Covid-19 vaccination in the province of Ontario: A geographical and socio-economical analysis

Ariel Mundo Ortiz

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic continues to be a worldwide public health concern. Although vaccines against this disease were rapidly developed, vaccination uptake has not ben equal across all the segments of the population. In particular, it has been shown that there have been differences in vaccine uptake across different segments of the population. However, there are also differences in vaccination across geographical areas, which might be important to consider in the development of future public health policies against COVID-19. In this study, we examined the relationship between vaccination status (having received the first dose of a COVID-19 vaccine), and different socio-economic and geographical factors. Our results show differences in vaccination due to race/ethnicity, income, Health Regions (geographical areas used for health service access in Ontario), and their interactions. In particular, we show that individuals who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, or Latin American, had significantly lower odds of vaccination than White/Caucasian individuals (ORs=0.31, 0.32, 0.28,

and p=0.004, p<0.001 and p=0.004, respectively), and that individuals with a household income below CAD 25,000 who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern (OR=3.05, p=0.013), Black (OR=3.19, p=0.004), Latin American (OR=2.80, p=0.041), or that belonged to other minority groups (OR=4.59, p<0.001) had higher odds of vaccination than individuals from the same racial/ethnic group in higher income brackets. Finally, we also identified lower odds of vaccination within different minority groups in West Health Region. This study shows that there is an ongoing need to better understand and address differences in vaccination uptake across diverse segments of the population that have been largely impacted by the pandemic.

Keywords

Covid-19, vaccination, survey, socio-economic factors, visible minorities.

Background

The COVID-19 pandemic continues around the world with more than 600 million confirmed cases as of November of 2022¹. During the first months of the pandemic in early 2020, non-pharmaceutical interventions such as masking and social distancing were the only methods available to manage the spread of the disease; however, the rapid development of vaccines permitted their approval and use in some countries towards the last month part of 2020. For example, in the US and Canada vaccine campaigns began in mid-December of 2020^{2,3}. Although it has been estimated that COVID-19 vaccines have prevented around 14 millions

of deaths worldwide⁴, the rollout of COVID-19 vaccines has faced multiple challenges since its inception.

Indeed, multiple obstacles that have complicated vaccination efforts against COVID-19 have been identified: inequality in vaccine access, vaccine hesitancy, and differences in vaccination rates across different segments of the population^{5–7}. In the case of Canada, lower vaccine uptake has been associated with socio-economic factors such as younger age, educational level, presence of children in the household, lack of a regular healthcare provider, ethnic origin, and financial instability^{8–10}.

Additionally, vaccination is influenced by changes in geography. In this regard, it has been shown that there have been spatial differences in COVID-19 vaccination rates due to regional differences in attitudes towards vaccination⁷, geographical differences in vaccine access and supply, vaccination location availability, and lack of prioritization of vulnerable groups^{3,11}.

Studies that analyze geographical variations in vaccine uptake are important as they can help inform public health decision-makers to design policies to that consider spatial changes to address vaccination disparities. In this regard, previous geographical (spatial) analyses of vaccination rates have shown that variations in vaccine uptake can occur within small governmental administrative units (e.g., counties in the case of the US)^{12–15}, and that geographical analyses can be predictive of booster uptake patterns¹⁶.

In Canada, studies that have used a spatial approach to analyze vaccine uptake have shown disparities in vaccination rates across low and high income neighborhoods in the city of Toronto¹⁷, among adolescents from deprived neighborhoods in the city of Montreal¹⁸, and have also high-

lighted disparities in vaccination status depending on age, income, and ethnic origin at the

provincial level⁸. However, there is limited information on differences in vaccination status in-

side the provinces. Such analysis is important as it can help identify inequalities that may exist

within these geographical areas while providing a granular view of intra-provincial differences

that can help understand the barriers for vaccine uptake.

In this study, we examined self-reported COVID-19 vaccination status within the province

of Ontario using a combination of socio-economic factors (such as ethnic origin, age, and in-

come) and geographical analysis (at the level of the Health Regions) in order to determine

intra-provincial differences in vaccine uptake and address the ongoing need of socio-economic

information that can provide a rationale for the disparities in vaccination observed within

some racial groups¹⁹.

Methods

Data source: survey overview

We used data from the Survey of COVID-19 related Behaviours and Attitudes, a repeated

cross sectional survey focused on the Canadian province of Ontario which ran from Sept 30,

2021 until January 17, 2022 and that was comissioned by the Fields Institute for Research

in Mathematical Sciences (henceforth Fields) and the Mathematical Modelling of COVID-19

Task Force. The survey was conducted by a third-party service provider (RIWI Corp.), under

ethical guidance from the University of Toronto.

