

# Differences in COVID-19 vaccination in the province of Ontario across Health Regions and socio-economic strata

Ariel Mundo Ortiz<sup>1,2</sup> Bouchra Nasri<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, University of Montreal, Montréal, Canada

<sup>2</sup> Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, École de Santé Publique, University of Montreal, Montréal, Canada

\* Correspondence: [Bouchra Nasri <bouchra.nasri@umontreal.ca>](mailto:bouchra.nasri@umontreal.ca)

## Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to be a worldwide public health concern. Although vaccines against this disease were rapidly developed, vaccination uptake has not been equal across all the segments of the population. In particular, it has been shown that there have been differences in vaccine uptake across different segments of the population. However, there are also differences in vaccination across geographical areas, which might be important to consider in the development of future public health policies against COVID-19. In this study, we examined the relationship between vaccination status (having received the first dose of a COVID-19 vaccine), and different socio-economic and geographical factors. Our results show that during the last three months of 2021, individuals in certain equity-deserving groups (visible minorities) were three times less likely to be vaccinated than White/Caucasian individuals across the province and that in some cases, within these groups individuals in low income brackets had significantly higher odds of vaccination when compared to their peers in high income brackets. Finally, we identified significantly lower odds of vaccination in the West Health Region of Ontario within certain equity-deserving groups. This study shows that there is an ongoing need to better understand and address differences in vaccination uptake across diverse segments of the population of Ontario that have been largely impacted by the pandemic.

## 26 Keywords

27 Covid-19, vaccination, survey, socio-economic factors, visible minorities.

## 28 Background

29 The vaccines against COVID-19 have been considered a major achievement of modern medicine  
30 as their rapid development allowed the start of broad vaccination campaigns towards the end  
31 of 2020 in certain countries, such as the US and Canada<sup>1-3</sup>. This made some believe that  
32 vaccines were destined to be a determinant factor in a rapid ending of the pandemic<sup>4</sup>. However,  
33 although it has been estimated that COVID-19 vaccines have prevented around 14 million of  
34 deaths worldwide<sup>5</sup>, their implementation has been far from being equal to that of the smallpox  
35 and polio vaccines, which were implemented on a global scale and that were crucial to control  
36 these diseases<sup>6</sup>. In fact, the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines has faced multiple challenges since  
37 its inception which ultimately have hampered their use to achieve the ultimate goal of global  
38 immunity.

39 This problematic in the rollout of the COVID-19 vaccines is a multifaceted issue resulting  
40 from, among other things, the development of new variants due to inadequate public health  
41 measures<sup>7</sup>, inequality in vaccine access between high- and low-income countries<sup>8,9</sup>, vaccine  
42 hesitancy<sup>10</sup>, and differences in vaccination uptake across different segments of the population<sup>11</sup>.  
43 In particular, it is well established that differences in vaccination uptake have been present  
44 even in countries that have had ample access to vaccines since 2020 (such as the US, the  
45 UK, and Canada), where lower vaccine uptake has been observed within certain racial groups  
46 (i.e., individuals that identify as Black, Asian, or Indigenous), and in individuals within low  
47 income brackets<sup>12-15</sup>. Reasons given for lower vaccine uptake in these cases have included  
48 medical mistrust due to systemic medical racism<sup>14</sup>, mistrust in vaccines<sup>12</sup>, and the influence  
49 of conspiracy theories<sup>16-18</sup>. Moreover, in the case of Canada, lower vaccine uptake has been  
50 observed in young individuals, those with a low educational level, households with children,  
51 those without a regular healthcare provider, individuals that identify as part of certain equity-  
52 deserving groups, and those with a low household income<sup>19-21</sup>.

53 However, it is important to consider that vaccination uptake can also be influenced by ge-  
54 ographical (spatial) factors. In this regard, differences in COVID-19 vaccination rates have  
55 been associated with varied regional attitudes towards vaccination<sup>11</sup>, spatial differences in  
56 vaccine access and supply, vaccination location availability, and lack of prioritization of ar-  
57 eas where vulnerable groups reside<sup>2,22</sup>. Other studies have also shown heterogeneity in vac-  
58 cine uptake within small governmental administrative units such as counties<sup>23-26</sup>, and that  
59 and that accounting for geographical differences in vaccination can help predict patterns of  
60 booster uptake<sup>27</sup>. Overall, the evidence provided by the literature demonstrates the existence  
61 of spatially-driven heterogeneities in vaccine uptake that be used by decision-makers in the

development of public health policies that are focused on addressing these disparities within specific administrative or geographical areas.

