- Toronto¹⁷, among adolescents from deprived neighborhoods in the city of Montreal¹⁸, and
- 61 have also highlighted disparities in vaccination status depending on age, income, and ethnic
- origin at the provincial level⁸. However, there is limited information on differences in
- vaccination status inside the provinces. Such analysis is important as it can help identify
- inequalities that may exist within these geographical areas while providing a granular view
- of intra-provincial differences that can help understand the barriers for vaccine uptake.
- 66 In this study, we examined self-reported COVID-19 vaccination status within the province of
- Ontario using a combination of socio-economic factors(such as ethnic origin, age, and income)
- and geographical analysis (at the level of the Health Regions) in order to determine intra-
- 69 provincial differences in vaccine uptake and address the ongoing need of socio-economic in-
- 70 formation that can provide a rationale for the disparities in vaccination observed within some
- 71 racial groups¹⁹.

2 Methods

3 Data source: survey overview

We used data from the Survey of COVID-19 related Behaviours and Attitudes, a repeated cross sec-

tional survey focused on the Canadian province of Ontario which ran from Sept 30, 2021 until

₇₆ January 17, 2022 and that was comissioned by the Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical

77 Sciences (henceforth Fields) and the Mathematical Modelling of COVID-19 Task Force. The

survey was conducted by a third-party service provider (RIWI Corp.), under ethical guidance

⁷⁹ from the University of Toronto.

Briefly, the survey was deployed used random domain intercept technology, where if users

clicked on a registered but commercially inactive web link or typed in a web address for a site

that was dormant, they had a random chance of that link being temporarily managed by the

83 company that administered the survey and instead of coming across a notification about the

status of the site ("this page does not exist"), the survey was deployed to the user 20 . Users then

85 decided whether to anonymously participate, and those that participated were able to exit the

survey at any time. After the survey closed, regardless if it was complete or incomplete, access

was denied to any further users with the same internet protocol (IP) address and the domain

88 entry point rotated such that if a user were to attempt to access the survey again, share the link,

or enter via the same address using an alternative IP address, the survey would not deploy.

This effectively meant that a user could participate only once in the survey.

91 Additionally, users who indicated they were under the age of 16 were exited from the survey

- 92 without creating a record, furthermore, these users were unable to navigate back to the "age
- 93 select" screen. The personal identifier information from each user that participated in the
- 94 survey was automatically scrubbed and replaced by a unique ID. Respondents were drawn
- exclusively from the province of Ontario, as per their devices meta-data.

96 Survey responses

- 97 Socio-economic information selected from the survey answers included age group and income
- brackets, and race/ethnicity. The levels of each socio-economic factor used for analysis appear
- 99 in Table 1.

Table 1: Selected socio-economic factors from the survey

Variable	Levels
Age group	16-34,35-54,55 and over
Income bracket (CAD)	under 25,000, 25,000-59,999, 60,000 and above
Race/ethnicity	Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, East Asian/Pacific Islander,
	Indigenous, Latin American, Mixed, South Asian, White
	Caucasian, Other

- Furthermore, the information on vaccination status was provided by survey participants who
- answered the question "Have you received the first dose of the COVID vaccine?", with pos-
- sible answers "yes" and "no".

Data cleaning

The original dataset contained 39,029 entries (where each entry corresponded to a set of answers provided by a unique respondent). Following a preliminary analysis, the dataset was cleaned in order to only contain the socio-economic information provided in Table 1 and vaccination status. The cleaning process also included removing outliers that were identified during the preliminary analyses, and processing the geographical information in the survey (city where the survey was responded) in order to match each city to its correspondent Health Region.

111 Geographical location

For each survey participant certain data was automatically captured, including the nearest 112 municipality (city). This resulted in a total of 578 different municipalities within the clean 113 dataset. Due to our interest in analyzing the differences between Health Regions, we as-114 signed the city of each entry to its correspondent Health Region following a multi-step pro-115 cess. Briefly, we used Local Health Integrated Networks (LHINs) to assign a Health Re-116 gion to each entry in the survey. LHINs were the geographical divisions for health used by 117 Ontario before the adoption of the Health Regions; because of the lack of a publicly avail-118 able list of all municipalities within each Health Region, we used a dataset of long-term care homes and LHINs to match each city to LHIN, followed by matching each LHIN to a 120 Health Region following the information provided on the Ontario Health Website, where the 121

list of LHINs and corresponding Health Regions is available. In the case of municipalities that did not appear in the long-term dataset, we manually searched each city in the LHINs websites in order to provide geographical information. The raw dataset, clean dataset, details of the data cleaning process, and the addition of Health Region and LHIN information are described in detail in the GitHub repository for this paper, which can be found at https://github.com/aimundo/Fields_COVID-19/.