4

Briefly, the survey was deployed used random domain intercept technology, where if users clicked on a registered but commercially inactive web link or typed in a web address for a site that was dormant, they had a random chance of that link being temporarily managed by the company that administered the survey and instead of coming across a notification about the status of the site("this page does not exist"), the survey was deployed to the user²⁰. Users then decided whether to anonymously participate, and those that participated were able to exit the survey at any time. After the survey closed, regardless if it was complete or incomplete, access was denied to any further users with the same internet protocol (IP) address and the domain entry point rotated such that if a user were to attempt to access the survey again, share the link, or enter via the same address using an alternative IP address, the survey would not deploy. This effectively meant that a user could participate only once in the survey.

Additionally, users who indicated they were under the age of 16 were exited from the survey without creating a record, furthermore, these users were unable to navigate back to the "age select" screen. The personal identifier information from each user that participated in the survey was automatically scrubbed and replaced by a unique ID. Respondents were drawn exclusively from the province of Ontario, as per their devices meta-data.

Survey responses

Socio-economic information selected from the survey answers included age group and income brackets, and race/ethnicity. The levels of each socio-economic factor used for analysis appear in Table 1.

Table 1: Selected socio-economic factors from the survey

Variable	Levels
Age group	16-34,35-54,55 and over
Income bracket (CAD)	under 25,000, 25,000-59,999, 60,000 and above
Race/ethnicity	Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, East Asian/Pacific Islander,
	Indigenous, Latin American, Mixed, South Asian, White
	Caucasian, Other

Furthermore, the information on vaccination status was provided by survey participants who answered the question "Have you received the first dose of the COVID vaccine?", with possible answers "yes" and "no".

Data cleaning

The original dataset contained 39,029 entries (where each entry corresponded to a set of answers provided by a unique respondent). Following a preliminary analysis, the dataset was cleaned in order to only contain the socio-economic information provided in Table 1 and vaccination status. The cleaning process also included removing outliers that were identified during the preliminary analyses, and processing the geographical information in the survey (city where the survey was responded) in order to match each city to its correspondent Health Region.

Geographical location

For each survey participant certain data was automatically captured, including the nearest municipality (city). This resulted in a total of 578 different municipalities within the clean dataset. Due to our interest in analyzing the differences between Health Regions, we assigned the city of each entry to its correspondent Health Region following a multi-step process. Briefly, we used Local Health Integrated Networks (LHINs) to assign a Health Region to each entry in the survey. LHINs were the geographical divisions for health used by Ontario before the adoption of the Health Regions; because of the lack of a publicly available list of all municipalities within each Health Region, we used a dataset of long-term care homes and LHINs to match each city to LHIN, followed by matching each LHIN to a Health Region following the information provided on the Ontario Health Website, where the list of LHINs and corresponding Health Regions is available. In the case of municipalities that did not appear in the long-term dataset, we manually searched each city in the LHINs websites in order to provide geographical information. The raw dataset, clean dataset, details of the data cleaning process, and the addition of Health Region and LHIN information are described in detail in the GitHub repository for this paper, which can be found at https://github.com/aimundo/Fields_COVID-19/.

Following an assessment of the number of entries corresponding to each Health Region in the final dataset, only 107 observations (4.3% of the total) corresponded to cities located in the North West and North East Health Regions. Due to the low number of entries, we omitted these Health Regions from further analyses. Therefore, the total number of unique entries used for analysis was 3,551 which included the East, Central, Toronto, and West Health Regions.

Corrections

We identified differences between the proportions of all the socio-economic factors included in the analysis (Table 1) and the 2016 Canada Census data for Ontario. Additionally, because the Census divisions do not match the exact boundaries of the Health Regions, we also obtained population estimates for each Health Region from the Ontario Health website in order to correct for the population totals. We used an iterative proportional fitting procedure (raking)²¹ to correct for socio-economic factors and Health Region populations using the R survey package. Details about the correction can be found in the Appendix.

Statistical analyses

We used a logistic regression model to estimate the probability of vaccination depending on the socio-economic factors described in Section , the Health Regions from Section , and the interactions between Race and Health Region, and Race and income as previous studies have shown that socio-economic factors and their interactions are significant predictors of intent of vaccination and vaccination status^{22–24}. The model appears in Equation 1,

$$\log\left(\frac{p(\text{vac})}{1-p(\text{vac})}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{Age group}) + \beta_2 \text{ Race} + \beta_3 \text{ Health Region} + \beta_4 \text{ Income} + \beta_5(\text{Health Region} \times \text{Race}) + \beta_6 \text{ (Income} \times \text{Race})$$

$$(1)$$

Where p(vac) indicates the probability of having received the first dose of a COVID-19 vac-

cine, β_0 indicates the population intercept, and $\beta_1...\beta_6$ indicate the coefficients for each term. The the model was fitted using the function svyglm from the survey R package in order to incorporate the correction in sampling probability obtained from raking.

All analyses were conducted in RStudio (2022.12.0 Build 353), using R 4.2.2 and the packages survey²⁵,tidyverse²⁶, and quarto²⁷.