However, such analyses have been carried mostly in territories outside of Canada, where available studies have been focused in certain cities (such as Toronto<sup>28</sup>, or Montreal<sup>29</sup>), or have explored differences at a province-wide level<sup>19</sup>. Thus, there is a need for studies that explore spatial differences in vaccination within the Canadian territory and that consequently, can help identify disparities that need to be addressed within specific areas in each province.

This need is particularly important in the case of Ontario, the most populated province in Canada. Between 2006 and 2019, Ontario provided healthcare access to its inhabitants using 14 intra-provincial divisions called the Local Health Integrated Networks (LHINs). However, this approach was complex, bureaucratic, and led to systemic inequalities<sup>30</sup>. In late 2019, the 14 LHINs were phased out and the areas they covered were incorporated into 6 Health Regions (North East, North West, Central, Toronto, West, and East) in an effort to improve the healthcare system of the province<sup>31</sup>. Because the adoption of the Health Regions is relatively recent, there is an ongoing need to analyze the impact of this measure and identify disparities in health access that might exist across the Health Regions, which can be specially important in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Therefore, in this study we hypothesized that there were differences in vaccination uptake between the different Health Regions of Ontario during the last quarter of 2021. By including socio-economic factors in our analysis, we aimed at identifying in which groups these differences were significant in order to provide an assessment of the current state of healthcare access in Ontario.

## Methods

### Data and Methods

We used data from the *Survey of COVID-19 related Behaviours and Attitudes*, a repeated cross sectional survey focused on the Canadian province of Ontario that was commissioned by the Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Sciences and the Mathematical Modelling of COVID-19 Task Force under ethical guidance from the University of Toronto, and which ran between September 30th, 2021 and January 17th, 2022. The survey collected socio-economic information from participants (Table 1), their location (nearest municipality, as shown in Figure 1), the date of access to the survey, and asked information on vaccination status by using the question “Have you received the first dose of the COVID vaccine?”, with possible answers “yes” and “no”. The original dataset contained 39,029 observations (where each observation corresponded to a unique respondent).

Preliminary analyses of the data included the removal of outliers, of observations where respondents did not provide answers in all the covariates of interest, matching the city of each

98 observations with its corresponding LHIN and Health Region, and removing observations from  
 99 areas with low representation (107 observations corresponding to the North West and North  
 100 East Health Regions). After all the preliminary analyses indicated above, the total number of  
 101 observations used for analysis was 3,549 which included the East, Central, Toronto, and West  
 102 Health Regions covering the period between October 1st, 2021 and December 12th, 2021. The  
 103 original dataset, clean dataset, and details on the data cleaning process are described in detail  
 104 in the [GitHub repository](#) for this paper.




Figure 1: Geographic representation of the data collected by the *Survey of COVID-19 related Behaviours and Attitudes*, collected by the Fields Institute in Ontario. The municipalities (cities) from where survey participants provided answers (in the clean dataset) appear as points. The Health six Regions are color-coded. Internal boundaries within certain Health Regions indicate areas that belonged to the Local Integrated Health Networks (LHINs), the geographic areas for healthcare in Ontario before the adoption of the Health Regions.

## 105 **Statistical analyses**

106 Comparisons between the variables of the survey were performed using the  $\chi^2$  test. We used a  
 107 logistic regression model to examine the impact of the Health Regions in vaccination rates while  
 108 considering the socio-economic factors and months covered by the survey (Table 1) and  
 109 certain interactions (Race and Health Region and Race and income), as previous studies have  
 110 shown that socio-economic factors and their interactions are significant predictors of intent of  
 111 vaccination and vaccination status<sup>32–34</sup>. Because we identified differences in representativity  
 112 between the survey data and the estimates from the Census, we used an iterative proportional

fitting procedure (*raking*)<sup>35</sup> to correct the data using data from the Census and Health Region population totals; and fitted the regression model to the uncorrected and corrected data. The reference groups in the model were: 16-34 years (age group), October(Month), CAD 60,000 and above (income), White/Caucasian (Race), Toronto (Health Region). Details regarding the correction can be found in the Appendix. All analyses were conducted in R 4.2.2 using the packages `survey`<sup>36</sup>, `tidyverse`<sup>37</sup>, `quarto`<sup>38</sup>, `modelsummary`<sup>39</sup>, and `gtsummary`<sup>40</sup>.

