

# Package ‘bipartite’

April 19, 2016

**Type** Package

**Title** Visualising Bipartite Networks and Calculating Some (Ecological) Indices

**Version** 2.06

**Date** 2016-04-18

**Author** Carsten F. Dormann, Jochen Fruend and Bernd Gruber, with additional code from Mariano Devoto, Jose Iriondo, Rouven Strauss and Diego Vazquez, also based on C-code developed by Nils Bluethgen, Aaron Clauset/Rouven Strauss and Miguel Rodriguez-Girones

**Maintainer** Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**Depends** vegan, sna

**Imports** fields, igraph, MASS, methods, permute

**LazyData** TRUE

## Description

Functions to visualise webs and calculate a series of indices commonly used to describe pattern in (ecological) webs. It focuses on webs consisting of only two levels (bipartite), e.g. pollination webs or predator-prey-webs. Visualisation is important to get an idea of what we are actually looking at, while the indices summarise different aspects of the web's topology.

**License** GPL

**NeedsCompilation** yes

**Repository** CRAN

**Date/Publication** 2016-04-19 00:34:30

## R topics documented:

bipartite-package	3
as.one.mode	11
as.tnet	12
barrett1987	14
betweenness_w	14
bezerra2009	16
C.score	17

closeness_w . . . . .	18
clustering_tm . . . . .	19
compart . . . . .	20
computeModules . . . . .	22
czvalues . . . . .	23
degreedistr . . . . .	25
dfun . . . . .	27
discrepancy . . . . .	30
distance_w . . . . .	31
elberling1999 . . . . .	33
empty . . . . .	33
endpoint . . . . .	35
extinction . . . . .	36
fc . . . . .	37
frame2webs . . . . .	38
genweb . . . . .	40
grouplevel . . . . .	41
H2fun . . . . .	47
inouye1988 . . . . .	48
junker2013 . . . . .	49
kato1990 . . . . .	50
kevan1970 . . . . .	51
linklevel . . . . .	52
listModuleInformation . . . . .	53
memmott1999 . . . . .	54
mgen . . . . .	54
moduleWeb-class . . . . .	56
mosquin1967 . . . . .	57
motten1982 . . . . .	58
ND . . . . .	59
nested . . . . .	61
nestedcontribution . . . . .	63
nestedness . . . . .	65
nestedrank . . . . .	67
networklevel . . . . .	68
nodespec . . . . .	77
npartite . . . . .	79
null.distr . . . . .	80
null.t.test . . . . .	81
nullmodel . . . . .	83
olesen2002aigrettes . . . . .	85
olesen2002flores . . . . .	85
ollerton2003 . . . . .	86
PAC . . . . .	87
PDI . . . . .	88
plotModuleWeb . . . . .	90
plotPAC . . . . .	91
plotweb . . . . .	93

plotweb2 . . . . .	97
printoutModuleInformation . . . . .	100
projecting_tm . . . . .	100
r2dexternal . . . . .	101
robustness . . . . .	103
Safariland . . . . .	104
schemske1978 . . . . .	105
second.extinct . . . . .	106
shuffle.web . . . . .	108
slope.bipartite . . . . .	109
small1976 . . . . .	111
sortweb . . . . .	111
specieslevel . . . . .	113
strength . . . . .	119
swap.web . . . . .	121
symmetrise_w . . . . .	123
tnet_igraph . . . . .	124
togetherness . . . . .	125
V.ratio . . . . .	126
vazarr . . . . .	127
vazcer . . . . .	128
vazllao . . . . .	128
vazmasc . . . . .	129
vazmasnc . . . . .	129
vaznull . . . . .	130
vazquec . . . . .	131
vazquenc . . . . .	132
vazquez.example . . . . .	132
versionlog . . . . .	134
visweb . . . . .	143
web2edges . . . . .	146
webs2array . . . . .	147
wine . . . . .	148
<b>Index</b>	<b>152</b>

## Description

Bipartite provides functions to visualise webs and calculate a series of indices commonly used to describe pattern in (ecological) networks, a.k.a. webs. It focusses on webs consisting of only two levels, e.g. pollinator-visitation or predator-prey webs. Visualisation is important to get an idea of what we are actually looking at, while the indices summarise different aspects of the webs topology.

## Details

Input for most analyses is an interaction matrix of  $m$  species from one group (“higher”) with  $n$  species from another group (“lower”), i.e. a  $n \times m$  matrix, where higher level species are in columns, lower level species in rows. Column and row names can be provided. This is fundamentally different from “one-mode” webs, which are organised as  $k \times k$  matrix, i.e. one group of species only, in which each species can interact with each other. Such a format is incompatible with the functions we provide here. (Note, however, that functions [as.one.mode](#) and [web2edges](#) are convenience functions to morph bipartite networks into one-mode webs. Furthermore, some indices build on one-mode networks and are called from bipartite.)

Before you start with the network, you have to get the data into the right shape. The function [frame2webs](#) aims to facilitate this process. Arranging a web, e.g. by size, is supported by [sortweb](#).

The typical first step is to **visualise** the network. Two functions are on offer here: one ([visweb](#)) simply plots the matrix in colours depicting the strength of an interaction and options for re-arranging columns and rows (e.g. to identify compartments or nesting). The other function ([plotweb](#)) plots the actual web with participants (as two rows of rectangles) connected by lines (proportional to interaction strength). Both can be customised by many options.

The second step is to **calculate indices** describing network topography. There are **three** different levels this can be achieved at: the entire web (using function [networklevel](#)), at the level of each group (also using function [networklevel](#)) or the individual species (using function [specieslevel](#)). Most other functions in the package are helpers, although some can be called on their own and return the respective result ([dfun](#), [H2fun](#) and [second.extinct](#) with [slope.bipartite](#)).

The third step is to **compare results to null models**. Many interaction matrices are very incomplete snapshots of the true underlying network (e.g. a one-week sampling of a pollination network on a patch of 4 x 4 meters). As a consequence, many species were rarely observed, many are singletons (only one recording). To make analyses comparable across networks with different sampling intensity and number of species per group, we need a common yardstick. We suggest that users should use a null model, i.e. an algorithm that randomises entries while constraining some web properties (such as dimensions, marginal totals or connectance). The function [nullmodel](#) provides a few such null models, but this is a wide field of research and we make no recommendations (actually, we do: see Dormann et al. 2009 and Dormann 2011, both shipping in the doc-folder of this package). You can also simulate networks using [genweb](#) or [null.distr](#).

Finally, bipartite comes with 23 quantitative pollination network data sets taken from the NCEAS interaction webs data base (use `data(package="bipartite")` to show their names) and it has a few miscellaneous functions looking at some special features of bipartite networks (such as modularity: [computeModules](#) or apparent competition: [PAC](#)).

**Speed:** The code of bipartite is almost exclusively written in R. You can increase the speed a bit (by 30 to 50 %, depending on the functions you use) by compiling functions on-the-fly. To do so, you need to load the **compiler** package and type: `enableJIT(3)`. The first time you call a function, it will be compiled to bytecode (just-in-time: jit), which takes a few seconds, but the second call will be substantially faster than without compilation. In the few tests we have run, this improvement was NOT substantial (i.e. a few tens of percent), indicating, I guess, that our R code wasn't too bad. See **compiler**-help files or <http://www.r-statistics.com/2012/04/speed-up-your-r-code-using-a-just-in-time-jit-compiler/> for details.

See help pages for details and examples.

Package: bipartite

Type: Package  
 Version: 2.06  
 Date: 2016-04-18  
 License: GPL

## versionlog

Please see help page [versionlog](#) for all changes and updates prior to version 2.00. This page will only list most recent changes.

- 2.07 (release date: 18-Apr-2016)

**Some explanation added to [czvalues](#)**, where a z-value of NA is returned if a species is alone (in its trophic level) in a module. This is due to the way z-values are computed, and not a bug.

**Function [nestedcontribution](#)** was not exported in the namespace. Fixed. Thanks to various people reporting this.

- 2.06 (release date: 29-Sep-2015)

**Bug fix in [C.score](#)**, which did not compute the maximum number of possible checkerboards correctly, and hence let the normalised C-score to be incorrect. Now it uses a brute-force approach, which works fine but takes its time.

**Function [nestedcontribution](#)** was not exported (i.e. not listed in the namespace file). Fixed. Thanks to Wesley Dáttillo for reporting.

**Help page of [specieslevel](#)** now correctly described a species' degree as sum of its links. Thanks to Bernhard Hoiß for the correction!

**C++-warnings addressed:** outcommented some unused variables in dendro.h and removed some fprintf-warnings in bmn5.cc

**Little bug fix in [vaznull](#):** Threw an error when matrix was without 0s. Thanks to Thais Zanata for reporting.

- 2.05 (release date: 24-Nov-2014)

**New function [nestedcontribution](#)** which computes the contribution of each species to the overall nestedness, based on Bascompte et al. 2003 and as used by Saavedra et al. 2011. Many thanks to Daniel Stouffer for contributing this function!

**New function [mgen](#):** this function is based on R-code written by Diego Vázquez (many thanks for sending the code), with a bit of brushing up options by CFD. The function takes a probability matrix generated by whatever mechanism and builds a null model from it. This is a nifty little idea, making null modelling concerned with generating ideas on what makes an interaction probable and leaving the step of producing and integer-network of simulated interactions to this function.

**minor fixes in [networklevel](#)** “weighted connectance” was only returned when “linkage density” was in “index” call; now also available on its own. Also slightly revised the help file.

**[nested](#) with option ‘weighted NODF’ called the unsorted version of this function**, while calling the same index in [networklevel](#) called the sorted. This is not nice (although not strictly wrong). Now both call the sorted version and users have to directly invoke `nestednodf` for the unsorted option. Many thanks to Julian Resasco for reporting!

**Changes to the help page of `vaznull`:** I (CFD) misread the original paper introducing this null model and hence assumed that `vaznull` would constrain marginal totals **and** connectance. However, this was not intended in Diego Vázquez original implementation and never stated anywhere (except in the help pages of this function here in bipartite). Hence, the help pages were changed to now reflect both intention and actual action of this function. This also means that currently only one null model with constrained marginal totals **and** connectance is available: `swap.web`. Many thanks to Diego for clearing this up!

**Some example code had to be re-written** to adapt to the upcoming/new structure of `vegan`, which got rid of function `commsimulator` (replaced by `simulate`). Many thanks to Jari Oksanen for informing me about this!

**Added an error message** to function `second.extinct` for the case that a user wants to provide an extinction sequence for both trophic levels. There is no obvious way to simulate this across the two groups, and hence it is not implemented. Also added error messages for non-matching vector/web dimensions and alike.

- 2.04 (release date: 25-Mar-2014)

**R-C++-communication bug fixed in `computeModules`:** This bug has been a constant thorn in my side. Somehow the C-code behind `computeModules` could only be called once. On second call, it returned an error because somehow it kept some old files in memory. So far, I used a work-around (unloading and re-loading the dynamic library), which only worked on Windows and Mac. I still don't fully understand it, but thanks to Tobias Hegemann (whom I paid for being more competent than myself) we now have a function running bug-free on all platforms. (Deep sigh of relief.)

**The call of index “functional complementarity” through `networklevel` did not work.** Fixed this legacy issue, which was due to a confusion created by the index' earlier name of “functional diversity”.

**Help page to `specieslevel` gave incomplete name for one index:** Should be ‘interaction push pull’; also the function itself had the “push pull”-bit messed up. Thanks to Natacha Chacoff for reporting!

**Sequence of indices differed between lower and higher level. (Fixed.)** Both should be the same and should fit the description in the help file. Thanks to Jimmy O'Donnell for reporting!

- 2.03 (release date: 15-Jan-2014)

**Some ghost text led to conflicts with the updated package checking.** Ghost text deleted. Thanks to Brian Ripley of the R-Team and CRAN for not only reporting the issue but also pointing to its solution!

**Option ‘empty.web’ added to `specieslevel`:** Similar to the argument in `networklevel`; non-interacting species from the network were always excluded so far; new option ‘FALSE’ not fully tested yet.

**Minor bug fix in `specieslevel`:** “pollination support index” returned “PST”; “PDI” now referenced correctly as “paired differences index”.

**Simplification in `grouplevel` and correspondingly in `networklevel`:** Previously, `index="generality"` or `"vulnerability"` was identical to `"effective partners"` with option `weighted=TRUE`, but different for `weighted=FALSE` (to which only `"effective partners"` responded). We reduced this to one index called `"generality"` or `"vulnerability"` (depending on the focal group), but which will now give the non-weighted mean if option `weighted=FALSE`. It can still be called by `"effective partners"` for backward compatibility.

**Function `grouplevel` used `fd` wrongly!** Instead of returning the value for rows, it returned the functional diversity for columns (and vice versa). We also used the opportunity to rename the index to its correct name: “functional complementarity” and the function to `fc`. Help pages for `fc` and `grouplevel` were adapted accordingly. Thanks to Mariano Devoto for pointing out this mistake!

**New index “weighted connectance” in function `networklevel`:** This index is simply computed as linkage density divided by number of species in the network. Note that using `empty.web=TRUE` will affect this value (which is intended). Thanks to Becky Morris for suggesting to add this index here.

**Help page for function `PDI` corrected.** Thanks to Timothy Poisot for reporting some issues in the help page.

- 2.02 (release date: 30-Sep-2013)

**Glitch fixed in `grouplevel` (thus also affecting `networklevel`).** Networks with only one species in one of the two levels resulted in errors, rather than simply return NA for C-score and secondary extinction computation. Thanks to whoever it was for reporting (at the IN-TECOL workshop).

**Minor bug fixes in `specieslevel`:** Gave error messages for closeness and betweenness if the network had no shortest path. Now returns a warning and NAs instead. Reported: JF.

**Minor bug fix in `networklevel`:** Failed to work when an index was listed twice in the function call. Reported: JF.

**New function `r2dexternal`:** This function is a null model algorithm like `Patefields` (`r2dtable`), but it expects externally measured abundances to compute the null model-expectation. Experimental.

**Memory leak in `computeModules` fixed.** Because some object was not deleted, memory consumption of this function shot through the roof (with time). Since R has a somewhat weird way of handling memory, I think that also subsequent operations were slower (because the dynamically expanded memory is not being shrunk again, which is a problem if you use the hard drive as RAM). Thanks to Florian Hartig for investing the time to fix it!

- 2.01 (release date: 28-Jun-2013) This release features smoothing of various glitches that were introduced when we cleaned up the code for version 2.00.

**New index for `specieslevel`:** Computes the nestedness rank (as proposed by Alarcon et al. 2008). Can also be employed directly using the **new function `nestedrank`** with options for weighting for number of interactions per link, normalising the rank and different method to compute the nestedness-arranged matrix.

**Polishing `specieslevel`:** Now returns an error message if the index is not recognised, instead of an empty list.

**Function `plotweb`** received an option to plot additional individuals of a species in different ways. For a host-parasitoid network, some hosts are not parasitised. This data vector can now be interpreted in two ways, making the plotting function a bit more flexible.

**Function `degreedistr`** can now be invoked for each level separately. Also arguments can be passed to the plotting options.

**New data set `junker2013`:** a nice and large pollination network. Thanks to Robert Junker for providing this data set!

**Fixed computation of secondary extinction slopes for both levels simultaneously** for random extinction sequences. This was so far not possible, because the function did not combine extinction sequences of different lengths. This was simply an oversight, reported by Richard Lance. (Thanks!)

- 2.00 (release date: 15-Mar-2013) A new version number usually indicates substantial changes. In this case, we have re-named and re-grouped some of the output of `networklevel` and `specieslevel` for greater consistency and transparency. Beware! Running the same functions now (2.00 and up) will yield different results to <2.00 (because the same values are now in a different sequence).

We also started carefully renaming indices and re-writing help files. The main reason is that we started this work thinking of pollination networks. Over time, however, other types of ecological networks came into focus, and now also non-ecological networks are on the table. Thus, we started (and shall continue) referring to lower and higher levels, rather than plant and pollinators, hosts and predators or even trophic levels. Thus, in our emerging nomenclature the two levels are referred to as “groups” (their members remain “species” interacting with their “partners” in the other group).

Please read (or at least skim) the help pages before using a function of version 2.00 for the first time.

In function `specieslevel` indices can now be computed for levels separately (or together). Few user-visible changes, but complete re-structuring under the hood. Option ‘species number’ was moved to `grouplevel` as ‘number of species’.

In the new function `grouplevel` we collected all indices that can be computed for each of the two groups (i.e. trophic or other levels). Indices can be computed for each group separately or for both simultaneously. All group-level indices are also accessible through `networklevel`!

In the new function `linklevel` we collected all indices that can be computed for each cell of the bipartite matrix. Currently, there are few such indices, however.

In function `networklevel` we dropped the plotting options. Users wanting to plot degree distributions or extinction slopes are encouraged to use the functions `degreedistr` and `slope.bipartite`, respectively.

Furthermore, due to licensing issues, we copy-pasted several functions from the package **tnet**, created and maintained by Tore Opsahl, to bipartite. We have so far called these functions from tnet, but only recently did R start to enforce license compatibility, which caused this step (bipartite being GPL and tnet being CC by-NC 3.0). We are really very grateful to Tore for allowing us to include the following functions: `as.tnet`, `betweenness_w`, `closeness_w`, `clustering_tm`, `distance_w`, `symmetrise_w`, `tnet_igraph`.

Here a more detailed list of changes:

- `networklevel` – Function call and output now more consistent in naming and sequence. When higher and lower level indices are given (e.g. extinction slopes, number of shared partners), the first will always be the one referring to the property of the *lower* level. From a pollinator network perspective, the first value in such a pair describes a plant-level index, the second a pollinator-level index.
- Indices ‘mean interaction diversity’ dropped from `networklevel`. We found no reference to this metric and saw little use for it. It is very similar to vulnerability/generalizability and can easily be computed from the output of `specieslevel` as `mean(specieslevel(web, index="diversity"))`.
- Now also accepts non-integer values as input. The argument ‘H2\_integer’ will then automatically be set to FALSE. Will return NA for those indices that cannot be computed (e.g. Fisher’s alpha). As a knock-on effect, `H2fun` had to be slightly adapted to round to machine precision when searching for H2min. (A somewhat technical detail, but making H2fun getting caught sometimes.)



- New function `grouplevel`** in which we collected indices that can be computed for each of the two groups (i.e. trophic or other levels). Indices can be computed for each group separately or for both simultaneously. All group-level indices are also accessible through `networklevel`!
- New function `linklevel`** in which we collect indices that can be computed for each cell of the bipartite matrix.
- New option to `PDI`:** ‘normalise=FALSE’ offers the option of using the index as originally proposed, although we prefer to use TRUE and made this the default.
- Corrected network `bezerra2009`.** Network was actually the transpose of the correct network and hence wrongly had plant species as columns.
- New function `endpoint`** computes end-point degrees following Barrat et al. (2004); one of the indices computed at `linklevel`.
- New function `frame2webs`** helps organising data into one or more webs.
- New function `webs2array`** helps organising webs into one array.
- Function `specieslevel`** gained two new indices (thanks to Jochen Fründ): ‘proportional’ ‘similarity’ and ‘proportional generality’. See help page of that function for details.
- New function `npartite`** Experimental function to analyse more-than-2-level networks.
- `visweb`** now obeys the label size to make sure labels are always in the plotting area. Thanks to Zachary Grinspan for drawing our attention to this issue.
- Little bug fix in `second.extinct`** Function failed for argument ‘participant=“both”’ because I filled the extinction sequence with the wrong number of 0s (to achieve always the same dimensionality of results in repeated runs). Thanks to Carine Emer for reporting!
- `specieslevel`** failed to work for non-matrix data (i.e. `data.frames`). It now coerces `data.frames` to matrix as a first step and hence should work also on `data.frames`. Thanks to Marina Wolowski for drawing our attention to this problem.
- Minor bug fix in `dfun`:** When external abundances were provided with a 0 in it, `dfun` could throw up Inf-values. Reported by Indrani Singh and fixed by Jochen Fründ.
- Settings for functions called by `nested`** are now enshrined in stone. The initial reason was to set only the default for one function (`nestedness`) to a faster setting (`‘null.models=FALSE’`), but then I decided to restrict all settings to the defaults of the functions called (except for this one option).
- Bug fix for the rarely used function `null.t.test`:** Did not work if only one index was given.

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann, Jochen Fründ and Bernd Gruber, with additional code from many others (referred to in the respective help file), noticeably from Tore Opsahl’s `tnet` package.

Maintainer: Carsten Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

## References

- Alarcon, R., Waser, N.M. and Ollerton, J. 2008. Year-to-year variation in the topology of a plant-pollinator interaction network. *Oikos* **117**, 1796–1807
- Almeida-Neto, M. and Ulrich, W. (2011) A straightforward computational approach for measuring nestedness using quantitative matrices. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, **26**, 173–178

- Bascompte, J., Jordano, P. and Olesen, J. M. (2006) Asymmetric coevolutionary networks facilitate biodiversity maintenance. *Science* **312**, 431–433
- Bersier, L. F., Banasek-Richter, C. and Cattin, M. F. (2002) Quantitative descriptors of food-web matrices. *Ecology* **83**, 2394–2407
- Blüthgen, N., Menzel, F. and Blüthgen, N. (2006) Measuring specialization in species interaction networks. *BMC Ecology* **6**, 12
- Blüthgen, N., Menzel, F., Hovestadt, T., Fiala, B. and Blüthgen, N. (2007) Specialization, constraints, and conflicting interests in mutualistic networks. *Current Biology* **17**, 1–6
- Corso G., de Araújo A.I.L. and de Almeida A.M. (2008) A new nestedness estimator in community networks. *arXiv*, 0803.0007v1 [physics.bio-ph]
- Dalsgaard, B., A. M. Martín González, J. M. Olesen, A. Timmermann, L. H. Andersen, and J. Ollerton. (2008) Pollination networks and functional specialization: a test using Lesser Antillean plant-hummingbird assemblages. *Oikos* **117**, 789–793
- Devoto M., Bailey S., Craze P. & Memmott J. (2012) Understanding and planning ecological restoration of plant-pollinator networks. *Ecology Letters* **15**, 319–328
- Dormann, C.F., Fründ, J., Blüthgen, N., and Gruber, B. (2009) Indices, graphs and null models: analysing bipartite ecological networks. *The Open Ecology Journal* **2**, 7–24
- Dormann, C.F. (2011) How to be a specialist? Quantifying specialisation in pollination networks. *Network Biology* **1**, 1–20
- Galeano J., Pastor J.M. and Iriando J.M. (2008) Weighted-Interaction Nestedness Estimator (WINE): A new estimator to calculate over frequency matrices. *arXiv* 0808.3397v1 [physics.bio-ph]
- Martín Gonzáles, A.M., Dalsgaard, B. and Olesen, J.M. (2009) Centrality measures and the importance of generalist species in pollination networks. *Ecological Complexity*, **7**, 36–43
- Memmott, J., Waser, N. M. and Price, M. V. (2004) Tolerance of pollination networks to species extinctions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **271**, 2605–2611
- Morris, R. J., Lewis, O. T. and Godfray, H. C. J. (2004) Experimental evidence for apparent competition in a tropical forest food web. *Nature* **428**, 310–313
- Morris, R. J., Lewis, O. T. and Godfray, H. C. J. (2005) Apparent competition and insect community structure: towards a spatial perspective. *Annales Zoologica Fennici* **42**, 449–462.
- Müller, C. B., Adriaanse, I. C. T., Belshaw, R. and Godfray, H. C. J. (1999) The structure of an aphid-parasitoid community. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **68**, 346–370
- Poisot, T., Lepennetier, G., Martinez, E., Ramsayer, J., and Hochberg, M.E. (2011a) Resource availability affects the structure of a natural bacteria-bacteriophage community. *Biology Letters* **7**, 201–204
- Poisot, T., Bever, J.D., Nemri, A., Thrall, P.H., and Hochberg, M.E. (2011b) A conceptual framework for the evolution of ecological specialisation. *Ecology Letters* **14**, 841–851
- Tylianakis, J. M., Tscharntke, T. and Lewis, O. T. (2007) Habitat modification alters the structure of tropical host-parasitoid food webs. *Nature* **445**, 202–205
- Vázquez, D. P. and Aizen, M. A. (2004) Asymmetric specialization: A pervasive feature of plant-pollinator interactions. *Ecology* **85**, 1251–1257
- Vázquez, D.P., Chacoff, N.,P. and Cagnolo, L. (2009) Evaluating multiple determinants of the structure of plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Ecology* **90**, 2039–2046.

## Examples

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
plotweb(Safariland)
visweb(Safariland)
networklevel(Safariland)
specieslevel(Safariland)

## End(Not run)
```

---

as.one.mode

*Conversion of a network matrix*


---

## Description

This helper function converts a bipartite matrix into a one-mode matrix.

## Usage

```
as.one.mode(web, fill = 0, project="full", weighted=TRUE)
```

## Arguments

web	A matrix with lower trophic level species as rows, higher trophic level species as columns and number of interactions as entries.
fill	What shall unobserved combinations be represented as in the one-mode matrix (see below)? Defaults to 0. Set to NA if links not possible for bipartite networks should be masked (i.e. those within a level).
project	There are different ways to convert a two-mode (bipartite) network into one-mode networks. The most common is to focus on one set (e.g. the n pollinators) and compute a n x n matrix with entries between species that pollinate the same plant ("higher"). Similarly, one can compute a k x k matrix for the k plant species ("lower"). Or, finally and the default, one can compute an (n+k) x (n+k) matrix in which only the observed interactions are present ("full"). This is in fact a near-trivial, symmetric matrix with 0s between species of the same trophic level.
weighted	Logical; shall the strength of links be included in the one-mode output? Defaults to TRUE, but can be set to FALSE to turn a weighted two-mode into a binary one-mode network.

## Details

In bipartite (or: two-mode) networks, participants are of different types (e.g. pollinators and plants, actors and parties in social research). Hence, a party cannot connect to another party except through actors. A pollinator interacts with another pollinator only through the host plant.

Much network theory, however, is based on one-mode networks, where all participants are listed in one vector, i.e. plants and pollinators alike, actors together with events. This function here

transforms the more condensed bipartite representation into a one-mode-representation, filling the unobserved type of interactions (i.e. plants with plants and pollinators with pollinators) with 0 (unless you specify it differently in ‘fill’).

The lower trophic level (e.g. plants or rows) is listed first, then the higher trophic level (e.g. pollinators or columns). Hence, pollinator 2 becomes species number  $r+2$ , where  $r$  is the number of rows of the network matrix.

The benefit of this conversion is access to the wonderful R-package Social Network Analysis (**sna**), with its many one-mode indices (such as betweenness, closeness, centralization, degree, kpath.census and so forth). Furthermore, gplot in that package also provides cool network depictions well worth checking out.

With respect to **bipartite**, `as.one.mode` is employed in the function `nodespec`, which itself uses the **sna**-function `geodist`.

### Value

A matrix of dimension  $(n+k) \times (n+k)$ , where  $n$  and  $k$  are the dimensions of the input web. Both dimensions are given the names of the original web (first the lower, then the higher trophic level).

### Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

### See Also

Function `projecting_tm` in package **tnet** provide smarter ways of converting two-modes into one-modes. This function can be accessed after transforming the web-matrix into an edge list using `web2edges`.

### Examples

```
data(Safariland)
image(Safariland)
image(as.one.mode(Safariland))
```

---

as.tnet

*Ensures that networks conform to the tnet standards*

---

### Description

Checks that a network conforms to the tnet standards, and attaches a label. If the type parameter is not set, the network is assumed to be a binary two-mode network, a weighted one-mode network, or a longitudinal network if there are 2, 3, or 4 columns respectively. Moreover, if a matrix is entered (more than 4 columns and rows), it is assumed to be a weighted one-mode network if square or a two-mode network if non-square.

### Usage

```
as.tnet(net, type=NULL)
```

**Arguments**

net	A network in an edgelist or matrix format. It can be a weighted one-mode network, a binary two-mode network, a weighted two-mode network, or a longitudinal network. If the data-object has two-columns, it is assumed to be a binary two-mode network; three columns, weighted one-mode network; four columns, longitudinal; five or more and the same number of rows and columns, weighted one-mode network; five or more and –not– the same number of rows and columns, it is assumed to be a two-mode network.
type	If you would like to specify the type of network. This could be "weighted one-mode tnet", "binary two-mode tnet", "weighted two-mode tnet", or "longitudinal tnet".

**Value**

Returns the network with an attached table.

**Note**

version 1.0.0, taken, with permission, from package tnet

**Author(s)**

Tore Opsahl; <http://toreopsahl.com>

**Examples**

```
## Load sample data
sample <- rbind(
  c(1,2,4),
  c(1,3,2),
  c(2,1,4),
  c(2,3,4),
  c(2,4,1),
  c(2,5,2),
  c(3,1,2),
  c(3,2,4),
  c(4,2,1),
  c(5,2,2),
  c(5,6,1),
  c(6,5,1))

## Run the programme
as.tnet(sample)
```

---

barrett1987

*Individuals caught in a pollination web in boreal Canada.*


---

### Description

This study took place in the boreal forest of central New Brunswick, Canada, from May to September of 1978, 1979, and 1980. The objective was to investigate the role of animals in pollination and seed dispersal. The study was designed to provide basic descriptive information on breeding systems, pollination biology, and phenology of understory herbs.

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of individual flower visitors caught on each plant species. The total number of individuals collected on each plant species provide a rough estimate of the level of visitation that each species received. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

### Usage

```
data(barrett1987)
```

### References

Barrett, S. C. H. and Helenurm, K. (1987) The Reproductive-Biology Of Boreal Forest Herbs. 1. Breeding Systems And Pollination. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **65**, 2036–2046

### Examples

```
data(barrett1987)
```

---

betweenness\_w

*Betweenness centrality in a weighted network*


---

### Description

This function calculates betweenness scores for nodes in a weighted network based on the distance\_w-function.

Note: This algorithm relies on the igraphs package's implementation of Dijkstra's algorithm. Currently, it does not find multiple shortest paths if two exist.

### Usage

```
betweenness_w(net, directed=NULL, alpha=1)
```

**Arguments**

net	A weighted edgelist
directed	logical, whether the network is directed or undirected. Default is NULL, this means that the function checks whether the edgelist is directed or not.
alpha	sets the alpha parameter in the generalised measures from Opsahl, T., Agneessens, F., Skvoretz, J., 2010. Node Centrality in Weighted Networks: Generalizing Degree and Shortest Paths. Social Networks. If this parameter is set to 1 (default), the Dijkstra shortest paths are used. The length of these paths rely simply on the tie weights and disregards the number of nodes on the paths.

**Value**

Returns a data.frame with two columns: the first column contains the nodes' ids, and the second column contains the nodes' betweenness scores.

**Note**

version 1.0.0, taken, with permission, from package tnet

**Author(s)**

Tore Opsahl; <http://toreopsahl.com>

**References**

<http://toreopsahl.com/2009/02/20/betweenness-in-weighted-networks/>

**Examples**

```
## Load sample data
sampledata <- rbind(
  c(1,2,1),
  c(1,3,5),
  c(2,1,1),
  c(2,4,6),
  c(3,1,5),
  c(3,4,10),
  c(4,2,6),
  c(4,3,10))

## Run the programme
betweenness_w(sampledata)
```

---

bezerra2009	<i>Individuals observed in a flower-visitation network of oil-collecting bees in a Brazilian steppe.</i>
-------------	--

---

## Description

Observation of 38 individual plants from 13 oil-flower species of the family Malpighiaceae. Flower visitors were collected. Only legitimate visitors were considered. Numbers in cells refer to the amount of visitors of each bee species collected in each flower species.

The species interaction matrix describes the number of bee visits to 138 individual plants in natural clumps of 13 Malpighiaceae species during the flowering peak of each species. The number of bee visits to flowers was registered over four consecutive days, from 5.00 to 17.00 with a total of 1392 h of observations.

Location: Parque Nacional do Catimbau, Brazil (8°24'00" - 37° 36'35"S and 3° 09'30" - 37° 14'40"W)

Biome: Caatinga (Brazilian steppe)

The paper itself contrasted a network of Malpighiaceae oil-flowers and associated oil-collecting bees from a Brazilian steppe ("caatinga") to whole pollination networks from all over the world available in the Interaction Web Database. The caatinga network had a perfectly balanced proportion of plants and animals (13 x 13) and was more nested and less modular than all of the 22 whole pollination networks studied. The authors concluded that the oil-flower subweb is more cohesive and resilient than whole pollination networks, reinforcing the hypothesis that each ecological service is in fact a mosaic of different subservices with a hierarchical structure ("webs within webs").

Take from the NCEAS interaction web database (<http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/interactionweb>).

## Usage

```
data(bezerra2009)
```

## References

Bezerra, E.L.S., Machado, I.C.S. and Mello, M.A.R. 2009. Pollination networks of oil-flowers: a tiny world within the smallest of all worlds. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **78**, 1096–1101.

## Examples

```
data(bezerra2009)
```



---

C.score	<i>Calculates the (normalised) mean number of checkerboard combinations (C-score) in a matrix</i>
---------	---

---

### Description

Calculates the C-score for all higher-level species; the C-score represents the average number of checkerboard units for each unique species pair.

### Usage

```
C.score(web, normalise = TRUE, FUN = mean, ...)
```

### Arguments

web	A matrix with pollinators as columns and plants as rows. Alternatively, when used on e.g. species occurrences across islands, rows are islands.
normalise	Logical; if TRUE (default), the C-score is ranged between 0 (no checkerboards) and 1 (only checkerboards). For FALSE the standard value of mean number of checkerboard pairs is returned. This is somewhat awkward for comparing different data sets, that's what the normalisation is for.
FUN	Function to use when summarising the C-scores for each pairwise comparison. Defaults to mean, but other useful functions could be median (because C-scores are rather skewed) or hist (for a nice graph).
...	Options to be passed on to FUN, e.g. 'na.rm=T' for matrices with many zeros and 'normalise=TRUE'.

### Details

As a first step, any quantitative matrix is converted to a binary matrix of presences and absences.

Then, the formula given in Stone and Roberts (1990) is calculated for all species combinations, by calling `designdist` from the package **vegan**. See code for details.

### Value

Returns whatever the 'FUN' produces as output. Default would be a single value, i.e. the mean C-score of the web.

### Note

The normalisation, since Jan. 2015, is by brute force: the 1s and 0s are distributed for each pairwise comparison for maximum checkerboardness. (The previously used approach was incorrect!) As a consequence, large matrices will take some time to compute.

The minimum is set to 0.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann

**References**

Gotelli, N.J. and Rohde, K. (2002) Co-occurrence of ectoparasites of marine fishes: a null model analysis. *Ecology Letters* **5**, 86–94

Stone, L. and Roberts, A. (1990) The checkerboard score and species distributions. *Oecologia* **85**, 74–79

**Examples**

```
m <- matrix(c(1,0,0, 1,1,0, 1,1,0, 0,1,1, 0,0,1), 5,3,TRUE)
C.score(m)
C.score(m, normalise=FALSE)
C.score(m, normalise=FALSE, FUN=print)
```

---

closeness\_w

*Closeness centrality in a weighted network*

---

**Description**

This function calculates closeness scores for nodes in a weighted network based on the distance\_w-function.

**Usage**