Results

Survey Results

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics (uncorrected) from the Fields COVID-19 survey data for vaccination status and each of the covariates analyzed. The total number of entries from the survey in the dataset after cleaning was 3,551. Overall, 26.9% of survey respondents (958) reported not having received the first dose of the vaccine, whereas 73.1% (2,593) reported having received it. Within each socio-economic factor, respondents who reported living in a household with an income under CAD 25,000 represented 37% of the total number of entries, those within the CAD 25,000-59,999 income bracket represented 25% of the total sample, and those with an income above CAD 60,000 represented 38% of the sample; across all income brackets, the percentage of individuals that reported having received a first dose of the vaccine was consistent, above 69%.

Within the age groups of survey respondents, the age group between 16-34 years had the highest representation in the survey responses (1,521, 42.8% of all responses). Within this

age bracket, 73% of respondents indicated having received the vaccine, whereas the lowest vaccination rate was in the bracket of those 55 years of age and above, with a total of 72%. The Health Region with highest representation in the survey was Toronto, accounting for 1,324 entries (37.2%), with a vaccination rate of 72%. Regarding race/ethnicity, individuals that identified as White/Caucasian represented 1313 (37%) of all entries and had the highest vaccination uptake with 82% of them indicating to have received the COVID-19 vaccine. On the other hand, the ethnic group with the lowest number of entries in the survey was Latin American, with a total of 180, or 5% of all entries. Vaccination rates across all minority groups were below the value reported by White/Caucasians, with the lowest vaccination rate (60%) being reported by individuals that identified as Indigenous.

Multivariate Regression

Table 3 shows the results of the logistic regression on vaccination status using socio-economic factors (age group, income, race), geographical areas (Health Regions) and the interactions between income and race and Health Region and race. The reference groups were set as follows: 16 to 34 years (age group), White Caucasian (Race), Toronto (Health Region), CAD 60,000 and over (Income). There were no statistically significant differences in vaccination rates within the age groups from the survey, but significant odds ratios were estimated for other covariates. Within household income brackets, individuals with an income under CAD 25,000 or between CAD 25,000-59,999 had significantly lower odds of vaccination than those with an income above CAD 60,000 (ORs=0.37 and 0.59, respectively). Within Race/Ethnicity,

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics of the Fields COVID-19 Survey (by Vaccination Status)

Variable	no, N = 958	yes, N = 2,593
Income		
60000 _and_above	305 (23%)	1,049 (77%)
25000_59999	253 (28%)	636 (72%)
$under_25000$	400 (31%)	908 (69%)
Age Group		
16_34	409~(27%)	1,112 (73%)
35_54	252~(26%)	712 (74%)
55 _and_over	297 (28%)	769 (72%)
Health Region		
Toronto	371 (28%)	953~(72%)
Central	224 (28%)	581 (72%)
East	135 (23%)	448 (77%)
West	228 (27%)	611 (73%)
Race		
white_caucasian	233 (18%)	$1,080 \ (82\%)$
arab_middle_eastern	76 (36%)	138 (64%)
black	114 (38%)	184 (62%)
east_asian_pacific_islander	69(23%)	234~(77%)
indigenous	76 (40%)	115 (60%)
latin_american	69 (38%)	111 (62%)
mixed	105 (34%)	205~(66%)
other	128 (35%)	239~(65%)
south_asian	88 (23%)	287 (77%)

¹ n (%)

individuals who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, or Latin American, had significantly lower odds of vaccination than those in the White/Caucasian group (ORs=0.31, 0.32, 0.28, and p=0.004,<0.001 and 0.004, respectively); additionally, those individuals in the Other Race/Ethnicity group (a group that included Southeast Asian, Filipino, West Asian, and Minorities Not Identified Elsewhere) had even lower odds of vaccination than the other minority groups (OR=0.22, p<0.001). Regarding Health Regions, individuals that reported living in the West Health Region (which comprises the regions of Waterloo and Niagara, the counties of Wellington, Essex, and Lambton, and the cities of Hamilton, Haldimand, Brant, and Chatham-Kent) had significantly higher odds of vaccination than those in the Health Region of Toronto (OR=1.55, p=0.029).

Moreover, statistically-significant odd ratios were determined in the case of the interaction of income and race; specifically, for individuals with a household income below CAD 25,000 who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern (OR=3.05, p=0.013), Black (OR=3.19, p=0.004), Latin American (OR=2.80, p=0.041), or that belonged to other minority groups (OR=4.59, p<0.001). Within the CAD 25,000-59,999 income bracket, individuals who identified as belonging to other racial minority groups had significantly higher odds of vaccination (OR=6.93, p<0.001).

For the interaction of Health Region and race, significant odds of vaccination were identified for Black individuals in the Central Health Region, which comprises the region of York, counties of Dufferin and Simcoe and the district of Muskoka (OR=0.44, p=0.046), and in individuals that identified as part of other racial minorities or South Asian that lived in the West Health

Region (ORs=0.41, p=0.032 and p=0.037, respectively).