## Results

### Sample Characteristics

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the data from the Fields COVID-19 survey used for analysis with regard to vaccination status. A total of 3,549 observations were used for analysis, from which 27% (958) corresponded to individuals that reported not having received the first dose of the vaccine. Respondents between 16 and 34 years of age, and those that lived within the Health Region of Toronto had the highest number of observations in the data (42.8% and 37.3% of the total, respectively). Moreover, individuals who identified as White or Caucasian reported the highest vaccination uptake (82%), in contrast to respondents who identified as which had had the lowest vaccination uptake rate (60%) across all groups. From all the covariates, significant associations were identified between income, race, and vaccination rate.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of the Fields COVID-19 Survey (by Vaccination Status)

Variable	no, N = 958 <sup>1</sup>	yes, N = 2,591 <sup>1</sup>	p-value <sup>2</sup>
Income (CAD)			<0.001
60000 and above	305 (23%)	1,048 (77%)	
25000-59999	253 (28%)	636 (72%)	
under 25000	400 (31%)	907 (69%)	
Age Group			0.7
16-34	409 (27%)	1,111 (73%)	
35-54	252 (26%)	712 (74%)	
55 and over	297 (28%)	768 (72%)	
Health Region			0.14
Toronto	371 (28%)	952 (72%)	
Central	224 (28%)	580 (72%)	
East	135 (23%)	448 (77%)	
West	228 (27%)	611 (73%)	
Month			0.4
October	469 (27%)	1,263 (73%)	
November	376 (28%)	980 (72%)	
December	113 (25%)	348 (75%)	

Race			<0.001
White/Caucasian	233 (18%)	1,079 (82%)	
Arab/Middle Eastern	76 (36%)	138 (64%)	
Black	114 (38%)	184 (62%)	
East Asian/Pacific Islander	69 (23%)	234 (77%)	
Indigenous	76 (40%)	115 (60%)	
Latin American	69 (38%)	111 (62%)	
Mixed	105 (34%)	205 (66%)	
Other <sup>3</sup>	128 (35%)	239 (65%)	
South Asian	88 (24%)	286 (76%)	

<sup>1</sup>n (%)

<sup>2</sup>Pearson's Chi-squared test

<sup>3</sup>Southeast Asian, Filipino, West Asian, and minorities not identified elsewhere according to the Census.

## Multivariate Regression

Figure 2 shows the estimates from the logistic regression model of vaccination status for the uncorrected data, whereas the estimated obtained from the corrected data appear in Figure 3. The results show significantly lower odds of vaccination in individuals with a low household income and those that identified as part of equity-deserving groups when compared to individuals in high income brackets or that identified as White or Caucasian.

Specifically, significantly lower odds of vaccination were identified for those with a household income under CAD 25,000 (OR=0.37, CI=[0.25,0.56]) and those with an income between CAD 25,000 and 59,999 (OR=0.59, CI=[0.39,0.88]). Additionally, individuals who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, or Latin American, or that belonged to “Other” racial groups, which included the Southeast Asian, Filipino, West Asian, and Minorities Not Identified Elsewhere groups according to the Census, had significantly lower odds of vaccination than those in the White/Caucasian group (ORs=0.31, 0.32, 0.27, 0.22, and CIs=[0.14,0.68], [0.17,0.60], [0.11,0.66], and [0.12,0.41], respectively). Regarding Health Regions, individuals that reported living in the West Health Region (which includes the regions of Waterloo and Niagara, the counties of Wellington, Essex, and Lambton, and the cities of Hamilton, Haldimand, Brant, and Chatham-Kent) had significantly higher odds of vaccination than those in the Health Region of Toronto (OR=1.54, CI=[1.04,2.29]).

Interestingly, individuals in equity-deserving groups with a household income below CAD 25,000 had higher odds of vaccination (when compared to those with a household income above CAD 60,000). This held true in the case of Arab/Middle Eastern (OR=3.08, CI=[1.27,7.47]), Black (OR=3.15, CI=[1.43,6.92]), and Latin American (OR=2.81, CI=[1.04,7.59]) individuals, as well as respondents who belonged to “Other” minority groups (OR=4.63, CI=[2.34,9.13]),




Figure 2: Coefficient estimates and confidence intervals for the uncorrected model. Only statistically significant interaction terms are shown. Full interaction terms can be found in Supplementary Figure A-3.

who also had higher odds of vaccination in the CAD 25,000-59,999 income bracket (OR=6.96, CI=[2.67,18.16]). Finally, significantly lower odds of vaccination were identified (when compared to the Toronto Health Region) for Black individuals in the Central Health Region, which comprises the region of York, counties of Dufferin and Simcoe and the district of Muskoka (OR=0.44, CI=[0.19,0.99]), and in individuals that identified as part of other racial minorities or South Asian that lived in the West Health Region (ORs=0.41, and CIs=[0.18,0.92] and [0.18,0.95], respectively).