```
closeness_w(net, directed=NULL, gconly=TRUE, precomp.dist=NULL, alpha=1)
```

**Arguments**

net	A weighted edgelist
directed	Logical: whether the edgelist is directed or undirected. Default is NULL, then the function detects this parameter.
gconly	Logical: whether to calculate closeness only on the main component (traditional closeness). Default is TRUE. If this parameter is set to FALSE, a closeness measure for all nodes is computed. For details, see <a href="http://toreopsahl.com/2010/03/20/closeness-centrality-in-networks-with-disconnected-components/">http://toreopsahl.com/2010/03/20/closeness-centrality-in-networks-with-disconnected-components/</a>
precomp.dist	If you have already computed the distance matrix using distance_w-function, you can enter the name of the matrix-object here.
alpha	sets the alpha parameter in the generalised measures from Opsahl, T., Agneessens, F., Skvoretz, J., 2010. Node Centrality in Weighted Networks: Generalizing Degree and Shortest Paths. <i>Social Networks</i> . If this parameter is set to 1 (default), the Dijkstra shortest paths are used. The identification procedure of these paths rely simply on the tie weights and disregards the number of nodes on the paths.

**Value**

Returns a data.frame with three columns: the first column contains the nodes' ids, the second column contains the closeness scores, and the third column contains the normalised closeness scores (i.e., divided by N-1).

**Note**

version 1.0.0, taken, with permission, from package tnet

**Author(s)**

Tore Opsahl; <http://toreopsahl.com>

**References**

<http://toreopsahl.com/2009/01/09/average-shortest-distance-in-weighted-networks/>

**Examples**

```
## Load sample data
sampledata <- rbind(
  c(1,2,4),
  c(1,3,2),
  c(2,1,4),
  c(2,3,4),
  c(2,4,1),
  c(2,5,2),
  c(3,1,2),
  c(3,2,4),
  c(4,2,1),
  c(5,2,2),
  c(5,6,1),
  c(6,5,1))

## Run the programme
closeness_w(sampledata)
```

---

clustering\_tm

---

*Redefined clusering coefficient for two-mode networks*


---

**Description**

This function calculates the two-mode clusering coefficient as proposed by Opsahl (2010).

Note: If you are having problems with this function (i.e., run out of memory or it being slow for simulations), there is a quicker and much more memory efficient c++ function. However, this function is not fully integrated in R, and requires a few extra steps. Send me an email to get the source-code and Windows-compiled files.

**Usage**

```
clustering_tm(net, subsample=1, seed=NULL)
```

**Arguments**

net	A binary or weighted two-mode edgelist
subsample	Whether a only a subset of 4-paths should we used when calculating the measure. This is particularly useful when running out of memory analysing large networks. If it is set to 1, all the 4-paths are analysed. If it set to a value below one, this is roughly the proportion of 4-paths that will be analysed. If it is set to an interger greater than 1, this number of ties that form the first part of a 4-path that will be analysed. Note: The c++ functions are better as they analyse the full network.
seed	If a subset of 4-paths is analysed, by setting this parameter, the results are reproducible.

**Value**

Returns the outcome of the equation presented in the paper

**Note**

version 1.0.0, taken, with permission, from package tnet

**Author(s)**

Tore Opsahl; <http://toreopsahl.com>

**References**

Opsahl, T. 2010. Triadic closure in two-mode networks: Redefining the global and local clustering coefficients. *arXiv*,1006.0887

---

compart

*Detects compartments*


---

**Description**

Finds number of compartments, based on multivariate ordination techniques, and labels interactions according to the compartment they belong to.

**Usage**

```
compart(web)
```

**Arguments**

web	A bipartite interaction web, i.e.~a matrix with higher (cols) and lower (rows) trophic levels.
-----	--

**Details**

Internal function, to be called by [networklevel](#).

**Value**

Returns a list with two entries:

cweb	A matrix similar to web, but now with compartment numbers instead of interaction values.
ncompart	The number of compartments.

**Note**

Note that up to (and including) version 0.85 we used a code based on correspondence analysis (see Lewinsohn et al. 2006). This is, however, faulty for webs with many same-linked species. Hence we resorted to a brute-force search for compartments, which is orders of magnitude slower, but at least works correctly. Only in version 1.18 Juan M. Barreneche eventually found a solution that is fast and works with ties!

**Author(s)**

Juan M. Barreneche <jumanbar@gmail.com>, but please co-copy comments/questions to package maintainer: Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**References**

Lewinsohn, T. M., P. I. Prado, P. Jordano, J. Bascompte, and J. M. Olesen (2006) Structure in plant-animal interaction assemblages. *Oikos* **113**, 174–184

**See Also**

See also [networklevel](#).

**Examples**

```
# make a nicely comparted web:
web <- matrix(0, 10,10)
web[1,1:3] <- 1
web[2,4:5] <- 1
web[3:7, 6:8] <- 1
web[8:10, 9:10] <- 1
web <- web[-c(4:5),] #oh, and make it asymmetric!
web <- web[,c(1:5, 9,10, 6:8)] #oh, and make it non-diagonal
compart(web)
```

```
# or, standard, use Safariland as example:
data(Safariland)
compart(Safariland)
```

---

computeModules	<i>Function "computeModules"</i>
----------------	----------------------------------

---

## Description

This function takes a bipartite weighted graph and computes modules by applying M. E. J. Newman's modularity measure in a bipartite weighted version to it. During the computation files are written onto the hard drive disk. These files are by default deleted after the computation terminates. Details of the modularity algorithm can be found in Dormann & Strauß (2013).

## Usage

```
computeModules(web, deep = FALSE, deleteOriginalFiles = TRUE,
steps = 1000000, tolerance = 1e-10, experimental = FALSE)
```

## Arguments

web	web is the matrix representing the weighted bipartite graph (as an example, see e.g. web "small1976" in this package).
deep	If deep is set to FALSE (default), a flat clustering is computed, otherwise sub-modules are identified recursively within modules.
deleteOriginalFiles	If deleteOriginalFiles is set to TRUE (default), the files mentioned above in the description are deleted from the hard drive disk, otherwise not.
steps	steps is the number of steps after which the computation of modules stops if no better division into modules than the current one can be found.
tolerance	How small should the difference between MCMC-swap results be? At some point computer precision fluctuations make the algorithm fail to converge, which is why we choose a (very low) defaults of 1E-10.
experimental	Logical; using an undescribed and untested version for which no detail is available? (We suggest: not yet.)

## Value

An object of class "moduleWeb" containing information about the computed modules. For details, please refer to the corresponding documentation file.

## Note

For perfectly compartmentalised networks the algorithm may throw an error message. Please add a little bit of noise (e.g. uniform between 0 and 1 or so) and it will work again.

**Author(s)**

Rouven Strauss, with fixes by Carsten Dormann and Tobias Hegemann

**References**

Dormann, C. F., and R. Strauß. 2013. Detecting modules in quantitative bipartite networks: the QuaBiMo algorithm. *arXiv [q-bio.QM]* 1304.3218.

Newman M.E.J. 2004. *Physical Review E* **70** 056131

**See Also**

See also class "moduleWeb", function "listModuleInformation", function "printoutModuleInformation".

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
data(small1976)
(res <- computeModules(small1976)) # takes several minutes!
plotModuleWeb(res)

## End(Not run)
```

---

 czvalues

---

*Computes c and z for network modules*


---

**Description**

Function to compute c and z values of module members according to Guimerà & Amaral (2005), with formulae taken from Olesen et al. (2007)

**Usage**

```
czvalues(moduleWebObject, weighted=FALSE, level="higher")
```

**Arguments**

moduleWebObject

A moduleWeb-class object as created by [computeModules](#).

weighted

logical; if TRUE computes c and z from quantitative (=weighted) data; in this case, it will compute strength, rather than degrees for each species.

level

"higher" or "lower" trophic level to compute c and z values for; defaults to "higher"

## Details

$c = 1 - \sum (k_{it}/k_i)^2$  # among-module connectivity = participation coefficient  $P$  in Guimerà & Amaral

$z = (k_{is} - \bar{k}_s) / SD.k_s$  # within-module degree

$k_{is}$  = number of links of  $i$  to other species in its own module  $s$ ;  $\bar{k}_s$  = average  $k_{is}$  of all species in module  $s$ ;  $SD.k_s$  = standard deviation of  $k_{is}$  of all species in module  $s$ ;  $k_{it}$  = number of links of species  $i$  to module  $t$ ;  $k_i$  = degree of species  $i$

Note that for any species alone (in its level) in a module the  $z$ -value will be NaN, since then  $SD.k_s$  is 0. This is a limitation of the way the  $z$ -value is defined (in multiples of degree/strength standard deviations).

Olesen et al. (2006) give critical  $c$  and  $z$  values of 0.62 and 2.6, respectively. Species exceeding these values are deemed connectors or hubs of a network. The justification of these thresholds remains unclear to me. They may also not apply for the quantitative version.

## Value

A list with two vectors,  $c$  and  $z$ , for all species of the selected trophic level.

## Note

These indices were developed for one-mode networks; we'll have to see whether they make sense for bipartite networks, too! In particular, note that this function is based on a higher trophic level perspective. While the modules are identified using both trophic levels,  $c$  and  $z$  are computed through the strengths/degrees of only one trophic level. It would be desirable to have a truly two-level version. Since there are usually very different numbers of species in the two trophic levels, simply averaging the values of each trophic level won't do. But maybe a weighted average?

Consider the following problem for computing  $c$  and  $z$  for the higher trophic level: For modules with only one species from the lower trophic level, the  $z$ -values will be NaN, since  $SD.k_s$  is 0! I decided to SET these values to 0, since they only occur when all species in that module will have the same number of links (which is obviously the case when there is only one lower-level species). Then the numerator is also 0. Thus, the value of 0 indicates that this species has no deviation from the rest of the module members (which is what I think  $z$  is supposed to represent).

Since `computeModules` is experimental, also `czvalues` may not always work (i.e. if object `mod` is corrupted or ill-formed).

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>, 20 Mar 2012

## References

- Guimerà, R. and Amaral, L.A.N. (2005) Functional cartography of complex metabolic networks. *Nature* **433**, 895–900.
- Olesen, J.M., Bascompte, J., Dupont, Y.L. and Jordano, P. (2007) The modularity of pollination networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **104**, 19891–19896.



## Examples

```
## Not run:
data(memmott1999)
set.seed(2)
mod <- computeModules(memmott1999, steps=1E4)
cz <- czvalues(mod)
plot(cz[[1]], cz[[2]], pch=16, xlab="c", ylab="z", cex=0.8, xlim=c(0,1), las=1)
abline(v=0.62) # threshold of Olesen et al. 2007
abline(h=2.5)  # dito
text(cz[[1]], cz[[2]], names(cz[[1]]), pos=4, cex=0.7)

## End(Not run)
```

---

degreedistr	<i>Fits functions to cumulative degree distributions of both trophic levels of a network.</i>
-------------	---

---

## Description

This function first calculates degrees for each species, then constructs a cumulative distribution with them, and finally fits three different functions to these distributions: exponential, power law and truncated power law. Coefficients and fits are returned.

## Usage

```
degreedistr(web, plot.it=TRUE, pure.call=TRUE, silent=TRUE, level="both", ...)
```

## Arguments

web	A bipartite network matrix.
plot.it	Logical; returns graphs of fits when set to TRUE (default). Dark, median and light grey lines refer to exponential, power and truncated power law, respectively.
pure.call	Logical; adjusts par for two panels (for TRUE) or leaves this to the wrapper function (FALSE).
silent	Logical; suppresses error reporting in the try-function around nls; defaults to TRUE.
level	For which level shall the degree distributions be computed: 'both', 'lower' or 'higher'? Defaults to 'both'.
...	Arguments passed on to the plot function (e.g. 'las=1').

## Details

Jordano et al. (2003) proposed that plant-animal networks may show scale invariance, as indicated by the presence of a power law in species degrees. They report on consistently better fits of the truncated power law, hypothesising that such patterns may arise from morphological mismatch or phenological uncoupling.

Most problematic with the use of this particular approach is the extreme demand for data. The example web *Safariland* in this package is large (1130 interactions), but it provides only 5 different degree levels (for plants, only 4 for pollinators). Hence fitting three different non-linear functions to these few points is stretching it a bit.

Furthermore, the least-square-fit to the cumulative distribution is not ideal. While the most common approach, it has a bias (albeit much less so than a fit to the probability density function: see Clauset et al. 2009). In an ideal world, we would want to fit the power law properly. This would require a) an estimation of the lower bound of the power law ( $x_{min}$ ) and b) the maximum likelihood fit to the remaining data ( $x > x_{min}$ ). The data demand is however such that is unlikely that any ecological bipartite network in the near future will match it. Clauset et al. state that hundreds to thousands of data points are required to yield satisfactory estimates for  $x_{min}$  and the slope itself. If you happen to have this much data, please consult the software they provide (even in R!).

## Value

For both trophic levels, a table:

```
... trophic level dd fits
```

Contains coefficient estimates, estimate's standard error and P-value, R2 and AIC for each of the three model fits, for the respective trophic level.

If plots are returned, exponential, power law and truncated power law are given in black, dark grey and light grey, respectively.

## Note

The truncated power law fits two coefficients: slope and cut-off. The function only returns the slope. R2-values for non-linear fits are not well liked among statisticians! See the discussion the R-help list (e.g. <https://stat.ethz.ch/pipermail/r-help/2002-July/023461.html>). Finally, often data are too few to yield any fit. In this case the error message "singular gradient" is returned to signal this problem!

Post finally, yes, I am aware that degrees are integers and unlikely to be normally distributed, and that thus the nls procedure is not really a good idea. My (poor) excuse: I followed the implementation of the above-cited paper and do not believe enough in degree distributions (and power laws, for that matter) to implement a proper likelihood-based approach. Check out the **statnet** bundle for alternative approaches to this problem.

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

References

Clauset, A., Shalizi, C. R., & Newman, M. E. (2009). Power-law distributions in empirical data. *SIAM Review* **51**, 661–703

Jordano, P., Bascompte, J. and Olesen, J. M. (2003) Invariant properties in coevolutionary networks of plant-animal interactions. *Ecology Letters* **6**, 69–81

See Also

[networklevel](#), where `degredistr` is called (without picturing the results)

Examples

```
data(Safariland)
degredistr(Safariland)
```

---

dfun	<i>Calculates standardised specialisation index <math>d'</math> (<math>d</math> prime) for each species in the lower trophic level of a bipartite network.</i>
------	--

---

Description

This function returns the specialisation index  $d'$  for the lower level, which expresses how specialised a given species is in relation to what partners in the higher level are on offer.

Usage

```
dfun(web, abuns=NULL)
```

Arguments

web	Web is a matrix representing the interactions observed between higher level species (columns) and lower level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
abuns	A vector of abundances for the HIGHER level, usually from additional information. If none is given (default) marginal sums are used. Note that if a web with rows or columns without any interaction is provided, these will not be purged when an independent abundances vector is given. As a consequence, these species will have a $d'$ of NA (see note below).

## Details

The  $d'$  index is derived from Kulback-Leibler distance (as is Shannon's diversity index), and calculates how strongly a species deviates from a random sampling of interacting partners available. It ranges from 0 (no specialisation) to 1 (perfect specialist). In the case of a pollination web, a pollinator may be occurring only on one plant species, but if this species is the most dominant one, there is limited evidence for specialisation. Hence this pollinator would receive a low value. In contrast, a pollinator that occurs only on the two rarest plants would have a very high value of  $d'$ .

The idea of this index is laid out in Blüthgen et al. (2006). It basically calculates the Shannon-diversity for each column (delivering the raw  $d$ -values) and re-ranges them between the theoretical maximum and minimum (yielding values between 0 and 1).  $d_{\max}$  is given analytically (see paper or code), but  $d_{\min}$  must be found 'heuristically', since the web can only contain integers. The idea behind the heuristic minimum is that  $d$  will be minimal when observed values differ least from expected values based on marginal distributions.

The way this function is implemented, it calculates expected values for each cell based on the product of observed marginal sums (i.e. column and row sums) times `sum(web)`. Then it rounds off to integers and allocates the remaining interactions in two steps: First, all columns and rows with marginal sums of 0 obtain one interaction into the cell with the highest expected value. Secondly, all remaining interactions are distributed according to difference between present and expected value: those cells with highest discrepancy receive an interaction until the sum of all entries in the new web equals those in the original web. Now the  $d$ -values for this web are calculated and used as  $d_{\min}$ .

Simple rounding of expected values would lead to empty columns or rows, i.e. the  $d_{\min}$ -web would be of lower dimension than the original web.

`dfun` returns the  $d'$  values for the lower trophic level. Use `fun(t(web))` to get the  $d'$ -values for the higher trophic level (as does `specieslevel`). If you want to provide external abundances, you must provide those of the OTHER trophic level! (This help file is written as if you were interested in the lower trophic level.)

$d'$  is one of several species-level network indices. It's generalisation to the entire interaction web is called  $H2'$ .

The abundances vector allows to incorporate independent estimates of the abundances of the HIGHER trophic level. In a pollination web, pollinator abundances may be very different from those estimated by the interaction matrix column sums. This has also, obviously, large consequences for the specialisation: A plant being pollinated by a bee that is common on this plant, but very rare in general, will show a low specialisation unless bee abundances are corrected for. Data given in the abundance vector are here used in replacement for the row sums, both in the  $d$ -function itself, as well as in the calculation of the minimum  $d$ s.

In contrast to [H2fun](#), finding the minimum value of  $d$  violates marginal totals. The idea is that we look at each species in turn. Then, we estimate how its observed number of interactions can be distributed, given the marginal totals (i.e. if 5 interactions were observed, they cannot be put into a link that only has 3 interactions across all species). So, for each species the number of interactions never exceeds the total across all species, but if we would put the web together from this sequential scan, it may well do so. In our view, this is irrelevant, because we are interested in the potential of each species separately to be perfectly specialised (given the marginal totals), not for the entire web. We leave this to [H2fun](#).

**Value**

dprime	d'-value for each species
d	Raw d-value for each species, i.e. before ranging it between 0 and 1.
dmin	Minimum d-value for each species, based on a perfect nesting of the matrix; see details.
dmax	Maximum d-value theoretically possible given the observed number of interactions and the observed marginal distributions.

**Note**

When independent abundances were provided, the empty rows/columns are purposefully *not* removed from the web (because they now still contain information). Logically (and as implemented), this leads to d-values for these species of NA. This makes sense: the pollinator, say, has never been observed on any of the flowers, so how can we quantify its specialisation?

As detailed above, deriving the dmin-values 'heuristically' leaves room to some variation. We are very happy with this implementation, but you may want to program something yourself ...

**Author(s)**

Jochen Fründ and Carsten F. Dormann

**References**

Blüthgen, N., Menzel, F. and Blüthgen, N. (2006) Measuring specialization in species interaction networks. *BMC Ecology* **6**, 12

**See Also**

[H2fun](#) for a similar function for the entire network. [specieslevel](#) for a method that, amongst other indices, calls dfun.

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland)
dfun(Safariland) # gives d-values for the lower trophic level
# now using independent abundance estimates for higher trophic level:
dfun(Safariland, abuns=runif(ncol(Safariland)))

dfun(t(Safariland)) #gives d-values for the higher trophic level
```

---

discrepancy

*Calculates discrepancy of a matrix*


---

### Description

Discrepancy is the number of mismatches between a packed (binary) matrix and the maximally packed matrix (with same row sums)

### Usage

```
discrepancy(mat)
```

### Arguments

mat	A matrix (or something that can be transformed into a matrix when <code>as.matrix</code> is called within the function) of species (in columns) on islands (in rows). If quantitative data are given (e.g. in a quantitative pollination network), these are internally transformed into a binary matrix.
-----	---

### Details

Discrepancy is a way to measure the nestedness of a matrix. In a comparative study, Ulrich & Gotelli (2007) showed discrepancy to outperform all other measures and hence recommend its use (together with a fixed-columns, fixed-rows null model, such as implemented in `commsimulator` in **vegan**, see example).

This function follows the logic laid out by Brualdi & Sanderson (1999), although, admittedly, I find their mathematical description highly confusing. Another implementation is given by the function `nsteddisc` in **vegan**. The reason to write a new function is simple: I wasn't aware of `nsteddisc`! (I was sitting on a train and I wanted to use this measure later on, so I put it into a function consulting only the original paper. When looking for the swap algorithm to create null models, which I somehow knew to exist in **vegan**, I stumbled across `nsteddisc`. If you are interested in the swap algorithm and come across this help page, let me re-direct you to `oecosimu` in **vegan**.)

Now that this function exists, too, I found it to differ in output from `nsteddisc`. Jari Oksanen was quick to point out, that our two implementations differ in the way they handle ties in column totals. This function is, I think, closer to the results given in Brualdi & Sanderson. Jari also went on to implement different strategies to deal with ties, so my guess is that his version may be (slightly) superior to this one. Having said that, values don't differ much between the two implementations.

So what does it do: The matrix is sorted by marginal totals, yielding a matrix **A**. Then, all 1s in **A** are "pushed" to the left to maximally compact the matrix, yielding **P**. Discrepancy is now simply the number of disagreements between **A** and **P**, divided by two (to correct for the fact that every "wrong" 1 will necessarily generate a "wrong" 0).

### Value

Returns the number of mismatches, i.e. the discrepancy of the matrix from perfect nestedness.

**Note**

Discrepancy is well-defined only for matrices that can be sorted uniquely. For matrices with ties no foolproof way to handle them has been proposed. For small matrices, or large matrices with many ties, this will lead to different discrepancy values. See also how `nsteddisc` in **vegan** handles this issue! (Thanks to Jari Oksanen for pointing this out!)

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann

**References**

- Brualdi, R.A. and Sanderson, J.G. (1999) Nested species subsets, gaps, and discrepancy. *Oecologia* **119**, 256–264
- Ulrich, W. and Gotelli, N.J. (2007) Disentangling community patterns of nestedness and species co-occurrence. *Oikos* **116**, 2053–2061

**See Also**

`nestednodf` in **vegan** for the best nestedness algorithm in existence today (for both binary and weighted networks!); [nestedness](#) for the most commonly used method to calculate nestedness; [wine](#) for a new, unevaluated but very fast way to calculate nestedness; `nestedtemp` (another implementation of the same method used in our `nestedness`) and `nestedn0` (calculating the number of missing species, which has been shown to be a poor measure of nestedness) in **vegan**

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
#nulls <- replicate(100, discrepancy(comm simulator(Safariland,
method="quasiswap")))
nulls <- simulate(vegan::nullmodel(Safariland, method="quasiswap"), nsim = 100)
null.res <- apply(nulls, 3, discrepancy)
hist(null.res)
obs <- discrepancy(Safariland)
abline(v=obs, lwd=3, col="grey")
c("p value"=min(sum(null.res>obs), sum(null.res<obs))/length(null.res))
# calculate Brualdi & Sanderson's Na-value (i.e. the z-score):
c("N_a"=(unname(obs)-mean(null.res))/sd(null.res))

## End(Not run)
```

**Description**

The shortest path length, or geodesic distance, between two nodes in a binary network is the minimum number of steps you need to make to go from one of them to the other. This distance is the quickest connection between nodes when all ties are the same. However, in a weighted network, all ties are not the same. See <http://toreopsahl.com/2009/01/09/average-shortest-distance-in-weighted-networks/> for more details.

**Usage**

```
distance_w(net, directed=NULL, gonly=TRUE, subsample=1, seed=NULL)
```

**Arguments**

net	A weighted edgelist
directed	logical, whether the network is directed or undirected. Default is NULL, this means that the function checks whether the edgelist is directed or not.
gonly	logical, whether the function should only be calculated for the giant component. Default is TRUE.
subsample	Whether a only a subset of starting nodes should be used when calculating the measure. This is particularly useful when running out of memory analysing large networks. If it is set to 1, all distances are analysed. If it is set to a value below one, this is roughly the proportion of starting nodes that will be analysed. If it is set to an integer greater than 1, this number of starting nodes that will be analysed.
seed	If a subset of starting nodes is analysed, by setting this parameter, the results are reproducible.

**Value**

Returns a distance matrix.

**Note**

version 1.0.0, taken, with permission, from package tnet

**Author(s)**

Tore Opsahl; <http://toreopsahl.com>

**References**

<http://toreopsahl.com/2009/01/09/average-shortest-distance-in-weighted-networks/>



---

elberling1999

*No. of visits in a pollination web of arctic-alpine Sweden*


---

### Description

This study took place in the subarctic alpine zone of Latnjajaure, in northern Sweden. Field work was conducted from May 21 to August 23, 1994. The objective was to describe the plant-flower visitor interaction matrix of this area and compare it with the characteristics of other subarctic alpine systems and with pollination systems of lower latitudes, especially in relation to the role of flies as flower visitors at high latitudes.

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of visits of each flower visitor species to each plant species. Regardless of whether insects were observed to forage for nectar and pollen or to perform sun-basking, they were all classified as flower visitors and potential pollinators and the plant species visited were recorded. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

See also <http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/interactionweb/html/datasets.html>

### Usage

```
data(elberling1999)
```

### Format

A data frame with 12 plant species (in rows) and 102 pollinators (columns).

### References

Elberling H. and Olesen J.M. (1999) The structure of a high latitude plant-flower visitor system: the dominance of flies. *Ecography* **22**, 314–323

### Examples

```
data(barrett1987)
## maybe str(barrett1987) ; plot(barrett1987) ...
```

---

empty

*Deletes empty rows and columns from a matrix.*


---

### Description

Gets rid of empty columns and rows in a matrix. Optionally counts removed rows and columns, and returns these values as attribute.

**Usage**

```
empty(web, count=FALSE)
```

**Arguments**

web	A matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
count	Logical. Shall be counted how many columns and rows were removed? Numbers are returned in attribute. Defaults to FALSE.

**Details**

Helper function to remove empty (i.e. all-zero or all-NA) rows and columns, thereby concentrating the matrix. This function is also invoked for its side effect by [extinction](#) to investigate the effect of removing a species from the network.

**Value**

Returns matrix without empty rows or columns. Its attribute 'out' (if count=TRUE) contains a named vector with the number of rows removed and the number of columns removed.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann

**See Also**

[extinction](#) and [second.extinct](#), which repeatedly call empty.

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland)
web <- Safariland
web[,5] <- 0
empty(web, count=TRUE)
attr(empty(web), "empty")
```

---

endpoint

---

*Computes end-point degrees for a bipartite network*


---

### Description

Computes end-point degrees for a bipartite network, following the suggestion of Barrat et al. (2004)

### Usage

```
endpoint(web)
```

### Arguments

web                      A matrix with pollinators as columns and plants as rows. Alternatively, when used on e.g. species occurrences across islands, rows are islands.

### Details

Computation follows the outline of Gitarranz et al. (2004): “the product  $k_i k_j$  of the degree of the two nodes connected by that link”. We then set additionally endpoint degrees for all non-existing links to 0! Thus, only for existing links endpoint degrees are computed. This is (to me) not obvious from the description in Gitarranz et al. (2004).

### Value

A matrix of end-point degrees

### Note

This approach is, AFAIK, not tested by simulation; whether it is useful has still to be shown.

### Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann

### References

- Barrat, A., M. Barthélemy, R. Pastor-Satorras, and A. Vespignani. 2004. The architecture of complex weighted networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **101**, 3747–3752. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0400087101.
- Gitarranz, L. J., J. M. Pastor, and J. Galeano. 2011. The architecture of weighted mutualistic networks. *Oikos* **121**, 1154—1162. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2011.19592.x.

## Examples

```
# reproduces the example of Gitarranz et al. (2011):
data(memmott1999)
ends <- endpoint(memmott1999)
weights.mean <- tapply(memmott1999, ends, mean)
ends.weights <- tapply(ends, ends, mean)
plot(weights.mean, ends.weights, log="xy", pch=16)
```

---

extinction

*Simulates extinction of a species from a bipartite network*

---

## Description

Following (how I remember) the paper of Memmott et al. (2004), this function deletes a column (e.g. pollinator) or row (e.g. plant). Only a helper function for [second.extinct](#), really.

## Usage

```
extinction(web, participant = "both", method = "random", ext.row=NULL,
ext.col=NULL)
```

## Arguments

web	A matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
participant	Which level of participant to remove: ‘lower’ removes a row, ‘higher’ removes a row, ‘both’ randomly picks either row or column.
method	Determines sequence of extinctions: ‘random’ removes a random participant, while ‘abundance’ removes the least abundant species first. ‘external’ will use the externally provided vector to determine extinction sequence.
ext.row	Optional vector giving the sequence in which lower-level species are to be deleted.
ext.col	Optional vector giving the sequence in which higher-level species are to be deleted.

## Details

In itself rather useless. Called repeatedly by [second.extinct](#) to build an extinction sequence and accordingly a sequence of secondary extinctions.

## Value

Returns the same matrix that was given as object, just with one row or column being turned into zeros.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann

**References**

Memmott, J., Waser, N. M. and Price, M. V. 2004 Tolerance of pollination networks to species extinctions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **271**, 2605–2611

**See Also**

[second.extinct](#)

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
(w <- extinction(Safariland, participant="lower", method="abun"))
empty(w, count=TRUE)

## End(Not run)
```

---

fc

---

*Calculates the functional complementarity for the rows of a web*


---

**Description**

A community-level measure of ecological niche complementarity measured as the total branch length of a functional dendrogram based on qualitative differences in visitor assemblages between plants.

**Usage**

```
fc(web, dist="euclidean", method="average", weighted=TRUE)
```

**Arguments**

web	A bipartite interaction web, i.e.~a matrix with higher (cols) and lower (rows) trophic levels.
dist	A distance accepted by the function <a href="#">dist</a> .
method	The linkage method to be used by <a href="#">hclust</a> . Any option accepted by <a href="#">hclust</a> is allowed; defaults to "average".
weighted	Option to analyse the web as binary or as weighted network. Default is 'weighted=TRUE', but analysis presented in Devoto et al. (2012) uses 'weighted=FALSE'.

### Details

fc measures community-level ecological niche complementarity as the total branch length of a functional dendrogram based on qualitative differences in visitor assemblages between plants. For details see Devoto et al. (2012).

### Value

The value of fc, which is not standardised and lies anywhere between 0 and a large number.

### Author(s)

Mariano Devoto <mdevoto@agro.uba.ar>

### References

Devoto M., Bailey S., Craze P., and Memmott J. (2012) Understanding and planning ecological restoration of plant-pollinator networks. *Ecology Letters* **15**, 319–328

### See Also

[networklevel](#), which uses this function.

### Examples

```
data(Safariland)
fc(Safariland)
fc(t(Safariland), dist="canberra", method="complete")
```

---

frame2webs

*Converts a table of observations into a network matrix*

---

### Description

Convenience function to convert a table of observations (typically compiled in a spreadsheet program) into a network matrix for further use in bipartite.

### Usage

```
frame2webs(dframe, varnames = c("lower", "higher", "webID", "freq"), type.out =
"list", emptylist = TRUE)
```

## Arguments

<code>dframe</code>	table (i.e. <code>data.frame</code> ) of observations, typically simply the data read by one of the <code>read.*</code> functions; see Details for details!
<code>varnames</code>	<p>a vector of characters giving the column names in the table (<code>data.frame</code>) that correspond to</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• species names in lower trophic level (e.g. plants),</li> <li>• species names in higher trophic level (e.g. pollinators),</li> <li>• the grouping factor for a web (e.g. the site on which interactions were observed)</li> <li>• optionally, a fourth column indicating the number of times an interaction was observed (or another link weight that can be summed);</li> </ul> <p>If only three <code>varnames</code> are provided, it is assumed that each observed interaction is in a separate row and frequencies are calculated from the number of rows per link. By default, the names “lower”, “higher”, “webID” and “freq” are used.</p>
<code>type.out</code>	defines the type of output; it could be ‘list’ (default) or an ‘array’ with a separate slice for each network. Lists have the advantage that different webs do not have to include all species names, i.e. they can be of different dimensions (ragged). As such they are better suited for webs with non-comparable species sets. An array has the advantage that dimensions are the same, and therefore (i) comparisons can be easily made among webs and (ii) webs can be pooled more easily. The ‘array’-option is more suitable for sets of networks from the same community.
<code>emptylist</code>	logical, defaults to ‘TRUE’; should, for each network in the list of networks, empty columns and rows be deleted? Since this function first builds an array and from that a list, each network contains all possible links between species of the higher and lower level. When set to ‘TRUE’, all species not observed for a given network are removed.

## Details

This function supports the easy handling of typical recording that are used to compose a network. The assumed structure of the underlying table is two columns for the names of the lower and higher level species, respectively, one column for a network ID (e.g. site, observer or any other grouping code) and, optionally, a number that indicates how often this interaction was observed. If not given, the number of interactions is simply computed from the number of times the same interaction occurred for each network ID. See example code below.

Typically, data are recorded (in a field book or external data logging device), read into a spreadsheet software (such as MS Excel or Open/LibreOffice Spreadsheet), where names are checked, typos corrected and so forth. This table is then imported into R and aggregated into one or more webs (or an array or a list of webs) using `frame2webs`.

Each link can have multiple entries or a single entry!

## Value

A list or an array of networks, each to be used as input for other **bipartite** functions.

**Note**

Great care should be taken in checking species names, slightly different spellings will be assumed to be different species! Common problems occur because a space was added to the name or lower and higher case letters were mixed. For example, R is case sensitive and observes white space, while “Homo sapiens”, “Homo sapiens ” (with a trailing space) and “homo sapiens” are not recognized as different in typical spreadsheet software (e.g. Excel).

**Author(s)**

Jochen Fründ

**See Also**

[empty](#)

**Examples**

```
testdata <- data.frame(higher = c("bee1","bee1","bee1","bee2","bee1","bee3"),
lower = c("plant1","plant2","plant1","plant2","plant3","plant4"),
webID = c("meadow","meadow","meadow","meadow","bog","bog"), freq=c(5,1,1,1,3,7))
frame2webs(testdata,type.out="array")
sapply(frame2webs(testdata,type.out="list"), networklevel, index=c("connectance", "C score"))
```

---

genweb	<i>Generate a random bipartite web</i>
--------	--

---

**Description**

Generates a random bipartite web, based on r2dtable and lognormal marginal distributions.

**Usage**

```
genweb(N1 = 10, N2 = 30, dens = 2)
```

**Arguments**

N1	Number of species in the lower trophic level; or a vector of length 2 giving number of lower and higher trophic level species. Defaults to 10. Large values (>70) will take much longer!
N2	Number of species in the higher trophic level. Ignored if N1 a vector of length 2. Defaults to 30. Large values (>70) will take much longer!
dens	Interaction density, i.e. how many interactions there shall be, on average, for each <i>link</i> . Defaults to 2 (the median observed interaction density in the NCEAS pollination webs). Large values (> 10) will take much longer to find matrices for.



**Details**

This function can be used to create simple, but not necessarily realistic, bipartite webs for given dimensionality and interaction density. Marginal distributions are assumed to be lognormal, mean and standard deviation are calculated from 'N1', 'N2' and 'dens' (see code for details).

**Value**

A matrix with N1 x N2 species.

**Note**

Can be a bit time-consuming for large webs, because the absolute values for both dimensions have to match perfectly. This involves a rather inelegant while-loop.