Following an assessment of the number of entries corresponding to each Health Region in the final dataset, only 107 observations (4.3% of the total) corresponded to cities located in the North West and North East Health Regions. Due to the low number of entries, we omitted these Health Regions from further analyses. Therefore, the total number of unique entries used for analysis was 3,551 which included the East, Central, Toronto, and West Health Regions.

134 Corrections

We identified differences between the proportions of all the socio-economic factors included in the analysis (Table 1) and the 2016 Canada Census data for Ontario. Additionally, because the Census divisions do not match the exact boundaries of the Health Regions, we also obtained population estimates for each Health Region from the Ontario Health website in order to correct for the population totals. We used an iterative proportional fitting procedure (*rak-ing*)²¹ to correct for socio-economic factors and Health Region populations using the R survey package. Details about the correction can be found in the Appendix.

142 Statistical analyses

We used a logistic regression model to estimate the probability of vaccination depending on the socio-economic factors described in Section , the Health Regions from Section , and the interactions between Race and Health Region, and Race and income as previous studies have shown that socio-economic factors and their interactions are significant predictors of intent of vaccination and vaccination status^{22–24}. The model appears in Equation 1,

$$\log\left(\frac{p(\text{vac})}{1-p(\text{vac})}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{Age group}) + \beta_2 \text{ Race} + \beta_3 \text{ Health Region} + \beta_4 \text{ Income} + \beta_5(\text{Health Region} \times \text{Race}) + \beta_6 \text{ (Income} \times \text{Race})$$

Where p(vac) indicates the probability of having received the first dose of a COVID-19 vaccine, β_0 indicates the population intercept, and $\beta_1...\beta_6$ indicate the coefficients for each term. The the model was fitted using the function svyglm from the survey R package in order to incorporate the correction in sampling probability obtained from raking.

All analyses were conducted in RStudio (2022.12.0 Build 353), using R 4.2.2 and the packages survey²⁵, tidyverse²⁶, and quarto²⁷.

154 Results

55 Survey Results

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics (uncorrected) from the Fields COVID-19 survey data 156 for vaccination status and each of the covariates analyzed. The total number of entries from 157 the survey in the dataset after cleaning was 3,551. Overall, 26.9% of survey respondents (958) 158 reported not having received the first dose of the vaccine, whereas 73.1% (2,593) reported 159 having received it. Within each socio-economic factor, respondents who reported living in a 160 household with an income under CAD 25,000 represented 37% of the total number of entries, 161 those within the CAD 25,000-59,999 income bracket represented 25% of the total sample, and 162 those with an income above CAD 60,000 represented 38 % of the sample; across all income 163 brackets, the percentage of individuals that reported having received a first dose of the vaccine 164 was consistent, above 69%. 165 Within the age groups of survey respondents, the age group between 16-34 years had the highest representation in the survey responses (1,521, 42.8% of all responses). Within this 167 age bracket, 73% of respondents indicated having received the vaccine, whereas the lowest 168 vaccination rate was in the bracket of those 55 years of age and above, with a total of 72%. 169 The Health Region with highest representation in the survey was Toronto, accounting for 170 1,324 entries (37.2%), with a vaccination rate of 72%. Regarding race/ethnicity, individuals 171 that identified as White/Caucasian represented 1313 (37%) of all entries and had the highest 172 vaccination uptake with 82% of them indicating to have received the COVID-19 vaccine. On

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of the Fields COVID-19 Survey (by Vaccination Status)

Variable	no , $N = 958$	yes , $N = 2,593$	
Income			
60000_and_above	305 (23%)	1,049 (77%)	
25000_59999	253 (28%)	636 (72%)	
under_25000	400 (31%)	908 (69%)	
Age Group			
16_34	409 (27%)	1,112 (73%)	
35_54	252 (26%)	712 (74%)	
55_and_over	297 (28%)	769 (72%)	
Health Region			
Toronto	371 (28%)	953 (72%)	
Central	224 (28%)	581 (72%)	
East	135 (23%)	448 (77%)	
West	228 (27%)	611 (73%)	
Race			
white_caucasian	233 (18%)	1,080 (82%)	
arab_middle_eastern	76 (36%)	138 (64%)	
black	114 (38%)	184 (62%)	
east_asian_pacific_islander	69 (23%)	234 (77%)	
indigenous	76 (40%)	115 (60%)	
latin_american	69 (38%)	111 (62%)	
mixed	105 (34%)	205 (66%)	
other	128 (35%)	239 (65%)	
south_asian	88 (23%)	287 (77%)	

¹ n (%)

the other hand, the ethnic group with the lowest number of entries in the survey was Latin
American, with a total of 180, or 5% of all entries. Vaccination rates across all minority groups
were below the value reported by White/Caucasians, with the lowest vaccination rate (60%)
being reported by individuals that identified as Indigenous.