## Discussion

The existence of healthcare disparities in Ontario motivated the recent change in the health-care system of the province, which switched from the LHIN model to the Health Region model in late 2019<sup>30,31</sup>. This change is relatively recent, and because of this, is likely that there are ongoing differences in healthcare access across the province. In this study we hypothesized that differences in COVID-19 vaccination uptake were present between the Health Regions, aiming at determining which socio-demographic groups could be impacted by these disparities and to provide decision-makers with information that could be used to develop policies focused on reducing or eliminating these differences and ensure that the Health Region model is able to improve health access for the inhabitants of Ontario, a province that faces unique challenges due to its condition as the most populated and the most ethnically diverse province of Canada.

Our results indicate that across the most densely populated Health Regions of Ontario, almost three quarters of surveyed individuals reported to have received the first dose of the COVID-19 vaccine (Table 1). We identified significant intra-provincial differences in vaccination based on socio-economic and geographical factors. First, our results show differences in odds of vaccination in individuals with a household income below CAD 60,000 and in individuals belonging to visible minority groups. Those who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, Latin American, or that belonged to a minority group not included in the survey (Southeast Asian, Filipino, West Asian, and minority groups not identified elsewhere) had vaccination odds that were less than a third of individuals that identified as White/Caucasian (Figure 3). These results are consistent with other studies that have shown lower vaccination rates in individuals that identify as part of a racial minority, or that have a low household income<sup>19-21,41</sup>. In the case of Ontario, a possible rationale for this difference is vaccine access, which has been identified as an important contributing factor of disparities in vaccination for equity-deserving groups<sup>42</sup>, and that occurred in Toronto earlier in 2021, where it has been shown that vaccination venues were scarce in low socio-economic areas that had the highest burden of COVID-19 at the time<sup>2</sup>.

It is interesting to note that although overall self-reported vaccination rates were found to be statistically significantly lower in various racial minority groups when compared to White/Caucasian individuals, the change in odds of vaccination within certain racial groups






Figure 3: Coefficient estimates and confidence intervals for the corrected model. Only statistically significant interaction terms are shown. Full interaction terms can be found in Supplementary Figure A-4.

and income strata was actually positive, in contrast to the White/Caucasian group, where vaccination odds decreased in lower income brackets (when compared to the CAD 60,000 and over bracket, Supplementary Figure A-5). More specifically, the change in odds of vaccination increased in individuals who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, Latin American, or belonging to other minority groups with a household income below CAD 25,000, which was also true for individuals in other racial minority groups with an income between CAD 25,000-59,999.

This result is likely due in part to the fact that individuals that belong racial minority groups tend to perform occupations that have been deemed as “essential” in the context of the pandemic<sup>43,44</sup>, which include grocery store workers, gas station, warehouse, distribution, and manufacturing workers, all being occupations for which an income within the significant brackets identified in the analysis is to be expected. In the case of Ontario, essential workers had priority for COVID-19 vaccination<sup>45</sup>, which would explain the higher odds of vaccination for these individuals. In other words, it is possible that the type of occupation from individuals in equity-deserving groups played an important role in increasing the odds of vaccination.

Additionally, significant higher vaccination odds were identified in the West Health Region when compared to the Health Region of Toronto (Figure 3). The West Health Region comprises the regions of Waterloo and Niagara, the counties of Wellington, Essex and Lambton, and the cities of Hamilton, Haldimand, Brant, and Chatham-Kent. In this case, these results could reflect the fact that in the survey, about half of the entries for this Health Region corresponded to White/Caucasian individuals, who reported a vaccination rate of 83% (Supplementary Table A-6). However, the interaction effect of Health Region and race was also significant in the case of individuals identifying as South Asian or other minorities not included in the survey Figure 3. In this case, the results of the interaction term in the model indicate that the odds of vaccination for those within the South Asian and Other minority groups in the West Region decreased when compared to the other Health Regions (Supplementary Figure A-6).