Table 3: Multiple Regression Analysis-Predictors of Vaccination Status

Characteristic	\mathbf{OR}	95% CI	p-value
Age Group			
16_34	_	_	
35_54	0.90	0.67, 1.21	0.5
55_and_over	0.99	0.74, 1.32	> 0.9
Income		,	
60000 and above		_	
25000_59999	0.59	0.39, 0.89	0.011
under 25000	0.37	0.25, 0.56	< 0.001
Race		,	
white_caucasian	_	_	
arab_middle_eastern	0.31	0.14, 0.69	0.004
black	0.32	0.17, 0.60	< 0.001
east_asian_pacific_islander	1.15	0.50, 2.66	0.7
indigenous	0.44	0.19, 1.02	0.056
latin_american	0.28	0.11, 0.67	0.004
mixed	0.64	0.25, 1.65	0.4
other	0.22	0.12, 0.41	< 0.001
south asian	0.91	0.49, 1.69	0.8
Health Region		,	
Toronto	_	_	
Central	1.47	0.92, 2.35	0.11
East	1.42	0.90, 2.23	0.13
West	1.55	1.05, 2.30	0.029
Income * Race		,	
25000_59999 * arab_middle_eastern	1.79	0.67, 4.83	0.2
under_25000 * arab_middle_eastern	3.05	1.26, 7.39	0.013
25000_59999 * black	1.34	0.59, 3.05	0.5
under_25000 * black	3.19	1.45, 6.99	0.004
25000_59999 * east_asian_pacific_islander	0.42	0.17, 1.05	0.062
under_25000 * east_asian_pacific_islander	1.16	0.47, 2.86	0.8
25000_59999 * indigenous	1.36	0.48, 3.89	0.6
under_25000 * indigenous	1.45	0.55, 3.80	0.5
25000_59999 * latin_american	1.24	0.45, 3.43	0.7
under_25000 * latin_american	2.80	1.04, 7.51	0.041
25000_59999 * mixed	0.85	0.32, 2.26	0.7
under_25000 * mixed	1.10	0.37, 3.27	0.9
25000_59999 * other	6.93	2.65, 18.1	< 0.001
under 25000 * other	4.59	2.33, 9.05	< 0.001
25000_59999 * south_asian		0.51, 2.85	0.7
under_25000 * south_asian	2.00	0.93, 4.30	0.077
Race * Health Region		0.00, 2.00	0.011
arab_middle_eastern * Central	0.66	0.26, 1.70	0.4
black * Central	0.44	0.19, 0.98	0.046
east_asian_pacific_islander * Central	0.98	0.38, 2.53	>0.010
indigenous * Central	0.63	0.30, 2.33 0.22, 1.79	0.4
latin_american * Central	0.67	0.22, 1.73	0.4
mixed * Central	0.73	0.23, 1.30 $0.24, 2.22$	0.6
made Comman	0.10	0.24, 2.22	0.0

(continued)

Characteristic	OR	95% CI	p-value
other * Central	0.80	0.36, 1.78	0.6
south_asian * Central	0.54	0.25, 1.20	0.13
arab_middle_eastern * East	0.43	0.13, 1.45	0.2
black * East	0.83	0.34, 2.04	0.7
east_asian_pacific_islander * East	0.86	0.29, 2.56	0.8
indigenous * East	0.69	0.23, 2.08	0.5
latin_american * East	1.03	0.32, 3.34	> 0.9
mixed * East	0.91	0.28, 3.03	0.9
other * East	1.05	0.39, 2.83	> 0.9
south_asian * East	0.52	0.19, 1.45	0.2
arab_middle_eastern * West	1.00	0.37, 2.73	> 0.9
black * West	0.76	0.32, 1.80	0.5
east_asian_pacific_islander * West	0.52	0.20, 1.34	0.2
indigenous * West	0.39	0.14, 1.09	0.073
latin_american * West	0.94	0.32, 2.72	> 0.9
mixed * West	0.37	0.12, 1.16	0.089
other * West	0.41	0.18, 0.93	0.032
south_asian * West	0.41	0.18,0.95	0.037
$^{\rm 1}$ OR = Odds Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval			

Discussion

The rapid development of COVID-19 vaccines has been considered as a major achievement of modern medicine²⁸. Vaccine availability towards the end of 2020 in certain countries made some believe that they would be a determinant factor in a rapid ending of the pandemic²⁹. However, despite previous successful vaccination campaigns that were crucial to control diseases such as smallpox and polio³⁰, vaccination efforts in the case of COVID-19 have faced multiple challenges that have complicated the achievement of global immunity.

Among the different challenges faced by COVID-19 vaccination efforts are the development of new variants due to inadequate public health measures³¹ and inequity in vaccine access between low and high income countries³². However, it is also well established that even in the case of

high income countries that have had ample access to vaccines since 2020, such as the US, the UK, and Canada, there have been challenges in vaccination efforts due to differences in vaccine uptake among different segments of the population. More specifically, lower vaccine uptake has been associated with socio-economic factors such as race (i.e., identifying as Black, Asian, Indigenous) and household income (typically within lower income brackets)^{33–36}. Reasons given for this association have included medical mistrust due to systemic medical racism, mistrust in vaccines, and the influence of conspiracy theories^{33,35,37–39}.

