Scoping Review Protocol: Statistical Models for Longitudinal Data

Ariel I. Mundo Ortiz

2022-08-16

4 Table of contents

5	1	Background	2
6	2	Objective	3
7	3	Review Question	3
8	4	Databases	4
9	5	Search Terms	4
10	6	Criteria	4
11		6.1 Inclusion Criteria	4
12		6.2 Exclusion Criteria	4
13	7	Additional Resources	4
14	8	Comparison (?)	4
15	9	Data Extraction	4
16	10	Data Synthesis Strategy	4
17	11	References	4

1 Background

Longitudinal studies are frequently used in the health sciences (biomedical research, epidemiology, public 19 health, among others) as they allow to examine how the temporal effect of a treatment or an intervention, in contrast to a cross-sectional study, which only allows to examine the effect of the intervention at a single 21 time point. When compared their cross-sectional counterparts, longitudinal studies allow for increased statistical power and more cost efficient strategies^{1,2}. However, the statistical analysis of longitudinal data 23 requires to take into consideration factors such as data missingness, correlation, and non-linear trends, which do not occur on cross-sectional data^{3,4}. In other words, there is an "analytic cost" associated with the increased complexity of longitudinal data². This additional layer of complexity has led to a problem of model misspecification in the statistical analysis 27 of the data (i.e., the use of a statistical model that is not coherent with the data), which has been reported to 28 occur in many fields, including the health sciences⁵. For example, in a landmark study Liu et al. showed that 29 in a subset of papers in the biomedical sciences, the most popular model used to analyze longitudinal data 30 was the analysis of variance (ANOVA, an approach that fails to take into account the correlation between 31 measures over time), and that only 18% of the studies analyzed used models intended for longitudinal 32 analysis while checking that the assumptions of the model were satisfied by the data⁶. 33 Historically, the repeated measures ANOVA (rm-ANOVA, a statistical model for longitudinal data) has been the preferred method in the health sciences to analyze longitudinal data, despite the fact that the 35 multiple assumptions required by this model are frequently not satisfied by the data collected in longitudinal studies⁴. On the other hand, the last 30 years have seen incredible progress in the field of Statistics with the 37 development of statistical models for longitudinal data that relax the assumptions of rm-ANOVA. Linear 38 mixed models, generalized additive models, Bayesian models, and generalized estimating equations are among these modern statistical models developed for longitudinal data⁷⁻¹¹. From these statistical methods, linear mixed models and generalized estimating equations are the two classes of models that have been frequently applied to analyze longitudinal data in the health sciences during the last decade 12-14. 42 However, modern statistical methods that are suited to analyze longitudinal data have been the exception rather than the norm in the health sciences. In 2001, a study reported that only 30% of the clinical trials analyzed used linear mixed models to analyze their results, and that the preferred method of analysis continued to be rm-ANOVA¹⁵ (in comparison, McCullagh and Nelder's seminal book on the generalized linear model (GLM) was published in 1989¹⁶, and there was ongoing work on the extension of the GLM 47 framework to the mixed model case by 1993¹⁷). Apart from the aforementioned study, there are not recent

- 49 papers that examine the use of modern statistical methods for longitudinal data in the health sciences.
- $_{50}$ Such information is critical to understand if the use of these methods has increased or decreased in the field
- over the last 20 years, and the reasons behind such changes.
- Additionally, the reproducibility crisis is an ongoing issue in the health sciences 18,19, a major component
- of it being the misuse and lack of reproducibility of statistical analyses^{20,21}. Despite the fact that the
- 54 landscape of statistical software has vastly increased in the last decade with many statistical computational
- tools (software, packages) now available to researchers, reproducibility standards vary between each com-
- ⁵⁶ putational tool²². Furthermore, there is still high variability in the amount of statistical reporting across
- ₅₇ journals²³. Understanding what statistical computational tools are used nowadays by researchers in the
- bealth sciences can provide an assessment of the advances in the field towards research reproducibility,
- ⁵⁹ while identifying limitations that might still be in place.
- 50 In this study, we surveyed the statistical methods used in papers dealing with longitudinal data in the
- 61 health sciences in order to: 1) identify statistical methods used in order to assess the trends in adoption of
- 62 modern statistical methods, 2) determine what are the computational tools used by researchers to perform
- 63 statistical analyses, and 3) use the previous points to provide context to the current status of the advances
- in research reproducibility in the field.

65 2 Objective

- 66 This study aims to summarize the different statistical models for longitudinal data that are used in the
- 67 health sciences to identify the current extent in the adoption of modern statistical methods, determine what
- are the computational tools used in each case and how this in turn affects the reproducibility, and provide
- 69 an updated list on methods recently developed for longitudinal data in order to determine if they can be
- ⁷⁰ broadly applied to longitudinal data in the health sciences.