According to Ontario Health, 13.2% of the population in the West Health Region identifies as a visible minority, whereas 2.5% identifies as Indigenous<sup>46</sup>. Therefore, the estimated lower odds are likely to be explained from a socio-economic perspective. In fact, 50% of the answers from this region in the survey came from the former LHINs of Hamilton Niagara Haldimand Brant, and Erie St. Clair, both which are among the regions of Ontario with the highest proportion of their population (more than 20%) in the lowest income quintile<sup>47</sup> (Supplementary Table A-7). Interestingly, a disproportionate number of COVID-19 cases and low vaccination rate (under 50%) have been also reported in the South Asian community of Ontario<sup>48</sup>. These results provide context to the observed differences in vaccination among the Health Regions of the province, showing which equity-deserving and socio-demographic groups in certain regions need to be prioritized in future vaccination strategies. Moreover, these results provide a rationale for future studies that explore how vaccination uptake varies across different minority groups within Ontario and other Canadian provinces.

There are some limitations to the present study. First, the data collection design, which allowed respondents to withdraw from the survey at any point, resulted in a high number of

unique entries in the survey with multiple missing answers. Because we focused on entries that had complete observations in the covariates of interest for our analysis, it is possible that some information was not considered by excluding observations that had information in other variables (such as work from home, or number of persons in the household). However, we attempted to minimize this possibility by correcting the dataset using information from the Census. More granular corrections, which for example could be based on demographic information by municipality, could be used in the future to obtain a more accurate approximation to the population totals of the province. Moreover, our analysis did not consider the North West and North East Health Regions, due to the low number of entries from these areas in the survey (Figure 1). Although low representation from these areas is based on the fact that these regions only account for 5% of the total population of Ontario, these regions are the home to more than 100,000 individuals that identify as Indigenous<sup>46</sup>, a minority group that has historically suffered from reduced access to health care and discrimination<sup>17</sup>. Therefore, there is a need for additional studies that focus on these low-populated Health Regions in Ontario where disparities in vaccination might be significant and understudied.

It is also worth mentioning that province-wide vaccination rates for the period of interest are somewhat different from those of the survey, particularly in the case of those 55 years of age and older, which in the survey had a vaccination rate of 72%, against a rate of 88.4% reported for the closest age bracket (50 years of age and older) reported by Public Health Ontario at the start of the period covered by the data<sup>49</sup>. However, we found good agreement between the estimates from the model and overall vaccination rates reported for Canada, which have been relatively higher when compared to other high income countries<sup>50</sup>, and with vaccination uptake rates across different age groups presented in other studies<sup>19,51</sup>.

In other words, although vaccination rates obtained from the survey were slightly lower than the provincial estimates, these values still represented a valid approximation to overall trends; this notion is reinforced by the consistency in the proportion of vaccination rates (Table 1) and vaccination odds (Figure 3) across the period covered by the survey, which closely match the vaccination rates from Public Health Ontario and which indicate that due to the relatively high coverage achieved in the population at that point, vaccination rates increased by around 3% across all age groups during the three months covered by the survey<sup>49</sup>. It is also important to mention that to this day, differences in vaccination rates within the province continue. As of March of 2023 some regions still have less than 75% vaccination rate<sup>52</sup>, and although data for the period analyzed in this study is not publicly-available, it is likely that differences in vaccination rates were higher at the time, being partially captured by the survey.

The results in this study are based on self-reported data, where bias might be present. However, because in the context of COVID-19 it has been shown that good agreement exists between self-reported and documented vaccination status<sup>53</sup>, we believe that our data was able to provide a valid assessment of vaccination in the province. Finally, this study focused on first-dose vaccination status within a relatively short time window, and therefore can only provide a snapshot of the societal dynamics behind the pandemic. Nonetheless, the results presented here can serve as a starting point to motivate the collection of robust longitudinal data that can

be used to quantify geographical and temporal differences within vulnerable segments of the population, and that can be used to inform the development of adequate public health policies within the province of Ontario or across other provinces that aim to minimize disparities in health access.

## Conclusion

This study explored differences in COVID-19 vaccination across the province of Ontario during the last quarter of 2021 taking into consideration socio-economic factors, such as income and race, their interactions, and the Health Regions within the province. Our results show that during the period analyzed, differences in vaccination uptake existed across multiple equity-deserving groups in the province, and that these differences were significant in two of the Health Regions analyzed. It is important that future public health policies in Ontario take into consideration how to adequately reach individuals from equity-deserving groups that might live in areas of the province where access to healthcare might be difficult. Only in this way the goal of the Health Region model, which aims at reducing disparities, will become successful.