178 Multivariate Regression

Table 3 shows the results of the logistic regression on vaccination status using socio-economic factors (age group, income, race), geographical areas (Health Regions) and the interactions between income and race and Health Region and race. The reference groups were set as fol-

lows: 16 to 34 years (age group), White Caucasian (Race), Toronto (Health Region), CAD 60,000 and over (Income). There were no statistically significant differences in vaccination 183 rates within the age groups from the survey, but significant odds ratios were estimated for 184 other covariates. Within household income brackets, individuals with an income under CAD 185 25,000 or between CAD 25,000-59,999 had significantly lower odds of vaccination than those 186 with an income above CAD 60,000 (ORs=0.37 and 0.59, respectively). Within Race/Ethnicity, 187 individuals who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, or Latin American, had signifi-188 cantly lower odds of vaccination than those in the White/Caucasian group (ORs=0.31, 0.32, 189 0.28, and p=0.004, < 0.001 and 0.004, respectively); additionally, those individuals in the Other 190 Race/Ethnicity group (a group that included Southeast Asian, Filipino, West Asian, and Mi-191 norities Not Identified Elsewhere) had even lower odds of vaccination than the other minority 192 groups (OR=0.22, p<0.001). Regarding Health Regions, individuals that reported living in the 193 West Health Region (which comprises the regions of Waterloo and Niagara, the counties of 194 Wellington, Essex, and Lambton, and the cities of Hamilton, Haldimand, Brant, and Chatham-195 Kent) had significantly higher odds of vaccination than those in the Health Region of Toronto 196 (OR=1.55, p=0.029).197 Moreover, statistically-significant odd ratios were determined in the case of the interaction 198 of income and race; specifically, for individuals with a household income below CAD 25,000 199

Moreover, statistically-significant odd ratios were determined in the case of the interaction of income and race; specifically, for individuals with a household income below CAD 25,000 who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern (OR=3.05, p=0.013), Black (OR=3.19, p=0.004), Latin American (OR=2.80, p=0.041), or that belonged to other minority groups (OR=4.59, p<0.001). Within the CAD 25,000-59,999 income bracket, individuals who identified as belonging

to other racial minority groups had significantly higher odds of vaccination (OR=6.93, p<0.001).

For the interaction of Health Region and race, significant odds of vaccination were identified for Black individuals in the Central Health Region, which comprises the region of York, counties of Dufferin and Simcoe and the district of Muskoka (OR=0.44, p=0.046), and in individuals that identified as part of other racial minorities or South Asian that lived in the West Health Region (ORs=0.41, p=0.032 and p=0.037, respectively).

Table 3: Multiple Regression Analysis-Predictors of Vaccination Status

Characteristic	OR	95% CI	p-value
Age Group			
16_34	_	_	
35_54	0.90	0.67, 1.21	0.5
55_and_over	0.99	0.74, 1.32	>0.9
Income			
60000_and_above	_	_	
25000_59999	0.59	0.39, 0.89	0.011
under_25000	0.37	0.25, 0.56	< 0.001
Race			
white_caucasian	_	_	
arab_middle_eastern	0.31	0.14, 0.69	0.004
black	0.32	0.17, 0.60	< 0.001
east_asian_pacific_islander	1.15	0.50, 2.66	0.7
indigenous	0.44	0.19, 1.02	0.056
latin_american	0.28	0.11, 0.67	0.004
mixed	0.64	0.25, 1.65	0.4
other	0.22	0.12, 0.41	< 0.001
south_asian	0.91	0.49, 1.69	0.8
Health Region			
Toronto	_	_	
Central	1.47	0.92, 2.35	0.11
East	1.42	0.90, 2.23	0.13
West	1.55	1.05, 2.30	0.029
Income * Race			
25000_59999 * arab_middle_eastern	1.79	0.67, 4.83	0.2
under_25000 * arab_middle_eastern	3.05	1.26, 7.39	0.013
25000_59999 * black	1.34	0.59, 3.05	0.5
under_25000 * black	3.19	1.45, 6.99	0.004
25000_59999 * east_asian_pacific_islander	0.42	0.17, 1.05	0.062
under_25000 * east_asian_pacific_islander	1.16	0.47, 2.86	0.8
25000_59999 * indigenous	1.36	0.48, 3.89	0.6