**Author(s)**

Jochen Fründ and Carsten F. Dormann

**Examples**

```
genweb()
```

---

grouplevel	<i>Analysis of bipartite webs at the level of each of the two levels (groups) of the network</i>
------------	--

---

**Description**

Calculates a variety of indices and values for each group of a bipartite network (one.grouplevel is the actual function to do the computations and is not intended to be called by the user)

**Usage**

```
grouplevel(web, index="ALLBUTDD", level="both", weighted=TRUE, empty.web=FALSE,
dist="horn", CCfun=mean, logbase="e", normalise=TRUE, extinctmethod="r",
nrep=100, fcdist="euclidean", fcweighted=TRUE)
```

**Arguments**

web	Web is a matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of host.
index	One or more of the following (exact match only!): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 'number of species' in the respective trophic level,</li> <li>• 'mean number of links',</li> <li>• 'mean number of shared partners',</li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 'cluster coefficient',</li> <li>• 'weighted cluster coefficient',</li> <li>• 'togetherness',</li> <li>• 'C score',</li> <li>• 'V ratio',</li> <li>• 'discrepancy',</li> <li>• 'degree distribution',</li> <li>• 'extinction slope',</li> <li>• 'robustness',</li> <li>• 'niche overlap',</li> <li>• 'generality',</li> <li>• 'vulnerability',</li> <li>• 'partner diversity',</li> <li>• 'fc' (or alternatively 'functional complementarity'),</li> <li>• 'ALL' calculates all the above indices (returning a list (since degree distribution fits are a table within this list and cannot be simplified)),</li> <li>• 'ALLBUTDD' (default) calculates all indices except degree distribution fits. This latter has the advantage that the output can be simplified to a vector;</li> </ul>
level	For which of the two groups ("levels") should these indices be computed? Options are 'lower', 'higher' and 'both' (default). Although groupwise can be employed on its own, it typically will be called through <a href="#">networklevel</a> .
weighted	logical; for those indices which are simply averaged across species to yield the group-level index (e.g. 'niche overlap'), should this averaging take into account the number of observations for a species? Defaults to TRUE. The logic behind this default is that we have more faith in an index value when it is based on many, rather than few, observations. Bersier et al. (2002) proposed this weighting and it is also commonly used to compute vulnerability and generality (e.g. in Tylianakis et al. 2006).
empty.web	Shall the empty columns and rows be deleted? Defaults to TRUE.
dist	Distance metric to be used to calculate niche overlap; defaults to Horn's index, which is the recommendation of Krebs (1989); for other options see <a href="#">vegdist</a> in <b>vegan</b> .
CCfun	Method to use when calculating the clustering coefficient. Originally proposed as mean of cluster coefficients for each node. Defaults to 'median', because cluster coefficients are strongly skewed.
logbase	Shall various indices (partner diversity, generality/vulnerability) be calculated to the base of e (default) or 2? Log2 is the proposal for generality and vulnerability by Bersier et al. (2002), while Shannon uses ln. The choice of the base will not affect the results qualitatively, at most by a scaling factor.
normalise	Logical; shall the C-score and togetherness metrics be normalised to a range of 0 to 1? Defaults to TRUE.
extinctmethod	Specifies how species are removed from matrix: 'random' or 'abundance' (partial matching), where abundance removes species in the order of increasing abundance (i.e. rarest first); see Memmott et al. (2004).

nrep	Number of replicates for the extinction sequence analysis.
fcweighted	Logical; when computing "functional complementarity" sensu function <code>fc</code> , should the weights of the matrix be used. Defaults to TRUE, but original paper (Devoto et al. 2012) is based on FALSE.
fcdist	Distance measure to be used to compute functional complementarity through <code>fc</code> ; any measure accepted by <code>dist</code> is acceptable.

## Details

This function implements a variety of the many (and still procreating) indices describing network topography at the group level.

Note that Bersier et al. (2002) have three levels of values for some of their indices: qualitative (i.e. based on binary networks), quantitative (based on networks with information on the number of interactions observed for each link), and weighted-quantitative (where each species is given a weight according to the number of interactions it has). At present, we implement a mixture of qualitative, quantitative and weighted-quantitative indices and offer the option ‘weighted’ to compute the weighted-quantitative version of some of them (‘mean number of links’, ‘mean number of shared partners’, ‘cluster coefficient’, ‘partner diversity’, ‘generality / vulnerability’). For all others, the mechanics behind the index do not allow a weighted mean to be computed (e.g. the distance-matrix between all species combinations used to compute ‘niche.overlap’).

All indices in this function work with real as well as integer values.

Extinction slope works on a repeated random sequence of species extinctions (within one trophic level), and calculates the number of secondary extinctions (in the other level). These values are then averaged (over the ‘nrep’ runs) and plotted against the number of species exterminated. The proportion still recent (on the y-axis) regressed against the proportion exterminated (on the x-axis) is hence standardised to values between 0 and 1 each. Through this plot, a hyperbolic regression is fitted, and the slope of this regression line is returned as an index of extinction sensitivity. The larger the slope, the later the extinction takes its toll on the other trophic level, and hence the higher the redundancy in the trophic level under consideration. Using ‘plot.it=F’ also returns the graphs (set history to recording in the plotting window). Changing the ‘extinctionmethod’ to “abundance” will always result in the same sequence (by increasing abundance) and hence does not require replication.

Most indices are straightforward, one-line formulae; some, such as betweenness, also require a re-arranging of the matrix; and one, secondary extinction slope, internally requires iterative runs, making the function relatively slow. If you are not interested in the secondary extinction slopes, simply set ‘nrep=1’ to make it much faster.

## Value

The suffixes LL and HL refer to lower and higher level, respectively. If values for both levels are requested, those for the higher level are given first, followed immediately by those for the lower level.

Depending on the selected indices, some or all of the below (returned as vector if “degree distribution” was not requested, otherwise as list):

mean number of species	<i>sic</i> , possibly weighted (if 'weighted=TRUE'; the weighted mean is not something typically reported, but it seems a very plausible way to embrace the uncertainty introduced by species with very few interactions).
mean number of links	<i>sic</i> (sum of links for each species, averaged over all species in that level), possibly weighted (if 'weighted=TRUE').
mean number of shared partners	Based on the distance matrix between species, counting the number of species in the other level that both interact with; based on Roberts & Stone (1990) and Stone & Roberts (1992), i.e. for pollinators will yield mean number of plants shared by any two pollinators (Cannot be weighted.)
cluster coefficient	The cluster coefficient for a level is the (weighted) average cluster coefficients of its members. The cluster coefficient for each species, in turn, is simply the number of realised links divided by the number of possible links. Introduced by Watts & Strogatz (1998) and described in Wikipedia under <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Clustering_coefficient">http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Clustering_coefficient</a> . If you want to use Tore Opsahl's adaptation to two-modes, please see the next index, based on his function <code>clustering_tm</code> in <b>tnet</b> . To my knowledge, so far every study has used the "wrong" one, i.e. the one presented here as 'cluster coefficient'.
weighted cluster coefficient	When asking for "weighted cluster coefficient", this version will automatically use interactions as weights unless the data are binary. The computation is based on <code>clustering_tm</code> in <b>tnet</b> . See there (and more on Tore Opsahl's webpages) for help.
niche overlap	Mean similarity in interaction pattern between species of that level, calculated by default as Horn's index (use 'dist' to change this.). Values near 0 indicate no common use of niches, 1 indicates perfect niche overlap.
togetherness	Mean number of co-occupancies across all species-combinations; the whole matrix is scanned for submatrices of the form (0,0,1,1), representing perfect matches of co-presences and co-absences. These are counted for each pairwise species combination, and averaged (without weighting). Since the number of species differs between the levels, the same number of co-occupancies will lead to different togetherness-values for the two levels. Based on Stone & Roberts (1992).
C score	(Normalised) mean number of checkerboard combinations across all species of the level. Values close to 1 indicate that there is evidence for disaggregation, e.g. through competition. Value close to 0 indicate aggregation of species (i.e. no repelling forces between species). Since the number of species differs between the levels, the same number of checkerboard patterns will lead to different C-scores for the two levels. See Stone and Roberts (1990) for details.
V ratio	Variance-ratio of species numbers to interaction numbers within species of a level. Values larger than 1 indicate positive aggregation, values between 0 and 1 indicate disaggregation of species. See Schluter (1984) for details.
discrepancy	Discrepancy as proposed by Brualdi & Sanderson (1999); see <a href="#">discrepancy</a> for details.

degree distribution	Coefficients and fits for three different functions to a level's degree distributions: exponential, power law and truncated power law. See <a href="#">degredistr</a> for details and references.
extinction slope	Slope of the secondary extinction sequence in one level, following extermination of species in the other level; <code>extinction_slope.HL</code> refers to the robustness of the higher level to extinctions in the lower level (and vice versa); see <a href="#">slope.bipartite</a> and <a href="#">second.extinct</a> for details.
robustness	Calculates the area below the “secondary extinction” curve; <code>robustness.HL</code> refers to the robustness of the higher level to extinctions in the lower level (and vice versa); see <a href="#">robustness</a> for details. Corresponds to “extinction slope”.
functional complementarity	“Functional complementarity” for a given level. This measure of niche complementarity (as described by Devoto et al. 2012), is computed as the total branch length of a “functional dendrogram” based on qualitative differences of interactions of one level with the other. Thus, the “functional” aspect of functional complementarity refers to the function of sharing interactions. Should be highly correlated with niche overlap, only binary.
partner diversity	(Weighted) mean Shannon diversity of the number of interactions for the species of that level. Choose ‘logbase=2’ to change to a log2-based version.
generality/vulnerability	(Weighted) mean effective number of LL species per HL species (generality; HL species per LL species for vulnerability), weighted by their marginal totals (row sums); see Tylianakis et al. (2007) and Bersier et al. (2002). This is identical to $\exp(\text{“partner diversity”})$ , i.e., simply the Jost (2006)-recommended version of diversity.

## Note

If your web returns and NA for some of the indices, this can be because the index cannot be computed. For example, if the web is full (i.e. no 0-cells), extinction slopes cannot be fitted (singularity of gradient). Check if you can expect the index to be computable! If it is, and `grouplevel` doesn't do it, let me know.

Some indices require rather long computation times on large webs. If you want to increase the speed by omitting some indices, here a rough guide: Ask only for the indices you are interested in! Otherwise, here is the sequence of most time-consuming indices:

1. For somewhat larger networks (i.e. more than 2 dozen species per level), ‘weighted cluster coefficient’ is *very* time consuming (an exhaustive search for 4-loops in the one-mode projection of the network). Omitting it can dramatically boost speed.
2. Typically, the slowest function is related to extinction slopes and robustness. Excluding *both* makes the function faster.
3. Degree distributions are somewhat time consuming.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**References**

- Bascompte, J., Jordano, P. and Olesen, J. M. 2006. Asymmetric coevolutionary networks facilitate biodiversity maintenance. *Science* **312**, 431–433
- Bersier, L. F., Banasek-Richter, C. and Cattin, M. F. 2002. Quantitative descriptors of food-web matrices. *Ecology* **83**, 2394–2407
- Blüthgen, N. 2010. Why network analysis is often disconnected from community ecology: A critique and an ecologist's guide. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **11**, 185–195
- Blüthgen, N., Menzel, F., Hovestadt, T., Fiala, B. and Blüthgen N. 2007 Specialization, constraints and conflicting interests in mutualistic networks. *Current Biology* **17**, 1–6
- Devoto M., Bailey S., Craze P., and Memmott J. (2012) Understanding and planning ecological restoration of plant-pollinator networks. *Ecology Letters* **15**, 319–328. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01740.x>
- Dormann, C.F., Fründ, J., Blüthgen, N., and Gruber, B. (2009) Indices, graphs and null models: analysing bipartite ecological networks. *The Open Ecology Journal* **2**, 7–24.
- Dunne, J. A., R. J. Williams, and N. D. Martinez. 2002 Food-web structure and network theory: the role of connectance and size. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* **99**, 12917–12922
- Gotelli, N. J., and G. R. Graves. 1996 Null Models in Ecology. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C.
- Jost, L. 2006. Entropy and diversity. *Oikos* **113**, 363–375. doi: 10.1111/j.2006.0030-1299.14714.x
- Krebs, C. J. 1989 *Ecological Methodology*. Harper Collins, New York.
- Memmott, J., Waser, N. M. and Price M. V. 2004 Tolerance of pollination networks to species extinctions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **271**, 2605–2611
- Müller, C. B., Adriaanse, I. C. T., Belshaw, R. and Godfray, H. C. J. 1999 The structure of an aphid-parasitoid community. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **68**, 346–370
- Roberts, A. and Stone, L. 1990 Island-sharing by archipelago species. *Oecologia* **83**, 560–567
- Schluter, D. (1984) A variance test for detecting species associations, with some example applications. *Ecology* **65**, 998–1005.
- Stone, L. and Roberts, A. (1990) The checkerboard score and species distributions. *Oecologia* **85**, 74–79.
- Stone, L. and Roberts, A. (1992) Competitive exclusion, or species aggregation? An aid in deciding. *Oecologia* **91**, 419–424
- Tylianakis, J. M., Tschamntke, T. and Lewis, O.T. (2007) Habitat modification alters the structure of tropical host-parasitoid food webs. *Nature* **445**, 202–205
- Watts, D. J. and Strogatz, S. (1998) Collective dynamics of ‘small-world’ networks. *Nature* **393**, 440–442

### See Also

This function can (and typically will) be called, with all its arguments, by [networklevel](#). Several indices have their own function as implementation: [second.extinct](#), [degreedistr](#), [C.score](#) and [V.ratio](#)

### Examples

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
grouplevel(Safariland)
grouplevel(Safariland, level="lower", weighted=FALSE) #excludes degree distribution fits

## End(Not run)
```

---

H2fun

*Specialisation of a bipartite web.*


---

### Description

Calculates the overall level of specialisation of all interacting species in a bipartite web.

### Usage

```
H2fun(web, H2_integer=TRUE)
```

### Arguments

web	A matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
H2_integer	logical; indicates whether web entries are integer numbers. If set to false, H2fun can be used also on rates, percentages and other non-integer values indicating the intensity of an interaction.

### Details

H2' is an index describing the level of “complementarity specialisation” (or should one say: selectiveness?) of an entire bipartite network (Blüthgen et al. 2006). It describes to which extent observed interactions deviate from those that would be expected given the species marginal totals. The more selective a species, the larger is H2' for the web.

H2' is an extension of d' (see [dfun](#)) for the entire network.

For non-integer values, H2 max can be readily computed and is thus more reliable and much faster.

For internet implementation see also: <http://www.sys-bio.net/resources>.

**Value**

H2	The H2'-value for the web matrix.
H2min	Heuristic minimum H2-value for the web matrix.
H2max	Heuristic maximum H2-value for the web matrix.
H2uncorr	Uncorrected H2-values (before ranging between min and max), rounded to three digits.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann and Jochen Fründ

**References**

Blüthgen, N., Menzel, F. and Blüthgen, N. (2006) Measuring specialization in species interaction networks. *BMC Ecology* **6**, 9.

**See Also**

[dfun](#) following the same idea for each species in the web matrix.

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland)
H2fun(Safariland)
```

---

inouye1988	<i>A pollination network from the Snowy Mountains of New South Wales, Australia</i>
------------	---

---

**Description**

This data set reports a community-level study of the pollination biology of alpine plants in Kosciusko National Park in the Snowy Mountains of south-eastern New South Wales, Australia. The flora and their associated insect pollinators were observed from December 1983 until March 1984.

**Usage**

```
data(inouye1988)
```

**Format**

A data frame with 41 observations on the following 83 variables, with plant species in rows and pollinators in columns.



## Details

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of individual flower visitors caught on each plant species. The total number of individuals collected on each plant species provide a rough estimate of the level of visitation that each species received. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

## Note

Male and female pollinators were summed when moving this data set from NCEAS to bipartite.

## Source

NCEAS data base on interaction webs: <http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/interactionweb/html/datasets.html>

## References

Inouye, D.W. and G.H. Pyke (1988) Pollination biology in the Snowy Mountains of Australia: comparisons with montane Colorado, USA. *Australian Journal of Ecology* **13**: 191–210.

## Examples

```
data(inouye1988)
plotweb(inouye1988)
```

---

junker2013

*Flower visitation network*


---

## Description

A large (56 plant species by 257 visitor species) network published by Junker et al. (2013).

## Usage

```
data(junker2013)
```

## Format

The format is: int [1:56, 1:257] 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 ... - attr(\*, "dimnames")=List of 2 ..\$ : chr [1:56] "Achillea.millefolium" "Alliaria.petiolata" "Bellis.perennis" "Bunias.orientalis" ... ..\$ : chr [1:257] "Agrypnus.murinus" "Ampedus.pomorum" "Anaspis.frontalis" "Anthaxia.nitidula" ...

## Details

I modified some entries in the table: (1) There were two instances of *Prunus.sp.1.Kirsche* (cherry), which I summed and represented as one. Similarly, there (2) two species named *Apidae\_sp.\_1* and *Apidae\_sp.1* which I merged and (3) the exact same thing for *Apidae\_sp.\_2* and *Apidae\_sp.2*. In all cases, only one or two observations were added to the column containing more counts. I do not think that these changes will have any effect on the analyses.

## References

Junker, R. R., Blüthgen, N., Brehm, T., Binkenstein, J., Paulus, J., Schaefer, H. M. and Stang, M. 2013. Specialization on traits as basis for the niche-breadth of flower visitors and as structuring mechanism of ecological networks. *Functional Ecology* **27**, 329—341

## Examples

```
data(junker2013)
## Not run: plotweb(junker2013)
```

---

kato1990	<i>No. of individuals caught in a pollination web of a Japanese beech forest</i>
----------	--

---

## Description

The study took place at the Kyoto University Forest of Ashu, at the northeastern boundry of the Kyoto Prefecture in Japan, between 1984 and 1987. The paper deals with the flowering phenology of 91 plant species, the community structure of flower-visiting insects, and the spectrum of floral hosts for flower visitors. The emphasis is laid on the pattern of community organization of flower-visiting insects in a primary forest ecosystem of western Japan.

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of individual flower visitors caught on each plant species. The total number of individuals collected on each plant species provide a rough estimate of the level of visitation that each species received. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction. For details and data see <http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/interactionweb/html/datasets.html>

## Usage

```
data(kato1990)
```

## References

Kato, M., T. Makutani, T. Inoue, and T. Itino. 1990. Insect-flower relationship in the primary beech forest of Ashu, Kyoto: an overview of the flowering phenology and seasonal pattern of insect visits. *Contr. Biol. Lab. Kyoto Univ.* **27**, 309–375

**Examples**

```
data(kato1990)
## maybe str(kato1990) ; plot(kato1990) ...
```

kevan1970

*A pollination network from Northern Ellesmere Island, Canada***Description**

The total number of individuals collected on each plant species provide a rough estimate of the level of visitation that each species received.

**Usage**

```
data(kevan1970)
```

**Details****General information**

This study sought to determine the importance of insect-flower relations to both plants and insects in a high arctic community as well as the degree to which some of the more common arctic plants are dependent on insects for pollination and reproduction. The research was conducted in 1967 at Hazen Camp (81° 49' N, 71° 18' W) near Lake Hazen on Northern Ellesmere Island, the most northerly island of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago.

**Data type**

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of individual flower visitors caught on each plant species. The total number of individuals collected on each plant species provide a rough estimate of the level of visitation that each species received. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

**References**

Kevan, P. G. 1970. High Arctic Insect-Flower Visitor Relations: The Inter-Relationships of Arthropods and Flowers at Lake Hazen, Ellesmere Island, Northwest Territories. University of Alberta, Canada.

**Examples**

```
data(kevan1970)
```

linklevel

*Indices of a bipartite network at the link level***Description**

Computes various indices of a network at the link-level, i.e. for each cell of the network matrix

**Usage**

```
linklevel(web, index=c("dependence", "endpoint"))
```

**Arguments**

- |       |  |
|-------|--|
| web   | A matrix with pollinators as columns and plants as rows. Alternatively, when used on e.g. species occurrences across islands, rows are islands.  |
| index | Vector of indices to be computed at the link level; options are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ‘dependence’ to compute dependence-matrix for each group level;</li> <li>• ‘endpoint’ to compute end-point degrees following Barratt et al. (2004).</li> </ul> |

**Details**

For summaries of such indices see [networklevel](#). It’s still early days for this function ...

**Value**

Returns a list of indices, each entry being a matrix of the same dimensions as the input web.

**Note**

This function aims to facilitate analyses at the link level. So far, most studies at this level did not correct for the fact that observations per cell are clearly non-independent, nor for the abundances of the species, which also greatly affect indices. Room for improvement, but little room for new findings ...

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**References**

Barrat, A., Barthélemy, M., Pastor-Satorras, R. & Vespignani, A. (2004) The architecture of complex weighted networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **101**, 3747–3752

**See Also**

[endpoint](#), [specieslevel](#)

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland)
linklevel(Safariland)
```

---

```
listModuleInformation  Function "listModuleInformation"
```

---

**Description**

This function takes an object of class "moduleWeb" and returns information about the names of the nodes of which the computed modules exist.

**Usage**

```
listModuleInformation(moduleWebObject)
```

**Arguments**

```
moduleWebObject
                Object of class "moduleWeb"
```

**Value**

The value of the function is a list of lists of lists of vectors representing the names of the nodes involved in a certain module.

```
value[[x]][[y]][[1]]
                vector with the names of the "row nodes" comprised by the z.th module in depth
                x-1 (sic!)
value[[x]][[y]][[2]]
                vector with the names of the "column nodes" comprised by the z.th module in
                depth x-1 (sic!)
```

**Author(s)**

Rouven Strauss

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
data(small1976)

moduleWebObject = computeModules(small1976);
moduleList = listModuleInformation(moduleWebObject);

## End(Not run)
```

---

memmott1999

*Flower visitation network from a meadow near Bristol, UK*


---

### Description

This study was conducted in a 150 x 250 m meadow plot in the vicinity of Bristol, U.K. in July 1997. The objective was to describe the plant-flower visitor interaction web of this area, taking into account species abundances and their frequency of interaction. Twenty five plant species were studied, and 79 flower visitor species were recorded visiting them.

### Usage

```
data(memmott1999)
```

### Details

The author recorded her data by counting the number of visits of each flower visitor species to each plant species, and by independently measuring the abundance of plant and animal taxa. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

### Source

NCEAS

### References

Memmott, J. 1999. The structure of a plant-pollinator food web. *Ecology Letters* **2**, 276–280.

### Examples

```
data(memmott1999)
## maybe str(memmott1999) ; plot(memmott1999) ...
```

---

mgen

*Generate simulated network according to a given probability matrix*


---

### Description

Generic network simulating algorithm based on a probability matrix and a desired number of interactions.

### Usage

```
mgen(web, n=sum(web), keep.species=TRUE, rep.cell=TRUE, autotransform="sum", trials=100)
```

## Arguments

web	a matrix with observation probabilities, emerging from some null model considerations external to this function; if an original network is used, this will be automatically converted to a probability matrix by dividing it by the number of interactions (CFD); ORIGINAL: a probability matrix
n	number of interactions to allocate into the new matrix
keep.species	Random assignment of interactions may lead to empty columns or rows and hence reduce the dimensions of the simulated web. By default, this is prevented from happening, i.e. each row/column will receive at least one interaction. Setting keep.species to FALSE may (but need not) cause a loss of species.
rep.cell	whether cells can be assign interactions repeatedly; defaults to TRUE
autotransform	determines how a non-probability web is converted into probabilities; option 'sum' simply divides each entry by the sum of interactions in the web; option 'equiprobable' is the product of marginal probabilities (col/rowSums divided by sum(web) (in a sense this is the basis of the r2dtable null model, just without the 'turn into integers' bit)
trials	allocating interactions (when rep.cell=TRUE) can be difficult or even impossible. When the number of attempts to allocate them exceeds trials * n it will be stopped and an error message will be returned. Default is 100. Setting 'trials' to a very large value may make this function run for hours and hours. Your choice!

## Details

This is a generic function to build null models for mutualistic networks, used by Vázquez et al. (2009). It is general in the sense that it allows any type of probability matrix to be used for constructing the simulated matrices. It does not, however, constrain rown and column totals, nor does it constrain connectance.

If 'rep.cell=TRUE', repeated interactions are added, thus generating a quantitative matrix with cell values as positive integers. If 'rep.cell=FALSE', no repeated assignment of interactions is allowed, thus generating a binary matrix of zeros and ones. Note that when 'rep.cell=FALSE' the number of interactions to be assigned must be equal or lower than the number of cells in the matrix.

## References

Vázquez, D. P.; Chacoff, N. P. & Cagnolo, L. 2009. Evaluating multiple determinants of the structure of mutualistic networks Ecology. 90: 2039-2046

## Examples

```
## Generate simulated matrix from homogeneous probability matrix
probmatt <- matrix(1/100, 10, 10)
mgen(web=probmatt, n=100)

## Generate binary matrix with probability proportional to degree
## of an observed binary matrix m
obs.mat <- matrix(c(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0), 5, 5)
rs <- rowSums(obs.mat)
```

```

cs <- colSums(obs.mat)
web <- rs %*% t(cs)
web <- web/sum(web)
n = sum(obs.mat)
mgen(web, n, keep.species=FALSE, rep.cell=FALSE) # Allowing zero marginal sums
mgen(web, n, keep.species=TRUE, rep.cell=FALSE) # Not allowing zero marginal sums

## Generate quantitative matrix with probability proportional
## to interaction frequency in an observed matrix m (which is the default of 'autotransform'):
mgen(mosquin1967, keep.species=FALSE, rep.cell=TRUE) # Allowing zero marginal sums
mgen(mosquin1967, keep.species=TRUE, rep.cell=TRUE) # Not allowing zero marginal sums

```

---

moduleWeb-class	Class "moduleWeb"
-----------------	-------------------

---

## Description

This class is the output of an application of the function `computeModules(...)` to a graph. It consists of the matrix representing the original graph which has been passed to `computeModules(...)` in order to compute modules, a matrix representing the same graph but permuted according to the identified modules, two vectors indicating the permutation of row and column indices, respectively, and information about the modules themselves.

## Objects from the class

Objects from the class should only be created by using the function `computeModules(...)`.

## Slots

**likelihood:** Contains a number with the likelihood-equivalent of the final proposed module structure. This value is the same value as  $Q$  (or  $M$ ), the modularity as given by Newman or Guimerà & Amaral (2005).

**originalWeb:** Object of class "matrix" representing the original bipartite graph in which modules have been computed.

**moduleWeb:** Object of class "matrix" representing the original bipartite graph but reordered such that plotting modules is possible.

**orderA:** Object of class "vector" representing the permutation of the rows of the original graph.

**orderB:** Object of class "vector" representing the permutation of the columns of the original graph.

**modules:** Object of class "matrix" containing for each module the information about its depth and involved nodes.



## Methods

Objects of this class are used in following functions:

```
listModuleInformation(moduleWebObject)
```

```
printoutModuleInformation(moduleWebObject)
```

```
plotModuleWeb(moduleWebObject, plotModules=TRUE, rank=FALSE, weighted=TRUE, display-  
Alabels=TRUE, displayBlabels=TRUE, labsize=1, plotsize=12, xlabel="", ylabel="", square.border="white",  
fromDepth=0, upToDepth=-1)
```

## Author(s)

Rouven Strauss

## Examples

```
showClass("moduleWeb")
```

---

mosquin1967

*Flower visitation network from Melville Island, Northwest Territories,  
Canada*

---

## Description

This study took place on Melville Island, N.W.T., Canada from July 19 to July 31 1965. While collecting plants the authors made some observations on the occurrence and behavior of flower visiting insects as well as on the scent and other target characteristics of flowers.

## Usage

```
data(mosquin1967)
```

## Details

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of individual flower visitors caught on each plant species. The total number of individuals collected on each plant species provide a rough estimate of the level of visitation that each species received. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

## Source

NCEAS

## References

Mosquin, T., and J. E. H. Martin. 1967. Observations on the pollination biology of plants on Melville Island, N.W.T., Canada. *Canadian Field Naturalist* **81**, 201–205

**Examples**

```
data(mosquin1967)
## maybe str(mosquin1967) ; plot(mosquin1967) ...
```

---

motten1982*A spring flower visitation network from North Carolina, USA*

---

**Description**

This is a study of the interactions between insects visitors and spring wildflowers in piedmont North Carolina. Spring flowering, entomophilous herbs, shrubs, and understory trees were included in the study.

**Usage**

```
data(motten1982)
```

**Details**

The author recorded his data by counting the number of visits of each flower visitor species to each plant species. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

**References**

Motten, A.F. (1982) Pollination Ecology of the Spring Wildflower Community in the Deciduous Forests of Piedmont North Carolina. Doctoral Dissertation thesis, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA.

Motten, A.F. (1986) Pollination ecology of the spring wildflower community of a temperate deciduous forest. *Ecological Monographs* **56**, 21–42.

**Examples**

```
data(motten1982)
## maybe str(motten1982) ; plot(motten1982) ...
```

ND

*Normalised degree, betweenness and closeness centrality***Description**

Calculates normalised degrees, and two measures of centrality, betweenness and closeness. These two are based on one-mode representations of the network and invoke functions from **sna**.

**Usage**

```
ND(web, normalised=TRUE)
BC(web, rescale=TRUE, cmode="undirected", weighted=TRUE, ...)
CC(web, cmode="suminvundir", rescale=TRUE, weighted=TRUE, ...)
```

**Arguments**

web	A matrix with lower trophic level species as rows, higher trophic level species as columns and number of interactions as entries.
normalised	Shall the degrees be normalised? If so (default), the degree for a species is divided by the number of species in the other level (see, e.g., Martín González et al. 2010).
rescale	If TRUE (default), centrality scores are rescaled such that they sum to 1.
cmode	String indicating the type of betweenness/closeness centrality being computed (directed or undirected geodesics, or a variant form - see help for closeness and betweenness in <b>sna</b> for details). The default, "suminvundir" for CC and "undirected" for BC, uses a formula that can also be applied to disconnected (=compartmented) graphs. Other cmodes may not.
weighted	Logical; if TRUE, bipartite projection will include edge weights, i.e. number of interactions. Defaults to TRUE.
...	Options passed on to betweenness and closeness, respectively. Notice that in particular the option 'ignore.eval=FALSE' will yield VERY different values than the default. BC and CC use defaults of <code>sna::betweenness</code> and <code>sna::closeness</code> , respectively, but that does not imply that these settings are per se the best! (Thanks to Michael Pocock for drawing my attention to this issue!)

**Details**

These functions are convenience functions to enable easy reproduction of the type of analyses by Martín González et al. (2010). BC and CC are wrappers calling two functions from **sna**, which uses one-mode, rather than bipartite data.

One-mode projections of two-mode networks are carried out by assigning a link to two species that share a interaction with a member of the other set (plant in case of pollinators, or pollinators in case of plants). There are different ways to do this (see [as.one.mode](#)), and many authors do not communicate well, which approach they have taken.

If the network is fully connected, all species of the same level will be linked to each other through only one step and hence have the same betweenness. This leads to values of 0.

BC reflects the number of shortest paths going through the focal node. CC is the inverse of the average distance from the focal node to all other nodes.

Both BC and CC can be normalised so that they sum to 1 (using 'rescale=TRUE'). This only affects the absolute values, but not the qualitative results.

The interested user may want to also have a look at the networkX homepage (<http://networkx.lanl.gov>) for an excellent, open, Python-based tool to analyse, depict and manipulate (one-mode) networks. It is not specifically meant for bipartite networks such as this package, though.

### Value

A list with two entries, "lower" and "higher", which contain a named vector of normalised degrees, betweenness centrality and closeness centrality, respectively. The lower-entry contains the lower trophic level species, the higher analogously the higher trophic level species.

### Note

Experimental. Should work most of the time, but not necessarily always. Also, on trials with the same data as those of Martín González et al. (2010), numerical values differed. Whether this is due to rounding errors, different non-linear least square fits in JMP and R or whatever I cannot tell. See example for my attempt to reproduce their values for the network "Azores" (aka [olesen2002flores](#)).

### Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <[carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de](mailto:carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de)>

### References

Martín Gonzáles, A.M., Dalsgaard, B. and Olesen, J.M. 2010. Centrality measures and the importance of generalist species in pollination networks. *Ecological Complexity* **7**, 36–41

### See Also

centralization, betweenness and closeness in [sna](#); [specieslevel](#) which calls them

### Examples

```
## example:
data(olesen2002flores)
(ndi <- ND(olesen2002flores))
(cci <- CC(olesen2002flores))
(bci <- BC(olesen2002flores))

cor.test(bci[[1]], ndi[[1]], method="spear") # 0.532
cor.test(cci[[1]], ndi[[1]], method="spear") # 0.403

cor.test(bci[[2]], ndi[[2]], method="spear") # 0.738
cor.test(cci[[2]], ndi[[2]], method="spear") # 0.827
```

```
## Not run:
## PLANTS:
bc <- bci[[1]]
cc <- cci[[1]]
nd <- ndi[[1]]
# CC:
summary(nls(cc ~ a*nd+b, start=list(a=1,b=1))) # lower RSE
summary(nls(cc ~ c*nd^d, start=list(c=0.072,d=0.2)))
# BC:
summary(nls(bc ~ a*nd+b, start=list(a=1,b=1)))
summary(nls(bc ~ c*nd^d, start=list(c=2,d=2))) # lower RSE

## ANIMALS:
bc <- bci[[2]]
cc <- cci[[2]]
nd <- ndi[[2]]
# CC:
summary(nls(cc ~ a*nd+b, start=list(a=1,b=1)))
summary(nls(cc ~ c*nd^d, start=list(c=0.2,d=2))) # lower RSE
# BC:
summary(nls(bc ~ a*nd+b, start=list(a=1,b=1)))
summary(nls(bc ~ c*nd^d, start=list(c=0.2,d=2))) # lower RSE

## End(Not run)
```

---

nested

*Calculates any of several measures of nestedness*


---

## Description

Wrapper function calling one, several or all currently implemented nestedness measures

## Usage

```
nested(web, method = "binmatnest2", rescale=FALSE, normalised=TRUE)
```

## Arguments

web	A matrix with elements of a set (e.g., plants) as rows, elements of a second set (e.g., pollinators) as columns and number of interactions as entries.
method	One or more of the following: ‘discrepancy’, ‘discrepancy2’, ‘binmatnest’, ‘binmatnest2’, ‘NODF’, ‘NODF2’, ‘C.score’, ‘checker’, ‘weighted NODF’, ‘wine’, ‘ALL’. See details for details on each method.
rescale	Should all measures be rescaled so that higher values mean higher nestedness? Defaults to FALSE, i.e. the standard interpretation of each measure is maintained.
normalised	Logical, defaulting to TRUE. Should C-scores be normalised to a value between 0 and 1? See <a href="#">C.score</a> for details.