## References

1. Davis CJ, Golding M, McKay R. Efficacy information influences intention to take COVID-19 vaccine. *British Journal of Health Psychology*. 2022;27(2):300-319. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12546>
2. Bogoch II, Halani S. COVID-19 vaccines: A geographic, social and policy view of vaccination efforts in ontario, canada. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*. Published online November 2022. doi:[10.1093/cjres/rsac043](https://doi.org/10.1093/cjres/rsac043)
3. Tanne JH. Covid-19: FDA panel votes to authorise pfizer BioNTech vaccine. *BMJ*. Published online December 2020:m4799. doi:[10.1136/bmj.m4799](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m4799)
4. Microbe TL. COVID-19 vaccines: The pandemic will not end overnight. *The Lancet Microbe*. 2021;2(1):e1. doi:[10.1016/s2666-5247\(20\)30226-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2666-5247(20)30226-3)
5. Watson OJ, Barnsley G, Toor J, Hogan AB, Winskill P, Ghani AC. Global impact of the first year of COVID-19 vaccination: A mathematical modelling study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2022;22(9):1293-1302. doi:[10.1016/s1473-3099\(22\)00320-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1473-3099(22)00320-6)
6. Kayser V, Ramzan I. Vaccines and vaccination: History and emerging issues. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*. 2021;17(12):5255-5268. doi:[10.1080/21645515.2021.1977057](https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2021.1977057)
7. Li Q, Wang J, Tang Y, Lu H. Next-generation COVID-19 vaccines: Opportunities for vaccine development and challenges in tackling COVID-19. *Drug Discoveries & Therapeutics*. 2021;15(3):118-123. doi:[10.5582/ddt.2021.0105](https://doi.org/10.5582/ddt.2021.0105)

- 303 8. Gerretsen P, Kim J, Caravaggio F, et al. Individual determinants of COVID-  
19 vaccine hesitancy. Inbaraj LR, ed. *PLOS ONE*. 2021;16(11):e0258462.  
doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0258462](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0258462)
- 304 9. Yamey G, Garcia P, Hassan F, et al. It is not too late to achieve global covid-19 vaccine  
equity. *BMJ*. Published online March 2022:e070650. doi:[10.1136/bmj-2022-070650](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2022-070650)
- 305 10. Nafilyan V, Dolby T, Razieh C, et al. Sociodemographic inequality in COVID-19 vac-  
cination coverage among elderly adults in england: A national linked data study. *BMJ*  
306 *Open*. 2021;11(7):e053402. doi:[10.1136/bmjopen-2021-053402](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-053402)
- 307 11. Malik AA, McFadden SM, Elharake J, Omer SB. Determinants of COVID-  
19 vaccine acceptance in the US. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;26:100495.  
308 doi:[10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100495](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100495)
- 309 12. Willis DE, Andersen JA, Bryant-Moore K, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy:  
Race/ethnicity, trust, and fear. *Clinical and Translational Science*. 2021;14(6):2200-  
310 2207. doi:[10.1111/cts.13077](https://doi.org/10.1111/cts.13077)
- 311 13. Skirrow H, Barnett S, Bell S, et al. Women’s views on accepting COVID-19 vaccination  
during and after pregnancy, and for their babies: A multi-methods study in the UK.  
312 *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 2022;22(1). doi:[10.1186/s12884-021-04321-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-021-04321-3)
- 313 14. Stoler J, Enders AM, Klofstad CA, Uscinski JE. The limits of medical trust in mitigat-  
ing COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among black americans. *Journal of General Internal*  
314 *Medicine*. 2021;36(11):3629-3631. doi:[10.1007/s11606-021-06743-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-021-06743-3)
- 315 15. Khubchandani J, Sharma S, Price JH, Wiblishauser MJ, Sharma M, Webb FJ. COVID-  
19 vaccination hesitancy in the united states: A rapid national assessment. *Journal of*  
316 *Community Health*. 2021;46(2):270-277. doi:[10.1007/s10900-020-00958-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-020-00958-x)
- 317 16. Bogart LM, Ojikutu BO, Tyagi K, et al. COVID-19 related medical mistrust,  
health impacts, and potential vaccine hesitancy among black americans living with  
318 HIV. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*. 2021;86(2):200-207.  
doi:[10.1097/qai.0000000000002570](https://doi.org/10.1097/qai.0000000000002570)
- 319 17. Mosby I, Swidrovich J. Medical experimentation and the roots of COVID-19 vaccine  
hesitancy among indigenous peoples in canada. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*.  
320 2021;193(11):E381-E383. doi:[10.1503/cmaj.210112](https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.210112)
- 321 18. Freeman D, Loe BS, Chadwick A, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the UK: The  
oxford coronavirus explanations, attitudes, and narratives survey (oceans) II. *Psycho-*  
322 *logical Medicine*. 2020;52(14):3127-3141. doi:[10.1017/s0033291720005188](https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291720005188)
- 323 19. Guay M, Maquiling A, Chen R, et al. Measuring inequalities in COVID-19 vaccination  
uptake and intent: Results from the canadian community health survey 2021. *BMC*  
324 *Public Health*. 2022;22(1). doi:[10.1186/s12889-022-14090-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14090-z)
- 325 326