(continued)

Characteristic	OR	95% CI	p-value
under_25000 * indigenous	1.45	0.55, 3.80	0.5
25000_59999 * latin_american	1.24	0.45, 3.43	0.7
under_25000 * latin_american	2.80	1.04, 7.51	0.041
25000_59999 * mixed	0.85	0.32, 2.26	0.7
under_25000 * mixed	1.10	0.37, 3.27	0.9
25000_59999 * other	6.93	2.65, 18.1	< 0.001
under_25000 * other	4.59	2.33, 9.05	< 0.001
25000_59999 * south_asian	1.20	0.51, 2.85	0.7
under_25000 * south_asian	2.00	0.93, 4.30	0.077
Race * Health Region			
arab_middle_eastern * Central	0.66	0.26, 1.70	0.4
black * Central	0.44	0.19, 0.98	0.046
east_asian_pacific_islander * Central	0.98	0.38, 2.53	>0.9
indigenous * Central	0.63	0.22, 1.79	0.4
latin_american * Central	0.67	0.23, 1.96	0.5
mixed * Central	0.73	0.24, 2.22	0.6
other * Central	0.80	0.36, 1.78	0.6
south_asian * Central	0.54	0.25, 1.20	0.13
arab_middle_eastern * East	0.43	0.13, 1.45	0.2
black * East	0.83	0.34, 2.04	0.7
east_asian_pacific_islander * East	0.86	0.29, 2.56	0.8
indigenous * East	0.69	0.23, 2.08	0.5
latin_american * East	1.03	0.32, 3.34	>0.9
mixed * East	0.91	0.28, 3.03	0.9
other * East	1.05	0.39, 2.83	>0.9
south_asian * East	0.52	0.19, 1.45	0.2
arab_middle_eastern * West	1.00	0.37, 2.73	>0.9
black * West	0.76	0.32, 1.80	0.5
east_asian_pacific_islander * West	0.52	0.20, 1.34	0.2
indigenous * West	0.39	0.14, 1.09	0.073
latin_american * West	0.94	0.32, 2.72	>0.9
mixed * West	0.37	0.12, 1.16	0.089
other * West	0.41	0.18, 0.93	0.032
south_asian * West	0.41	0.18, 0.95	0.037
¹ OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval			

Discussion

The rapid development of COVID-19 vaccines has been considered as a major achievement

of modern medicine²⁸. Vaccine availability towards the end of 2020 in certain countries made

some believe that they would be a determinant factor in a rapid ending of the pandemic²⁹.

However, despite previous successful vaccination campaigns that were crucial to control diseases such as smallpox and polio³⁰, vaccination efforts in the case of COVID-19 have faced multiple challenges that have complicated the achievement of global immunity.

Among the different challenges faced by COVID-19 vaccination efforts are the development 217 of new variants due to inadequate public health measures³¹ and inequity in vaccine access be-218 tween low and high income countries³². However, it is also well established that even in the 219 case of high income countries that have had ample access to vaccines since 2020, such as the 220 US, the UK, and Canada, there have been challenges in vaccination efforts due to differences in 221 vaccine uptake among different segments of the population. More specifically, lower vaccine 222 uptake has been associated with socio-economic factors such as race (i.e., identifying as Black, Asian, Indigenous) and household income (typically within lower income brackets)^{33–36}. Reasons given for this association have included medical mistrust due to systemic medical racism, 225 mistrust in vaccines, and the influence of conspiracy theories^{33,35,37–39}. 226

In addition, vaccine uptake is influenced by geography, as shown by different studies that
have identified intra-regional differences in vaccine uptake 12,40,41. However, in the case of
Canada, studies that have analyzed spatial differences in vaccination have been focused
in country-wide or province-wide estimates 8,42. Therefore, we explored spatial and socioeconomic determinants of vaccination status in the province of Ontario. This province is of
particular interest as it has seen recently major structural health changes with the dissolution
of the Local Health Integrated Network (LHIN) system and the incorporation of regions

covered by LHINs into larger Health Regions⁴³. Because the idea behind the change aimed to reduce the inequalities in healthcare that were identified under the LHIN model⁴⁴, examining differences in vaccination between the Health Regions can provide decision-makers with insight regarding intra-provincial health disparities that may need to be addressed in future vaccination or public health campaigns.