In addition, vaccine uptake is influenced by geography, as shown by different studies that have identified intra-regional differences in vaccine uptake^{12,40,41}. However, in the case of Canada, studies that have analyzed spatial differences in vaccination have been focused in country-wide or province-wide estimates^{8,42}. Therefore, we explored spatial and socio-economic determinants of vaccination status in the province of Ontario. This province is of particular interest as it has seen recently major structural health changes with the dissolution of the Local Health Integrated Network (LHIN) system and the incorporation of regions covered by LHINs into larger Health Regions⁴³. Because the idea behind the change aimed to reduce the inequalities in healthcare that were identified under the LHIN model⁴⁴, examining differences in vaccination between the Health Regions can provide decision-makers with insight regarding intra-provincial health disparities that may need to be addressed in future vaccination or public health campaigns.

Our results indicate that across the most densely populated Health Regions of Ontario, almost three quarters of the surveyed individuals reported having received the first dose of the COVID- 19 vaccine (Table 2), and that there were no significant differences in vaccination odds among the age groups considered in the survey. This result is consistent with overall vaccination rates reported for Canada, which have been relatively higher when compared to other high income countries⁴⁵, with vaccination uptake rates across different age groups presented in other studies^{8,46}, and with the vaccination information provided by Public Health Ontario, which shows that for the period where the Fields survey ran (Sept 30, 2021-Jan 17, 2022) there was a minimum of 80% of first dose vaccination coverage among all the age groups considered in the survey⁴⁷.

However, we identified intra-provincial differences in vaccination based on socio-economic and geographical factors. First, our results show significant differences in vaccination odds in individuals with a household income below CAD 60,000 and in individuals belonging to visible minority groups. Those who identified as Black, Latin American, or belonging to a minority group not included in the survey (Southeast Asian, Filipino, West Asian, and Minority not identified elsewhere) had vaccination odds below 33% when compared to individuals that identified as White/Caucasian (Table 3). These results are consistent with other studies that have shown lower vaccination rates in individuals that identify as part of a racial minority, or that have a low household income^{8–10,48}.

In this study, we also decided to explore the interactions between income and race and race and Health Region, as it is known that many individuals within racial minority groups perform tend to occupy certain types of occupations that fall within income brackets that have been shown to be associated with differences in vaccination uptake. In other words, we decided to explore

if there were differences in vaccination within racial groups in certain income brackets and in certain the Health Regions. In this regard, it is interesting to note that although overall self-reported vaccination rates were found to be statistically significantly lower in various racial minority groups when compared to White/Caucasian individuals (Table 3), the change in odds of vaccination within certain racial groups and income strata was actually positive, in contrast to the White/Caucasian group, for which vaccination odds decreased in lower income brackets (when compared to the CAD 60,000 and over bracket, Supplementary Figure A-3).

More specifically, the change in odds of vaccination increased in individuals who identified as Arab/Middle Eastern, Black, Latin American, or belonging to other minority groups with a household income below CAD 25,000, which was also true for individuals in other racial minority groups with an income between CAD 25,000-59,999 (Table 3, Supplementary Figure A-3).

This result is likely due to the fact that individuals that belong racial minority groups tend to perform occupations that have been deemed as "essential" in the context of the pandemic^{49,50}, which include occupations such as grocery store workers, gas station workers, warehouse and distribution workers, and manufacturing workers, all being occupations for which an income within the significant brackets is to be expected. In the case of Ontario, essential workers had priority for COVID-19 vaccination⁵¹, which would explain the higher odds of vaccination for these individuals in certain income brackets, in contrast to the lower odds of vaccination for the same type of individuals with higher household income. In other words, it is possible that the type of occupation played an important role in increasing the odds of vaccination in these

racial minority groups.

Additionally, significant higher vaccination odds were identified in the West Health Region when compared to the Health Region of Toronto (Table 3). The West Health Region comprises the regions of Waterloo and Niagara, the counties of Wellington, Essex and Lambton, and the cities of Hamilton, Haldimand, Brant, and Chatham-Kent. In this case, a possible rationale for the results is the fact that in the survey, about 47% of the entries for this Health Region corresponded to White/Caucasian individuals, who reported an overall 83% vaccination rate (Supplementary Table A-6). However, the interaction effect of Health Region and race was also significant in the case of individuals identifying as South Asian or other minorities not included in the survey Table 3. In this case, the results of the interaction term in the model indicate that the odds of vaccination for those within the South Asian and Other minority groups in the West Region decreased when compared to the other Health Regions (Supplementary Figure A-4).