- 327 20. Muhajarine N, Adeyinka DA, McCutcheon J, Green KL, Fahlman M, Kallio N. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and refusal and associated factors in an adult population in saskatchewan, canada: Evidence from predictive modelling. Gesser-Edelsburg A, ed. *PLOS ONE*. 2021;16(11):e0259513. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0259513](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259513)
- 328 21. Hussain B, Latif A, Timmons S, Nkhoma K, Nellums LB. Overcoming COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among ethnic minorities: A systematic review of UK studies. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(25):3413-3432. doi:[10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.04.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.04.030)
- 330 22. Nguyen KH, Nguyen K, Corlin L, Allen JD, Chung M. Changes in COVID-19 vaccination receipt and intention to vaccinate by socioeconomic characteristics and geographic area, united states, january 6 – march 29, 2021. *Annals of Medicine*. 2021;53(1):1419-1428. doi:[10.1080/07853890.2021.1957998](https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2021.1957998)
- 332 23. Mollalo A, Tatar M. Spatial modeling of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the united states. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(18):9488. doi:[10.3390/ijerph18189488](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18189488)
- 334 24. Yang TC, Matthews SA, Sun F. Multiscale dimensions of spatial process: COVID-19 fully vaccinated rates in u.s. counties. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2022;63(6):954-961. doi:[10.1016/j.amepre.2022.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2022.06.006)
- 336 25. Tiu A, Susswein Z, Merritt A, Bansal S. Characterizing the spatiotemporal heterogeneity of the COVID-19 vaccination landscape. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2022;191(10):1792-1802. doi:[10.1093/aje/kwac080](https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwac080)
- 338 26. Bhuiyan MAN, Davis TC, Arnold CL, et al. Using the social vulnerability index to assess COVID-19 vaccine uptake in louisiana. *GeoJournal*. Published online December 2022. doi:[10.1007/s10708-022-10802-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-022-10802-5)
- 340 27. Wood AJ, MacKintosh AM, Stead M, Kao RR. Predicting future spatial patterns in COVID-19 booster vaccine uptake. Published online September 2022. doi:[10.1101/2022.08.30.22279415](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.30.22279415)
- 342 28. Choi KH, Denice PA, Ramaj S. Vaccine and COVID-19 trajectories. *Socius: Sociological Research for a Dynamic World*. 2021;7:237802312110529. doi:[10.1177/23780231211052946](https://doi.org/10.1177/23780231211052946)
- 344 29. McKinnon B, Quach C, Dubé Ève, Nguyen CT, Zinszer K. Social inequalities in COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and uptake for children and adolescents in montreal, canada. *Vaccine*. 2021;39(49):7140-7145. doi:[10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.10.077](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.10.077)
- 346 30. Tsasis P, Evans JM, Owen S. Reframing the challenges to integrated care: A complex-adaptive systems perspective. *International Journal of Integrated Care*. 2012;12(5). doi:[10.5334/ijic.843](https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.843)
- 348 31. Dong L, Sahu R, Black R. Governance in the transformational journey toward integrated healthcare: The case of ontario. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*. Published online December 2022:204388692211473. doi:[10.1177/20438869221147313](https://doi.org/10.1177/20438869221147313)
- 350