Our results indicate that across the most densely populated Health Regions of Ontario, al-239 most three quarters of the surveyed individuals reported having received the first dose of the 240 COVID-19 vaccine (Table 2), and that there were no significant differences in vaccination odds among the age groups considered in the survey. This result is consistent with overall vacci-242 nation rates reported for Canada, which have been relatively higher when compared to other 243 high income countries⁴⁵, with vaccination uptake rates across different age groups presented in other studies^{8,46}, and with the vaccination information provided by Public Health Ontario, which shows that for the period where the Fields survey ran (Sept 30, 2021-Jan 17, 2022) there 246 was a minimum of 80% of first dose vaccination coverage among all the age groups considered 247 in the survey 47 .

However, we identified intra-provincial differences in vaccination based on socio-economic and geographical factors. First, our results show significant differences in vaccination odds in individuals with a household income below CAD 60,000 and in individuals belonging to visible minority groups. Those who identified as Black, Latin American, or belonging to a minority group not included in the survey (Southeast Asian, Filipino, West Asian, and Minority not identified elsewhere) had vaccination odds below 33% when compared to individuals that

identified as White/Caucasian (Table 3). These results are consistent with other studies that have shown lower vaccination rates in individuals that identify as part of a racial minority, or that have a low household income^{8–10,48}.

In this study, we also decided to explore the interactions between income and race and race and Health Region, as it is known that many individuals within racial minority groups per-259 form tend to occupy certain types of occupations that fall within income brackets that have 260 been shown to be associated with differences in vaccination uptake. In other words, we de-261 cided to explore if there were differences in vaccination within racial groups in certain income 262 brackets and in certain the Health Regions. In this regard, it is interesting to note that although 263 overall self-reported vaccination rates were found to be statistically significantly lower in var-264 ious racial minority groups when compared to White/Caucasian individuals (Table 3), the change in odds of vaccination within certain racial groups and income strata was actually 266 positive, in contrast to the White/Caucasian group, for which vaccination odds decreased in 267 lower income brackets (when compared to the CAD 60,000 and over bracket, Supplementary 268 Figure A-3). More specifically, the change in odds of vaccination increased in individuals who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, Latin American, or belonging to other minor-270 ity groups with a household income below CAD 25,000, which was also true for individuals 271 in other racial minority groups with an income between CAD 25,000-59,999 (Table 3, Supplementary Figure A-3).

This result is likely due to the fact that individuals that belong racial minority groups tend to perform occupations that have been deemed as "essential" in the context of the pandemic 49,50,

which include occupations such as grocery store workers, gas station workers, warehouse and
distribution workers, and manufacturing workers, all being occupations for which an income
within the significant brackets is to be expected. In the case of Ontario, essential workers had
priority for COVID-19 vaccination⁵¹, which would explain the higher odds of vaccination for
these individuals in certain income brackets, in contrast to the lower odds of vaccination for
the same type of individuals with higher household income. In other words, it is possible that
the type of occupation played an important role in increasing the odds of vaccination in these
racial minority groups.

Additionally, significant higher vaccination odds were identified in the West Health Region 284 when compared to the Health Region of Toronto (Table 3). The West Health Region comprises 285 the regions of Waterloo and Niagara, the counties of Wellington, Essex and Lambton, and the cities of Hamilton, Haldimand, Brant, and Chatham-Kent. In this case, a possible rationale 287 for the results is the fact that in the survey, about 47% of the entries for this Health Region 288 corresponded to White/Caucasian individuals, who reported an overall 83% vaccination rate 289 (Supplementary Table A-6). However, the interaction effect of Health Region and race was 290 also significant in the case of individuals identifying as South Asian or other minorities not 291 included in the survey Table 3. In this case, the results of the interaction term in the model indi-292 cate that the odds of vaccination for those within the South Asian and Other minority groups 293 in the West Region decreased when compared to the other Health Regions (Supplementary Figure A-4). 295

According to Ontario Health, 13.2% of the population in the West Health Region identifies as

a visible minority, whereas 2.5% identifies as Indigenous⁵². In the case of this analysis, the es-297 timated lower odds are likely to be explained from a socio-economic perspective. In fact, 50% 298 of the answers from this region in the survey came from the former LHINs of Hamilton Nia-299 gara Haldimand Brant, and Erie St. Clair, both which are among the regions of Ontario with 300 the highest proportion of their population (more than 20%) in the lowest income quintile⁵³ 301 (Supplementary Table A-7). Therefore, this result partly reinforces the well-known existing 302 association between low vaccination rates and income, but it additionally indicates that there 303 were intra-regional differences in vaccination. Interestingly, a disproportionate number of 304 COVID-19 cases and low vaccination rate (under 50%) have been previously reported in the 305 South Asian community of Ontario⁵⁴; in this regard, our result provides additional context 306 by showing that within the South Asian community, there were differences in vaccination 307 uptake across Ontario. Moreover, because significant lower odds of vaccination were also 308 identified other minority groups, this provides a rationale for future studies that explore how 309 vaccination uptake varies across different minority groups within Ontario and other Cana-310 dian provinces. 311