71 3 Review Question

- ₇₂ Summarize the statistical methods used to analyze longitudinal data in the health sciences to identify
- which methods are most commonly used, the applicability of such methods in the context of each study,
- 74 and gaps that might exist that prevent the adoption of modern statistical methods that can be better suited
- 75 to analyze the data. Additionally, identify if studies check for model assumptions, and how this in turn

76	impacts the reported results.
77	4 Databases
78 79	PubMedWeb of Science
80	5 Search Terms
81	6 Criteria
82	6.1 Inclusion Criteria
83	methods paper see new methods developedapplication
85	6.2 Exclusion Criteria
86	7 Additional Resources
87	8 Comparison (?)
88	9 Data Extraction
89	10 Data Synthesis Strategy
90	11 References

30:4%3C330::AID-PPUL10%3E3.0.CO;2-D

1.

92

Edwards LJ. Modern statistical techniques for the analysis of longitudinal data in biomedical research. *Pediatric Pulmonology*. 2000;30(4):330-344. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-0496(200010)

- Zeger SL, Liang K-Y. An overview of methods for the analysis of longitudinal data. Statistics in
 Medicine. 1992;11(14-15):1825-1839. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.4780111406
- Caruana EJ, Roman M, Hernández-Sánchez J, Solli P. Longitudinal studies. *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. 2015;7(11):E537-40.
- Mundo AI, Tipton JR, Muldoon TJ. Generalized additive models to analyze nonlinear trends in biomedical longitudinal data using r: Beyond repeated measures ANOVA and linear mixed models.
 Statistics in Medicine. Published online July 2022.
- Thiese MS, Arnold ZC, Walker SD. The misuse and abuse of statistics in biomedical research.

 Biochem Med (Zagreb). 2015;25(1):5-11.
- 6. Liu C, Cripe TP, Kim M-O. Statistical issues in longitudinal data analysis for treatment efficacy studies in the biomedical sciences. *Molecular Therapy*. 2010;18(9):1724-1730. doi:https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2010.127
- 7. Linear mixed-effects models: Basic concepts and examples. In: Mixed-Effects Models in s and s-PLUS. Springer New York; 2000:3-56. doi:10.1007/0-387-22747-4_1
- Jiang J, Nguyen T. Linear and Generalized Linear Mixed Models and Their Applications. 2nd ed.
 Springer; 2021.
- 107 9. Hastie TJ. Statistical Models in S. (Chambers JM, Hastie TJ, eds.). Routledge; 2017.

108

- 10. Rosa GJM, Gianola D, Padovani CR. Bayesian longitudinal data analysis with mixed models and thick-tailed distributions using MCMC. *Journal of Applied Statistics*. 2004;31(7):855-873.
- 11. Ballinger GA. Using generalized estimating equations for longitudinal data analysis. Organizational Research Methods. 2004;7(2):127-150.
- Wang M. Generalized estimating equations in longitudinal data analysis: A review and recent developments. Advances in Statistics. 2014;2014:1-11.
- Tian Q, Qin L, Zhu W, Xiong S, Wu B. Analysis of factors contributing to postoperative body weight change in patients with gastric cancer: Based on generalized estimation equation. *PeerJ*. 2020;8(e9390):e9390.
- 14. Şevik M, Doğan M. Epidemiological and molecular studies on lumpy skin disease outbreaks in turkey during 2014-2015. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*. 2017;64(4):1268-1279.

- Gueorguieva R, Krystal JH. Move Over ANOVA: Progress in Analyzing Repeated-Measures Data and Reflection in Papers Published in the Archives of General Psychiatry. Archives of General Psychiatry. 2004;61(3):310-317. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.61.3.310
- 121 16. McCullagh P, Nelder JA. Generalized Linear Models. Routledge; 2019.

122

- 17. Breslow NE, Clayton DG. Approximate inference in generalized linear mixed models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 1993;88(421):9-25. doi:10.1080/01621459.1993.10594284
- Jarvis MF, Williams M. Irreproducibility in preclinical biomedical research: Perceptions, uncertainties, and knowledge gaps. *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*. 2016;37(4):290-302. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2015.12.001
- 19. Turkiewicz A, Luta G, Hughes HV, Ranstam J. Statistical mistakes and how to avoid them lessons learned from the reproducibility crisis. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage. 2018;26(11):1409-1411. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2018.07.017
- ¹²⁹ 20. Gosselin R-D. Statistical analysis must improve to address the reproducibility crisis: The ACcess to transparent statistics (ACTS) call to action. *Bioessays*. 2020;42(1):e1900189.
- Lang TA, Altman DG. Basic statistical reporting for articles published in biomedical journals: The "statistical analyses and methods in the published literature" or the SAMPL guidelines. Int J Nurs Stud. 2015;52(1):5-9.
- Gentleman R, Lang DT. Statistical analyses and reproducible research. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*. 2007;16(1):1-23. Accessed August 16, 2022. http://www.jstor.org/stable/27594227
- Indrayan A. Reporting of basic statistical methods in biomedical journals: Improved SAMPL guidelines. Indian Pediatrics. 2020;57(1):43-48. doi:10.1007/s13312-020-1702-4