32. Nguyen KH, Anneser E, Toppo A, Allen JD, Parott JS, Corlin L. Disparities in national and state estimates of COVID-19 vaccination receipt and intent to vaccinate by race/ethnicity, income, and age group among adults  $\geq 18$  years, united states. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(1):107-113. doi:[10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.11.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.11.040)
33. Shih SF, Wagner AL, Masters NB, Prosser LA, Lu Y, Zikmund-Fisher BJ. Vaccine hesitancy and rejection of a vaccine for the novel coronavirus in the united states. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 2021;12. doi:[10.3389/fimmu.2021.558270](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.558270)
34. Cénat JM, Noorishad PG, Farahi SMMM, et al. Prevalence and factors related to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and unwillingness in canada: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2022;95(1). doi:[10.1002/jmv.28156](https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.28156)
35. Deming WE, Stephan FF. On a least squares adjustment of a sampled frequency table when the expected marginal totals are known. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*. 1940;11(4):427-444. doi:[10.1214/aoms/1177731829](https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177731829)
36. Lumley T. *Complex Surveys*. John Wiley & Sons; 2011.
37. Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, et al. Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*. 2019;4(43):1686. doi:[10.21105/joss.01686](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686)
38. Allaire J. *Quarto: R Interface to 'Quarto' Markdown Publishing System.*; 2022. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=quarto>
39. Arel-Bundock V. modelsummary: Data and model summaries in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2022;103(1):1-23. doi:[10.18637/jss.v103.i01](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v103.i01)
40. Sjoberg DD, Whiting K, Curry M, Lavery JA, Larmarange J. Reproducible summary tables with the gtsummary package. *The R Journal*. 2021;13:570-580. doi:[10.32614/RJ-2021-053](https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2021-053)
41. Carter MA, Biro S, Maier A, Shingler C, Guan TH. COVID-19 vaccine uptake in southeastern ontario, canada: Monitoring and addressing health inequities. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*. 2022;28(6):615-623. doi:[10.1097/phh.0000000000001565](https://doi.org/10.1097/phh.0000000000001565)
42. Njoku A, Joseph M, Felix R. Changing the narrative: Structural barriers and racial and ethnic inequities in COVID-19 vaccination. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(18):9904. doi:[10.3390/ijerph18189904](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18189904)
43. Hawkins D. Differential occupational risk for COVID-19 and other infection exposure according to race and ethnicity. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. 2020;63(9):817-820. doi:[10.1002/ajim.23145](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.23145)
44. Côté D, Durant S, MacEachen E, et al. A rapid scoping review of COVID-19 and vulnerable workers: Intersecting occupational and public health issues. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. 2021;64(7):551-566. doi:[10.1002/ajim.23256](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.23256)
45. Mishra S, Stall NM, Ma H, et al. *A Vaccination Strategy for Ontario COVID-19 Hotspots and Essential Workers*. Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table; 2021. doi:[10.47326/ocsat.2021.02.26.1.0](https://doi.org/10.47326/ocsat.2021.02.26.1.0)



46. *Annual Business Plan 2022/23*. Ontario Health; [https://www.ontariohealth.ca/sites/ontariohealth/files/2022-05/OHBusinessPlan22\\_23.pdf](https://www.ontariohealth.ca/sites/ontariohealth/files/2022-05/OHBusinessPlan22_23.pdf); 2022.
47. Buajitti E, Watson T, Kornas K, Bornbaum C, Henry D, Rosella LC. Ontario atlas of adult mortality, 1992-2015: Trends in Local Health Integration Networks. Published online 2018. <https://tspace.library.utoronto.ca/handle/1807/82836>
48. Anand SS, Arnold C, Bangdiwala SI, et al. Seropositivity and risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection in a south asian community in ontario: A cross-sectional analysis of a prospective cohort study. *CMAJ Open*. 2022;10(3):E599-E609. doi:10.9778/cmajo.20220031
49. Ontario COVID-19 Data Tool. Accessed February 27, 2023. <https://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/data-and-analysis/infectious-disease/covid-19-data-surveillance/covid-19-data-tool?tab=vaccine>
50. Dubé E, Gagnon D, MacDonald N. Between persuasion and compulsion: The case of COVID-19 vaccination in canada. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(29):3923-3926. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.05.053
51. MacDonald NE, Comeau J, Dubé Ève, et al. Royal society of canada COVID-19 report: Enhancing COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in canada. Blais JM, ed. *FACETS*. 2021;6:1184-1246. doi:10.1139/facets-2021-0037
52. Ontario COVID-19 Data Tool. Accessed March 24, 2023. <https://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/data-and-analysis/infectious-disease/covid-19-data-surveillance/covid-19-data-tool?tab=maps>
53. Stephenson M, Olson SM, Self WH, et al. Ascertainment of vaccination status by self-report versus source documentation: Impact on measuring COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses*. 2022;16(6):1101-1111. doi:10.1111/irv.13023