There are some limitations to the present study. First, the data collection design, which allowed respondents to withdraw from the survey at any point, resulted in a high number of
unique entries in the survey with multiple missing answers. Because we focused on entries
that had complete observations in the covariates of interest for our analysis, it is possible
that some information was not considered by excluding observations that had information in
other variables (such as work from home, or number of persons in the household). However,

we attempted to minimize this possibility by correcting the dataset using information from 318 the Census. More granular corrections, which for example could be based on demographic 319 information by municipality, could be used in the future to obtain a more accurate approx-320 imation to the population totals of the province. Additionally, the results in this study are 321 based on self-reported data, where the risk of bias exist. Despite this, because in the context 322 of COVID-19 it has been shown that good agreement exists between self-reported and doc-323 umented vaccination status⁵⁵, the effect of self-reported bias is likely to not be significant in 324 this case. 325

Finally, it is likely that there have been differences in vaccination across the province as more 326 doses of the vaccine were administered and as successive variants emerged. Because this 327 study focused only on vaccination status regarding the first dose of the vaccine within a relatively short time window, it can only provide a snapshot of the societal dynamics behind the 329 pandemic. Nonetheless, the results presented here can serve as a starting point to motivate 330 future longitudinal research that aims to quantify geographical differences within vulnera-331 ble segments of the population, and that can be used to inform the development of public 332 health policies within the province of Ontario or across other provinces that aim to minimize 333 disparities in health access. 334

335 Conclusion

This study explored differences in COVID-19 vaccination across the province of Ontario be-336 tween late 2021 and early 2022 by taking into consideration socio-economic factors, such as 337 income and race, their interactions, and the Health Regions within the province. Our re-338 sults show that, during the period analyzed, significant differences in vaccination existed 339 across different visible minority groups, income brackets, and Health Regions, showing intra-340 provincial disparities in vaccine uptake. As the COVID-19 continues around the world, it important that future public policies take into consideration how to adequately reach individuals within minority groups that live across geographical areas where less probabilities of 343 being vaccinated are likely. At the moment, this is an ongoing issue that needs to be addressed 344 to ensure a more homogeneous outcome from the pandemic. 345

6 References

- World Health Organization Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. Accessed Novem ber 27, 2022. https://covid19.who.int/
- Tanne JH. Covid-19: FDA panel votes to authorise pfizer BioNTech vaccine. *BMJ*. Published online December 2020:m4799. doi:10.1136/bmj.m4799
- 351 3. Bogoch II, Halani S. COVID-19 vaccines: A geographic, social and policy view of vaccination efforts in ontario, canada. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*.

 Published online November 2022. doi:10.1093/cjres/rsac043

- Watson OJ, Barnsley G, Toor J, Hogan AB, Winskill P, Ghani AC. Global impact of the first year of COVID-19 vaccination: A mathematical modelling study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2022;22(9):1293-1302. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(22)00320-6
- Gerretsen P, Kim J, Caravaggio F, et al. Individual determinants of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. Inbaraj LR, ed. PLOS ONE. 2021;16(11):e0258462.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0258462
- Nafilyan V, Dolby T, Razieh C, et al. Sociodemographic inequality in COVID-19 vaccination coverage among elderly adults in england: A national linked data study. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11(7):e053402. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-053402
- 7. Malik AA, McFadden SM, Elharake J, Omer SB. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the US. *EClinical Medicine*. 2020;26:100495. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100495
- 361 8. Guay M, Maquiling A, Chen R, et al. Measuring inequalities in COVID-19 vaccination uptake and intent: Results from the canadian community health survey 2021. BMC Public Health. 2022;22(1). doi:10.1186/s12889-022-14090-z
- Muhajarine N, Adeyinka DA, McCutcheon J, Green KL, Fahlman M, Kallio N. COVID vaccine hesitancy and refusal and associated factors in an adult population in saskatchewan, canada: Evidence from predictive modelling. Gesser-Edelsburg A, ed.
 PLOS ONE. 2021;16(11):e0259513. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0259513
- Hussain B, Latif A, Timmons S, Nkhoma K, Nellums LB. Overcoming COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among ethnic minorities: A systematic review of UK studies. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(25):3413-3432. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.04.030