## Details

There are five different measures currently available:

- 1 `binmatnest` calculates nestedness temperature following the function `nestedness` (0 = cold = highly nested; 100 = hot = not nested at all). It uses the original program of Miguel Rodriguez-Girones, only called from R; `binmatnest2`, in contrast, is the implementation in `nestedtemp` of the same algorithm by Jari Oksanen. Because `binmatnest` sometimes (and to us unexplicably) invert the matrix, we prefer the `binmatnest2` option.
- 2 Discrepancy calculates the number of non-nested 0s and 1s. While `discrepancy` calls the function with the same name, `discrepancy2` calls `nesteddisc`, which handles ties differently. Most of the time, these two should deliver very, very similar results. Higher values indicate lower nestedness.
- 3 NODF is the nestedness measure proposed by Almeida-Neto et al., correcting for matrix fill and matrix dimensions. Values of 0 indicate non-nestedness, those of 100 perfect nesting. NODF2 sorts the matrix before calculating the measure. NODF is, I understand, closer to the version presented in the paper, while NODF2 seems to make more sense for comparisons across different networks (because it is independent of the initial presentation of the matrix). Both call `nestednodf` in **vegan**. (Yes, I initially programmed NODF myself, only to find that it was there already. Luckily, there was a perfect agreement between my (deprecated) version and `nestednodf`.) A weighted version is also now available (see point 6 below), following the paper of Almeida-Neto and Ulrich (2010).
- 4 `C.score` calculates the number of checkerboard pattern in the matrix. As default, it normalises this value between min and max, so that values of 0 indicate no checkerboards (i.e. nesting), while a value of 1 indicates a perfect checkerboard. `checker` is the non-normalised version, based on `nestedchecker`.
- 5 `wine` is one of two nestedness measure using the information on the weight of a link. See `wine` for details.
- 6 weighted NODF is a version of 3, but now incorporating information on the weights of the link; it is the second quantitative nestedness measure, (chronologically) after `wine`. It uses the sorted matrix to compute NODF. If you want NODF of the unsorted, you have to directly use `nestednodf` in **vegan**.

## Value

A vector with values for each of the selected nestedness measures.

## Note

The idea behind this function is to encourage the comparison of different nestedness measures. That does not mean, we necessarily see much ecological sense in them (see, e.g., the paper by Blüthgen et al. 2008).

`nested` uses one non-default setting for the `nestedness` measures called: `'null.models=FALSE'`. This is simply to speed up the computation. Null models should be built for all nestedness measures, of course, not only for `nestedness`!

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

## References

- Almeida-Neto, M., Guimaraes, P., Guimaraes, P.R., Loyola, R.D. and Ulrich, W. 2008. A consistent metric for nestedness analysis in ecological systems: reconciling concept and measurement. *Oikos* **117**, 1227–1239.
- Almeida-Neto, M. and Ulrich, W. (2011) A straightforward computational approach for measuring nestedness using quantitative matrices. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, **26**, 173–178
- Blüthgen, N., J. Fründ, D. P. Vazquez, and F. Menzel. 2008. What do interaction network metrics tell us about specialisation and biological traits? *Ecology* **89**, 3387–3399.
- Brualdi, R.A. and Sanderson, J.G. 1999. Nested species subsets, gaps, and discrepancy. *Oecologia* **119**, 256–264.
- Galeano, J., Pastor, J.M., Iriondo and J.M. 2008. Weighted-Interaction Nestedness Estimator (WINE): A new estimator to calculate over frequency matrices. *arXiv* 0808.3397v2 [physics.bio-ph]
- Rodríguez-Gironés, M.A. and Santamaría, L. 2006. A new algorithm to calculate the nestedness temperature of presence-absence matrices. *J. Biogeogr.* **33**, 924–935.
- Stone, L. and Roberts, A. 1990. The checkerboard score and species distributions. *Oecologia* **85**, 74–79.
- Almeida-Neto, M. and Ulrich, W. 2010. A straightforward computational approach for measuring nestedness using quantitative matrices. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, in press.

## See Also

[C.score](#), [wine](#), [nestedness](#), [discrepancy](#); and, within **vegan**: [nestedtemp](#), [nestedchecker](#), [nesteddisc](#), [nestednodf](#)

## Examples

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
nested(Safariland, "ALL")
nested(Safariland, "ALL", rescale=TRUE)
# illustration that non-normalised C.score and checker are the same:
nested(Safariland, c("C.score", "checker"), normalise=FALSE)

## End(Not run)
```

---

nestedcontribution	<i>Calculates the per-species contribution to nestedness (z-score relative to null model)</i>
--------------------	---

---

## Description

Estimates the degree to which the interactions of each row and column species increase or decrease community nestedness.

**Usage**

```
nestedcontribution(web, nsimul = 99)
```

**Arguments**

web	A matrix with elements of a set (e.g., plants) as rows, elements of a second set (e.g., pollinators) as columns and number of interactions as entries. Non-binary matrices will be converted to 0/1 data.
nsimul	Number of randomizations to use as the basis for each comparison.

**Details**

The idea behind nestedness contribution is to determine how individual species' interactions change community nestedness compared to a random null model that is designed to control for the effect of differences in degree. For each row and column species, this function compares observed nestedness to an ensemble of nestedness values generated by randomizing the interactions of just that focal species. Nestedness contributions are the z-scores from this comparison. Therefore, a positive contributor to community nestedness (i.e., a species whose interactions increase overall nestedness) will obtain values greater than 0 and negative contributors to nestedness will obtain values less than 0.

**Value**

For both the “higher trophic level” and the “lower trophic level”, this function returns a data frame with the per-species nestedness contributions.

**Note**

This function calculates per-species nestedness contributions as described in Saavedra et al. 2011—namely it is based on the metric NODF to measure nestedness and employs a probabilistic null model to randomize interactions (that is described in Bascompte et al. 2003). The underlying methodology is amenable to other choices in both of these cases; however, this is not implemented at present.

The currently implemented null model replaces the observed vector of 0/1s by a probabilistic draw of 0/1s as given by the mean of mean degree in the higher trophic level (`rowSums(web)/ncol(web)`) and the mean degree for the target higher trophic level species *i* (`colSums(web)[i]/nrow(web)`).

**Author(s)**

Daniel B. Stouffer <daniel.stouffer@canterbury.ac.nz>

**References**

- Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., Melián, C.J., and Olesen, J.M. 2003. The nested assembly of plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **100**, 9383–9387
- Saavedra, S., Stouffer, D.B., Uzzi, B., and Bascompte, J. 2011. Strong contributors to network persistence are the most vulnerable to extinction. *Nature* **478**, 233–235



**Examples**

```
data(Safariland)
## Not run:
  nestedcontribution(Safariland)

## End(Not run)
```

---

nestedness	<i>Calculates nestedness temperature of presence/absence matrices</i>
------------	---

---

**Description**

Calculates matrix temperature using the binmatnest programm of Miguel Rodríguez-Gironés

**Usage**

```
nestedness(m, null.models = TRUE, n.nulls = 100, popsize = 30,
n.ind = 7, n.gen = 2000, binmatnestout=FALSE)
```

**Arguments**

<code>m</code>	<code>m</code> is the matrix object for which the temperature is calculated. <code>m</code> will be converted to a binary matrix as temperature is only based on binary data
<code>null.models</code>	Logical; shall the three different null models to check for significance of the matrix temperature be calculated? The null models procedure is quite time consuming and therefore we added this switch. Defaults to <code>null.models=TRUE</code> .
<code>n.nulls</code>	How many null models should be calculated. Defaults to <code>n.nulls=100</code> .
<code>popsize</code>	For the genetic algorithm some parameters have to be initialised. First is <code>popsize</code> , default is 30
<code>n.ind</code>	Second is number of individuals picked for the next generation. Default of <code>n.ind</code> is 7.
<code>n.gen</code>	Third is the number of generations until the genetic algorithm stops. Default of <code>n.gen</code> is 2000.
<code>binmatnestout</code>	if set to <code>TRUE</code> a file "binmat.out" is saved in the current working directory , which stores the original binmatnest output

**Details**

There are several implementations of nestedness-calculators, most noticeably NTC (nestedness temperature calculator), BINMATNEST and aninhado (check Wikipedia's entry on the subject: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nestedness>). While we here use BINMATNEST, this does not disqualify any of the others. Miguel was simply the first we contacted and he was readily willing to share his code.

Here we use BINMATNEST by calling a tweaked version of the C++ program binmatnest. In principle nestedness temperature is calculated by using a line of perfect order (using a genetic algorithm) to determine the reordering of rows and columns that leads to minimum matrix temperature

of given size and fills. The deviation from this minimum temperature is the matrix temperature. In addition nestedness uses different null models to check for statistical significance of the matrix temperature. For details on what BINMATNEST does different, and better, than the original NTC see reference below.

Notice also that the original software BINMATNEST is available as a stand-alone application, too. Check out Miguel's homepage: <http://www.eeza.csic.es/eeza/personales/rgirones.aspx>

### Value

returns a list of matrix descriptors, such as

temperature	the matrix temperature
parameters of genetic algorithms	Parameters used for the genetic algorithm
nullmodels	switch if null models have been calculated, 1 for yes, 0 for no
p, mean, var	probability, mean temperature and variance of temperature for the three different null models
packing order	the packing order of the most packed matrix (minimum temperature of a perfectly nested matrix using given size and fills).

### Note

Make sure matrix *m* is valid, as error proofing in the C++ function does not fully work, and therefore it is possible that R may crash when using strange types of matrices, such as matrices with only one entry.

Also, while this function returns exactly the same values as `binmatnest`, there seems to be the occasional glitch in the software, in that in inexplicable circumstances the matrix is inverted before calculating nestedness. We are currently trying to find the cause of this problem. Sorry!

In the meantime, use `nestedtemp` in **vegan** (as we do in `networklevel`)!

### Author(s)

Bernd Gruber, based on C++-code by Miguel Rodríguez-Girones.

### References

Rodríguez-Gironés M.A., and Santamaría L. 2006. A new algorithm to calculate the nestedness temperature of presence-absence matrices. *Journal of Biogeography* **33**, 924–935

### Examples

```
## Not run:
data(vazarr)
nestedness(vazarr) # null models are calculated
# no null models, much faster for bigger matrices:
nestedness(vazarr, null.models=FALSE)
nestedness(vazarr, n.nulls=300, n.gen=300)

## End(Not run)
```

---

nestedrank	<i>Calculates the rank of a species in a matrix sorted for maximum nestedness</i>
------------	---

---

## Description

Ranks species according to their generality, which is measured as the position in the nestedness matrix. A generalist will interact with more species and thus have a rank closer to 1, while specialists (and rare species) will have ranks with higher values.

## Usage

```
nestedrank(web, method = "NODF", weighted=TRUE, normalise=TRUE, return.matrix=FALSE)
```

## Arguments

web	A matrix with elements of a set (e.g., plants) as rows, elements of a second set (e.g., pollinators) as columns and number of interactions as entries.
method	One or more of the following: 'NODF', 'nodf', 'binmatnest', 'wine', 'sort'. See details for details on each method.
weighted	For NODF and wine only: should the number of interactions per link be used as weights? See help of nestednodf in <b>vegan</b> for details.
normalise	Logical; defaulting to TRUE. Divides the rank-1 by the number of species -1, thereby ranging it between 0 (most generalist) and 1 (most specialised).
return.matrix	Logical, defaulting to FALSE. Should the matrix resulting from the nestedness-sorting be returned as well?

## Details

The idea is to re-arrange the network matrix according to its nestedness, so that the most “generalist” species with most links will be in the first row/column and decreasing from there. The nestedness matrix can be computed in different ways. There are four different methods currently available:

**NODF (or nodf)** will use **vegan**’s nestednodf-function to arrange the matrix. With ‘weighted=TRUE’, which is the default, it will use the actual number of interactions, rather than the number of links

**binmatnest** will use the **vegan**’s nestedtemp-function to arrange the matrix. This is only using binary information, so weighting has no effect.

**wine** will use the [wine](#)-function to arrange the matrix. When ‘weighted=FALSE’, wine will be applied to a binary matrix.

**sort** will simply sort the matrix by marginal totals (i.e. by number of interactions per species when ‘weighted=TRUE’ or by number links (=degree) when ‘weighted=FALSE’. In this case the rank simply represents the abundance of the species in this network.

**Value**

A list of nestedness ranks vectors for the lower and higher trophic level (smallest value for the most generalist). If 'return.matrix=TRUE', a third list entry will contain the nested matrix.

**Note**

Since nestedness is itself not a straight-forward measure of something ecologically meaningful, also these ranks may or may not be. At least there is a high chance that they represent merely abundance of each species. See example for an idea on how to check for the effect of abundance as such.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**References**

Alarcon, R., Waser, N.M. and Ollerton, J. 2008. Year-to-year variation in the topology of a plant-pollinator interaction network. *Oikos* **117**, 1796–1807

**See Also**

[nested](#); [nestedrank](#) is called by [specieslevel](#)

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
ranks <- sapply(c("nodf", "binmatnest", "wine", "sort"), function(x)
  nestedrank(Safariland, method=x)[[2]])
cor(ranks) # high correlation between sort and other indicate that only abundance matters

## End(Not run)
```

---

networklevel

*Analysis of bipartite webs at the level of the entire network*

---

**Description**

Calculates a variety of indices and values for a bipartite network

**Usage**

```
networklevel(web, index="ALLBUTDD", level="both", weighted=TRUE,
  ISAmethod="Bluethgen", SAmethod = "Bluethgen", extinctmethod = "r",
  nrep = 100, CCfun=median, dist="horn", normalise=TRUE, empty.web=TRUE,
  logbase="e", intereven="prod", H2_integer=TRUE, fcweighted=TRUE,
  fcdist="euclidean", legacy=FALSE)
```

**Arguments**

**web** Web is a matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.

**index** One or more of the following (exact match only!). First the group of “pure” network indices, then those computed for each level.

- ‘connectance’,
- ‘web asymmetry’,
- ‘links per species’,
- ‘number of compartments’,
- ‘compartment diversity’,
- ‘cluster coefficient’, which will compute both the network-wide cluster coefficient as well as those for each level,
- ‘nestedness’,
- ‘weighted nestedness’,
- ‘weighted NODF’,
- ‘ISA’ (or alternatively ‘interaction strength asymmetry’ or ‘dependence asymmetry’),
- ‘SA’ (or alternatively ‘specialisation asymmetry’),
- ‘linkage density’,
- ‘weighted connectance’,
- ‘Fisher alpha’,
- ‘interaction evenness’,
- ‘Alatalo interaction evenness’,
- ‘Shannon diversity’,
- ‘H2’;

and/or those invoked through [grouplevel](#):

- ‘number of species’ in the respective trophic level,
- ‘mean number of links’,
- ‘mean number of shared partners’,
- ‘weighted cluster coefficient’,
- ‘degree distribution’,
- ‘togetherness’,
- ‘C score’,
- ‘V ratio’,
- ‘discrepancy’,
- ‘extinction slope’,
- ‘robustness’,
- ‘niche overlap’,
- ‘generality’,
- ‘vulnerability’,

- ‘fc’ (or alternatively ‘functional complementarity’).

Furthermore, there are some groups of indices that can be called:

- ‘ALL’ calculates all indices (returning a list (since degree distribution fits are a table within this list and cannot be simplified)),
- ‘ALLBUTDD’ (default) calculates all indices except degree distribution fits. This latter has the advantage that the output can be simplified to a vector;
- ‘info’ returns more general information on the network;
- ‘binary’ returns a best-of selection of indices based on a binary network;
- ‘quantitative’ returns a best-of selection of indices based on quantitative networks;
- ‘topology’ returns indices more abstractly describing network properties. Also CHECK details below!

level	For which level should the level-specific indices be computed: ‘both’ (default), ‘lower’ or ‘higher’?
weighted	Logical; should the weighted average be computed for indices that are averaged across species (at the group level)? Defaults to TRUE.
ISAmethod	Method to use for calculating interaction strength (= dependence) asymmetry; original by ‘Bascompte’ is yielding artefact results based only on the asymmetry of the web (as shown by example in Blüthgen et al. 2007 analytically in Blüthgen 2010) and should hence be avoided; ‘Blüthgen’ (default) excludes singletons and corrects for low number of interactions (range -1 to 1).
SAmethod	How to aggregate d’-based specialisation values: mean of log-transformed dependencies (‘log’) or Blüthgen’s marginal totals-weighted mean (default); see Blüthgen et al. (2007).
extinctmethod	Specifies how species are removed from matrix: ‘random’, ‘degree’ or ‘abundance’ (partial matching). See <a href="#">second.extinct</a> for details an option to predefine the sequence externally; idea from Memmott et al. (2004).
nrep	Number of replicates for the extinction sequence analysis.
CCfun	Method to use when calculating the clustering coefficient. Originally proposed as mean of cluster coefficients for each species. Defaults to ‘median’, because cluster coefficients are strongly skewed.
dist	Distance metric to be used to calculate niche overlap. Any of <b>vegan</b> ’s <code>vegdist</code> -metrics can be used; defaults to Horn’s index, which is the recommendation of Krebs (1989). Binary percent niche overlap would be computed with ‘dist = “jaccard”’.
normalise	Logical; shall the C-score and togetherness metrics be normalised to a range of 0 to 1? Defaults to TRUE.
empty.web	Shall the empty columns and rows be deleted? Defaults to TRUE.
logbase	Shall the various diversity indices (linkage density, partner diversity, generality/vulnerability, interaction evenness) be calculated to the base of e (default) or 2? Log2 is the proposal for generality and vulnerability by Bersier et al. (2002), while Shannon uses ln. The choice of the base will not affect the results qualitatively, at most by a scaling factor. Note that for all these indices, we follow common practice and define $0 * \log(0) = 0$ .

intereven	Shall all cells of the matrix be used to calculate the interaction evenness ('intereven = "prod")? Or, as given by Bersier et al. (2002) and Tylianakis et al. (2007), should only the realised links be used ('intereven = "sum"; default)? Prod and sum refer to using the log of the <b>product</b> of matrix dimensions (i.e. all cells) or the log of the <b>sum</b> of non-zero cells (i.e. number of links) as denominator in the evenness formula. See last paragraph of the details-section for views on these two options!
H2_integer	Logical; indicates whether values in web are integers. Passed on to <a href="#">H2fun</a> ; see there for details.
fcweighted	Logical; when computing “functional complementarity” sensu function <a href="#">fc</a> , should the weights of the matrix be used. Defaults to TRUE, but original paper (Devoto et al. 2012) is based on FALSE.
fcdist	Distance measure to be used to compute functional complementarity through <a href="#">fc</a> ; any measure accepted by <a href="#">dist</a> is acceptable.
legacy	Logical; should the old (pre-2.00) version of networklevel be used? To be backward compatible, the old networklevel-function is still available (.networklevel) and can be called by setting 'legacy=TRUE'. This is only for the transition period until all papers in the making have been published (or binned). Index names and sometimes unclear focal level were downsides of the old implementation, which is now remedied. Thus, the use of 'legacy=TRUE' and the direct call of .networklevel are strongly discouraged!

## Details

For explanations of any of the indices computed for a level (i.e. those with HL and/or LL suffix), please see [grouplevel](#) for details.

This function implements a variety of the many (and still procreating) indices describing network topography. Some are embarrassingly simple and mere descriptors of a network's outer appearance (such as number of species in each trophic level or the number of links (= non-zero cells) in the web). Others are variations on Shannon's diversity index applied to within column or within rows. Only extinction slope is newly implemented here, and hence described in a bit more detail.

Currently, you *cannot* get the *qualitative* version of quantitative indices such as vulnerability!

Integers or continuous values - what are the quantities in quantitative webs? Some web metrics expect in their typical formulation that the entries in the web-matrix are integers - e.g. H2' is defined relative to minimum and maximum based on marginal totals. Blüthgen et al. (2006) use an algorithm assuming values can only be integers. If your quantities are not constrained to be integers, multiplication and rounding may or may not give consistent results, depending on rounding errors and the factor applied. Multiplication with high numbers such as 10 000 seems to be OK. For H2' a simplified calculation applicable to continuous numbers is available (by declaring option 'H2\_integer=FALSE' in [H2fun](#)). Note that values of H2' based on integers are not directly comparable to H2' based on continuous values (for sparse webs, H2'\_continuous is much higher than H2'\_integer). We tentatively think that other indices are hardly affected by non-integer values or by multiplication and rounding. Please let us know your experience.

## Value

The suffixes LL and HL refer to lower and higher level, respectively

Depending on the selected indices, some or all of the below (returned as vector if “degree distribution” was not requested, otherwise as list):

connectance	Realised proportion of possible links ( <a href="#">Dunne et al. 2002</a> ): sum of links divided by number of cells in the matrix (= number of higher times number of lower trophic level species). This is the <i>standardised number of species combinations</i> often used in co-occurrence analyses (Gotelli & Graves 1996)
web asymmetry	Balance between numbers in the two levels: positive values indicate more higher-trophic level species, negative more lower-trophic level species; implemented as $(\text{ncol}(\text{web}) - \text{nrow}(\text{web})) / \text{sum}(\text{dim}(\text{web}))$ ; web asymmetry is a null model for what one might expect in dependence asymmetry: see Blüthgen et al. (2007).
links per species	Mean number of links per species (qualitative): sum of links divided by number of species.
number of compartments	Compartments are sub-sets of the web which are not connected (through either higher or lower trophic level) to another compartment. Mathematically, they are Jordan blocks, but this implementation is rule-based (and fast). They are also nicely visualised in the <a href="#">visweb</a> function.
compartment diversity	Shannon’s diversity of compartment sizes (size = number of species from both levels); see Tylianakis et al. (2007).
cluster coefficient	The cluster coefficient for a network is the average cluster coefficients of its members, i.e. simply the number of realised links divided by the number of possible links. Introduced by Watts & Strogatz (1998) and described in Wikipedia under <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Clustering_coefficient">http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Clustering_coefficient</a> . The cluster coefficient can be computed both for the entire network, as well as for each level (for the latter indicated by suffix HL or LL).
nestedness	Nestedness temperature of the matrix (0 means cold, i.e. high nestedness, 100 means hot, i.e. chaos). For details see <a href="#">nestedness</a> and Rodriguez-Girones & Santamaría (2002). Notice that the function <a href="#">nestedness</a> does not calculate any null model, simply because it is too computer-intensive. <code>networklevel</code> calls <code>nestedtemp</code> ! If you are interested in the different null models, please use the function <a href="#">nestedness</a> or <code>nestedtemp</code> in <b>vegan</b> directly.
weighted nestedness	A nestedness version that considers interaction frequencies (and is hence weighted), proposed by Galeano et al. (2007) and implemented in <a href="#">wine</a> . It ranges between 1 (perfect nestedness) and 0 (perfect chaos). Note that this is the OPPOSITE interpretation of nestedness temperature!
weighted NODF	Another quantitative (=weighted) index for nestedness, building on NODF (see <code>nestednodf</code> in <b>vegan</b> ). High values indicate nestedness. According to the analysis of Almeida-Neto et al. (2008, 2010), NODF is more consistent and “better” than usual measures of nestedness.
interaction strength asymmetry	(selected using ‘index = “ISA”’) Explaining dependence asymmetry is also a measure of specialisation, across both trophic levels. Proposed by Bascompte et



al. (2006) and critised and alterations proposed by Blüthgen et al. (2007). The latter also show that dependence asymmetry can be almost entirely explained by web asymmetry (see above). Positive values (only possible of 'ISAmethod = "Bluethgen"') indicate higher dependence in the higher trophic level. See function [specieslevel](#) and its index 'interaction push/pull', which quantifies the balance of affecting and being effected by other species. Similarly, index 'strength' quantifies the average effect of each species on all its partners.

#### specialisation asymmetry

(selected using 'index="SA"') Asymmetry (higher vs. lower trophic level) of specialisation now based on d' (see [dfun](#)), which is insensitive to the dimensions of the web. Again, two options of calculation are available: the one proposed by Blüthgen et al. (2007), where they weight the specialisation value for each species by its abundance ('SAmethod="Bluethgen"') or where d'-values are log-transformed (arguing that d'-values are indeed log-normally distributed: 'SAmethod="log"'). Since the mean d'-value for the lower trophic level is subtracted from that of the higher, positive values indicate a higher specialisation of the higher trophic level.

#### linkage density

Marginal totals-weighted diversity of interactions per species (quantitative). Actually, this is computed as the average of vulnerability and generality (Bersier et al. 2002). Does not respond to setting weighted=FALSE.

#### weighted connectance

Linkage density divided by number of species in the network (Tylianakis et al. 2007). This will respond to whether non-interacting species (e.g. unparasitised hosts) are included or not!

Fisher's alpha An alternative measure of interaction diversity (using [fisherfit](#) from **vegan**).

#### interaction evenness

Shannon's evenness for the web entries. Note that the two options are rather different. By definition,  $IE = H/H_{max}$ ;  $H = -\sum(p.i.mat * \log(p.i.mat))$ , where  $p.i.mat = matrix / \sum(entries\ in\ matrix)$ . This means, when calculating H, do we treat all possible links as species, and the interactions (cell values) as measure of their abundance? By definition,  $H_{max} = \ln(N)$ . The key question is: What is the right value for N? Since we treat the matrix cells as species, it is (clearly?) the number of matrix cells, i.e. number of higher trophic level species x number of lower trophic level species. We think this logic justifies our default "prod". However, others argue in favour of  $N = \text{number of links}$ . Please see note for our discussion on this point.

#### Alatalo interaction evenness

A different measure for web entry evenness, as proposed by Müller et al. (1999).

#### Shannon diversity

Shannon's diversity of interactions (i.e. network entries).

#### H2

H2' is a network-level measure of specialisation. It ranges between 0 (no specialisation) and 1 (complete specialisation). H2' is a measure of discrimination, i.e. calculated in comparison of no specialisation (see [H2fun](#) for details). To avoid confusion of keys (apostrophe vs. accent), we call the H2' only H2 here.

others now to come: all other indices are returned as output from [grouplevel](#). Please see there for details, we here only provide minimal listing.

number of species

mean number of shared partners  
in this level

cluster coefficient  
for this level (same for both levels if 'weighted=FALSE').

weighted cluster coefficient

niche overlap Mean similarity in interaction pattern between species of the same level, calculated by default as Horn's index ('dist="horn"').

togetherness Mean number of co-occupancies across all species combinations.

C score Mean (normalised) number of checkerboard combinations across all species.

V ratio Variance-ratio of species numbers to individual numbers within species for that level.

discrepancy Discrepancy as proposed by Brualdi & Sanderson (1999); see [discrepancy](#) for details.

degree distribution  
See [degreedistr](#) for details and references.

extinction slope  
Slope of the secondary extinction sequence in that level, following extermination of species in the other level.

robustness Area below the "secondary extinction" curve; see [robustness](#) for details. Corresponds to "extinction slope".

functional complementarity  
for a given level.

partner diversity  
(Weighted) mean Shannon diversity of the number of interactions for the species of that level. Choose 'logbase=2' to change to a log2-based version.

generality/vulnerability  
(Weighted) mean effective number of LL species per HL species (generality; HL species per LL species for vulnerability), weighted by their marginal totals (row sums); see Tylianakis et al. (2007) and Bersier et al. (2002). This is identical to  $\exp(\text{"partner diversity"})$ , i.e., simply the Jost (2006)-recommended version of diversity.

## Note

**Sum or Prod: How to calculate interaction evenness?** I shall first put down my argument for "prod" and then Jason Tylianakis' arguments for "sum".

Carsten: "I do not want to defend a position I cannot hold against the flood of qualified criticism, and shall be happy to change the default to option "sum" (i.e. Jason's proposal). Nevertheless, I shall make a very brief attempt to defend my (and Nico's point of view). Imagine a completely

different situation: I have “counted” birds in a landscape. From a more meticulous colleague I know that there are 27 bird species breeding at the moment, but on that two mornings that I went out, I could only hear 15. Now I want to calculate the Shannon diversity (and evenness) of birds in that landscape. The “normal” (in the sense of established) approach to use the data from my 15 species. But hold on: I KNOW there are more species out there. I don’t know how many (i.e. there may be more than the 27 my colleague has found), but there are at least 27. If I only use the data from my 15 species, I will get a higher evenness value than when I also include the 12 zeros. My conclusion would be: I don’t want to overestimate evenness only because I couldn’t look long enough, thus I use all 27 values.”

Jason: “I would disagree because what you “know” is based on your meticulous colleague’s ‘sampling’, which will also have its limits. If all you wanted was to know the total number of species there (assuming none have gone extinct), then what you propose is fine. However, the problem comes when you want to compare sites, and then sampling effort should be standardised. In most cases we know we don’t have a full representation of the diversity (or food web) of an area, but we know for a given spatial or temporal sampling scale that one site differs from another in certain ways, and to me that is the most important. Anyway, it is all a question of scale and the precise question being asked. So what about making it an option in bipartite that you can either choose to divide by the realised links (give our 2007 paper as a ref, so people know it’s comparable to that) or divide by the number of potential links, if that’s the question people want to ask?” There you go: it’s your choice!

**NA values:** All error and warning messages are (or at least should be) suppressed! If your web returns and NA for some of the indices, this can be because the index cannot be computed. For example, if the web is full (i.e. no 0-cells), extinction slopes cannot be fitted (singularity of gradient). Check if you can expect the index to be computable! If it is, and networklevel doesn’t do it, let me know.

**Reducing computation time:** Some indices require rather long computation times on large webs. If you want to increase the speed by omitting some indices, here a rough guide: Ask only for the indices you are interested in! Otherwise, here is the sequence of most time-consuming indices:

1. The slowest function is related to extinction slopes and robustness. Excluding both makes the function faster.
2. ‘weighted cluster coefficient’ is also very time consuming (an exhaustive search for 4-loops in the one-mode projection of the network). Omitting it can dramatically boost speed.
3. Degree distributions are somewhat time consuming.
4. Fisher’s alpha is computed iteratively and hence time consuming.
5. Nestedness and weighted nestedness are not the fastest of routines.
6. Number (and diversity) of compartments calls a recursive and hence relatively slow algorithm.
7. H2 and specialisation asymmetry require an iterative, heuristic search algorithm. Finally, excluding discrepancy can also moderately decrease computation time.

#### Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

## References

- Almeida-Neto, M., Loyola, R.D., Ulrich, W., Guimaraes, P., Guimaraes, Jr., P.R. 2008. A consistent metric for nestedness analysis in ecological systems: reconciling concept and measurement. *Oikos* **117**, 1227–1239
- Almeida-Neto, M. & Ulrich, W. (2011) A straightforward computational approach for measuring nestedness using quantitative matrices. *Environmental Modelling & Software* **26**, 173–178
- Bascompte, J., Jordano, P. and Olesen, J. M. 2006 Asymmetric coevolutionary networks facilitate biodiversity maintenance. *Science* **312**, 431–433
- Bersier, L. F., Banasek-Richter, C. and Cattin, M. F. (2002) Quantitative descriptors of food-web matrices. *Ecology* **83**, 2394–2407
- Blüthgen, N. (2010) Why network analysis is often disconnected from community ecology: A critique and an ecologist's guide. *Basic and Applied Ecology* **11**, 185–195
- Blüthgen, N., Menzel, F., Hovestadt, T., Fiala, B. and Blüthgen N. 2007 Specialization, constraints and conflicting interests in mutualistic networks. *Current Biology* **17**, 1–6
- Burgos, E., H. Ceva, R.P.J. Perazzo, M. Devoto, D. Medan, M. Zimmermann, and A. Maria Delbue (2007) Why nestedness in mutualistic networks? *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **249**, 307–313
- Corso G, de Araújo AIL, de Almeida AM (2008) A new nestedness estimator in community networks. *arXiv* 0803.0007v1 [physics.bio-ph]
- Devoto M., Bailey S., Craze P., and Memmott J. (2012) Understanding and planning ecological restoration of plant-pollinator networks. *Ecology Letters* **15**, 319–328. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01740.x>
- Dormann, C.F., Fründ, J., Blüthgen, N., and Gruber, B. (2009) Indices, graphs and null models: analysing bipartite ecological networks. *The Open Ecology Journal* **2**, 7–24.
- Dunne, J. A., R. J. Williams, and N. D. Martinez. 2002 Food-web structure and network theory: the role of connectance and size. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* **99**, 12917–12922
- Galeano, J., Pastor, J.M. and Iriando, J.M. (2008) Weighted-Interaction Nestedness Estimator (WINE): A new estimator to calculate over frequency matrices. *arXiv* 0808.3397v1 [physics.bio-ph]
- Gotelli, N. J., and G. R. Graves. 1996 Null Models in Ecology. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C.
- Krebs, C. J. 1989. Ecological Methodology. Harper Collins, New York.
- Memmott, J., Waser, N. M. and Price M. V. 2004 Tolerance of pollination networks to species extinctions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **271**, 2605–2611
- Müller, C. B., Adriaanse, I. C. T., Belshaw, R. and Godfray, H. C. J. 1999 The structure of an aphid-parasitoid community. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **68**, 346–370
- Roberts, A. and Stone, L. 1990 Island-sharing by archipelago species. *Oecologia* **83**, 560–567
- Rodríguez-Giróns M.A., and Santamaría L. 2006. A new algorithm to calculate the nestedness temperature of presence-absence matrices. *Journal of Biogeography* **33**, 924–935
- Schluter, D. (1984) A variance test for detecting species associations, with some example applications. *Ecology* **65**, 998–1005.
- Stone, L. and Roberts, A. (1990) The checkerboard score and species distributions. *Oecologia* **85**, 74–79.