According to Ontario Health, 13.2% of the population in the West Health Region identifies as a visible minority, whereas 2.5% identifies as Indigenous⁵². In the case of this analysis, the estimated lower odds are likely to be explained from a socio-economic perspective. In fact, 50% of the answers from this region in the survey came from the former LHINs of Hamilton Niagara Haldimand Brant, and Erie St. Clair, both which are among the regions of Ontario with the highest proportion of their population (more than 20%) in the lowest income quintile⁵³ (Supplementary Table A-7). Therefore, this result partly reinforces the well-known existing association between low vaccination rates and income, but it additionally indicates that there

were intra-regional differences in vaccination. Interestingly, a disproportionate number of COVID-19 cases and low vaccination rate (under 50%) have been previously reported in the South Asian community of Ontario⁵⁴; in this regard, our result provides additional context by showing that within the South Asian community, there were differences in vaccination uptake across Ontario. Moreover, because significant lower odds of vaccination were also identified other minority groups, this provides a rationale for future studies that explore how vaccination uptake varies across different minority groups within Ontario and other Canadian provinces.

There are some limitations to the present study. First, the data collection design, which allowed respondents to withdraw from the survey at any point, resulted in a high number of unique entries in the survey with multiple missing answers. Because we focused on entries that had complete observations in the covariates of interest for our analysis, it is possible that some information was not considered by excluding observations that had information in other variables (such as work from home, or number of persons in the household). However, we attempted to minimize this possibility by correcting the dataset using information from the Census. More granular corrections, which for example could be based on demographic information by municipality, could be used in the future to obtain a more accurate approximation to the population totals of the province. Additionally, the results in this study are based on self-reported data, where the risk of bias exist. Despite this, because in the context of COVID-19 it has been shown that good agreement exists between self-reported and documented vaccination status⁵⁵, the effect of self-reported bias is likely to not be significant in this case.

Finally, it is likely that there have been differences in vaccination across the province as more doses of the vaccine were administered and as successive variants emerged. Because this study focused only on vaccination status regarding the first dose of the vaccine within a relatively short time window, it can only provide a snapshot of the societal dynamics behind the pandemic. Nonetheless, the results presented here can serve as a starting point to motivate future longitudinal research that aims to quantify geographical differences within vulnerable segments of the population, and that can be used to inform the development of public health policies within the province of Ontario or across other provinces that aim to minimize disparities in health access.

Conclusion

This study explored differences in COVID-19 vaccination across the province of Ontario between late 2021 and early 2022 by taking into consideration socio-economic factors, such as income and race, their interactions, and the Health Regions within the province. Our results show that, during the period analyzed, significant differences in vaccination existed across different visible minority groups, income brackets, and Health Regions, showing intra-provincial disparities in vaccine uptake. As the COVID-19 continues around the world, it important that future public policies take into consideration how to adequately reach individuals within minority groups that live across geographical areas where less probabilities of being vaccinated are likely. At the moment, this is an ongoing issue that needs to be addressed to ensure a more homogeneous outcome from the pandemic.

References

- World Health Organization Coronavirus (COVID-19) Dashboard. Accessed November
 27, 2022. https://covid19.who.int/
- 2. Tanne JH. Covid-19: FDA panel votes to authorise pfizer BioNTech vaccine. *BMJ*. Published online December 2020:m4799. doi:10.1136/bmj.m4799
- 3. Bogoch II, Halani S. COVID-19 vaccines: A geographic, social and policy view of vaccination efforts in ontario, canada. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*. Published online November 2022. doi:10.1093/cjres/rsac043
- 4. Watson OJ, Barnsley G, Toor J, Hogan AB, Winskill P, Ghani AC. Global impact of the first year of COVID-19 vaccination: A mathematical modelling study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2022;22(9):1293-1302. doi:10.1016/s1473-3099(22)00320-6
- 5. Gerretsen P, Kim J, Caravaggio F, et al. Individual determinants of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy. Inbaraj LR, ed. *PLOS ONE*. 2021;16(11):e0258462. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0258462
- 6. Nafilyan V, Dolby T, Razieh C, et al. Sociodemographic inequality in COVID-19 vaccination coverage among elderly adults in england: A national linked data study. *BMJ Open.* 2021;11(7):e053402. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-053402
- 7. Malik AA, McFadden SM, Elharake J, Omer SB. Determinants of COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in the US. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2020;26:100495. doi:10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100495

- 8. Guay M, Maquiling A, Chen R, et al. Measuring inequalities in COVID-19 vaccination uptake and intent: Results from the canadian community health survey 2021. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22(1). doi:10.1186/s12889-022-14090-z
- 9. Muhajarine N, Adeyinka DA, McCutcheon J, Green KL, Fahlman M, Kallio N. COVID19 vaccine hesitancy and refusal and associated factors in an adult population in
 saskatchewan, canada: Evidence from predictive modelling. Gesser-Edelsburg A, ed.