- Nguyen KH, Nguyen K, Corlin L, Allen JD, Chung M. Changes in COVID-19 vaccination receipt and intention to vaccinate by socioeconomic characteristics and geographic area, united states, january 6 march 29, 2021. *Annals of Medicine*. 2021;53(1):1419-1428.
 doi:10.1080/07853890.2021.1957998
- Mollalo A, Tatar M. Spatial modeling of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the united states. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(18):9488.
 doi:10.3390/ijerph18189488
- Yang TC, Matthews SA, Sun F. Multiscale dimensions of spatial process: COVID-19 fully vaccinated rates in u.s. counties. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2022;63(6):954-961. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2022.06.006
- Tiu A, Susswein Z, Merritt A, Bansal S. Characterizing the spatiotemporal heterogeneity of the COVID-19 vaccination landscape. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2022;191(10):1792-1802. doi:10.1093/aje/kwac080
- 375 15. Bhuiyan MAN, Davis TC, Arnold CL, et al. Using the social vulnerability index to assess COVID-19 vaccine uptake in louisiana. *GeoJournal*. Published online December 2022. doi:10.1007/s10708-022-10802-5
- Wood AJ, MacKintosh AM, Stead M, Kao RR. Predicting future spatial patterns in COVID-19 booster vaccine uptake. Published online September 2022.

 doi:10.1101/2022.08.30.22279415

- The image of the i
- McKinnon B, Quach C, Dubé Ève, Nguyen CT, Zinszer K. Social inequalities in COVID vaccine acceptance and uptake for children and adolescents in montreal, canada.
 Vaccine. 2021;39(49):7140-7145. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.10.077
- 19. Cénat JM, Noorishad PG, Bakombo SM, et al. A systematic review on vaccine hesitancy in black communities in canada: Critical issues and research failures. *Vaccines*.
 2022;10(11):1937. doi:10.3390/vaccines10111937
- Sargent RH, Laurie S, Weakland LF, et al. Use of random domain intercept technology to track COVID-19 vaccination rates in real time across the united states: Survey study.

 Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2022;24(7):e37920. doi:10.2196/37920
- Deming WE, Stephan FF. On a least squares adjustment of a sampled frequency table when the expected marginal totals are known. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*.

 1940;11(4):427-444. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177731829
- Nguyen KH, Anneser E, Toppo A, Allen JD, Parott JS, Corlin L. Disparities in national and state estimates of COVID-19 vaccination receipt and intent to vaccinate by race/ethnicity, income, and age group among adults ≥ 18 years, united states. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(1):107-113. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.11.040
- 23. Shih SF, Wagner AL, Masters NB, Prosser LA, Lu Y, Zikmund-Fisher BJ. Vaccine hesitancy and rejection of a vaccine for the novel coronavirus in the united states. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2021;12. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.558270

- ³⁹³ 24. Cénat JM, Noorishad PG, Farahi SMMM, et al. Prevalence and factors related to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and unwillingness in canada: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2022;95(1). doi:10.1002/jmv.28156
- ³⁹⁵ 25. Lumley T. Complex Surveys. John Wiley & Sons; 2011.

396

- Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, et al. Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*. 2019;4(43):1686. doi:10.21105/joss.01686
- 399 27. Allaire J. Quarto: R Interface to 'Quarto' Markdown Publishing System.; 2022. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=quarto
- Davis CJ, Golding M, McKay R. Efficacy information influences intention to take COVID-19 vaccine. *British Journal of Health Psychology*. 2022;27(2):300-319. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12546
- 403 29. Microbe TL. COVID-19 vaccines: The pandemic will not end overnight. The Lancet
 404 Microbe. 2021;2(1):e1. doi:10.1016/s2666-5247(20)30226-3
- 405 30. Kayser V, Ramzan I. Vaccines and vaccination: History and emerging issues. Human Vaccines & Samp; Immunotherapeutics. 2021;17(12):5255-5268.

 doi:10.1080/21645515.2021.1977057
- 407 31. Li Q, Wang J, Tang Y, Lu H. Next-generation COVID-19 vaccines: Opportunities for vaccine development and challenges in tackling COVID-19. *Drug Discoveries & Thera-*408 peutics. 2021;15(3):118-123. doi:10.5582/ddt.2021.0105

- Yamey G, Garcia P, Hassan F, et al. It is not too late to achieve global covid-19 vaccine equity. *BMJ*. Published online March 2022:e070650. doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-070650
- Willis DE, Andersen JA, Bryant-Moore K, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy:

 Race/ethnicity, trust, and fear. *Clinical and Translational Science*. 2021;14(6):2200-2207.

 doi:10.1111/cts.13077
- Skirrow H, Barnett S, Bell S, et al. Women's views on accepting COVID-19 vaccination during and after pregnancy, and for their babies: A multi-methods study in the UK.

 BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2022;22(1). doi:10.1186/s12884-021-04321-3
- Stoler J, Enders AM, Klofstad CA, Uscinski JE. The limits of medical trust in mitigating COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among black americans. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2021;36(11):3629-3631. doi:10.1007/s11606-021-06743-3
- 417 36. Khubchandani J, Sharma S, Price JH, Wiblishauser MJ, Sharma M, Webb FJ. COVID19 vaccination hesitancy in the united states: A rapid national assessment. *Journal of*418 *Community Health*. 2021;46(2):270-277. doi:10.1007/s10900-020-00958-x
- Mosby I, Swidrovich J. Medical experimentation and the roots of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among indigenous peoples in canada. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*.
 2021;193(11):E381-E383. doi:10.1503/cmaj.210112

- Freeman D, Loe BS, Chadwick A, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the UK: The oxford coronavirus explanations, attitudes, and narratives survey (oceans) II. *Psychological Medicine*. 2020;52(14):3127-3141. doi:10.1017/s0033291720005188
- 40. Pallathadka A, Chang H, Han D. What explains spatial variations of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy?: A social-ecological-technological systems approach. *Environmental Research: Health.* 2022;1(1):011001. doi:10.1088/2752-5309/ac8ac2
- 41. Huang Q, Cutter SL. Spatial-temporal differences of COVID-19 vaccinations in the u.s. *Urban Informatics*. 2022;1(1). doi:10.1007/s44212-022-00019-9
- 429 42. Lavoie K, Gosselin-Boucher V, Stojanovic J, et al. Understanding national trends in COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in canada: Results from five sequential cross-sectional representative surveys spanning april 2020–march 2021. *BMJ Open*. 2022;12(4):e059411. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059411
- 43. Dong L, Sahu R, Black R. Governance in the transformational journey toward integrated healthcare: The case of ontario. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*.
 Published online December 2022:204388692211473. doi:10.1177/20438869221147313
- Tsasis P, Evans JM, Owen S. Reframing the challenges to integrated care: A complex-adaptive systems perspective. *International Journal of Integrated Care*. 2012;12(5). doi:10.5334/ijic.843
- Dubé E, Gagnon D, MacDonald N. Between persuasion and compulsion:

 The case of COVID-19 vaccination in canada. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(29):3923-3926.

 doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.05.053

- 437 46. MacDonald NE, Comeau J, Dubé Éve, et al. Royal society of canada COVID-19 report: Enhancing COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in canada. Blais JM, ed. FACETS.
 2021;6:1184-1246. doi:10.1139/facets-2021-0037
- 439 47. Ontario COVID-19 Data Tool. Accessed February 27, 2023. https://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/data-and-analysis/infectious-disease/covid-19-data-surveillance/covid-19-data-tool?tab=vaccine
- 48. Carter MA, Biro S, Maier A, Shingler C, Guan TH. COVID-19 vaccine uptake in south-eastern ontario, canada: Monitoring and addressing health inequities. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*. 2022;28(6):615-623. doi:10.1097/phh.0000000000001565
- 443 49. Hawkins D. Differential occupational risk for COVID-19 and other infection exposure according to race and ethnicity. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. 2020;63(9):817-820. doi:10.1002/ajim.23145
- Côté D, Durant S, MacEachen E, et al. A rapid scoping review of COVID-19 and vulnerable workers: Intersecting occupational and public health issues. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. 2021;64(7):551-566. doi:10.1002/ajim.23256
- Mishra S, Stall NM, Ma H, et al. A Vaccination Strategy for Ontario COVID-19
 Hotspots and Essential Workers. Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table; 2021.
 doi:10.47326/ocsat.2021.02.26.1.0
- 449 52. Annual Business Plan 2022/23. Ontario Health; https://www.ontariohealth.ca/sites/ontariohealth/files/2022-05/OHBusinessPlan22_23.pdf; 2022.

- Buajitti E, Watson T, Kornas K, Bornbaum C, Henry D, Rosella LC. Ontario atlas of adult mortality, 1992-2015: Trends in Local Health Integration Networks. Published online 2018. https://tspace.library.utoronto.ca/handle/1807/82836
- 453 54. Anand SS, Arnold C, Bangdiwala SI, et al. Seropositivity and risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection in a south asian community in ontario: A cross-sectional analysis of a prospective cohort study. *CMAJ Open.* 2022;10(3):E599-E609. doi:10.9778/cmajo.20220031
- by self-report versus source documentation: Impact on measuring COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses*. 2022;16(6):1101-1111. doi:10.1111/irv.13023