Stone, L. and Roberts, A. (1992) Competitive exclusion, or species aggregation? An aid in deciding. *Oecologia* **91**, 419–424

Tylianakis, J. M., Tscharntke, T. and Lewis, O.T. (2007) Habitat modification alters the structure of tropical host-parasitoid food webs. *Nature* **445**, 202–205

Watts, D. J. and Strogatz, S. (1998) Collective dynamics of ‘small-world’ networks. *Nature* **393**, 440–442

See Also

Some functions are implemented separately: [H2fun](#), [second.extinct](#), [degreedistr](#), [C.score](#) and [V.ratio](#)

Examples

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
networklevel(Safariland)
networklevel(Safariland, index="ALLBUTDD") #excludes degree distribution fits

## End(Not run)
```

---

nodespec	<i>Calculates the node-based specialisation index</i>
----------	---

---

Description

Calculates a specialisation index based on the node positions for all species in a bipartite network, separately for the higher and lower trophic level.

Usage

```
nodespec(web, inf.replace = NA)
```

Arguments

web	A matrix with lower trophic level species as rows, higher trophic level species as columns and number of interactions as entries.
inf.replace	What should infinite geodesic distances (e.g. between compartments) be represented as? Defaults to ‘NA’; only currently implemented alternative is ‘inf.replace=Inf’, which replaces infinite distances by the maximum path length plus 1.

## Details

This index aims to describe the functional specialisation of pollinators and was proposed by Dalgaard et al. (2008). It is a purely qualitative measure.

After calculating the geodesic distances between species, i.e. the minimum number of steps from one species to another, these values are averaged for each species. This mean geodesic distance is interpreted as functional specialisation (Dalgaard et al. 2008).

Notice that this “new” index is in fact little else than the inverse of (unscaled) closeness centrality in (poor) disguise.

## Value

A list with two components, names “higher” and “lower”, both containing the node specialisation index for each species.

## Note

This index is as yet unevaluated. We don’t know how it responds to true specialisation at all. In fact, it is a rather good example of how to get a new thing published without even having demonstrated in which way it differs from existing indices of specialisation (such as standardised `d` included in the function `dfun`), or how it performs on artificial data with known properties.

One major disadvantage of any index based on path lengths is its difficulty with compartments, i.e. species not linked to the rest of the network. There are, generally speaking, three ways to handle this: Firstly, ignore it (that is, set infinite distances to NA; our default). Secondly, leave it as it is (that is, leave infinite distances as infinite). This is not really an option, since then ALL species would have infinite specialisation values. Thirdly, replace infinite by the largest distance plus one (see comments in `geodist` in `sna`). That would probably be a plausible thing to do, since we could argue that with a little bit extra observation we might have found a species linking a compartment to the rest of the network. However, this solution is “not canonical”, as put in `geodist` and hence biased to an unknown extent. To use this option, specify ‘`inf.replace=Inf`’.

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

## References

Dalsgaard, B., Martín González, A. M., Olesen, J. M., Timmermann, A., Andersen, L. H. and Ollerton, J. (2008) Pollination networks and functional specialization: a test using Lesser Antillean plant-hummingbird assemblages. *Oikos* **117**, 789–793

## See Also

See also as [specieslevel](#), which calls `nodespec`.

## Examples

```
data(Safariland)
nodespec(Safariland, inf.replace=Inf)
```

npartite

*Computes indices for a masked-one-mode network***Description**

Computes network indices for networks that are represented as stacks of bipartite networks (“npartite”), thus having many impossible links.

**Usage**

```
npartite(as.one.mode.web, index=c("connectance", "links per species", "mean degree"))
```

**Arguments**

`as.one.mode.web`

a one-mode representation of a network; if this is based on several bipartite networks, i.e. species in levels NOT interacting within that level OR without interactions between separated levels (e.g. 1st and 3rd) then such forbidden links must be represented as NA! For bipartite networks this can easily be achieved using `as.one.mode(., fill=NA)`, but obviously for bipartite networks this function is obsolete.

`index`

Vector of names of indices to be computed for the npartite network. Currently only ‘connectance’ and ‘links per species’ (=‘mean degree’) are available.

**Value**

A named list of indices.

**Note**

An attempt is made to ensure that these indices converge to the same value as returned for a bipartite network!

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**See Also**

[as.one.mode.](#)

**Examples**

```
image(aomw <- as.one.mode(Safariland, fill=NA))
npartite(aomw)
networklevel(Safariland, index=c("connectance", "links per species"))
```

---

null.distr	<i>Null model based on fitted marginal distribution</i>
------------	---

---

## Description

Given a network, this function fits a distribution to the marginal totals and then draws randomly from this distribution to yield a new network

## Usage

```
null.distr(N, web, distr="lognormal")
```

## Arguments

N	Number of null model web to be generated.
web	A (bipartite) network matrix.
distr	The name of the distribution to be fitted to the marginal totals. Currently only the lognormal ("lognormal" or "log-normal") and the negative binomial ("negative binomial" or "negbin") are supported. Defaults to lognormal.

## Details

This package provides several functions to generate null models for the observed data (see [nullmodel](#)). However, this function deviates from any of these in that it does not hold the marginal totals as constant. Rather, it sees them as a random draw of some underlying distribution. This distribution is fitted (in a very ad hoc manner) to the data. The inspiration for this function comes from Blüthgen et al. (2008).

In the next step, the same number of species is drawn from this distribution randomly, and a new matrix is generated as the cross-product of these new vectors. The matrix is then standardised to sum to 1. It now serves as probability of drawing an interaction for any of its cells.

As many interactions as were observed are drawn (given the above probabilities) and hence a new, null matrix is generated.

In case of the negative binomial fit (and random draw), some 0-values will result from the random draws. As a consequence, the dimension of the matrix can be dramatically lower than the observed. To avoid this, I simply add 1 to each marginal total value. Again, this is very ad hoc and not statistically justified. In fact, values already large should not receive an additional observation (as shown by Dewdney 1998 in a very different context).

NOTE 1: The fitted distribution is not supposed to represent the true distribution behind the abundances, but merely one way to have new marginal totals. In fact, in many cases the marginal totals aren't lognormal (or negative binomial), but much more skewed than that!

NOTE 2: Although the dimensions of the new, null web CAN be the same as that of the original, quite often they will be lower. This is because some species have a very low probability of being observed, and only in webs with many observations they will be. Stochasticity may render some species unobserved. **The consequences are potentially large!** As species are lost, relative linkage density goes up automatically, which affects virtually every network index!



**Value**

A list of N new matrices with the same number of interactions, but possibly different dimensions.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**References**

Blüthgen, N., Fründ, J., Vázquez, D. P. and Menzel, F. 2008. What do interaction network metrics tell us about specialisation and biological traits? *Ecology*, **89**, 3387–3399.

Dewdney, A.K. 1998. A general theory of the sampling process with applications to the “veil line”. *Theoretical Population Biology*, **54**, 294–302.

**See Also**

[nullmodel](#)

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
null.distr(N=2, Safariland)
null.distr(N=2, Safariland, distr="negbin")

round(networklevel(Safariland, "info"), 3)
sapply(null.distr(N=5, Safariland), function(x) networklevel(x, index="info"))
# highly connected
sapply(null.distr(N=5, Safariland, distr="negbin"), function(x) networklevel(x,
index="info")[3])
# similarly highly connected

## End(Not run)
```

---

null.t.test

---

*Compares observed pattern to random webs.*


---

**Description**

A little null-model function to check, if the observed values actually are much different to what one would expect under random numbers given the observed row and column totals (i.e.~information in the structure of the web, not only in its species' abundances). Random matrices are based on the function [r2dtable](#). The test itself is a t-test (with all its assumptions).

**Usage**

```
null.t.test(web, N = 30, ...)
```

**Arguments**

web	A matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows).
N	Number of null models to be produced; see ‘Note’ below!
...	Optional parameters to be passed on to the functions <code>networklevel</code> and <code>t.test</code> .

**Details**

This is only a very rough null-model test. There are various reasons why one may consider `r2dtable` as an incorrect way to construct null models (e.g.~because it yields very different connectance values compared to the original). It is merely used here to indicate into which direction a proper development of null models may start off. Also, if the distribution of null models is very skewed, a t-test is obviously not the test of choice.

Finally, not all indices will be reasonably testable (e.g.~number of species is fixed), or are returned by the function `networklevel` in a form that `null.t.test` can make use of (e.g.~degree distribution fits).

**Value**

Returns a table with one row per index, and columns giving

obs	observed value
null mean	mean null model value
lower CI	lower 95% confidence interval (or whatever level is specified in the function’s call)
upper CI	upper 95% confidence interval (or whatever level is specified in the function’s call)
t	t-statistic
P	P-value of t statistic

**Note**

This function is rather slow. Using large replications in combination with iterative indices (degree distribution, compartment diversity, extinction slope, H2) may lead to rather long runtimes!

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**Examples**

```
data(mosquin1967)
null.t.test(mosquin1967, index=c("generality", "vulnerability",
  "cluster coefficient", "H2", "ISA", "SA"), nrep=2, N=10)
```

---

nullmodel

*Generates null models for network analysis*


---

## Description

A wrapper function for convenient generation of null models for quantitative and binary networks

## Usage

```
nullmodel(web, N=1000, method="r2d", ...)
```

## Arguments

web	Web is a matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
N	number of null models to be generated; defaults to 1000 (more might be better, less probably not).
method	Null model type. Can be given as an integer or name: 1/"r2dtable", 2/"swap.web", 3/"vaznull", 4/"shuffle.web", 5/"mgen"; allows for partial match of names; methods 1 to 4 works for quantitative webs, 4 and 5 for binary.
...	arguments to be passed to the function generating the specific null models, see there for options.

## Details

ADVICE: Look at the same-named function in **vegan**, as well as the long list of potential null models described in **commsim** in that package. It offers a richer and more standardised implementation of null models than this (earlier) function.

This is only a wrapper function to facilitate and standardise the generation of null models.

These null models assume that integers represent frequencies that are 'individually' counted, not decimal numbers. Multiplication by 1000 (say) and rounding does NOT necessarily make your value frequencies satisfy this assumption. Null models for 'continuously quantitative' webs still have to be developed!

A warning is returned when all entries in a quantitative network are 0 or 1 (which suggests a binary network).

## Value

Returns a list of N null model-generated networks. Species names are (obviously) dropped.

**Note**

When a quantitative network contains only 1s (as may happen when sampling intensity is low), the quantitative null model will be extremely similar (often identical) to the observed network. This is no error. It is reflecting the fact that this network contains little (no) information beyond the abundances.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**See Also**

For the functions generating the null model network: [shuffle.web](#), [swap.web](#), [vaznull](#), [mgen](#), `vegan::simulate` and `r2dtable`

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
nullmodel(Safariland, N=2, method=1)
nullmodel(Safariland>0, N=2, method=4)
# analysis example:
obs <- unlist(networklevel(Safariland, index="weighted nestedness"))
nulls <- nullmodel(Safariland, N=100, method=1)
null <- unlist(sapply(nulls, networklevel, index="weighted nestedness")) #takes a while ...

plot(density(null), xlim=c(min(obs, min(null)), max(obs, max(null))),
main="comparison of observed with null model Patefield")
abline(v=obs, col="red", lwd=2)

praw <- sum(null>obs) / length(null)
ifelse(praw > 0.5, 1-praw, praw)    # P-value

# comparison of null model 4 and 5 for binary:
nulls4 <- nullmodel(Safariland>0, N=100, method=4)
nulls5 <- nullmodel(Safariland>0, N=100, method=5)
null4 <- unlist(sapply(nulls4, networklevel, index="weighted nestedness"))
null5 <- unlist(sapply(nulls5, networklevel, index="weighted nestedness"))

plot(density(null4), xlim=range(c(null4, null5)), lwd=2,
main="comparison of null models")
lines(density(null5), col="red", lwd=2)
legend("topright", c("shuffle", "mgen"), col=c("black", "red"), lwd=c(2,2),
bty="n", cex=1.5)
abline(v=networklevel(Safariland>0, index="weighted nestedness"))

## End(Not run)
```

---

olesen2002aigrettes	<i>A flower visitation network from the Azores</i>
---------------------	--

---

**Description**

The structure of pollination networks were investigated for two oceanic islands, the Azorean Flores and the Mauritian Ile aux Aigrettes.

**Usage**

```
data(olesen2002aigrettes)
```

**Details**

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of visits of each flower visitor species to each plant species. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

**References**

Olesen, J. M., L. I. Eskildsen, and S. Venkatasamy. 2002. Invasion of pollination networks on oceanic islands: importance of invader complexes and endemic super generalists. *Diversity and Distributions* 8:181-192.

**Examples**

```
data(olesen2002aigrettes)
## maybe str(olesen2002aigrettes) ; plot(olesen2002aigrettes) ...
```

---

olesen2002flores	<i>Another flower visitation network from the Azores</i>
------------------	--

---

**Description**

The structure of pollination networks were investigated for two oceanic islands, the Azorean Flores and the Mauritian Ile aux Aigrettes.

**Usage**

```
data(olesen2002flores)
```

**Details**

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of visits of each flower visitor species to each plant species. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

## References

Olesen, J. M., L. I. Eskildsen, and S. Venkatasamy. 2002. Invasion of pollination networks on oceanic islands: importance of invader complexes and endemic super generalists. *Diversity and Distributions* 8:181-192.

## Examples

```
data(olesen2002flores)
## maybe str(olesen2002flores) ; plot(olesen2002flores) ...
```

---

ollerton2003

ollerton2003

---

## Description

A flower visitation network from an upland grassland site in the KwaZulu-Natal region, South Africa

## Usage

```
data(ollerton2003)
```

## Format

A data frame with 9 observations on the following 56 variables.

## Details

The study was conducted in the KwaZulu-Natal region of South Africa. During 3 months of field-work the flower visitors and pollinators to a assemblage of nine asclepiads at an upland grassland site were studied. Two of the specialized pollination systems that were documented are new to the asclepiads: fruit chafer pollination and pompilid wasp pollination (the latter is almost unique in the angiosperms).

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of individual flower visitors observed and/or caught on each plant species. The total number of individuals observed on each plant species provide a rough estimate of the level of visitation that each species received. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

## Source

NCEAS data base on interaction webs: <http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/interactionweb/html/datasets.html>

## References

Ollerton, J., S.D. Johnson, L. Cranmer and S. Kellie (2003) The pollination ecology of an assemblage of grassland asclepiads in South Africa. *Annals of Botany* **92** 807-834

## Examples

```
data(ollerton2003)
plotweb(ollerton2003)
## maybe str(ollerton2003) ; plot(ollerton2003) ...
```

---

PAC

*Potential for Apparent Competition*


---

## Description

Quantifies, for each pair of lower trophic level species, the potential for showing apparent competition with another species, mediated through the higher trophic level.

## Usage

```
PAC(web)
```

## Arguments

web	A host-parasitoid network (or alike), where the entries represent the <b>sum of parasitoids emerging from the interactions between parasitoid and host</b> (i.e. number of interactions * number of parasitoid individuals emerging from each host). Only if there is only one parasitoid per host this web will be the same as that used in all other calculations in this package!
-----	--

## Details

Calculates the potential for apparent competition (Holt 1977), following the formula given in Müller et al. (1999) and Morris et al. (2005). See also Morris et al. (2004) for an experimental test.

## Value

Returns a  $k \times k$  matrix with entries  $d_{ij}$ , where  $k$  is the number of species in the lower trophic level and  $i$  and  $j$  are lower trophic level species. The matrix represents the effect of column species on row species. Diagonal entries are “apparent intraspecific competition”.

## Note

The idea is that in host-parasitoid networks one host also affects other hosts by the number of parasitoid that hatch from it and are thus added to the pool of parasitoids. An abundant, large host can (involuntarily) contribute many parasitoids to the pool, thus also increasing the parasitoid burden of other hosts. This looks like competition between the two hosts, while in fact it is mediated through the other trophic level.

Whether this concept can be usefully applied to mutualist networks (such as flower visitation networks, aka pollination webs) is still under debate. The example below has thus to be seen as a technical, not a biological example.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**References**

- Holt, R. D. 1977 Predation, apparent competition and the structure of prey communities. *Theoretical Population Biology* **12**, 197–229.
- Morris, R. J., Lewis, O. T. and Godfray, H. C. J. 2004 Experimental evidence for apparent competition in a tropical forest food web. *Nature* **428**, 310–313.
- Morris, R. J., Lewis, O. T. and Godfray, H. C. J. 2005 Apparent competition and insect community structure: towards a spatial perspective. *Annales Zoologica Fennici* **42**, 449–462.
- Müller, C. B., Adriaanse, I. C. T., Belshaw, R. and Godfray, H. C. J. 1999 The structure of an aphid-parasitoid community. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **68**, 346–370

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland)
PAC(Safariland)
```

---

PDI	<i>Paired Differences Index</i>
-----	---------------------------------

---

**Description**

Computes the Paired Differences Index

**Usage**

```
PDI(web, normalise=TRUE, log=FALSE)
```

**Arguments**

- |           |  |
|-----------|--|
| web       | A bipartite interaction web, i.e.~a matrix with higher (cols) and lower (rows) trophic levels.   |
| normalise | Logical; divides for each species by the maximum of interactions. Thereby species can be compared among each other and values range between 0 and 1. Defaults to TRUE, which differs from Poisot et al. (2011a). Note that Tim Poisot also recommends the normalised computation as default. |
| log       | logical; since number of interactions is often highly skewed, the log yields a more even spread of PDI-values across species. Defaults to FALSE.   |



## Details

There are many ways to skin a cat. This is a more recent addition proposed by Poisot et al. (2011a) and used for mutualistic network analysis by Poisot et al. (2011b). This function can be used alone or through [specieslevel](#).

If  $P$  is interaction strength (typically interaction frequency or proportion depending on argument normalized), then PDI for this species is computed as:

$$\text{sum}(P_1 - P_i) / (H - 1),$$

where  $P_1$  is the highest number of interactions in a link, while  $P_i$  are the remaining values.  $H$  is the number of potential interactors (e.g. plant species if the target species is a pollinator).

## Value

Returns a vector with PDI values between 0 (perfect generalist) and 1 (perfect specialist).

## Note

1. When a binary web is fed to this function (e.g. `PDI(web>0)`) this function returns Poisot et al.'s (2012) "resource range". Resource range has a value of 0 when all resources are used, and a value of 1 when only one resource is used. It is thus more an "unused resource range".
2. This index was originally proposed for performance estimates with standardized resource frequency. It aims to characterize the performance decay as an organism moves away from its optimal resource. As such, it is strongly influenced by the performance on the optimal resource (by its absolute value with option `normalised=FALSE` and by its relative value in relation to the sum of all performances with `normalised=TRUE`). It is less clear what this index means for resource use data with variation in resource availability. In typical plant-flower visitor webs, PDI values may be close to 1 simply due to highly skewed plant abundance distributions.
3. When interaction strength is estimated by a count variable (interaction frequency), PDI and many other indices are problematic for species with only one observation (singletons). In this case  $P_1$  is 1 (all interactions are on one plant species), all  $P_i$  are 0 and hence PDI is 1 - independent of the species' specialization. For a singleton we cannot estimate specificity, only discrimination (i.e. whether it happens to visit a common or rare plant species), as is done by `d'` (implemented in [dfun](#)).

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

## References

- Dormann, C.F. (2011) How to be a specialist? Quantifying specialisation in pollination networks. *Network Biology* **1**, 1–20
- Poisot, T., Lepennetier, G., Martinez, E., Ramsayer, J., and Hochberg, M.E. (2011a) Resource availability affects the structure of a natural bacteria-bacteriophage community. *Biology Letters* **7**, 201–204
- Poisot, T., Bever, J.D., Nemri, A., Thrall, P.H., and Hochberg, M.E. (2011b) A conceptual framework for the evolution of ecological specialisation. *Ecology Letters* **14**, 841–851

Poisot, T., E. Canard, N. Mouquet, and M. E. Hochberg. 2012. A comparative study of ecological specialization estimators. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**, 537–544. doi: 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00174.x.

### See Also

See also [specieslevel](#).

### Examples

```
data(Safariland)
PDI(Safariland) # for pollinators
PDI(t(Safariland), log=TRUE) # for plants
```

---

plotModuleWeb	<i>Function "plotModuleWeb"</i>
---------------	---------------------------------

---

### Description

This function takes an object of class "moduleWeb" and plots the modules found by function `computeModules(...)` onto the graph.

### Usage

```
plotModuleWeb(moduleWebObject, plotModules = TRUE,
rank = FALSE, weighted = TRUE, displayAlabels = TRUE,
displayBlabels = TRUE, labsize = 1, xlabel = "", ylabel = "",
square.border = "white", fromDepth = 0, upToDepth = -1)
```

### Arguments

moduleWebObject	An object of class "moduleWeb".
plotModules	If plotModules is true, modules are plotted onto the graph, otherwise only the graph itself is plotted.
rank	
weighted	If weighted is true, the intensity of squares representing the edges corresponds with the edge weight, otherwise all squares representing existing edges have equal intensity.
displayAlabels	Row labels are plotted, iff displayAlabels is true.
displayBlabels	Column labels are plotted, iff displayBlabels is true.
labsize	labsize is the scalar factor with which the size of the plot labels can be changed.
xlabel	xlabel is the label for the x-axis.
ylabel	ylabel is the label for the y-axis.
square.border	Background color of area with squares.

fromDepth	Minimal depth of modules which should be plotted.
upToDepth	Maximal depth of modules which should be plotted. If upToDepth is smaller than fromDepth, all modules are plotted.

**Value**

A plot window with appropriate size according to the dimensions of the web.

**Author(s)**

Rouven Strauss

**See Also**

See also function visweb.

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
data(small1976)

moduleWebObject = computeModules(small1976);
plotModuleWeb(moduleWebObject);

## End(Not run)
```

---

plotPAC	<i>Function to draw a circular plot to visualise potential apparent competition (PAC)</i>
---------	---

---

**Description**

Visualises the Potential for Apparent Competition as a circular graph with species represented by circles and shared parasitoids/predators/pollinators as connecting lines. Area of circles is proportional to species' abundance, filling of circles proportional to self-loops (e.g. parasitoids emerging from this species and then attacking it again) and width of connecting lines is proportional to "export" of parasitoids. The function is modelled after the example given in Morris et al. (2005)

**Usage**

```
plotPAC(web, scaling = 1, plot.scale = 1, fill.col = rgb(0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.5),
arrow.col = rgb(0.5, 0.5, 0.5, 0.5), outby = 1, text = TRUE,
circles = FALSE, radius = 1)
```

**Arguments**

web	A community matrix with lower trophic level in rows and higher trophic level in columns.
scaling	A factor scaling the size of species-circles. The default of 1 may cause overlap when there are many species or some are very large. Smaller values yield smaller circles.
plot.scale	Scales the whole plot to the plotting region. If you want to add labels, you may want more space around the plot and hence choose smaller values for plot.scale.
fill.col	Colour of the species-circles. Note that the default is using transparency, which is the fourth parameter in the rgb-function.
arrow.col	Colour of the arrows (in fact polygons) connecting species. As for 'fill.col', the default uses transparency.
outby	A factor determining by how much the text labels should be moved out from the species-circles. Values smaller than 1 will move them inside the circle-plot.
text	Logical; if TRUE (default), a number will be plotted next to each species-circle. There usually is no space to put the full name next to it, so there is currently no option to do so.
circles	Logical; shall species labels be put into a circle (as in the original plot of Morris et al. 2005)? Defaults to FALSE.
radius	A factor modifying the size of the label-circles.

**Details**

The function is modelled after Morris et al. (2005). The whole idea and application is explained there, too. The only change to their plotting is the default choice of transparency for clarity of the visual effect.

**Value**

None. The function is invoked for its side effects (i.e. printing).

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**References**

Morris, R. J., Lewis, O. T. and Godfray, H. C. J. 2005 Apparent competition and insect community structure: towards a spatial perspective. *Annales Zoologica Fennici* **42**, 449–462.

**See Also**

See also comments and notes in [PAC](#)!

## Examples

```
## Not run:
data(kevan1970)
plotPAC(kevan1970)

data(Safariland)
plotPAC(Safariland, plot.scale=1, fill.col="red", arrow.col="orange",
circles=TRUE, radius=1)

## End(Not run)
```

---

plotweb

Visualize a bipartite interaction matrix (e.g. a foodweb)

---

## Description

A two dimensional matrix is plotted as a bipartite graph.

## Usage

```
plotweb(web,
  method = "cca", empty = TRUE, labsize = 1, ybig = 1, y.width.low = 0.1,
  y.width.high = 0.1, low.spacing = NULL, high.spacing = NULL,
  arrow="no", col.interaction="grey80", col.high = "grey10",
  col.low="grey10", bor.col.interaction = "black", bor.col.high="black",
  bor.col.low="black", high.lablength = NULL, low.lablength = NULL,
  sequence=NULL, low.abun=NULL, low.abun.col="green",
  bor.low.abun.col = "black", high.abun=NULL, high.abun.col="red",
  bor.high.abun.col="black", text.rot=0, text.high.col="black",
  text.low.col="black", adj.high=NULL, adj.low=NULL, plot.axes = FALSE,
  low.y=0.5, high.y=1.5, add=FALSE, y.lim=NULL, x.lim=NULL, low.plot=TRUE,
  high.plot=TRUE, high.xoff = 0, low.xoff = 0, high.lab.dis = NULL,
  low.lab.dis = NULL, abuns.type="additional")
```

## Arguments

web	Web is a matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
method	Default method is 'cca', which leads to as few crossings of interactions as possible. The other option is 'normal', which leaves order as given by the matrix.
empty	logical; should empty columns or empty rows be omitted from plotting; defaults to true
labsize	factor for size of labels, default is 1
ybig	vertical distance between upper and lower boxes, default is 1

y.width.low	width of lower boxes, default is 0.1
y.width.high	width of upper boxes, default is 0.1
low.spacing	distance between lower boxes, default is NULL, so automatically spaced that length of upper and lower boxes is the same. Be aware if set to any value that x.lim may has to be adjusted to ensure that the network is not plotted outside the plotting region
high.spacing	distance between upper and lower boxes, default is is NULL, so automatically spaced that length of upper and lower boxes is the same. Be aware if set to any value that x.lim may has to be adjusted to ensure that the network is not plotted outside the plotting region
arrow	display type of connection between upper and lower boxes, options are 'up', 'down', 'both', 'up.center', 'down.center', 'both.center' and 'no', default is 'no', which is a polygonal connection between boxes.
col.interaction	color of interaction, default is grey80.
col.high	color of upper boxes, default is grey10.
col.low	color of lower boxes, default is grey10.
bor.col.interaction	border color of interaction, default is black
bor.col.high	border color of upper boxes, default is black
bor.col.low	border color of lower boxes, default is black
high.lablength	number of characters of upper labels that should be plotted. If zero no labels are shown, default is NULL which plots the complete labels.
low.lablength	number of characters of lower labels that should be plotted. If zero no labels are shown, default is NULL which plots the complete labels.
sequence	list of two with two names vectors: seq.high and seq.low, which specify the order in which species are plotted. Cannot be set for 'method="cca"'. Defaults to NULL, where the sequence remains as given or is determined by the CCA internally.
low.abun	<b>Named</b> vector with independent abundance estimates for the lower trophic level, NULL if none exists. See Notes!
low.abun.col	Colour for depicting the abundance estimates for the lower trophic level; defaults to green.
bor.low.abun.col	border color for depicting the abundance estimates for the lower trophic level, default is black
high.abun	<b>Named</b> vector with independent abundance estimates for the higher trophic level, NULL if none exists. See Notes!
high.abun.col	Colour for depicting the abundance estimates for the lower trophic level; defaults to red.
bor.high.abun.col	border color for depicting the abundance estimates for the higher trophic level, default is black

text.rot	orientation of labels in the plot (to avoid overlapping of horizontal labels if dimension of the webs are high), default is 0 for horizontal labels, use text.rot=90 for vertical labels.
text.high.col	Colour for text labels of higher trophic level, a vector of colours can be given
text.low.col	Colour for text labels of lower trophic level. A vector of colours can be given
adj.high	Adjust upper labels. See adj in ?text how to adjust labels
adj.low	Adjust upper labels. See adj in ?text how to adjust labels
plot.axes	axis are plotted. Sometimes useful to place boxes in higher order plots. Defaults to FALSE
low.y	Position of the higher boxes on the y-axis. Defaults to 1.5
high.y	Position of the higher boxes on the y-axis. Defaults to 1.5
add	if set to TRUE a new bipartite network is added to the previous plot. In this way multitrophic webs can be plotted, see examples below. Defaults to FALSE.
y.lim	To set limits for y-axis. Useful if labels are plotted outside the plotting region and for multitrophic plots, see examples below
x.lim	To set limits for x-axis. Useful if labels are plotted outside the plotting region and for multitrophic plots, see examples below
low.plot	Defines if lower boxes should be drawn. Use in multitrophic plots to avoid plotting boxes of some trophic levels - see examples below. Defaults to TRUE
high.plot	Defines if higher boxes should be drawn. Use in multitrophic plots to avoid plotting boxes of some trophic levels - see examples below. Defaults to TRUE
high.xoff	allows to set an offset to upper boxes. Useful if high.spacing is used to centre boxes manually. Use plot.axes=TRUE for easy centring
low.xoff	allows to set an offset to lower boxes. Useful if low.spacing is used to centre boxes manually. Use plot.axes=TRUE for easy centring
high.lab.dis	normally labels are staggered to avoid plotting over themselves. if set to 0, higher labels are all on one horizontal line. By using ad.low the position of the labels can be adjusted. If set to any other value labels are staggered with this distance. Defaults to NULL
low.lab.dis	normally labels are staggered to avoid plotting over themselves. if set to 0, lower labels are all on one horizontal line. By using ad.low the position of the labels can be adjusted. If set to any other value labels are staggered with this distance. Defaults to NULL
abuns.type	How to plot abundances - are they "independent" (e.g. flower cover) measurements or are they "additional" (e.g. unparasitised hosts) measurements? Defaults to "additional". Option "none" is interpreted in the same way as "additional". See Notes!

### Value

Returns a window with a bipartite graph of a food web. For all colours vectors can be used (which are recycled if length differs. Now more trophic webs can be plotted by using plotweb and the 'add' switch, which allows to add more webs and staggering them on top of each other. Preferred option is here to order webs by yourself and use 'method="normal"' to keep your preferred order. See examples on three and four trophic networks.

**Note**

Note that in previous implementations, 'low.abun' and 'high.abun' was actually treated as 'additional abundances' (e.g. unparasitised hosts). We added the parameter 'abuns.type' to switch between the classic function (values "none" and "additional", the default for backward compatibility) and an alternative function that plots fully independent abundance estimates ('abuns.type="independent"'). To change box (species) colors with 'abuns.type="independent"', use 'low.abun.col' and 'high.abun.col'. The function will likely be revised again in the future, so feedback welcome.

If you have total abundance measure but want to plot used and unused resources, use 'abuns.type="additional"' and 'low.abun= your.low.abun - rowSums(web)'.

**Author(s)**

Bernd Gruber <bernd.gruber@canberra.edu.au>

**References**

Tylianakis, J. M., Tscharntke, T. and Lewis, O. T. (2007) Habitat modification alters the structure of tropical host-parasitoid food webs. *Nature* **445**, 202–205

**See Also**

For a different plot of food webs see [visweb](#)

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland)
plotweb(Safariland)

# shorter labels
plotweb(Safariland, high.lablenth=3, low.lablenth=0, arrow="down")

# centered triangles for displaying interactions
plotweb(Safariland, text.rot=90, arrow="down.center", col.interaction="wheat2",
y.lim=c(-1,2.5))

#original sequence, up arrows and different box width
plotweb(Safariland, method="normal", arrow="up", y.width.low=0.3, low.lablenth=4)
# interactions as lines
plotweb(Safariland, arrow="both", y.width.low=0.05, text.rot=90, col.high="blue",
col.low="green")

# add an abundance vector for lower trophic species
low.abun = round(runif(dim(Safariland)[1],1,40)) #create
names(low.abun) <- rownames(Safariland)
plotweb(Safariland, text.rot=90, low.abun=low.abun, col.interaction="purple",
y.width.low=0.05, y.width.high=0.05)

plotweb(Safariland, text.rot=90, low.abun=low.abun, col.interaction="red",
bor.col.interaction="red", arrow="down")

# now vectors for all colours can be given, to mark certain species or
```



```
# interactions. Colour vectors are recycled if not of appropriate length
plotweb(Safariland,col.high=c("orange","green"))
plotweb(Safariland,col.low=c("orange","green"),col.high=c("white","grey","purple"),
text.high.col=c("blue","red"), col.interaction=c("red",rep("green",26),rep("brown",242)),
bor.col.interaction=c(rep("green",26),rep("brown",242)),method="normal",
text.rot=90, low.lablenth=10, high.lablenth=5)

#example one (tritrophic)
plotweb(Safariland,y.width.low=0.1, y.width.high=0.05,method="normal",
y.lim=c(0,3), arrow="up", adj.high=c(0.5,1.5), col.high="orange",
high.lablenth=3,high.lab.dis=0)

plotweb(t(Safariland), y.width.low=0.05, y.width.high=0.1, method="normal",
add=TRUE,low.y=1.5,high.y=2.5, col.low="green", text.low.col="red",
low.lab.dis=0, arrow="down", adj.low=c(0.5,1.1),low.plot=FALSE)

#example two (4 trophic with abundance)
low.abun = round(runif(dim(Safariland)[1],1,40)) #create
names(low.abun) <- rownames(Safariland)
plotweb(Safariland, text.rot=90, high.abun=low.abun, col.interaction="purple",
y.lim=c(0,4.5), high.lablenth=0, arrow="up", method="normal",
y.width.high=0.05)

plotweb(t(Safariland), y.width.low=0.05, y.width.high=0.1, method="normal",
add=TRUE, low.y=1.7,high.y=2.7, col.low="green", text.low.col="black",
low.lab.dis=0, arrow="down", adj.low=c(0.5,1.1), low.lablenth=4,
high.lablenth=0)

plotweb(Safariland,y.width.low=0.05, y.width.high=0.1, method="normal",
add=TRUE, low.y=2.95, high.y=3.95, col.low="green", text.low.col="black",
low.lab.dis=0, arrow="down", adj.low=c(0.5,1.1), low.lablenth=4)

# now some examples with the abuns.type-option:
plotweb(Safariland, abuns.type='independent',arrow="down.center")
plotweb(Safariland, abuns.type='additional',arrow="down.center")
```

---

plotweb2

---

Visualize a tripartite interaction matrix (e.g. a tritrophic foodweb)

---

## Description

Two two dimensional matrix are plotted as a tripartite graph.

## Usage

```
plotweb2(web, web2, method = "cca", empty = FALSE, labsize = 1, ybig = 1,
y_width = 0.1, spacing = 0.05, arrow="no", col.interaction="grey80",
col.pred = "grey10", col.prey="grey10", lab.space=1, lablength = NULL,
```

```
sequence=NULL, low.abun=NULL, low.abun.col="green", high.abun=NULL,
high.abun.col="red", method2 = "cca", empty2 = TRUE, spacing2 = 0.05,
arrow2="no", col.interaction2="grey80", col.pred2 = "grey30",
col.prey2="grey20", lablength2 = NULL, sequence.pred2=NULL, low.abun2=NULL,
low.abun.col2="green", high.abun2=NULL, high.abun.col2="red")
```

## Arguments

web	Web is a matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
web2	The other web to be included.
method	Default method is 'cca', which leads to as few crossings of interactions as possible. The other option is 'normal', which leaves order as given by the matrix.
empty	logical; should empty columns or empty rows be omitted from plotting; defaults to true
labsize	factor for size of labels, default is 1
ybig	vertical distance between upper and lower boxes, default is 1
y_width	width of upper and lower boxes, default is 0.1
spacing	horizontal distance between upper and lower boxes, default is 0.05
arrow	display type of connection between upper and lower boxes, options are 'up', 'down', 'both' and 'no', default is 'no', which is a polygonal connection between boxes.
col.interaction	color of interaction, default is grey80.
col.pred	color of upper boxes, default is grey10.
col.prey	color of lower boxes, default is grey10.
lab.space	sometimes it is necessary to add additional space for labels below and above of the boxes, so all labels are shown, default is 1.
lablength	number of characters of labels that should be plotted. If zero no labels are shown, default is NULL which plots the complete labels.
sequence	list of two with two names vectors: seq.pred and seq.prey, which specify the order in which species are plotted. Cannot be set for 'method="cca"'. Defaults to NULL, where the sequence remains as given or is determined by the CCA internally.
low.abun	Vector with independent abundance estimates for the lower trophic level, NULL if none exists.
low.abun.col	Colour for depicting the abundance estimates for the lower trophic level; defaults to green.
high.abun	Vector with independent abundance estimates for the higher trophic level, NULL if none exists.
high.abun.col	Colour for depicting the abundance estimates for the lower trophic level; defaults to red.

method2	Default method is 'cca', which leads to as few crossings of interactions as possible. The other option is 'normal', which leaves order as given by the matrix.
empty2	logical; should empty columns or empty rows be omitted from plotting; defaults to true
spacing2	horizontal distance between upper and lower boxes, default is 0.05
arrow2	display type of connection between upper and lower boxes, options are 'up', 'down', 'both' and 'no', default is 'no', which is a polygonal connection between boxes.
col.interaction2	color of interaction, default is grey80.
col.pred2	color of upper boxes, default is grey10.
col.prey2	color of lower boxes, default is grey10.
lablength2	number of characters of labels that should be plotted. If zero no labels are shown, default is NULL which plots the complete labels.
sequence.pred2	list of two with two names vectors: seq.pred and seq.prey, which specify the order in which species are plotted. Cannot be set for 'method="cca"'. Defaults to NULL, where the sequence remains as given or is determined by the CCA internally.
low.abun2	Vector with independent abundance estimates for the lower trophic level, NULL if none exists.
low.abun.col2	Colour for depicting the abundance estimates for the lower trophic level; defaults to green.
high.abun2	Vector with independent abundance estimates for the higher trophic level, NULL if none exists.
high.abun.col2	Colour for depicting the abundance estimates for the lower trophic level; defaults to red.