 PLOS ONE. 2021;16(11):e0259513. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0259513
- Hussain B, Latif A, Timmons S, Nkhoma K, Nellums LB. Overcoming COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among ethnic minorities: A systematic review of UK studies. Vaccine. 2022;40(25):3413-3432. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.04.030
- 11. Nguyen KH, Nguyen K, Corlin L, Allen JD, Chung M. Changes in COVID-19 vaccination receipt and intention to vaccinate by socioeconomic characteristics and geographic area, united states, january 6 march 29, 2021. *Annals of Medicine*. 2021;53(1):1419-1428. doi:10.1080/07853890.2021.1957998
- 12. Mollalo A, Tatar M. Spatial modeling of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the united states. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021;18(18):9488. doi:10.3390/ijerph18189488
- 13. Yang TC, Matthews SA, Sun F. Multiscale dimensions of spatial process: COVID-19 fully vaccinated rates in u.s. counties. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2022;63(6):954-961. doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2022.06.006

- 14. Tiu A, Susswein Z, Merritt A, Bansal S. Characterizing the spatiotemporal heterogeneity of the COVID-19 vaccination landscape. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2022;191(10):1792-1802. doi:10.1093/aje/kwac080
- 15. Bhuiyan MAN, Davis TC, Arnold CL, et al. Using the social vulnerability index to assess COVID-19 vaccine uptake in louisiana. *GeoJournal*. Published online December 2022. doi:10.1007/s10708-022-10802-5
- 16. Wood AJ, MacKintosh AM, Stead M, Kao RR. Predicting future spatial patterns in COVID-19 booster vaccine uptake. Published online September 2022. doi:10.1101/2022.08.30.22279415
- 17. Choi KH, Denice PA, Ramaj S. Vaccine and COVID-19 trajectories. Sociological Research for a Dynamic World. 2021;7:237802312110529. doi:10.1177/23780231211052946
- 18. McKinnon B, Quach C, Dubé Ève, Nguyen CT, Zinszer K. Social inequalities in COVID-19 vaccine acceptance and uptake for children and adolescents in montreal, canada.

 Vaccine. 2021;39(49):7140-7145. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.10.077
- Cénat JM, Noorishad PG, Bakombo SM, et al. A systematic review on vaccine hesitancy in black communities in canada: Critical issues and research failures. Vaccines.
 2022;10(11):1937. doi:10.3390/vaccines10111937
- 20. Sargent RH, Laurie S, Weakland LF, et al. Use of random domain intercept technology to track COVID-19 vaccination rates in real time across the united states: Survey study.

 **Journal of Medical Internet Research. 2022;24(7):e37920. doi:10.2196/37920

- 21. Deming WE, Stephan FF. On a least squares adjustment of a sampled frequency table when the expected marginal totals are known. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*. 1940;11(4):427-444. doi:10.1214/aoms/1177731829
- 22. Nguyen KH, Anneser E, Toppo A, Allen JD, Parott JS, Corlin L. Disparities in national and state estimates of COVID-19 vaccination receipt and intent to vaccinate by race/ethnicity, income, and age group among adults ≥ 18 years, united states. Vaccine. 2022;40(1):107-113. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.11.040
- 23. Shih SF, Wagner AL, Masters NB, Prosser LA, Lu Y, Zikmund-Fisher BJ. Vaccine hesitancy and rejection of a vaccine for the novel coronavirus in the united states.

 Frontiers in Immunology. 2021;12. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.558270
- 24. Cénat JM, Noorishad PG, Farahi SMMM, et al. Prevalence and factors related to COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and unwillingness in canada: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Medical Virology*. 2022;95(1). doi:10.1002/jmv.28156
- 25. Lumley T. Complex Surveys. John Wiley & Sons; 2011.
- 26. Wickham H, Averick M, Bryan J, et al. Welcome to the tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*. 2019;4(43):1686. doi:10.21105/joss.01686
- 27. Allaire J. Quarto: R Interface to 'Quarto' Markdown Publishing System.; 2022. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=quarto

- 28. Davis CJ, Golding M, McKay R. Efficacy information influences intention to take COVID-19 vaccine. British Journal of Health Psychology. 2022;27(2):300-319. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/bjhp.12546
- 29. Microbe TL. COVID-19 vaccines: The pandemic will not end overnight. *The Lancet Microbe*. 2021;2(1):e1. doi:10.1016/s2666-5247(20)30226-3
- 30. Kayser V, Ramzan I. Vaccines and vaccination: History and emerging issues. Human Vaccines & Emp; Immunotherapeutics. 2021;17(12):5255-5268.

 doi:10.1080/21645515.2021.1977057
- 31. Li Q, Wang J, Tang Y, Lu H. Next-generation COVID-19 vaccines: Opportunities for vaccine development and challenges in tackling COVID-19. *Drug Discoveries & Therapeutics*. 2021;15(3):118-123. doi:10.5582/ddt.2021.0105
- 32. Yamey G, Garcia P, Hassan F, et al. It is not too late to achieve global covid-19 vaccine equity. *BMJ*. Published online March 2022:e070650. doi:10.1136/bmj-2022-070650
- 33. Willis DE, Andersen JA, Bryant-Moore K, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy: Race/ethnicity, trust, and fear. *Clinical and Translational Science*. 2021;14(6):2200-2207. doi:10.1111/cts.13077
- 34. Skirrow H, Barnett S, Bell S, et al. Women's views on accepting COVID-19 vaccination during and after pregnancy, and for their babies: A multi-methods study in the UK.

 BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2022;22(1). doi:10.1186/s12884-021-04321-3

- 35. Stoler J, Enders AM, Klofstad CA, Uscinski JE. The limits of medical trust in mitigating COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among black americans. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2021;36(11):3629-3631. doi:10.1007/s11606-021-06743-3
- 36. Khubchandani J, Sharma S, Price JH, Wiblishauser MJ, Sharma M, Webb FJ. COVID-19 vaccination hesitancy in the united states: A rapid national assessment. *Journal of Community Health.* 2021;46(2):270-277. doi:10.1007/s10900-020-00958-x
- 37. Bogart LM, Ojikutu BO, Tyagi K, et al. COVID-19 related medical mistrust, health impacts, and potential vaccine hesitancy among black americans living with HIV. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2021;86(2):200-207. doi:10.1097/qai.000000000000002570
- 38. Mosby I, Swidrovich J. Medical experimentation and the roots of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among indigenous peoples in canada. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2021;193(11):E381-E383. doi:10.1503/cmaj.210112
- 39. Freeman D, Loe BS, Chadwick A, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the UK: The oxford coronavirus explanations, attitudes, and narratives survey (oceans) II. *Psychological Medicine*. 2020;52(14):3127-3141. doi:10.1017/s0033291720005188
- 40. Pallathadka A, Chang H, Han D. What explains spatial variations of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy?: A social-ecological-technological systems approach. *Environmental Research: Health.* 2022;1(1):011001. doi:10.1088/2752-5309/ac8ac2
- 41. Huang Q, Cutter SL. Spatial-temporal differences of COVID-19 vaccinations in the u.s.

 Urban Informatics. 2022;1(1). doi:10.1007/s44212-022-00019-9

- 42. Lavoie K, Gosselin-Boucher V, Stojanovic J, et al. Understanding national trends in COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in canada: Results from five sequential cross-sectional representative surveys spanning april 2020–march 2021. *BMJ Open.* 2022;12(4):e059411. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059411
- 43. Dong L, Sahu R, Black R. Governance in the transformational journey toward integrated healthcare: The case of ontario. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*.

 Published online December 2022:204388692211473. doi:10.1177/20438869221147313
- 44. Tsasis P, Evans JM, Owen S. Reframing the challenges to integrated care: A complex-adaptive systems perspective. *International Journal of Integrated Care*. 2012;12(5). doi:10.5334/ijic.843
- 45. Dubé E, Gagnon D, MacDonald N. Between persuasion and compulsion: The case of COVID-19 vaccination in canada. *Vaccine*. 2022;40(29):3923-3926. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.05.053
- 46. MacDonald NE, Comeau J, Dubé Ève, et al. Royal society of canada COVID-19 report: Enhancing COVID-19 vaccine acceptance in canada. Blais JM, ed. FACETS. 2021;6:1184-1246. doi:10.1139/facets-2021-0037
- 47. Ontario COVID-19 Data Tool. Accessed February 27, 2023. https://www.publichealthontario.ca/en/data-and-analysis/infectious-disease/covid-19-data-surveillance/covid-19-data-tool?tab=vaccine

- 48. Carter MA, Biro S, Maier A, Shingler C, Guan TH. COVID-19 vaccine uptake in southeastern ontario, canada: Monitoring and addressing health inequities. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*. 2022;28(6):615-623. doi:10.1097/phh.0000000000001565
- 49. Hawkins D. Differential occupational risk for COVID-19 and other infection exposure according to race and ethnicity. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. 2020;63(9):817-820. doi:10.1002/ajim.23145
- 50. Côté D, Durant S, MacEachen E, et al. A rapid scoping review of COVID-19 and vulnerable workers: Intersecting occupational and public health issues. *American Journal of Industrial Medicine*. 2021;64(7):551-566. doi:10.1002/ajim.23256
- 51. Mishra S, Stall NM, Ma H, et al. A Vaccination Strategy for Ontario COVID-19 Hotspots and Essential Workers. Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table; 2021. doi:10.47326/ocsat.2021.02.26.1.0
- 52. Annual Business Plan 2022/23. Ontario Health; https://www.ontariohealth.ca/sites/ontariohealth/files/2022-05/OHBusinessPlan22_23.pdf; 2022.
- 53. Buajitti E, Watson T, Kornas K, Bornbaum C, Henry D, Rosella LC. Ontario atlas of adult mortality, 1992-2015: Trends in Local Health Integration Networks. Published online 2018. https://tspace.library.utoronto.ca/handle/1807/82836

- 54. Anand SS, Arnold C, Bangdiwala SI, et al. Seropositivity and risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection in a south asian community in ontario: A cross-sectional analysis of a prospective cohort study. *CMAJ Open.* 2022;10(3):E599-E609. doi:10.9778/cmajo.20220031
- 55. Stephenson M, Olson SM, Self WH, et al. Ascertainment of vaccination status by self-report versus source documentation: Impact on measuring COVID-19 vaccine effectiveness. *Influenza and Other Respiratory Viruses*. 2022;16(6):1101-1111. doi:10.1111/irv.13023