**Value**

Returns a window with a tripartite graph of a food web.

**Author(s)**

Bernd Gruber <bernd.gruber@canberra.edu.au>

**See Also**

For a different plot of food webs see [visweb](#) and [plotweb](#)

---

`printoutModuleInformation`*Function printoutModuleInformation*

---

**Description**

This takes an object of the class "moduleWeb" and prints out the information about the modules stored in this object. It is a formatted print-out of the information one gets as result of the function `listModuleInformation(moduleWebObject)`.

**Usage**

```
printoutModuleInformation(moduleWebObject)
```

**Arguments**

`moduleWebObject`

An object of class "moduleWeb".

**Value**

None. This function is called for its side effects of printing the content of its object in a more acceptable format.

**Author(s)**

Rouven Strauss

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
data(small1976)
moduleWebObject = computeModules(small1976)
printoutModuleInformation(moduleWebObject)

## End(Not run)
```

---

`projecting_tm`*Projecting binary and weighted two-mode networks onto weighted one-mode networks.*

---

**Description**

This function is the implementation of the procedure outlined on <http://toreopsahl.com/2009/05/01/projecting-two-mode-networks-onto-weighted-one-mode-networks/>

**Usage**

```
projecting_tm(net, method = "sum")
```

**Arguments**

net	A two-mode edgelist
method	The method-switch control the method used to calculate the weights. binary sets all weights to 1 sum sets the weights to the number of cooccurrences Newman bases the weights on Newman's (2001) method of discounting for the size of collaborations.

**Value**

Returns a one-mode network

**Note**

version 1.0.0, taken, with permission, from package tnet

**Author(s)**

Tore Opsahl; <http://toreopsahl.com>

**References**

Opsahl. T., 2009. Projecting two-mode networks onto weighted one-mode networks. Available at: <http://toreopsahl.com/2009/05/01/projecting-two-mode-networks-onto-weighted-one-mode-networks/>

**Examples**

```
#please download and investigate tnet for examples!
```

---

r2dexternal	<i>Generates null models for network analysis by considering external abundances</i>
-------------	--

---

**Description**

An extension of r2dtable which rescales marginal totals according to independent data

**Usage**

```
r2dexternal(N, web, abun.higher=NULL, abun.lower=NULL)
```

**Arguments**

N	number of null models to be generated.
web	Web is a matrix representing the interactions observed between higher level species (columns) and lower level species (rows).
abun.higher	Optional vector of externally measured abundances of the higher level. If missing (NULL) it will be replaced by column totals.
abun.lower	Optional vector of externally measured abundances of the lower level. If missing (NULL) it will be replaced by row totals.

**Details**

The underlying function is `r2dtable`, which requires a vector of row and column totals, respectively. In function `nullmodel`, these marginal totals are computed from the observed interaction matrix. Here, external abundances can be provided. These will be rescaled and combined with the observed marginal total to construct new row and column vectors for `r2dtable`.

If neither row nor column abundances are provided this function will be identical to `r2dtable`.

**Value**

Returns a list of N null model-generated networks. Species names are (obviously) dropped.

**Note**

Since the function contains a rounding operation, it also has to include a re-distribution of 1s to keep all species in the system. That means, if one species has been observed in the external abundances extremely rarely (compared to the others), it will be overrepresented in this null model, because otherwise it would have to be dropped altogether! If you have a better solution, please let me know.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**See Also**

`nullmodel`, `vaznull`, `mgen`, `vegan::simulate` and `r2dtable`

**Examples**

```
## Not run:
abun.lower <- c(15,5,2,7,4,8,6,0.01,6)
set.seed(2)
(abun.higher <- rpois(27, lambda=4))
abun.higher[1] <- 0.001
sum(ext.polls)
## note: external abundances do not sum up; this is intentional!!
r2dexternal(2, Safariland, abun.higher=abun.higher, abun.lower=abun.lower)
r2dexternal(2, Safariland, abun.higher=abun.higher)

## End(Not run)
```

robustness

*Robustness to species extinctions***Description**

Calculates the area below the extinction curve generated by `second.extinct`.

**Usage**

```
robustness(object)
```

**Arguments**

`object`                      An object of type class `bipartite`, usually generated by `second.extinct`.

**Details**

This function calculates the area below the extinction curve generated by `second.extinct` as a measure of the robustness of the system to the loss of species.

The curve, first proposed by Memmott et al. (2004), is based on the fact that if a given fraction of species of one guild (for instance, the pollinators) are eliminated, a number of species of the other guild (e.g. plants) which depend on their interactions become extinct. The slope and general shape of the curve provided a straightforward graphic description of the tolerance of a system to the extinction of its component species.

An improvement of Memmott et al.'s curve was developed by Burgos et al. (2007) by introducing a quantitative measure of robustness with a single parameter  $R$ , defined as the area under the extinction curve. It is intuitive that  $R = 1$  corresponds to a curve that decreases very mildly until the point at which almost all animal species are eliminated. This is consistent with a very robust system in which, for instance, most of the plant species survive even if a large fraction of the animal species is eliminated. Conversely  $R = 0$  corresponds to an ATC that decreases abruptly as soon as any species is lost. This is consistent with a fragile system in which, for instance, even if a very small fraction of the animal species is eliminated, most of the plants loose all their interactions and go extinct.

**Value**

Returns the robustness of the web to the removal of species.

**Note**

This index complements the information given by `slope.bipartite`, although it has the advantage of not being constrained by the shape of the particular curve (concave or convex).

**Author(s)**

Mariano Devoto <mdevoto@agro.uba.ar>

## References

- Burgos, E., H. Ceva, R.P.J. Perazzo, M. Devoto, D. Medan, M. Zimmermann, and A. Maria Delbue (2007) Why nestedness in mutualistic networks? *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **249**, 307–313
- Memmott, J., Waser, N. M. and Price, M. V. 2004 Tolerance of pollination networks to species extinctions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **271**, 2605–2611

## See Also

[second.extinct](#) for generating the required input object and [slope.bipartite](#) for an alternative, but inferior measure

## Examples

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
ex <- second.extinct(Safariland, participant="lower", method="random", nrep=100,
  details=FALSE)
robustness(ex)

## End(Not run)
```

---

Safariland

*A pollination web from Argentina*

---

## Description

This pollination web was published by Vázquez and Simberloff (2003). See there for details on how it was measured.

## Usage

```
data(Safariland)
```

## Details

The dataset consists of a matrix with 9 rows, representing plant species and 27 columns, representing different pollinators. Values in the matrix are observed flower visitations.

This dataset is fairly representative of a pollination web: more pollinators than plants, and an awful lot of zeros in the matrix.

The study was conducted in four grazed and four ungrazed sites in and around Nahuel Huapi National Park and surrounding areas in Rio Negro, Argentina from September 1999 to February 2000. For each site, the plant-pollinator interaction network was described.

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of visits of each flower visitor species to each plant species. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.



## Source

These data can be downloaded, together with the other datasets, on the NCEAS interactionweb website <http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/interactionweb/html/datasets.html>. See also there for further details, both on the data and their usage.

## References

Vázquez, D. P. 2002 Interactions among Introduced Ungulates, Plants, and Pollinators: A Field Study in the Temperate Forest of the Southern Andes. Doctoral Dissertation Thesis, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA.

Vázquez, D. P., and D. Simberloff. 2002 Ecological specialization and susceptibility to disturbance: conjectures and refutations. *American Naturalist* **159**, 606–623.

Vázquez, D. P., and D. Simberloff. 2003 Changes in interaction biodiversity induced by an introduced ungulate. *Ecology Letters*, **6**, 1077–1083.

## Examples

```
data(Safariland)
plotweb(Safariland)
```

---

schemske1978

*A flower visitation network from Urbana, IL, USA*


---

## Description

Populations of seven early flowering, low-growing, perennial woodland herbs were studied in a 24 hectare sit in Brownfield Woods, close to Urbana, Illinois, U.S.A. The sequence and variety of flowers visited by individual insects were recorded for the first foraging insect observed in a randomly selected, 1 m<sup>2</sup> quadrat located within a 10x10 m grid that included representatives of several plant species.

## Usage

```
data(schemske1978)
```

## Details

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of visits of each flower visitor species to each plant species. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

## References

Schemske, D. W., M. F. Willson, M. N. Melampy, L. J. Miller, L. Verner, K. M. Schemske, and L. B. Best. 1978. Flowering Ecology of Some Spring Woodland Herbs. *Ecology* 59:351-366.

## Examples

```
data(schemske1978)
## maybe str(schemske1978) ; plot(schemske1978) ...
```

---

second.extinct	<i>Secondary extinctions in bipartite networks</i>
----------------	--

---

## Description

Calculates the consequences of removing a species from a bipartite network. With plotting and slope-estimation functionality.

## Usage

```
second.extinct(web, participant = "higher", method = "abun", nrep = 10,
  details = FALSE, ext.row=NULL, ext.col=NULL)
```

## Arguments

web	Web is a matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
participant	high (default) or low or both, depending if you want to exterminate higher trophic level species, lower trophic level species, or randomly choose species of both levels.
method	Random deletion of a species ('random'); according to its abundance, with least abundant going extinct first ('abundance'; default) or "degree" to build a sequence from the best-to-least connected species. This is the most extreme case, where the most generalist species goes extinct first (see Memmott et al. 1998). (Note that 'method="abundance"' does not work with 'participant="both"'; in this case a random sequence of species from both trophic levels is generated.) 'external' will use the externally provided vector to determine extinction sequence.
nrep	Number of replicates of extermination sequence. Will not be considered for method abundance.
details	Logical; returns details, i.e. for each replicate the sequence of secondary extinctions. If set to FALSE (default), replicated runs will be averaged. Using anything but FALSE will not yield the correct object for further analysis. This switch is really only for understanding what second.extinct does and for possible debugging.
ext.row	Optional vector giving the sequence in which lower-level species are to be deleted.
ext.col	Optional vector giving the sequence in which higher-level species are to be deleted.

## Details

The procedure of this function is simple. For example imagine the web to represent a pollination web, in which pollinators die one by one. Set all entries of a column to zero, see how many rows are now also all-zero (i.e. species that are now not pollinated any more), and count these as secondary extinctions of the primary exterminated pollinator.

Internally, each extermination is achieved by a call to `extinction`, followed by a call to `empty`, which counts the number of all-zero columns and rows.

Although written for pollination webs (hence the nomenclature of pollinator and plant), it can be similarly applied to other types of bipartite networks. It is called by `networklevel`.

## Value

Function returns an object of class “bipartite” to ensure proper working of function `plot.bipartite`.

If ‘`details=FALSE`’, the returned object contains a matrix with columns representing the number of species going extinct from one step to the next, averaged across all runs.

If ‘`details=TRUE`’, the returned object will be a list of matrices containing the number of species going extinct at each step.

The objects attribute “`exterminated`” gives the name of the trophic level (pollinator or plant).

## Note

Note: The length of an extinction sequence is obviously given by the number of species in the selected trophic level. When setting ‘`participant="both"`’, lengths will differ for each replicate run, since it is unpredictable in which sequence species go extinct, and hence how many secondary extinctions will pre-empt further primary extinctions.

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann

## References

Memmott, J., Waser, N. M. and Price, M. V. (2004) Tolerance of pollination networks to species extinctions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **271**, 2605–2611.

## See Also

`networklevel` calls `second.extinct`; `extinction` and `empty` are internal helper functions, and `slope.bipartite` calculates extinction slopes from the output of `second.extinct`.

## Examples

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
(ex <- second.extinct(Safariland, participant="lower", method="random", nrep=50,
details=TRUE))
(ex <- second.extinct(Safariland, participant="lower", method="random", nrep=50,
details=FALSE))
```

```
## End(Not run)
```

---

shuffle.web

*Shuffle web entries*


---

## Description

Shuffles (= relocates) entries in a web matrix whilst maintaining the dimensionality.

## Usage

```
shuffle.web(web, N, legacy=TRUE)
```

## Arguments

web	An interaction matrix.
N	Number of desired shuffled matrices.
legacy	Logical; use the old or new algorithm? Defaults to TRUE, i.e. the old algorithm. The new algorithm was written by Paul Rabie and is about 3 times faster (due to avoiding a loop). For consistency reasons, the old, slow algorithm remains the default.

## Details

This function is designed to behave similar to `r2dtable`, i.e. it returns a list of randomised matrices. In contrast to `r2dtable` it does not keep marginal sums constant!

This function is thought of as a null model for the analysis of bipartite webs. It keeps two web properties constant: The number of interactions and the number of links (and hence connectance). A comparison of `shuffle.web`- and `r2dtable`-based webs allows to elucidate the effect of marginal sums.

## Value

A list of N randomised matrices with the same dimensions as the initial web.

## Note

`shuffle.web` is not an extremely intelligent nullmodel. You may want to think of a better one for your specific application!

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

## References

This null model can be thought of as a quantitative version of Fortuna & Bascompte (2006) “null model 1”:

Fortuna, M. A., and J. Bascompte. 2006. Habitat loss and the structure of plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Ecology Letters* 9: 281-286.

For a very nice and thorough overview of null models in general see:

Gotelli, N. J., and G. R. Graves. 1996. *Null Models in Ecology*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C.

For null models and their application to webs/networks see, e.g.:

Vázquez, D. P., and M. A. Aizen. 2003. Null model analyses of specialization in plant-pollinator interactions. *Ecology* 84: 2493-2501.

Vázquez, D. P., C. J. Melian, N. M. Williams, N. Blüthgen, B. R. Krasnov, and R. Poulin. 2007. Species abundance and asymmetric interaction strength in ecological networks. *Oikos* 116: 1120-1127.

## See Also

[r2dtable](#)

## Examples

```
data(Safariland)

shuffle.web(Safariland, N=2)
```

---

slope.bipartite	<i>Slope of extinction simulation</i>
-----------------	---------------------------------------

---

## Description

Fits a hyperbolic function to the extinction simulation of [second.extinct](#).

## Usage

```
slope.bipartite(object, plot.it = TRUE, ...)
```

## Arguments

object	An object of class “bipartite”, usually generated by <a href="#">second.extinct</a> .
plot.it	Logical; want to see the graph?
...	Graphical parameters passed on to the plot command used for plotting, NOT to the curve command used for overlaying the curve.

## Details

Function scales extinction sequences to values between 0 and 1 for each participant. The x-axis of the graph features the proportion of exterminated participants, while the y-axis depicts the proportion of secondary extinctions. Since these curves usually follow a hyperbolic function (see examples in Memmott et al. 2004), this is fitted to the data.

At present, only a function of type  $y \sim 1 - x^a$  is fitted (using `nls`), i.e. without offset. While usually this function provides very good fits, do check the graph and judge for yourself. Fitting this simple function makes its parameter ‘a’ a measure of extinction vulnerability. The more gradual the secondary extinctions, the lower the absolute value of ‘a’. Or, phrased differently, large absolute values of ‘a’ indicate a very abrupt die-off, indicative of high initial redundancy in the network.

## Value

Returns one number, the exponent of the fitted hyperbolic model.

## Note

This function is not as vigorously tested as it should probably be. It worked fine for large networks, but small ones it may behave strangely, I fathom.

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann

## References

Memmott, J., Waser, N. M. and Price, M. V. (2004) Tolerance of pollination networks to species extinctions. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **271**, 2605–2611

## See Also

[second.extinct](#) for generating the required input object.

## Examples

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
ex <- second.extinct(Safariland, participant="lower", method="random", nrep=100,
  details=FALSE)
slope.bipartite(ex)

## End(Not run)
```

small1976

*A flower visitation network from a peat bog in Ottawa, Canada***Description**

The study took place in the Mer Bleue peat bog of Ottawa, Canada in 1973. The paper is a preliminary evaluation of the pollination relationships of the major entomophilous plant species of the Mer Bleue.

**Usage**

```
data(small1976)
```

**Details**

The authors recorded their data by counting the number of individual flower visitors caught on each plant species. The total number of individuals collected on each plant species provide a rough estimate of the level of visitation that each species received. Data are presented as an interaction frequency matrix, in which cells with positive integers indicate the frequency of interaction between a pair of species, and cells with zeros indicate no interaction.

**Source**

[http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/interactionweb/html/small\\_1976.html](http://www.nceas.ucsb.edu/interactionweb/html/small_1976.html)

**References**

Small, E. 1976. Insect pollinators of the Mer Bleue peat bog of Ottawa. Canadian Field Naturalist 90:22-28.

**Examples**

```
data(small1976)
## maybe str(small1976) ; plot(small1976) ...
```

sortweb

*Function to sort bipartite webs***Description**

This function sorts bipartite webs by either increasing/decreasing row and column totals or by a given sequence

**Usage**

```
sortweb(web, sort.order="dec", sequence=NULL)
```

## Arguments

web	A matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
sort.order	sort.order can be either <b>inc</b> : sorted by increasing row/column totals <b>dec</b> : sorted by decreasing row/column totals <b>seq</b> : sorted by a given order, see sequence for how to specify an order
sequence	list of two with two named vectors: seq.lower and seq.higher, which specify the order in which species are sorted. To be able to sort by names obviously the given web must be a NAMED matrix, i.e. has column and row names. If you want to order an unnamed web, you can either order it by row/column totals are you have to simply specify the sequence as follows (example puts last row/column to the front): web[c(9, 1:8), c(27, 1:26)]

## Value

Returns an ordered bipartite web.

## Author(s)

Bernd Gruber

## References

Vázquez, P.D., Chacoff, N.,P. and Cagnolo, L. (2009) Evaluating multiple determinants of the structure of plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Ecology* in press.

## See Also

For plotting and ordered web see [plotweb](#), ‘method="normal"’ or [visweb](#), ‘type="none"’.

## Examples

```
data(Safariland)
web <- Safariland

sortweb(Safariland, sort.order="dec")
#rarest species first:
plotweb(sortweb(Safariland, sort.order="inc"), method="normal")
visweb(sortweb(Safariland, sort.order="inc"), type="diagonal",
square="compartment", text="none", frame=TRUE)

# sorted by a given (here random) sequence
sequence <- list(seq.higher=sample(colnames(Safariland)),
seq.lower=sample(rownames(Safariland)))
web.ordered <- sortweb(web, sort.order="seq", sequence=sequence)
```



---

specieslevel	<i>Calculate various indices for network properties at the species level</i>
--------------	--

---

## Description

Apart from the properties of the entire web, also its participants can be described specifically. Various simple numbers and indices are calculated and returned.

## Usage

```
specieslevel(web, index="ALLBUTD", level="both", logbase=exp(1), low.abun=NULL,
high.abun=NULL, PDI.normalise=TRUE, PSI.beta=c(1,0), nested.method="NODF",
nested.normalised=TRUE, nested.weighted=TRUE, empty.web=TRUE)
```

## Arguments

- |       |  |
|-------|--|
| web   | Web is a matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of host.   |
| index | <p>Vector of indices to be calculated for each trophic level of the web; options are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ‘degree’,</li> <li>• ‘ND’ for normalised degrees,</li> <li>• ‘species strength’ as sum of dependencies for each species,</li> <li>• ‘nestedrank’ as rank in a nested matrix,</li> <li>• ‘interaction push pull’ for interaction push/pull (our version of dependence asymmetry: see details),</li> <li>• ‘PDI’ for Paired Differences Index,</li> <li>• ‘resource range’ for Poisot et al. (2012)’s index of unused resources,</li> <li>• ‘species specificity’ (or coefficient of variation of interactions),</li> <li>• ‘PSI’ for pollination service index (or pollinator support index, depending on the trophic level),</li> <li>• ‘NS’ for node specialisation index,</li> <li>• ‘betweenness’ for betweenness,</li> <li>• ‘closeness’ (both automatically also return their weighted counterparts proposed by Tore Opsahl in package <b>tnet</b>),</li> <li>• ‘Fisher’ for Fisher’s alpha index,</li> <li>• ‘diversity’ for Shannon diversity of interactions of that species,</li> <li>• ‘effective partners’ for the effective number of interacting partners,</li> <li>• ‘proportional generality’ a quantitative version of normalised degree,</li> <li>• ‘proportional similarity’ specialisation measured as similarity between use and availability,</li> <li>• ‘d’ for Blüthgen’s d’,</li> </ul> |

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• ‘ALL’ for all the aforementioned.</li> <li>• ‘ALLBUTD’ (default) excludes only the dependence matrix and leads to the output being simplified to a matrix per trophic level.</li> </ul>
level	For which level(s) should the indices be computed? Options are ‘both’ (default), ‘lower’ and ‘higher’. Output for one trophic level will be returned as a data.frame (unless dependencies are also reported), while for two levels a list of two matrices (higher and lower) will be returned.
logbase	numeric; gives the base to which the various diversity indices (partner diversity, effective partners) shall be calculated, typically $\exp(1)$ (default) or 2. Log2 is the proposal for generality and vulnerability by Bersier et al. (2002), while Shannon typically uses the natural logarithm, $\ln$ . The choice of the base will not affect the results qualitatively, only by a scaling factor.
low.abun	Optional vector of independent abundances of the <i>lower</i> trophic level to be passed on to <a href="#">dfun</a> and used as ‘resource availability’ in indices proportional similarity and proportional generality.
high.abun	Optional vector of independent abundances of the <i>higher</i> trophic level to be passed on to <a href="#">dfun</a> and used as ‘resource availability’ in indices proportional similarity and proportional generality.
PDI.normalise	Logical; should the normalised PDI be computed? Defaults to TRUE. See function <a href="#">PDI</a> for details and reasoning.
PSI.beta	A length 2 vector of parameter determining the effect of floral constancy and pollen mixing on the proportion of same-species pollen delivered to a plant. Defaults to <code>c(1, 0)</code> . See section details for details.
nested.method	One of ‘NODF’, ‘binmatnest’, ‘wine’ or ‘sort’. See <a href="#">nestedrank</a> for details.
nested.normalised	Logical; defaulting to TRUE. Divides the nestedrank-1 by the number of species -1, thereby ranging it between 0 (most generalist) and 1 (most specialised). Species sequences from different networks are now comparable.
nested.weighted	Logical; should the “nestedrank” be computed based on weighted network (default) or binary version (FALSE)?
empty.web	Shall the empty columns and rows be deleted? Defaults to TRUE. option FALSE not yet fully tested

## Details

This function implements a variety of the many (and still procreating) indices describing species properties. Some are embarrassingly simple (such as number of interacting species for each species). Others are variations on Shannon’s diversity index applied to within species.

Indices based on graph theory (such as NDI, closeness, betweenness) require the data to form a connected graph. When the network is compartmented (as would be seen when plotting it using [plotweb](#)), these indices will be computed for the each compartment. However, single-link compartments (only one partner in each trophic level) will not form a proper graph and hence the indices will have a value of NA.

Most indices are straightforward, one-line formulae; some, such as  $d'$ , also require a re-arranging of the matrix. We (Dormann, Blüthgen, Gruber) came up with a new one, called “Pollination Service Index” or  $\psi$ , for which a few more details seem appropriate.

### Pollination Service Index (PSI)

This index estimates the importance of a pollinator for all plant species. PSI is comprised of three calculation steps: firstly, we calculate, for each pollinator species, the proportion to which it visits each plant species (or, phrased anthropomorphically, the number to the question: which proportion of my visits are to dandelion?). Secondly, we calculate the proportion to which a plant is visited by each bee species (Which proportion of my pollinators are red mason bees?). Multiplying, these two proportions gives the portion of own pollen for each plant species (because this depends both on a pollinators specialisation (step 1) and the plant’s specific receptiveness (step 2). Finally, we sum the proportions own pollen delivered across all plant species. This value is the PSI-value. At its maximum, 1, it shows that all pollen is delivered to one plant species that completely depends on the monolectic pollinator. At its minimum, 0, it indicates that a pollinator is irrelevant to all plant species. Note that PSI can assume values from 0 to 1 for species of any frequency: a bee been found only once on a plant species visited by no-one else receives a PSI of 1, even if in total 14 million visits were recorded.

(This is all very complicated. So here is another attempt (by Jochen) to explain the PSI: For PSI, importance of a pairwise interaction (for the plant) is calculated as: ‘dependence’ $_i$  on  $_j$  \* per.visit.efficiency $_i$  visitedby $_j$ , where per.visit.efficiency $_i$  visitedby $_j$  = (average proportion visits to  $i$  by  $j$  in all visits by  $j$ ) $^{\beta}$ ).

It assumes that the order of plant species visited is random (no mixing, no constancy). To account for that not being true,  $\beta$  could be adjusted. However, this really waits for good empirical tests.)

We envisage a penalty for the fact that a pollinator has to make two (more or less successive) visits to the same plant species: the first to take the pollen up, the second to pollinate the next. Thus, using  $\beta=2$  as an exponent in step 1 would simulate that a pollinator deposits all pollen at every visit. In a sense,  $\beta=2$  represents a complete turnover of pollen on the pollinator from one visit to the next; only the pollen of the last-visited species is transferred. That is certainly a very strong penalisation. At present we set the exponent to  $\beta=1$ , because the step of controlling for “pollen purity” is already a major improvement. It assumes, implicitly, that pollen is perfectly mixed on the pollinator and hence pollen deposited directly proportional to frequency of visits to the different plants. Also, we have no idea to which extent pollen gets mixed and/or lost during foraging flights, and the true exponent remains elusive. For a value of  $\beta=0$ , PSI simplifies (and is equal) to species strength.

For the perspective of the plant’s effect on pollinators (then PSI = pollinator support index), this index makes less sense. Here we would rather use  $\beta=0$ , because pollen value is not related to number of visits, so we cannot compute it from the network. Similarly, for other networks, such as host-parasitoids,  $\beta=0$  seems plausible, since for the host it does not matter, whether a parasitoid has visited another species before or not. In this case ( $\beta=0$ ), PSI is simply equal to species strength. Not just pollen turnover/carryover on the pollinator is important and influences  $\beta$ , but all these considerations depend on the assumption how the proportion of conspecific pollen affects pollination (assuming many visits per flower visitation sequence). (a) If only presence of any conspecific pollen on bee is sufficient for pollination, carryover (how long pollen from one visits remains on bee) matters,  $\beta$  is anywhere between 0 (infinite carryover) and 2 (one-step carryover). (b) If the proportion of conspecific pollen on bee determines pollination success (linear relationship), carryover does not matter, the proportion can be assumed to be in an equilibrium, and  $\beta=1$ .

Our choice of defaults (c(1,0)) will yield species strength for plants, and PSI for pollinators, assuming, for the latter, that pollen mixes perfectly.

## Value

For both the “higher trophic level” and the “lower trophic level” a list with the following components:

species degree Sum of links per species.

normalised degree

As degree, but scaled by the number of possible partners; see [ND](#).

species strength

Sum of dependencies of each species (used, e.g., in Bascompte et al. 2006). It aims at quantifying a species’ relevance across all its partners. The alternative version of Barrat et al. (2004; also used by Poisot et al. 2012) as the sum of interactions of a species seems too trivial a measure, reflecting abundance rather than anything else. Do not take this to be the much-discussed “interaction strength” in food web papers, which focusses on pairwise interactions (reviewed in Berlow et al. 2004)!

interaction push pull

Direction of interaction asymmetry based on dependencies: positive values indicate that a species affects the species of the other level it interacts with stronger than they affect it (“pusher”); negative values indicate that a species is, on average, on the receiving end of the stick (“being pulled”); formula based on Vázquez et al. (2007), but push/pull is our own nomenclature. Values are highly correlated with species strengths (see below), but standardised to fall between -1 (being pulled) and 1 (pushing). Compared to “strength”, this index quantifies the net balance, rather than the average effect.

nestedrank

Quantifies generalism by the rank of a species in a network matrix re-arranged for maximal nestedness (Alarcon et al. 2008). A low rank (e.g. 1, 2) indicates high generality, while high ranks (up to the number of species in that level) indicate specialism or rarity.

PDI

Paired Differences Index as proposed by Poisot et al. (2011a,b), by default using a normalised version (‘PDI.normalise=TRUE’); ranges between 0 (generalist) and 1 (specialist); see [PDI](#) for details and comments.

resource range

Poisot et al.’s (2012) “resource range” is a somewhat strange name for something that has a value of 0 when all resources are used, but a value of 1 when only one resource is used. It is, in fact, closer to an “unused resource range”. The aforementioned Paired Difference Index is a generalisation of resource range, which is equal to resource range when the web is binary.

species specificity

Coefficient of variation of interactions, normalised to values between 0 and 1, following the idea of Julliard et al. (2006), as proposed by Poisot et al. (2012). Values of 0 indicate low, those of 1 a high variability (and hence suggesting low and high specificity). Since not corrected for number of observations, this index will yield high specificity for singletons, even though there is no information to support this conclusion.

PSI	Pollination Service Index for the higher trophic level, and the equivalent Pollinator Support Index for the lower trophic level. See Details above for more explanations.
node specialisation index	Another measure of specialisation, based on the path length between any two higher-trophic level species. Species sharing hosts/prey have an FS-value of 1. See specific function <a href="#">nodespec</a> for details, problems and reference.
betweenness	A value describing the centrality of a species in the network by its position between other nodes; see <a href="#">BC</a> and betweenness in <a href="#">sna</a> .
weighted betweenness	Computes betweenness (proportion of shortest paths through this species), but based on weighted representation of the network. It calls <code>betweenness_w</code> from <a href="#">tnet</a> and often differs considerably from its binary counterpart!
closeness	A value describing the centrality of a species in the network by its path lengths to other nodes; see <a href="#">CC</a> and closeness in <a href="#">sna</a> .
weighted closeness	Computes closeness (in one of its varieties), but based on weighted representation of the network. It calls <code>closeness_w</code> from <a href="#">tnet</a> and is usually very similar to its binary counterpart. Note that NAs indicate that these species belong to a different compartment and hence no closeness distance could be calculated.
Fisher alpha	Fisher's alpha diversity for each species (see <code>fisher.alpha</code> in <a href="#">vegan</a> for details).
partner diversity	Shannon diversity (when using 'logbase="e"') or per-species generality/vulnerability (when using 'logbase=2') of the interactions of each species. See also <a href="#">networklevel</a> for the aggregated version of this index (i.e. averaged across all species in a trophic level).
effective partners	'logbase' to the power of "partner.diversity": Bersier et al. (2002) interpret this as the effective number of partners, if each partner was equally common. Note that "partner" is a bit euphemistic when it comes to predator-prey or host-parasitoid networks.
proportional generality	'Effective partners' divided by effective number of resources ('logbase' to the power of 'resource diversity'; which is calculated from <code>high.abun/low.abun</code> if provided, and else from marginal totals); this is the quantitative version of proportional resource use or normalised degree (i.e., the number of partner species in relation to the potential number of partner species); note that this index can be larger than 1, e.g. when a species selects for a balanced diet.
proportional similarity	Specialization measured as dissimilarity between resource use and availability (estimated from <code>high.abun/low.abun</code> if provided, else from marginal totals); proposed by Feinsinger et al. (1981).
d	Specialisation of each species based on its discrimination from random selection of partners. More specifically, it returns <code>d'</code> , which is calculated based on the raw <code>d</code> , <code>dmin</code> and <code>dmax</code> for each species (see <a href="#">dfun</a> ). See Blüthgen et al. (2006) for details.

## Note

A comparison of specialisation indices is provided in Dormann (2011); the PDI is missing (since it was published later).

Dependencies are still an open field of debate. Dependencies are calculated as the value in a matrix divided by the rowSums (for the lower trophic level) or the colSums (for the higher trophic level). As such, any pollinator observed only once will receive a dependency-value of 1, indicating perfect dependence on this plant species. That may or may not be true. In any case it is based on a sample size of 1, that is why the dependency asymmetry (which is based on the dependencies for both trophic levels) has come under criticism and may be rather sensitive to singletons.

We here provide the code to calculate the strength of a species (i.e. sum of its dependencies), based on the current proposal by Bascompte et al. (2006). It may be a good idea to remove all singletons from the web before calculating this index, to investigate whether it is indeed driven by those scarce observations.

The maximum value of the uncorrected  $d$  (i.e. the maximal potential specialization defining  $d'=1$ ) is not a trivial issue. We treat it here in the same way as given in the BMC Ecology paper, but please have a look at the (raw) code for further comments.

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de> & Jochen Fründ

## References

- Alarcon, R., Waser, N.M. and Ollerton, J. 2008. Year-to-year variation in the topology of a plant-pollinator interaction network. *Oikos* **117**, 1796–1807
- Barrat, A., M. Barthélemy, R. Pastor-Satorras, and A. Vespignani. 2004. The architecture of complex weighted networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **101**, 3747–3752. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0400087101.
- Bascompte, J., Jordano, P. and Olesen, J. M. (2006) Asymmetric coevolutionary networks facilitate biodiversity maintenance. *Science* **312**, 431–433
- Berlow, E. L., A. M. Neutel, J. E. Cohen, P. C. de Ruiter, B. Ebenman, M. Emmerson, J. W. Fox, V. A. A. Jansen, J. I. Jones, G. D. Kokkoris, D. O. Logofet, A. J. McKane, J. M. Montoya & O. Petchey (2004) Interaction strengths in food webs: issues and opportunities. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **73**, 585–598
- Blüthgen, N., Menzel, F. and Blüthgen, N. (2006) Measuring specialization in species interaction networks. *BMC Ecology* **6**, 9
- Dormann, C.F. (2011) How to be a specialist? Quantifying specialisation in pollination networks. *Network Biology* **1**, 1–20
- Feinsinger, P., Spears, E.E. and Poole, R. W. (1981) A simple measure of niche breadth. *Ecology* **62**, 27–32.
- Julliard, R., Clavel, J., Devictor, V., Jiguet, F. and Couvet, D. (2006) Spatial segregation of specialists and generalists in bird communities. *Ecology Letters* **9**, 1237–1244
- Martín Gonzáles, A.M., Dalsgaard, B. and Olesen, J.M. (2010) Centrality measures and the importance of generalist species in pollination networks. *Ecological Complexity*, **7**, 36–43
- Opsahl, T. & Panzarasa, P. (2009). Clustering in weighted networks. *Social Networks*, **31**, 155–163

Poisot, T., Lepennetier, G., Martinez, E., Ramsayer, J., and Hochberg, M.E. (2011a) Resource availability affects the structure of a natural bacteria-bacteriophage community. *Biology Letters* **7**, 201–204

Poisot, T., Bever, J.D., Nemri, A., Thrall, P.H., and Hochberg, M.E. (2011b) A conceptual framework for the evolution of ecological specialisation. *Ecology Letters* **14**, 841–851

Poisot, T., E. Canard, N. Mouquet, and M. E. Hochberg (2012) A comparative study of ecological specialization estimators. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**, 537–544. doi: 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00174.x.

Vázquez, D. P., Melian, C. J., Williams, N. M., Blüthgen N., Krasnov B. R. and Poulin, R. (2007) Species abundance and asymmetric interaction strength in ecological networks. *Oikos* **116**, 1120–1127

See Also

[networklevel](#) for some further comments; [dfun](#), [nodespec](#), which are called by this function

Examples

```
data(Safariland)
## Not run:
specieslevel(Safariland)
## End(Not run)
specieslevel(Safariland, index="ALLBUTD")[[2]]
```

---

strength	<i>Computes species strength according to either of two definitions</i>
----------	---

---

Description

Computes species strength of the higher level species as a measure of how important a species is in the network

Usage

```
strength(web, type="Bascompte")
```

Arguments

web	A matrix with lower trophic level species as rows, higher trophic level species as columns and number of interactions as entries.
type	Which definition of species strength should be used, ‘Bascompte’ (default) or ‘Barrat’? See Details for definitions.

### Details

There are two definitions of species strength, that of Bascompte et al. (2006) as the sum of dependencies of a species, and that of Barrat et al. (2004) as the weighted sum of links. As a consequence, Bascompte et al.'s strength sums to the number of species in the other group, while Barrat et al.'s strength is simply the number of interactions, a trivial measure of a species importance.

In contrast to the claim of Gilarranz et al. (2012, p. 1155), this definition of strength gives no information of the centrality of a species within a network structure (and neither does Bascompte et al.'s).

### Value

A vector of species strengths for the higher level. Employ this function on the transpose of the web to compute the strengths of the lower level (see example).

### Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

### References

- Barrat, A., Barthélemy, M., Pastor-Satorras, R. & Vespignani, A. (2004) The architecture of complex weighted networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **101**, 3747–3752
- Bascompte, J., Jordano, P. & Olesen, J.M. (2006) Asymmetric coevolutionary networks facilitate biodiversity maintenance. *Science* **312**, 431–433
- Gilarranz, L.J., Pastor, J.M. & Galeano, J. (2012) The architecture of weighted mutualistic networks. *Oikos* **121**, 1154–1162

### See Also

[specieslevel](#) which could (but doesn't yet) call strength (instead it uses the default always)

### Examples

```
data(Safariland)
s1 <- strength(Safariland, type="Barrat")
s2 <- strength(Safariland, type="Bascompte")
plot(s1, s2, log="x")
cor.test(s1, s2, type="ken")
# for lower level:
strength(t(Safariland))
```



swap.web

*Creates null model for bipartite networks***Description**

Function to generate null model webs under the following constraints: 1. marginal totals are identical to those observed (as in `r2dtable`), 2. connectance is as observed (as in `shuffle.web`.)

**Usage**

```
swap.web(N, web, verbose=FALSE, c.crit=1e4)
```

**Arguments**

<code>N</code>	Number of desired null model matrices.
<code>web</code>	An interaction matrix.
<code>verbose</code>	Should various verbal outputs of this function be shown? Defaults to FALSE, since it was mainly used during the debugging period.
<code>c.crit</code>	Sometimes the algorithm gets stuck in a very sparse matrix. Then ‘ <code>c.crit</code> ’ sets the number of swaps it shall attempt before giving up and starting over on a new random matrix. Defaults to 10000.

**Details**

This function is designed to behave similar to `r2dtable`, i.e. it returns a list of randomised matrices. In addition to `r2dtable` is also keeps the connectance constant!

This function is thought of as a very constrained null model for the analysis of bipartite webs. It keeps two web properties constant: The marginal totals (as in `r2dtable` and the number of links (and hence connectance). A comparison of `swap.web`- and `r2dtable`-based webs allows to elucidate the effect of evolutionary specialisation, since the unrealised connections may represent “forbidden links”.

This null model is similar to the one employed by Vázquez et al. But while Vázquez starts by assigning 1s to the allowed connections and then fills the web, `swap.web` starts with an `r2dtable`-web and successively “empties” it. The two approaches should result in very similar null models, since both constrain marginal totals and connectance.

A few words on the way `swap.web` works. It starts with a random web created by `r2dtable`. Then, it finds, randomly, 2x2 submatrices with entries all larger than 0. Next, it subtracts the minimum value from the diagonal and adds it to the off-diagonal (minor diagonal). Thereby one cell becomes 0, but the column and row sums do not change. This idea is adapted from the swap-algorithm used in various binary null models by Nick Gotelli. If the random web has too few 0s (which is I have yet to encounter), then the opposite strategy is applied.

The algorithm in our implementation has some variations on finding the submatrix and constraining the number of unsuccessfull trials before starting on a new random matrix, but they are only for speeding up the process.

**Value**

A list of N randomised matrices with the same dimensions as the initial web.

**Note**

Long stories can be told about the swap algorithm. I am not the right person to do so, but for a much more detailed coverage of the topic, for many more ways to implement null models for **binary** matrices, with various flavours of the swap and possible alternatives, first brew yourself a cup of tea and then check out the help pages of `simulate` in **vegan**. (As usual, Jari Oksanen has spend considerable care to implement even the most bizarre and abstruse way to move 0s and 1s around. His ecological advise between the lines make his package worthwhile already! I, personally, would use `method="quasiswap"`, as is done in the example to [discrepancy](#).)

When comparing the swap.web algorithm with that proposed by Vázquez et al. (2007, implemented in [vaznull](#)), we found that swap.web contains a certain bias. The subtraction of the swap will reduce the value of low-value cells, and increase that of high-value cells. As a consequence, it produces somewhat of a dichotomy between very high and very low values. Using e.g.  $H_2'$  to quantify this pattern, swap.web will produce very specialised networks (around 0.5), while the Vazquez-algorithm yields lower  $H_2'$  values and a more even distribution of interactions within cells. The ramifications are that swap.web will predict higher-than-necessary expectations. (Date of this entry: 15.1.2010)

In fact, Artzy-Randrup & Stone (2005) have shown that the swap algorithm is *fundamentally biased*, because some swaps are more likely than others. This applies to this version of the swap as well as to the one implemented in [vaznull](#). So, despite heavy citation, the approach of Miklós & Podani (2004) is thus also not ideal, as often claimed.

swap.web is a very constraint null model. You need to consider if it is the right one for your application!

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**References**

- Artzy-Randrup, Y., and Stone, L. (2005) Generating uniformly distributed random networks. *Physical Review E* **72**, 1–7
- Miklós, I. and Podani, J. (2004) Randomization of presence-absence matrices: comments and new algorithms. *Ecology* **85**, 86–92
- Vázquez, D. P., and M. A. Aizen (2003) Null model analyses of specialization in plant-pollinator interactions. *Ecology* **84**, 2493–2501
- Vázquez, D. P., C. J. Melian, N. M. Williams, N. Blüthgen, B. R. Krasnov, and R. Poulin (2007) Species abundance and asymmetric interaction strength in ecological networks. *Oikos* **116**, 1120–1127
- For a very nice and thorough overview of null models in general see:
- Gotelli, N. J., and G. R. Graves (1996) *Null Models in Ecology*. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington D.C.

**See Also**

[r2dtable](#), [vaznull](#) and [shuffle.web](#)

**Examples**

```
swap.web(Safariland, N=2)
```

---

symmetrise\_w

*Symmetrise\_w*

---

**Description**

The `symmetrise_w`-function creates an undirected one-mode network from a directed one-mode network.

**Usage**

```
symmetrise_w(net, method="MAX")
```

**Arguments**

<code>net</code>	A one-mode network
<code>method</code>	the method used to decide the weight of the undirected edge. It can be: "MAX" sets the weight to the maximum of the weight(s) of the arc(s) "MIN" sets the weight to the minimum of the weight(s) of the arc(s) "AMEAN" sets the weight to the average (arithmetic mean) of the weight(s) of the arc(s) "SUM" sets the weight to the sum of the weight(s) of the arc(s) "PROD" sets the weight to the product of the weight(s) of the arc(s) "DIFF" sets the weight to the absolute difference between the weight(s) of the arc(s)

**Value**

Returns the undirected network

**Note**

version 1.0.0, taken, with permission, from package `tnet`

**Author(s)**

Tore Opsahl; <http://toreopsahl.com>

**References**

<http://toreopsahl.com/2008/11/28/network-weighted-network/>

**Examples**

```
## Load sample data
sample <- rbind(
  c(1,2,2),
  c(1,3,2),
  c(2,1,4),
  c(2,3,4),
  c(2,4,1),
  c(2,5,2),
  c(3,1,2),
  c(3,2,4),
  c(5,2,2),
  c(5,6,1))

## Run the programme
symmetrise_w(sample, method="MAX")
```

---

tnet_igraph	<i>Exports a tnet network to an igraph object</i>
-------------	---

---

**Description**

The tnet\_igraph function creates an igraph object from a tnet network.

**Usage**

```
tnet_igraph(net,type=NULL, directed=NULL)
```

**Arguments**

net	A tnet network
type	type of tnet network, see as.tnet.
directed	if a one-mode networks, this can be set to avoid testing whether the network is directed.

**Value**

Returns the igraph object.

**Note**

version 1.0.0, taken, with permission, from package tnet

**Author(s)**

Tore Opsahl; <http://toreopsahl.com>

References

<http://toreopsahl.com/>

Examples

```
## Load sample data
sample <- rbind(
  c(1,2,4),
  c(1,3,2),
  c(2,1,4),
  c(2,3,4),
  c(2,4,1),
  c(2,5,2),
  c(3,1,2),
  c(3,2,4),
  c(4,2,1),
  c(5,2,2),
  c(5,6,1),
  c(6,5,1))

## Run the programme
tnet_igraph(sample, type="weighted one-mode tnet")
```

---

togetherness	<i>Calculates the number of identical co-presences and co-absences for species-on-islands</i>
--------------	---

---

Description

Togetherness, or T-score, describes the level of similarity in the distributional pattern of two species. Originally proposed by Stone & Roberts (1992) for biogeographical situations can it also be applied e.g. to pollinators on different host plants.

Usage

```
togetherness(web, normalise=TRUE, FUN = mean, ...)
```

Arguments

web	A matrix with binary or counted interactions/links, where the higher trophic level is represented by columns.
normalise	Logical; shall index be normalised to a range of 0-1?
FUN	The function to summarise species-pair T-scores with; defaults to mean.
...	Arguments passed on to FUN, especially na.rm=T or colours for hist.

**Value**

Returns the average (default) togetherness of all species combinations.

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann

**References**

Stone, L. and Roberts, A. (1992) Competitive exclusion, or species aggregation? An aid in deciding. *Oecologia* **91**, 419–424

**See Also**

[C.score](#) for another of Stone & Roberts’ indices.

**Examples**

```
(m <- matrix(c(0,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,1,0), ncol=2, byrow=TRUE))
togetherness(m)
# or, with two togethernesses:
(n <- matrix(c(0,1,1,0,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,1,0,1), ncol=2, byrow=TRUE))
togetherness(n, normalise=FALSE)

data(Safariland)
togetherness(m)
```

---

V.ratio	<i>Calculates the variance-ratio as suggested by Schluter (1984)</i>
---------	--

---

**Description**

A of species association is provided by the ratio of the variance in total species number (or total density of individuals) in samples to the sum of the variances of the individual species.

**Usage**

```
V.ratio(web)
```

**Arguments**

web	A matrix with pollinators in columns and plants in rows. For biogeographical applications: rows are islands (or sites).
-----	---

**Details**

This is a rather straight-forward index, which is described and evaluated extensively in Schluter (1984). He also warns against overinterpretation of the value. In principle, V-ratios larger than 1 indicate positive, smaller than 1 negative associations. Ecologically, competition can lead to small or large values, depending on their exact effects (see discussion in the Schluter paper).

**Value**

Returns the V-ratio, i.e. a single value representing the ratio of variance in species number and variance in individual numbers within species.

**Note**

Any quantitative matrix is first transformed into a binary (presence-absence) matrix!

Do not interpret without first reading the paper! It's worth it! See also applications in other studies, such as Gotelli and Rohde (2002).

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann

**References**

Gotelli, N.J. and Rohde, K. (2002) Co-occurrence of ectoparasites of marine fishes: a null model analysis. *Ecology Letters* **5**, 86–94

Schluter, D. (1984) A variance test for detecting species associations, with some example applications. *Ecology* **65**, 998–1005

**See Also**

[C.score](#) for another measure of species associations.

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland)
V.ratio(Safariland)
```

---

vazarr

*A pollination network.*


---

**Description**

[See documentation for Safariland.]

**Usage**

```
data(vazarr)
```

**Format**

The format is: num [1:10, 1:29] 85 0 94 0 0 0 0 0 0 ... - attr(\*, "dimnames")=List of 2 ..\$ : chr [1:10] "X2" "X1" "X13" "X4" ... ..\$ : chr [1:29] "78" "65" "94" "66" ...

**Examples**

```
data(vazarr)
## maybe str(vazarr) ; plot(vazarr) ...
```

---

vazcer	<i>A pollination network.</i>
--------	-------------------------------

---

**Description**

[See documentation for Safariland.]

**Usage**

```
data(vazcer)
```

**Format**

The format is: num [1:9, 1:33] 59 0 110 0 0 0 0 0 0 ... - attr(\*, "dimnames")=List of 2 ..\$ : chr [1:9] "X2" "X1" "X13" "X4" ... ..\$ : chr [1:33] "78" "65" "94" "32" ...

**Examples**

```
data(vazcer)
## maybe str(vazcer) ; plot(vazcer) ...
```

---

vazllao	<i>A pollination network.</i>
---------	-------------------------------

---

**Description**

[See documentation for Safariland.]

**Usage**

```
data(vazllao)
```

**Format**

The format is: num [1:10, 1:29] 64 0 82 0 0 0 0 0 0 ... - attr(\*, "dimnames")=List of 2 ..\$ : chr [1:10] "X2" "X1" "X13" "X4" ... ..\$ : chr [1:29] "78" "65" "94" "32" ...

**Examples**

```
data(vazllao)
## maybe str(vazllao) ; plot(vazllao) ...
```



---

vazmasc	<i>A pollination network.</i>
---------	-------------------------------

---

**Description**

[See documentation for Safariland.]

**Usage**

data(vazmasc)

**Format**

The format is: num [1:8, 1:26] 73 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 6 ... - attr(\*, "dimnames")=List of 2 ..\$ : chr [1:8] "X2" "X1" "X13" "X4" ... ..\$ : chr [1:26] "78" "65" "94" "32" ...

**Examples**

```
data(vazmasc)
## maybe str(vazmasc) ; plot(vazmasc) ...
```

---

vazmasnc	<i>A pollination network.</i>
----------	-------------------------------

---

**Description**

[See documentation for Safariland.]

**Usage**

data(vazmasnc)

**Format**

The format is: num [1:8, 1:35] 26 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 123 ... - attr(\*, "dimnames")=List of 2 ..\$ : chr [1:8] "X2" "X1" "X13" "X4" ... ..\$ : chr [1:35] "78" "65" "94" "32" ...

**Examples**

```
data(vazmasnc)
## maybe str(vazmasnc) ; plot(vazmasnc) ...
```

---

vaznull	<i>Null model with constrained connectance and moderately constrained marginal totals</i>
---------	---

---

## Description

Implements Diego Vazquez proposal of a null model for pollination networks

## Usage

```
vaznull(N, web)
```

## Arguments

N	Number of desired null model webs.
web	An interaction matrix.

## Details

This function produces a null model network with the main constraint of a connectance that is the same as in the original network. In the process of producing this null model, marginal totals will turn out to be different from the original network, less so for large, dense webs than for small, sparse webs. `vaznull` is our implementation of the algorithm propose by Diego Vazquez, hence its name. `vaznull` differs from [swap.web](#) in that marginal totals are not strictly constraint! The algorithm used as well as the null model it outputs are different.

The algorithm was described as follows: "The algorithm randomized the total number of individual interactions observed in the original interaction matrix, F. To this end, the algorithm first created a binary matrix, assigning interspecific interactions according to species-specific probabilities, requiring that each species had at least one interaction. As in Vazquez et al. (2005b), the species-specific probabilities were proportional to species' relative abundances (probabilities are in fact approximately proportional and not equal to relative abundances because of the requirement that each species receives at least one interaction; this requirement causes probabilities to deviate from relative abundances, especially for rare species). Once the number of filled cells in the original matrix was reached, the remaining interactions were distributed among the filled cells, so that connectance in the original and randomized matrices was the same." (Vazquez et al. 2007, page 1122-1123).

## Value

A list of N randomised matrices with the same dimensions and connectivity as the initial web.

## Author(s)

Bernd Gruber <bernd.gruber@canberra.edu.au> & Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

## References

Vázquez, D. P., C. J. Melian, N. M. Williams, N. Blüthgen, B. R. Krasnov, and R. Poulin. 2007. Species abundance and asymmetric interaction strength in ecological networks. *Oikos* 116: 1120-1127.

## See Also

[r2dtable](#), [swap.web](#)

## Examples

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)
networklevel(Safariland, index="info")
networklevel(vaznull(1, Safariland)[[1]], index="info")
system.time(vaznull(10, Safariland))
system.time(swap.web(10, Safariland))

## End(Not run)
```

---

vazquec

*A pollination network.*


---

## Description

[See documentation for Safariland.]

## Usage

```
data(vazquec)
```

## Format

The format is: num [1:8, 1:27] 31 0 34 150 11 66 2 4 0 63 ... - attr(\*, "dimnames")=List of 2 ..\$ : chr [1:8] "X1" "X13" "X4" "X15" ... ..\$ : chr [1:27] "65" "94" "32" "66" ...

## Examples

```
data(vazquec)
## maybe str(vazquec) ; plot(vazquec) ...
```

---

vazquenc	<i>A pollination network.</i>
----------	-------------------------------

---

### Description

[See documentation for Safariland.]

### Usage

```
data(vazquenc)
```

### Examples

```
data(vazquenc)
## maybe str(vazquenc) ; plot(vazquenc) ...
```

---

vazquez.example	<i>Examples for some analyses</i>
-----------------	-----------------------------------

---

### Description

Describes how to use bipartite to calculate the statistics presented in Vázquez et al. (2009). Some of these functions are available in bipartite or other packages, and this help page will show how to use them in line with the publication.

### Details

The functions used are:

**confint:** Is the same as quantile

**intasymm:** Can be extracted using [specieslevel](#)

**intereven:** Is similar to interaction evenness in [networklevel](#), but only for a specific option

**mlik:** A specific call to dmultinom and the calculation of the AIC; the number of parameters entering the AIC-calculation is not obvious; this depends on the constraints used by the null model. In the case of [r2dtable](#), column and row totals are constrained, i.e. ncol+nrow parameters must be given. In the case of [swap.web](#), connectance is also constrained, but how many parameters does that imply? One? In [shuffle.web](#), we constrain the dimensionality and connectance, i.e. 3 (?) parameters. Vázquez et al. (2009) argue that they constrain only 2 parameters when producing the probability matrix given as pweb in the example below. We tend to disagree: the marginal probabilities of all columns and rows are given, hence  $k = (ncol(web) + nrow(web))$ . To our knowledge, there is no mathematical/statistical treatise of this problem.

**netstats:** A wrapper calling the other functions, in that sense similar to [networklevel](#), but also calling some output from [specieslevel](#).

**plotmat:** Now part of [visweb](#), using the right options.

**quant2bin:** A dedicated function to do a simple thing:  $(web > 0) * 1$ .

**sortmatr:** newly defined function: [sortweb](#)

**sortmatrext:** sort matrix by some given sequence; also part of [sortweb](#)

In the example below, we use the **bipartite**/standard R functions whenever possible.

### Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de> based on code and ideas of Diego Vázquez, Natacha P. Chacoff and Luciano Cagnolo

### References

Vázquez, P.D., Chacoff, N.,P. and Cagnolo, L. (2009) Evaluating multiple determinants of the structure of plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Ecology* **90**, 2039–2046.

### See Also

See also [networklevel](#).

### Examples

```
## Not run:
data(Safariland)

# confint:
N100 <- sapply(swap.web(100, Safariland), networklevel, index="nestedness")
quantile(unlist(N100), c(0.025, 0.975))
# intasymm: extract values for the asymmetry of interactions and the
# dependency matrix for pollinators:
specieslevel(Safariland)$"higher trophic level"$"interaction push/pull"
specieslevel(Safariland)$"higher trophic level"$"dependence"
# for plants:
specieslevel(Safariland)$"lower trophic level"$"interaction push/pull"
specieslevel(Safariland)$"lower trophic level"$"dependence"

#intereven
networklevel(Safariland, index="interaction evenness", intereven="sum")[2]
# or, as we recommend (see help on networklevel):
networklevel(Safariland, index="interaction evenness", intereven="prod")[2]

# mlik:
# calculates the log-likelihood of observing a network, given a probability
# matrix of the same size (pweb):
dmultinom(Safariland>0, prob=pweb, log=TRUE)
# AIC (the number of parameters is given by how many constraints are put onto the
# null model; here, we constrain 9 rows and 27 columns, i.e. sum(dim(binweb))):
-2*dmultinom(Safariland>0, prob=pweb, log=TRUE) + 2*(sum(dim(binweb)))

# netstats:
```

```

networklevel(Safariland,
  index=c("connectance", "interaction evenness", "nestedness", "ISA"))
mean(specieslevel(Safariland)$"higher trophic level"$"interaction push/pull")
mean(specieslevel(Safariland)$"lower trophic level"$"interaction push/pull")

#plotmat:
visweb(t(unname(Safariland)), circles=TRUE, boxes=FALSE)

#sortmatr/sortmatrxt:
sortweb(Safariland, sort.order="inc") #rares species first
plotweb(sortweb(Safariland, sort.order="dec"), method="normal")
plotweb(sortweb(web=Safariland, sort.order="seq",
  sequence=list(seq.higher=sample(colnames(Safariland)),
  seq.lower=sample(rownames(Safariland)))),
  method="normal")

## End(Not run)

```

versionlog

*Log of bipartite versions and changes*

## Description

Log tracking changes, bug fixes and new functions in **bipartite**

## Usage

```
versionlog()
```

## versionlog

- 1.18 (release date: 06-Sep-2012)

**New function and index `fd`:** `fd` computes the functional diversity for the rows of a web as a measure of ecological niche complementarity (Devoto et al. 2012). Function written and provided by Mariano Devoto. `fd` is also included in the computation of `networklevel`.

**New function `czvalues`** to compute c- and z-values of the higher trophic level for modular networks. Requires a successful identification of modules through `computeModules`. These can then be used to identify connector species in a network.

**Speed-up of `plotweb`:** Thanks to Dirk Raetzl, whose smart improvement made this function orders of magnitude faster! (Amazing how a total of 7 lines of code in a total of over 300 for this function can make such a vast improvement!)

**Speed-up of `compart`:** Thanks to Juan M. Barreneche, whose smart improvement made this function orders of magnitude faster while using dramatically less memory.

**New option for simulating extinctions in `second.extinct` (and necessarily `extinction`):** Use `'method="external"'` to impose a specific sequence of exterminations onto the function. Provide this sequence as an index vector (e.g. `c(4,2,3,1)`) through the argument `'ext.row'` or `'ext.col'` (for lower and higher species level, respectively). Requested/proposed by Matt Koski. (Implementation is far from elegant and will be cleaned up in a future version.)

**Modification of `visweb`:** The user is now able to use user-defined colours (`def.col`) for interaction ranks (`'square="d"'`). Thereby the number of interactions can define the colour of the cell in the plot. Makes `visweb`-plot prettier.

**Important bug fix in `robustness` and `second.extinct`:** `robustness` sometimes interpreted wrongly which trophic level was subject to simulated extinction. That was easy to fix. En route, however, I also discovered unexpected behaviour in the `second.extinct` function: it gobbled up the last species to be removed from the web. I do not think that extinction slope estimates are affected much, but robustness results may well be exhibiting a bias towards 0.5! Thanks to Silvia Santamaria for reporting this!

**Little bug fix in functions `BC` and `CC`:** Functions always used unweighted networks for computing betweenness and closeness. The default is now to use weighted information, an option which can be switched off. Thanks to Michael Pocock for reporting and suggesting a fix! (Notice the help file for these functions and the large effect that the choice of settings can have on the results!)

**Little bug fix in function `computeModules`:** Failed to work for networks with empty columns or rows. These will now be deleted (with a warning) before the analysis. Thanks to Julien Renoult for reporting.

**Little bug fix in function `plotweb`:** Failed to work for networks with only one column or row. Thanks to Zhijiang Wang for reporting.

**Minor bug fix in `networklevel`:** Caused an error if a selection of indices was provided.

**Corrections in the help of `networklevel`:** Web asymmetry was explained the wrong way around (thanks to Bernhard Hoiß for reporting!) and there was some confusion in the help for whether quantitative or weighted-quantitative indices were implemented (Yvonne Fabian for correcting the clarification!).

- 1.17 (release date: 20-AUG-2011)

**New specialisation index at the species level in function `PDI`:** Computes the Paired Differences Index proposed by Poisot et al. (2011a,b). Also automatically now included in `specieslevel`.

**Bug fix in `specieslevel`:** Computation of betweenness was causing an error when the network was containing a single-link compartment. Thanks to Marco Mello for spotting!

**Another PDF is included (Dormann 2011):** This paper compares specialisation indices, based on the function `specieslevel`. All source code to reproduce these results is included. It can serve as a guide to the `specieslevel` function and its interpretation.

- 1.16 (release date: 29-May-2011)

**Bug fix and extended documentation in `dfun`:** 1. Sometimes `d.min` was larger than `d.raw` yielding negative `d'`-values. Since the search for `d.min` is heuristic, it can sometimes fail to yield lower values than `d.raw`. In these (rare) cases, `d.min` is now set to `d.raw`. 2. Documentation for a specific (but not uncommon or unreasonable) constellation added: When independent abundances were provided, the empty rows/columns are purposefully *not* removed from the web (because they now still contain information). Logically (and as implemented), this leads to `d`-values for these species of NA. This makes sense: the pollinator, say, has never been observed on any of the flowers, so how can we quantify its specialisation? While reasonable, it was undocumented behaviour. Thanks to Ana María Martín Gonzáles for drawing our attention to it!

**Speed improvement in `shuffle.web`:** Thanks to Paul Rabie, a faster implementation of the `shuffle.web` null model is available. Choosing the option `'legacy=FALSE'` will deliver the null models about 3 times faster.

- 1.15 (release date: 05-Mar-2011)

**Bug fix in [swap.web](#):** Occasionally, an interaction too many was swapped, leading to a connectance slightly too low compared to the original matrix. Spotted and reported by Sven Hanoteaux. (For “normal” webs, this bug has luckily caused only very small discrepancies between the realised and the intended null web. We thus regard this error to be (luckily) of virtually no influence on the results reported in our Open Ecology Journal paper. Please also note that the help of [swap.web](#) recommends using [vaznull](#).)

**Bug fix in [shuffle.web](#):** Some interactions were lost when there were more rows than columns. Spotted and reported by Sven Hanoteaux. (Please note that while a shameful mistake, it luckily does not affect the results reported in our Open Ecology Journal paper, where all webs analysed were asymmetric “in the right way”.)

**Minor bug fix in [networklevel](#):** No output was provided when only index “Fisher alpha” or “mean interaction diversity” was selected. Thanks to Sven Hanoteaux for reporting and fixing!

- 1.14 (release date: 21-Dez-2010)

**New function [plotPAC](#):** A plotting function to go with the [PAC](#)-function, providing a nice circularly arranged bubble plot, which has been copied (in style) from Morris et al. (2005). Various colour options are available.

**New index “weighted NODF” included in [networklevel](#) and [nested](#):** Gustavo Carvalho has updated the existing function `nestednodf` in **vegan** to compute a weighted (quantitative) version as proposed by Ulrich & Almeida Neto (2011 Env. Mod. Soft). This is now linked into **bipartite**.

**New data set:** `bezerra2009` is a “full” flower-visitation network of oil-collecting bees in Brazil. See help for details.

**Minor bug fix in [specieslevel](#):** The newly (1.13) added functionality for index “weighted closeness” did not work for webs without column and row names (such as null models). Also “weighted betweenness” was occasionally playing up.

**Minor bug fix in [specieslevel](#):** Betweenness and friends cannot be computed for fully compartmented webs (i.e. where each species interacts only with its own partner in the other trophic level). The function then failed instead of returning NA. Thanks to Nadine Sandau for reporting.

**Minor bug fix in [dfun](#):** When providing independent abundances, the computation of `dmin` was sometimes incorrect (particularly for small webs) due to a typo. Fixed by Jochen Fründ.

**Minor time-saving improvement in [degreedistr](#):** When provided with 3 or less point to compute the degree-distribution fit from now immediately returns NA-filled matrices. Saves the time of going through all starting values and still failing.

**Change of name of function argument in [web2edges](#):** The former ‘quantitative’ did not really reflect what it does, so it was changed to ‘weight.column’.

**Minor improvements to [networklevel](#):** Robustness and weighted nestedness were wrapped in try-functions (for very small networks).

**New functionality to find modules in networks.** This is still experimental and proper references etc. will be added after testing! Please do not use for production yet (at least not without consultation). Thanks to Rouven Strauß for developing this.

- 1.13 (release date: 29-Sep-2010)



**Option added to `H2fun`** to compute  $H_2'$  for non-integer web. Thanks to Jochen Fründ for providing the inspiration and the code. This option is also now available in `networklevel`.

**Changes to `nullmodel`**: Upon using the modification entered in version 1.12, it turns out this is no improvement but rather a step in the wrong direction. So this function is back to its pre-1.12 working with a little bug fixed in `swap.web` (the helper function ‘`upswap`’ got an option too many) that caused the alteration in the 1.12 version. Thanks to Jochen Fründ for insisting on rolling this back.

**Bug fix in `networklevel`**: Alatalo interaction evenness could not be called separately due to a change of name within the function. Thanks to Rachel Gibson for reporting!

**New index added to `networklevel`**: In addition to the binary cluster coefficient, the function now also (and automatically) computes the weighted clustering coefficient introduced by Tore Opsahl in his package `tnet`.

**New function `web2edges`**: Transforms a web-matrix into an edge list, as used in `tnet` or other software (e.g. Pajek).

- 1.12 (release date: 21-May-2010)

**Bug fix in `vaznull`**: In very poorly sampled networks, `vaznull` could fail because after the initial filling there were no more interactions to distribute. How an if-statement can sometimes make a difference.

**Error message in `nullmodel` changed to a warning**. Up to this release, the function created null models as specified. However, when a supposedly quantitative network was in fact binary, it returned an error. Now it proceeds, using the null model generating algorithm “mgen”, and returns a warning.

- 1.11 (release date: 10-May-2010)

**Changes to function `as.one.mode`**: Gains options to do projections of the bipartite (=two-mode) into the one-mode modus required e.g. by `sna`. In most publications, one focusses on only one trophic level (e.g. the pollinators) and represents the bipartite network as a one-mode, pollinators-only network. This can now be achieved using the various options in the function. See its help for details.

**Bug fixes in `BC` and `CC`**: Upon re-reading the paper motivating the inclusion of these functions, I noticed an error in the previous implementation. The key point, and one that is contentious in network theory, is that there is no standard best way to project a two-mode (bipartite) network onto a one-mode network. Up until now, I used the default of `as.one.mode`. However, Martín González et al. (2010) use the more common projection (“higher” and “lower”, respectively), and this is also now implemented in `BC` and `CC`. The interested reader may want to follow this problem up by reading the pre-print of Tore Opsahl (“Triadic closure in two-mode networks”) to see that this one-mode projection actually distorts the statistics employed on them.

- 1.10 (release date: 02-May-2010)

**New function `null.distr`**: Fits a lognormal or negative binomial distribution to the marginal totals of a network and then draws random values from the thus specified distribution. These values represent the marginal totals to be used for constructing a null model network. The function thus serves as a way to produce null models without maintaining exactly the marginal totals, but only their distribution. Please refer to the help for some cautionary remarks on its use! In particular, null model networks are likely to be smaller than the original and there is obviously no guarantee that the marginal totals are actually distributed in the specified way!

**Polishing the Vázquez pollination networks:** All species in these 8 networks are now consistently named (rather than numbered).

**Polish to `specieslevel`:** Requesting Fisher's alpha sometimes causes convergence problems in the underlying function. This is now caught by a try, returning NA.

**Rescale in `nested` gave wrong scaling for NODF:** Fixed.

- 1.09 (release date: 22-Mar-2010)

**Bug fix in `degredistr`:** Degree distributions for the higher trophic level were calculated incorrectly. The main problem was that the scaling constant was omitted, leading to ridiculous fits. (Thanks to Roberto Molowny for reporting, correction proposal and discussion!) On improving this, I also ventured to provide multiple starting values and hence the function returns a fit for all three curves more often (but not always).

- 1.08 (release date: 16-Mar-2010)

**Bug fix in `ND`:** Rows and columns were mixed up and a +1 was missing- oh dear. (Thanks to Marco Mello for reporting!)

- 1.07 (release date: 18-Feb-2010)

**New function `vaznull`:** Null model with constrained totals and connectance proposed by Diego Vázquez, similar to `swap.web`, but "better". See notes in `swap.web`-help for justification of this statement. We recommend this null model for constraining both marginal totals and connectance.

**Added a new option to calculate extinction slopes:** So far, random extinction sequences and the rarest-to-commonest sequence were implemented. Now, `second.extinct` also includes the option 'method="degree"' to build a sequence from the best-to-least connected species. This is the most extreme case, where the most generalist species goes extinct first (see Memmott et al. 1998). (In response to request by Simone Bazarian.)

**Adaptations of `nullmodel`:** Now includes the new `vaznull` as a method (3). This leads to changes in the sequence of methods! Old code may hence have to be adapted accordingly!

- 1.06 (release date: 18-Dec-2009)

**New functions `ND`, `BC` and `CC`:** Simple functions to calculate normalised degree, betweenness centrality and closeness centrality. These functions and the example allows a reproduction of the type of analysis carried out in Martín González et al. (2009).

**Changes to `specieslevel`,** which now calls `ND`, `BC` and `CC`, too.

**Bug fix in `as.one.mode`:** now allows also data.frames to be turned into one-mode-style representations. Previously, only matrices could be used.

**Suppression of errors and warnings in `networklevel`:** When used on a full network (i.e. one without zeros), some indices in `networklevel` are undefined (e.g. extinction slopes). This led to a long output of warning and error messages, although internally I used the try-function to capture errors. Now, these messages are suppressed.

- 1.05 (release date: 05-Dec-2009)

**Help description for `PAC` was convoluted** and its suggestions for the interpretation downright wrong. Thanks to Matthew Wainhouse for reporting and simplifying it!

**Resolved a long-standing issue of a warning message.** This occurred when detaching the package (`detach(package:bipartite)`) and was caused by somehow wrongly using `.Last.lib`. Deleting it solved the problem.

**Fixed bug in `specieslevel`:** Calling this function with only one index caused it to return empty lists. Simple mistake, but better without it.

- 1.03 (release date: 06-Nov-2009)
  - Bug fix in `V.ratio`:** A small mistake for a human, but a huge bug for mankind. Sorry. (Detail: I forgot to square  $\sigma$ , leading to strange results.) Thanks to Giorgio Mancinelli for reporting!
  - Error message for non-existent indices in `networklevel`:** So far, `networklevel` returned NULL when an index was selected that does not exist (e.g. "shannon diversity" instead of "diversity"). Now, a helpful (?) error message is returned.
  - Change in defaults to index "interaction evenness" in `networklevel`:** After a fruitful discussion with Becky Morris and Jason Tylianakis, I changed the default to "sum". I also reproduce some of our communication in the help to this function, under details, to make the ecological assumptions behind either option a bit more transparent. There are good reasons for either option.
- 1.02 (release date: 11-Sep-2009)
  - Function `plotweb`:** Now more trophic networks can be plotted by staggering bipartite networks on top of each other. See multitrophic examples in `plotweb`.
  - Minor corrections to `dfun`:** This function did not return *exactly* the values of the website-version. Jochen Fründ corrected this. Please read the help of the function (final paragraph) for details.
  - New function `nested`:** This convenience function collects the various ways to calculate nestedness of a network in order to facilitate comparison of nestedness analyses. To do so, it heavily borrows from `vegan`.
  - Bug fix to `discrepancy`:** Would return a silly value (half of the number of rows) for empty matrices. This had no effect when called by `networklevel`, since the matrix would have been emptied. Thanks to Roberto Molowny for reporting!
  - Bug fix to `networklevel`:** Due to a missing space, the option 'ALLBUTDD' did not work properly. Thanks to Etienne Laliberte for reporting!
- 1.00 (release date: 06-Aug-2009)
  - Complete overhaul of `networklevel`:** After a workshop on bipartite networks in ecology, a few more indices were added (Fisher's alpha diversity of interactions, mean interaction diversity, mean number of predators) and the whole output reorganised. It now follows a gradient from less to more interesting (in our view) indices, and from indices for binary to those for quantitative networks. Also, I added several options for which indices to report ('index="info", "binary", "quantitative", "topology"'). Most interestingly, perhaps, there is now a quantitative, Shannon-based series of indices. Starting with the "mean interaction diversity" (i.e. the Shannon-diversity of interactions of a species, averaged across all species in that trophic level), over "Shannon diversity" of interactions, to H2 (i.e. Shannon diversity scaled between max and min possible for this web characteristics).
  - Additions to, and overhaul of `specieslevel`:** Similar to the above item, some indices were added, and the output simplified when calling the option 'index="ALLBUTD"' (only one D here!): a list with two matrices is now returned. Fisher's alpha for each species, vulnerability/generality and effective number of species for each target species are also now included. Index sequence has changed.
  - New function `PAC`:** Calculates the Potential for Apparent Competition following the formula in Müller et al. (1999) and Morris et al. (2005) and the suggestion by Becky Morris and Owen Lewis. More than a theoretical concept, it was experimentally shown to be relevant (Morris et al. 2004).

**Bug fix in H2fun and dfun:** A line of code went missing at some point, so the maximum packing density was not optimal (but still good) in these functions. As a result, reported H2- and d-values were sometimes 0 when they should be only very close to 0.

**Switch for error reporting in degreedistr:** By default now suppresses error reporting when nls fails to fit a degree distribution due to too few data points. This leaves the user of `networklevel` somewhat less confused. Warning message now also indicates for which trophic level there were too few data points.

**Bug fix in C.score:** Failed when the web was very dense or very sparse, because the maximum number of checkerboard patterns was 0 then.

- 0.94 (release date: 01-Aug-2009)

**New function nullmodel:** A convenience wrapper function to generate different types of null models.

**Small changes to networklevel:** This function returns a list of indices. If we exclude the computation of degree distribution fits, this would be coerced to a vector. We added the option `index="ALLBUTDD"` to calculate all indices BUT degree distributions. The output is then returned as vector. This is much more convenient when using `networklevel` on many data sets (using `sapply`).

- 0.93 (release date: 30-Jun-2009)

**bug fix in slope.bipartite and robustness:** The function always selected column 3 of the object, instead of 2 for lower and 3 for higher trophic level; thanks to Antonio Rivera for spotting and reporting this error! Notice that this error must have slipped in somewhere around version 0.90/0.91, because I checked and the results reported in the Open Ecology Journal paper are valid! I seem to remember that I (CFD) modified `slope.bipartite` when `robustness` was added: never change a winning team!

- 0.92 (release date: 02-Jun-2009)

**more colour options in visweb:** the arguments `'box.border'` and `'box.col'` now allow a specification of the colour of the boxes and their borders.

**bug fix empty:** the function returned 0 for a 1x1 matrix. Although not written for such a case, it should still do what it says on the tin. Thanks to Mariano Devoto for spotting and reporting!

**bug fix wine:** returned NA for square matrices.

**bug fix plot.wine:** gave decimal places for row and column names for very small networks.

- 0.91 (release date: 06-May-2009)

**new function wine:** This function replaces the (now deprecated) function `nestedness.corso` in calculating a (weighted) nestedness for bipartite networks. It was developed and implemented by Marcelino de la Cruz, Juan M. Pastor, Javier Galeano and Jose Iriondo. It is also called by `networklevel`. A plotting function is also available, depicting the contributions of each observed link to the web's nestedness. - `nestedness.corso` is now removed from the package. The main reason is that it served as an interim solution for `wine`, and the Corso-way of calculating nestedness is just one more of already too many. If you intend to use `wine` on binary data and interpret that as a Corso-equivalent, beware of the following two main differences (thanks to Jose Iriondo for summarising them): *First, the nestedness of Corso et al. varies between 0 and 1, with the highest nestedness is reached at 0 and 1 corresponds to random, whereas in wine is just the opposite (this is because the Manhattan distances are calculated with regard to opposite sides of the*

*matrix*). Secondly, the ‘d’ in the nestedness of Corso is the sums of the ‘dij’s whereas in [wine](#), the ‘d’ (= ‘win’ value of the object produced by [wine](#)) is the average of the ‘dij’s above 0. So, we recommend NOT to use wine for calculating Corso’s nestedness, but rather download the source code for `nestedness.corso` from an older version of [bipartite](#).

**new function [robustness](#):** A better way to quantify the effect of species loss on the extinctions in the other trophic level; kindly provided by Mariano Devoto. This index is also part of [networklevel](#).

**new data set [ollerton2003](#):** Another quantitative pollination network from the NCEAS database (see [ollerton2003](#)).

- 0.90 (release date: 24-Mar-2009)

**example [vazquez.example](#):** We added several new functionalities mainly to be able to analysis data and use network statistics as suggested by Vázquez et al. 2009. You can access this example by typing `?vazquez.example`.

**new feature in [visweb](#):** Can now plot different sized circles to represent interactions, as proposed by Vázquez et al. (2009).

**new feature in [networklevel](#):** We added an option to calculate interaction evenness either based on all possible links or just on realized links.

**new function [sortweb](#):** Can be used to sort webs in different ways.

**data set [inouye1988](#):** Another pollination network from the NCEAS database (see [inouye1988](#)).

**Function [compart](#):** We replaced the CA-based approach to detecting compartments by a comprehensive and recursive approach. The latter is not affected by ties in the data set (i.e. species with the same number of links). In quantitative webs and for the networks included in [bipartite](#), the old function was working fine, but in more recent trials it failed to detect 2-species compartments. In turn, we had to adapt [networklevel](#) and [plotweb](#).

- 0.85 (release date: 10-Mar-2009)

**Function [plotweb](#)** New feature: text labels can now be printed in different colours. All colours can be passed as vectors and vectors are recycled if not of appropriate length

- 0.84 (release date: 25-Feb-2009)

**Function [plotweb](#)** New feature: `arrow="center.up"`, `arrow="center.down"`, `arrow="center.both"`: this results in the standard presentation of bipartite networks, where interactions are displayed as centered triangles.

- 0.83 (release date: 9-Feb-2009) pdf of OEJ-paper added as vignette

- 0.82 (release date: 27-Jan-2009)

**Function [plotweb](#)** Two new features: 1. colors of borders of boxes and interactions can be specified via setting `bor.col`. 2. Labels can now be rotated by specifying `text.rot=90`.

- 0.81 (release date: 06-Jan-2009)

**Function [nodespec](#) replaces the now obsolete function `functspec`.** The inventor of functional specialisation, Bo Dalsgaard, understands the term ‘functional specialisation’ to be restricted to the way in which plants are specialised to pollinators. Although I disagree (and think that ‘functional’ actually means very little until defined for a given problem), I renamed the ‘functional specialisation index’ into ‘node specialisation index’. This is not a particularly clever name, but at least it indicates that the position of nodes in a network is important when it is calculated.

**Bug in `networklevel`:** Call to `nestedness.corso` overwrote results of `nestedness`.

**Various changes related to the analysis of very small webs.** Very small webs should probably not be used at all for testing theories! Indices are usually VERY sensitive to the exact number of species, number of observations etc. Still, sometimes we simply want to calculate some index, and then **bipartite** should handle such small networks, too. So, when going through several dozens of very small webs (sometimes only containing one species in one of the two trophic levels), several functions did not perform correctly (usually to such minor programming issues such as matrices being converted to vectors when `[]` was used with `'drop=TRUE'`, i.e. the default). Changes affected the functions `discrepancy`, `nestedness.corso`, `shuffle.web`, `compart` and `empty`. Their output remains identical, only they now also work for small webs.

**Changes to `nestedness.corso` with `'weighted=TRUE'`** Galeano et al. do not describe how to deal with ties, nor do they make clear if the packed matrix should be sorted by marginal sum of links or marginal sum of interactions! Previously, we used marginal sum of interactions (because it is a weighted index), but now we moved to marginal sum of links, because that is how I interpret their paper after a third (or forth) re-reading. Furthermore, because the real maximum chaos cannot be derived (to my knowledge) algorithmically, we use the 95% quantile of 500 randomisations as maximum. This will lead to a consistently overestimated nestedness, but it is less sensitive to the number of replicates than the max. (Also, there was an error in the description of the value returned: 0 is nested, 1 is chaos!)

- 0.8 (release date: 21-Dec-2008)

**bug fix in `shuffle.web`** Function didn't work correctly when the web contained more rows than columns. (Thanks to Anna Traveset for spotting and reporting!)

**minor modifications in `networklevel`** Sometimes additional information is available and species can be included in a network, although they have no interactions with other species. In this case, one might want to use these species in the network, too. A new option (`empty.web`), allows to keep empty rows and columns, although for some functions an emptied web must be used (e.g. degree distributions).

**bug fix in `H2fun`** As in the last `H2fun` bug fix, sometimes `H2` became negative.

**bug (?) fix in `networklevel`** Shannon diversity is based on the log of interactions. If this value is 0, as it is for most network entries, an NA is produced. As a consequence, Shannon's `H` (now also given in output) is based not on all interactions, but only those  $> 0$ . In consequence, Shannon evenness should also only be `SH` divided by the number of realised interactions ( $\log(\text{sum}(\text{web} > 0))$ ). That is now the case.

- 0.74 (release date: 24-Oct-2008)

**functional specialisation (`functspec`) bug fix** Paths were double the true length, hence minimum was 2, rather than 1.

**`H2fun` bug fix** Since the search for `H2min` is heuristic, `H2uncorr` can sometimes be lower than `H2min`; in that case, `H2fun` returned a value greater 1, while it should be one exactly.

**new function `nestedness.corso`** Calculates (weighted) nestedness according to Corso et al. (2008) and Galeano et al. (2008).

**new function `discrepancy`** Calculates discrepancy according to Brualdi & Sanderson (1999), deemed to be best ever measure of nestedness; also gives an example for a binary null model analysis based on **vegan**'s `commsimulator`.

**other** Correction of several minor typos on the help pages; removal of "~" in help files; same citation style throughout; new cross references (especially for the nestedness functions); in [networklevel](#), nestedness is now calculated using **vegan**'s `nestedtemp` due to matrix inversion problems reported for `binmatnest`.

- 0.73 (release date: 1-Sept-2008)

**new feature** [plotweb](#) Named abundance-vector for each level can be used.

**new function** [plotweb2](#) (**not debugged!**) For plotting tripartite networks.

- 0.72 (release date: 12-June-2008)

**new function: functional specialisation with** `functspec` See Dalgaard et al. (2008).

**new function: interface to sna through** [as.one.mode](#) Allows calculation of path lengths, centrality, betweenness and other indices developed for one-mode networks.

**bug-fix** Error in [plotweb](#) when no species labels were given.

## Note

This function is only invoked for its side effect of opening the help page. I simply didn't know how to do it any other way ...

## Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

---

visweb

---

*Plotting function to visualize a bipartite food web*


---

## Description

This function draws a food web as a grid using a matrix. Colnames and rownames are used as labels and entries in the matrix are visualized by text and colours. It can also be used to plot bipartite webs in the style of Vázquez et al. (2009).

## Usage

```
visweb(web, type="nested", prednames=TRUE, preynames=TRUE, labsize=1,
plotsize=12, square="interaction", text="no", frame=NULL, textsize=1,
textcol="red", pred.lablenth=NULL, prey.lablenth=NULL, clear=TRUE,
xlabel="", ylabel="", boxes=TRUE, circles=FALSE, circle.col="black",
circle.min=0.2, circle.max=2, outerbox.border="white",
outerbox.col="white", box.border="black", box.col="black", def.col="blue",
max.digits=4, NA.col="red")
```



## Arguments

web	A matrix representing the interactions observed between higher trophic level species (columns) and lower trophic level species (rows). Usually this will be number of pollinators on each species of plants or number of parasitoids on each species of prey.
type	type changes the sorting of rows and columns of the web and can be <b>nested</b> : (sorted by row/colSums) <b>diagonal</b> : (highest number of interactions appear along the diagonal, good for showing compartments) <b>none</b> : (as is)
prednames	labels can be switched of by prednames=F
preynames	labels can be switched of by preynames=F
labsize	factor for size of labels
plotsize	size of plot (length of width or height), depending on the dimension of the web in cm, default is 12 cm.
square	square is used to indicate number of interactions and belonging to compartments by coloured grid cells <b>interaction</b> : (level of grey indicates the number of interaction, white means no interaction) <b>compartment</b> : (level of grey indicates belonging to the same compartment) <b>black</b> : (black grid cells if number of interaction is bigger than one) <b>none</b> : (no coloured squares are drawn)
text	number of interactions or belonging are plotted into each grid cell <b>interaction</b> : (number of interactions are drawn) <b>compartment</b> : (belonging to same compartment indicated by capital Letters) <b>none</b> : (no text is shown)
frame	a frame is drawn around each compartment (frame=TRUE), best used with type="diagonal"
textsize	factor for size of text in squares, default=1
textcol	color of text in grid cells, default ="red"
pred.lablength	length of predators (upper) labels that should be displayed
prey.lablength	length of prey (lower) labels that should be displayed
clear	delete species with no interactions (compulsory done for "nested" and "diagonal")
xlabel	label on the x-axis, make sure prey.lablength is set accordingly, default is empty
ylabel	label on the y-axis, make sure pred.lablength is set accordingly, default is empty
boxes	logical, if boxes should be drawn. Default is set to TRUE
circles	logical, if circles in a Vazquez et al. style should be drawn. Default is set to FALSE, size and colours of circles and background can be set by the following arguments



circle.col	Colour of circles, works only if circles=TRUE
circle.min	minimal size of circles, use to rescale circles appropriately, default is 0.2
circle.max	maximal size of circle, , use to rescale circles appropriately, default is 2
outerbox.border	Colour of outerbox border if option circles=TRUE
outerbox.col	Colour of background if option circles=TRUE
box.border	Colour of border of boxes, if option square="b" is set
box.col	Colour of boxes, if option square="b" is set
def.col	A user defined color vector for all ranks (starting from low to high) of occurring values in the network
max.digits	defaults to 4.
NA.col	Which colour should be used for missing data (NAs)? Defaults to red.

**Value**

A plot window with appropriate size according to the dimensions of the web.

**Note**

If labels don't fit, resize window by hand!

**Author(s)**

Bernd Gruber

**References**

Vázquez, P.D., Chacoff, N.,P. and Cagnolo, L. (2009) Evaluating multiple determinants of the structure of plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Ecology*

**See Also**

For a different plot on food web see [plotweb](#).

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland)
visweb(Safariland)
visweb(Safariland, type="diagonal", square="compartment", text="none",
frame=TRUE)
visweb(Safariland, type="nested", text="compartment")
visweb(Safariland, circles=TRUE, boxes=FALSE, labsize=1, circle.max=3,
text="no")
visweb(Safariland, square="b", box.col="green", box.border="red")

#define your colors here,length has to be the numbers of different entries
cols <-0:(length(table(Safariland))-1)
visweb(Safariland, square="defined", def.col=cols)
```

web2edges

*Conversion of a network matrix into a (weighted) edge list***Description**

This helper function converts a bipartite matrix into an edge list, optionally weighted, as used by other packages and software, and writes this to the hard drive (optionally)

**Usage**

```
web2edges(web, webName=NULL, weight.column=TRUE, both.directions=FALSE,
is.one.mode=FALSE, out.files=c("edges", "names", "groups")[1:2],
return=FALSE, verbose=FALSE)
```

**Arguments**

web	A matrix with lower trophic level species as rows, higher trophic level species as columns and number of interactions as entries.
webName	An optional name for the data file generated by the function.
weight.column	Logical; is the web quantitative and should hence a weighted edge list be produced? Defaults to TRUE.
both.directions	Logical; shall each link be represented twice, i.e. once from A to B and also from B to A? Defaults to FALSE.
is.one.mode	Logical; if TRUE, labels for species will be used as they currently are in the web; otherwise, species will be re-labelled so that the first column will have number $NROW(web) + 1$ . This is also the default.
out.files	String indicating which files to produce: "edges" writes an edge list, "names" writes a list with names belonging to the edges and "groups" writes a file assigning the species to higher and lower trophic levels. The default produces the edge list and the names file.
return	Logical; shall the edge list be returned by this function (for future use in R)? Defaults to FALSE. Setting 'return' to TRUE will prevent writing of files to the hard drive!
verbose	Logical; shall some feedback tell you that the files have been generated? Defaults to FALSE.

**Details**

Many network programs (e.g. Pajek) require input in the form of an edge list. Here each species is a number. The edge list has two column, one with the target and one with the origin of the connection. That means an interaction between 1 and 6 would be written (in one line) as: "1 6". In a weighted edge list, a third column represents the strength of the interaction: "1 6 4.77".

All this function does is to transform the typical interaction matrix used in bipartite into an edge list. Within R this could be used e.g. in the package **tnet**; outside R by Pajek or others.

Similar to [as.one.mode](#) this function is there to increase interchange between different coding standards and packages.

### Value

A matrix ("edge list") with two (unweighted) or three (weighted) columns.

If return=FALSE, this matrix (and possibly names and groups) are written to a text-file in the working directory.

### Note

The function is used as export helper (default) or as link to **tnet** (with return=TRUE).

### Author(s)

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@ufz.de>

### Examples

```
data(Safariland)
web2edges(Safariland, return=TRUE)
```

---

webs2array

*Puts two or more webs into one array of webs*

---

### Description

Function to put several webs into an array, blowing the dimensions up to the union of species

### Usage

```
webs2array(...)
```

### Arguments

...                    matrices containing webs (see example), separated by comma

### Details

Some analyses may require a direct comparison of two webs, e.g. computing their similarity in number of interactions per link (e.g. Poisot et al. 2012). To be able to do that, we first need to blow the single webs up to have the same dimensions, i.e. padding all species not observed in this web with 0s. This function produces a new raw matrix based on the union of species for each trophic level and puts these for all species into one array.

### Value

An array of dimensions (number of species in lower level, number of species in higher level, number of webs).

**Note**

When building the webs from a table of observed interactions, function `frame2webs` allows to build a web-array when using `'type.out="array"'`. That would be preferable (faster and only one step)!

Combining webs into one array makes sense only for webs featuring overlapping communities!

**Author(s)**

Carsten F. Dormann <carsten.dormann@biom.uni-freiburg.de>

**References**

Poisot, T., E. Canard, D. Mouillot, N. Mouquet, D. Gravel, and F. Jordan. 2012. The dissimilarity of species interaction networks. *Ecology Letters* **15**, 1353—1361. doi: 10.1111/ele.12002

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland, vazquenc, vazquec)
allin1 <- webs2array(Safariland, vazquenc, vazquec)

# now we can compute distance between two webs:
vegdist(t(cbind(as.vector(allin1[,1]), as.vector(allin1[,2]), as.vector(allin1[,3]))),
  method="jacc")
```

---

wine

*Weighted-Interaction Nestedness Estimator*

---

**Description**

Calculates the nestedness of a network taking into account the weight of the interactions, according to the method proposed by Galeano et al. (2008).

**Usage**

```
wine(web, nreps = 1)
## S3 method for class 'wine'
plot(x, ...)
```

**Arguments**

web	A matrix with elements of a set (e.g., plants) as rows, elements of a second set (e.g., pollinators) as columns and number of interactions as entries.
nreps	Number of replicates for constructing random networks.
x	An object resulting of applying wine function
...	Additional graphical parameters to <code>image.plot</code>

## Details

Nestedness estimators use presence-absence (binary) adjacency matrices as the basis for calculating nestedness, as they provide a simple description and characterization of the topology of the network. However, networks are specified not only by their topology but also by the heterogeneity in the weight (or the intensity) of the connections (Barrat et al., 2004). Characterizing links just with presence-absence data does not take into account the possible differences in intensity among links. WINE (Weighted-Interaction Nestedness Estimator) is a new nestedness estimator that takes into account the weight or intensity of each interaction (e.g., in a plant-pollinator network, the number of registered visits of a particular interaction). Thus, instead of using presence-absence matrices, WINE calculates nestedness from quantitative data matrices that include the number of events of each interaction. This is the first estimator that allows for the characterization of weighted nestedness. WINE calculates a nestedness value that approaches zero when the nestedness pattern of the original data matrix is close that of equivalent random matrices, and it approaches one as it gets closer to the nestedness of the maximal nestedness matrix. Thus, this estimator evaluates the relative position of the data matrix between the corresponding random matrices and the maximal nestedness matrix. Negative values for this estimator can be found in some synthetic matrices that have been described as ‘anti-nestedness’ matrices (Almeida-Neto et al. 2007).

The calculation of the weighted-interaction nestedness estimator starts with the matrix containing the number of events of each interaction, *M<sub>ij</sub>*. The matrix is packed by arranging rows and columns from top to bottom and from left to right, respectively, in ascending order according to their marginal totals. Nestedness is related to the proximity of existing links to one another in the packed matrix, so that the most nested matrix is the one that after packing shows a minimum mixing of filled cells (links) with empty cells (no links) (Corso et al., 2008, Ulrich et al., 2009). WINE is based on the concept of estimating nestedness through the calculation of a Manhattan distance from each of the matrix cells containing a link to the cell corresponding to the intersection of the row and columns with the lowest marginal totals (number of links). This concept resembles in a way the one used by Corso et al. (2008), although the distances are measured to the opposite corner of the packed matrix. Additionally, in WINE, the Manhattan distance is replaced by a weighted Manhattan distance. The statistical significance of this nestedness index value is tested against a null model that constrains matrix fill to observed values, retains the distribution of number of events in the links but does not constrain marginal totals. Further details can be found in Galeano et al. (2008).

## Value

wine returns an object of class wine, basically a list with the following components:

<code>win</code>	Weighted-interaction nestedness of dataset (WIN)
<code>wine</code>	Weighted-interaction nestedness estimator (WINE): The weighted-interaction nestedness estimator value. It will be 0 for random distribution and 1 for maximal nestedness
<code>zscore</code>	z-score of the weighted-interaction nestedness
<code>pvalue</code>	probability of having a z-value equal to or greater than Z (from the tabulated value of the cumulative function). Values of $p < 0.05$ indicate that the dataset is significantly nested.
<code>dmax</code>	Weighted-interaction nestedness of the maximal nestedness matrix.
<code>drnd</code>	Average weighted-interaction nestedness of random replicates

<code>dij.w</code>	Matrix of <code>dijw</code> values. These values provide a measure of the contribution of each interaction (link) to total nestedness
<code>dij.max</code>	Maximal nestedness matrix

The S3 plot method for wine displays `dij.w` in a coloured image plot where red cells have high weights in the network and blue cells have minimum weights.

### Note

This is the first approach to a weighted nestedness and a full ecological interpretation of its meaning is still lacking. It is not possible to perform a systematic comparison between this and other nestedness indices because the latter rely just on presence absence data whereas the former feeds on a quantitative data matrix. For a well-performed comparison of other nestedness indices see Ulrich & Gotelli (2007).

wine may return NaN for different parameters essentially for two different reasons: a) if 'nreps' is not specified, wine adopts `nreps=1` by default and NaN is returned for z-score and p value. This is due to the fact that with `nreps=1` the variance of `drnd` is zero and z-score becomes infinite. The same outcome may occur in some cases with very low values of `nreps`. To ensure proper values of z-score and p-values `nreps=100` or higher is suggested. b) if `dw = drnd = dmax` wine equals 0/0, and if `drnd = dmax` wine tends to infinity. In both cases, NaN is returned by wine. This is more likely to occur in cases where the dimensions of the matrix are very low (e.g., `(dim < c(4,4))`) because in those cases the number of possible values of `dw`, `drnd` and `dmax` is also reduced.

This is WINE version 3.2, available also in Matlab and C++ at the certificate-blocked site '<http://hypatia.agricolas.upm.es>

### Author(s)

Marcelino de la Cruz <[marcelino.delacruz@upm.es](mailto:marcelino.delacruz@upm.es)>, Juan M. Pastor <[juanmanuel.pastor@upm.es](mailto:juanmanuel.pastor@upm.es)>, Javier Galeano <[javier.galeano@upm.es](mailto:javier.galeano@upm.es)> and Jose M. Iriondo <[jose.iriondo@urjc.es](mailto:jose.iriondo@urjc.es)>

### References

- Barrat, A., Barthelemy, M., Pastor-Satorras, R., and Vespignani, A. (2004) The architecture of complex weighted networks. *PNAS* **101**, 3747–3752
- Corso G, de Araujo AIL, de Almeida AM (2008) A new nestedness estimator in community networks. *arXiv* 0803.0007v1 [physics.bio-ph]
- Galeano J, Pastor JM, Iriondo JM (2008) Weighted-Interaction Nestedness Estimator (WINE): A new estimator to calculate over frequency matrices. *arXiv* 0808.3397v2 [physics.bio-ph]
- Ulrich, W., Almeida-Neto, M., and Gotelli, N.J. (2009) A consumer's guide to nestedness analysis. *Oikos* 118, 3-17
- Ulrich, W. and Gotelli, N.J. (2007) Null model analysis of species nestedness patterns. *Ecology* 88, 1824-1831

### See Also

[nestedness](#) and [discrepancy](#).

**Examples**

```
data(Safariland, package="bipartite")  
safariland.w <- wine(Safariland, 10)  
plot.wine(safariland.w)
```

# Index

- \*Topic **Clustering**
  - computeModules, [22](#)
  - listModuleInformation, [53](#)
  - plotModuleWeb, [90](#)
  - printoutModuleInformation, [100](#)
- \*Topic **Methods and Generic Functions**
  - computeModules, [22](#)
  - listModuleInformation, [53](#)
  - plotModuleWeb, [90](#)
  - printoutModuleInformation, [100](#)
- \*Topic **classes**
  - moduleWeb-class, [56](#)
- \*Topic **datasets**
  - barrett1987, [14](#)
  - bezerra2009, [16](#)
  - elberling1999, [33](#)
  - inouye1988, [48](#)
  - junker2013, [49](#)
  - kato1990, [50](#)
  - kevan1970, [51](#)
  - memmott1999, [54](#)
  - mosquin1967, [57](#)
  - motten1982, [58](#)
  - olesen2002aigrettes, [85](#)
  - olesen2002flores, [85](#)
  - ollerton2003, [86](#)
  - Safariland, [104](#)
  - schemske1978, [105](#)
  - small1976, [111](#)
  - vazarr, [127](#)
  - vazcer, [128](#)
  - vazllao, [128](#)
  - vazmasc, [129](#)
  - vazmasnc, [129](#)
  - vazquec, [131](#)
  - vazquenc, [132](#)
- \*Topic **htest**
  - degreedistr, [25](#)
  - dfun, [27](#)
- \*Topic **modularity**
  - moduleWeb-class, [56](#)
- \*Topic **moduleWeb**
  - moduleWeb-class, [56](#)
- \*Topic **modules**
  - moduleWeb-class, [56](#)
- \*Topic **package**
  - as.one.mode, [11](#)
  - bipartite-package, [3](#)
  - C.score, [17](#)
  - compart, [20](#)
  - czvalues, [23](#)
  - discrepancy, [30](#)
  - empty, [33](#)
  - endpoint, [35](#)
  - extinction, [36](#)
  - fc, [37](#)
  - frame2webs, [38](#)
  - genweb, [40](#)
  - grouplevel, [41](#)
  - H2fun, [47](#)
  - linklevel, [52](#)
  - ND, [59](#)
  - nested, [61](#)
  - nestedcontribution, [63](#)
  - nestedness, [65](#)
  - nestedrank, [67](#)
  - networklevel, [68](#)
  - nodespec, [77](#)
  - npartite, [79](#)
  - null.distr, [80](#)
  - null.t.test, [81](#)
  - nullmodel, [83](#)
  - PAC, [87](#)
  - PDI, [88](#)
  - plotPAC, [91](#)
  - plotweb, [93](#)
  - plotweb2, [97](#)



- r2dexternal, 101
- robustness, 103
- second.extinct, 106
- shuffle.web, 108
- slope.bipartite, 109
- sortweb, 111
- specieslevel, 113
- strength, 119
- swap.web, 121
- togetherness, 125
- V.ratio, 126
- vaznull, 130
- vazquez.example, 132
- versionlog, 134
- visweb, 143
- web2edges, 146
- webs2array, 147
- wine, 148
- .networklevel (networklevel), 68
- addEmptyColToMatrix (plotModuleWeb), 90
- addEmptyRowToMatrix (plotModuleWeb), 90
- as.one.mode, 4, 11, 59, 79, 137, 138, 143, 147
- as.tnet, 8, 12
- barrett1987, 14
- BC, 117, 135, 137, 138
- BC (ND), 59
- betweenness\_w, 8, 14
- bezerra2009, 9, 16
- bipartite (bipartite-package), 3
- bipartite-package, 3
- C.score, 5, 17, 47, 61–63, 77, 126, 127, 140
- CC, 117, 135, 137, 138
- CC (ND), 59
- closeness\_w, 8, 18
- clustering\_tm, 8, 19
- cM (computeModules), 22
- compart, 20, 134, 141
- computeModules, 4, 6, 7, 22, 23, 134, 135
- confint (vazquez.example), 132
- czvalues, 5, 23, 134
- degreedistr, 7, 8, 25, 45, 47, 74, 77, 136, 138, 140
- deleteModuleData (computeModules), 22
- dfun, 4, 9, 27, 47, 48, 73, 78, 89, 114, 117, 119, 135, 136, 139, 140
- discrepancy, 30, 44, 63, 74, 122, 139, 142, 150
- dist, 37, 43, 71
- distance\_w, 8, 31
- drawModules (plotModuleWeb), 90
- elberling1999, 33
- empty, 33, 40, 107, 140
- endpoint, 9, 35, 52
- extinction, 34, 36, 107, 134
- fc, 7, 37, 43, 71
- fd, 134
- fd (fc), 37
- frame2webs, 4, 9, 38, 148
- genweb, 4, 40
- getModuleCoordinates (plotModuleWeb), 90
- grouplevel, 6–9, 41, 69, 71, 74
- H2fun, 4, 8, 28, 29, 47, 71, 73, 77, 137, 140, 142
- hclust, 37
- image.plot, 148
- inouye1988, 48, 141
- intasymm (vazquez.example), 132
- intereven (vazquez.example), 132
- isCorrectModuleWebObject (plotModuleWeb), 90
- junker2013, 7, 49
- kato1990, 50
- kevan1970, 51
- linklevel, 8, 9, 52
- listModuleInformation, 53
- memmott1999, 54
- mgen, 5, 54, 84, 102
- mlik (vazquez.example), 132
- moduleWeb-class, 56
- mosquin1967, 57
- motten1982, 58
- ND, 59, 116, 138
- nested, 5, 9, 61, 68, 136, 138, 139
- nestedcontribution, 5, 63
- nestedness, 9, 31, 62, 63, 65, 72, 142, 150

- nestedrank, [7](#), [67](#), [68](#), [114](#)
- netstats (vazquez.example), [132](#)
- networklevel, [4–9](#), [21](#), [27](#), [38](#), [42](#), [47](#), [52](#), [68](#),  
[82](#), [107](#), [117](#), [119](#), [132–143](#)
- nodespec, [12](#), [77](#), [117](#), [119](#), [141](#)
- npartite, [9](#), [79](#)
- null.distr, [4](#), [80](#), [137](#)
- null.t.test, [9](#), [81](#)
- nullmodel, [4](#), [80](#), [81](#), [83](#), [102](#), [137](#), [138](#), [140](#)
- olesen2002aigrettes, [85](#)
- olesen2002flores, [60](#), [85](#)
- ollerton2003, [86](#), [141](#)
- one.grouplevel (grouplevel), [41](#)
- PAC, [4](#), [87](#), [92](#), [136](#), [138](#), [139](#)
- PDI, [7](#), [9](#), [88](#), [114](#), [116](#), [135](#)
- plot.wine, [140](#)
- plot.wine (wine), [148](#)
- plotmat (vazquez.example), [132](#)
- plotModuleWeb, [90](#)
- plotPAC, [91](#), [136](#)
- plotweb, [4](#), [7](#), [93](#), [99](#), [112](#), [114](#), [134](#), [135](#), [139](#),  
[141](#), [143](#), [145](#)
- plotweb2, [97](#), [143](#)
- prepareWebForPlottingModules  
(plotModuleWeb), [90](#)
- printoutModuleInformation, [100](#)
- projecting\_tm, [100](#)
- quant2bin (vazquez.example), [132](#)
- r2dexternal, [7](#), [101](#)
- r2dtable, [81](#), [109](#), [123](#), [131](#)
- readModuleData (computeModules), [22](#)
- robustness, [45](#), [74](#), [103](#), [135](#), [140](#), [141](#)
- Safariland, [104](#)
- schemske1978, [105](#)
- second.extinct, [4](#), [6](#), [9](#), [34](#), [36](#), [37](#), [45](#), [47](#),  
[70](#), [77](#), [103](#), [104](#), [106](#), [109](#), [110](#), [134](#),  
[135](#), [138](#)
- shuffle.web, [84](#), [108](#), [123](#), [132](#), [135](#), [136](#), [142](#)
- slope.bipartite, [4](#), [8](#), [45](#), [103](#), [104](#), [107](#),  
[109](#), [140](#)
- small1976, [111](#)
- sortmatr (vazquez.example), [132](#)
- sortmatrext (vazquez.example), [132](#)
- sortweb, [4](#), [111](#), [133](#), [141](#)
- specieslevel, [4–9](#), [29](#), [52](#), [60](#), [68](#), [73](#), [78](#), [89](#),  
[90](#), [113](#), [120](#), [132](#), [135](#), [136](#), [138](#), [139](#)
- strength, [119](#)
- swap.web, [6](#), [84](#), [121](#), [130–132](#), [136](#), [138](#)
- symmetrise\_w, [8](#), [123](#)
- t.test, [82](#)
- tnet\_igraph, [8](#), [124](#)
- togetherness, [125](#)
- V.ratio, [47](#), [77](#), [126](#), [139](#)
- vazarr, [127](#)
- vazcer, [128](#)
- vazllao, [128](#)
- vazmasc, [129](#)
- vazmasnc, [129](#)
- vaznull, [5](#), [6](#), [84](#), [102](#), [122](#), [123](#), [130](#), [136–138](#)
- vazquec, [131](#)
- vazquenc, [132](#)
- vazquez.example, [132](#)
- versionlog, [5](#), [134](#)
- visweb, [4](#), [9](#), [72](#), [96](#), [99](#), [112](#), [133](#), [135](#), [140](#),  
[141](#), [143](#)
- web2edges, [4](#), [12](#), [136](#), [137](#), [146](#)
- webs2array, [9](#), [147](#)
- wine, [31](#), [62](#), [63](#), [67](#), [72](#), [140](#), [141](#), [148](#)