,		٠	
t	-)	

5

Document title	FIPA Failure Communicative Act Specification			
Document number	DC00045B	Document source	FIPA TC C	
Document status	Deprecated	Date of this status	2001/08/10	
Supersedes	edes None			
Contact	fab@fipa.org			
Change history				
2000/10/16	Deprecated by FIPA00037			
2001/08/10	Line numbering added			

FOUNDATION FOR INTELLIGENT PHYSICAL AGENTS

7

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

Geneva, Switzerland

Notice

© 2000 Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents - http://www.fipa.org/

Use of the technologies described in this specification may infringe patents, copyrights or other intellectual property rights of FIPA Members and non-members. Nothing in this specification should be construed as granting permission to use any of the technologies described. Anyone planning to make use of technology covered by the intellectual property rights of others should first obtain permission from the holder(s) of the rights. FIPA strongly encourages anyone implementing any part of this specification to determine first whether part(s) sought to be implemented are covered by the intellectual property of others, and, if so, to obtain appropriate licenses or other permission from the holder(s) of such intellectual property prior to implementation. This specification is subject to change without notice. Neither FIPA nor any of its Members accept any responsibility whatsoever for damages or liability, direct or consequential, which may result from the use of this specification.

Foreword

19

- 20 The Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents (FIPA) is an international organization that is dedicated to promoting the
- 21 industry of intelligent agents by openly developing specifications supporting interoperability among agents and agent-
- 22 based applications. This occurs through open collaboration among its member organizations, which are companies and
- 23 universities that are active in the field of agents. FIPA makes the results of its activities available to all interested parties
- and intends to contribute its results to the appropriate formal standards bodies.
- 25 The members of FIPA are individually and collectively committed to open competition in the development of agent-
- 26 based applications, services and equipment. Membership in FIPA is open to any corporation and individual firm,
- 27 partnership, governmental body or international organization without restriction. In particular, members are not bound to
- 28 implement or use specific agent-based standards, recommendations and FIPA specifications by virtue of their
- 29 participation in FIPA.
- 30 The FIPA specifications are developed through direct involvement of the FIPA membership. The status of a
- 31 specification can be either Preliminary, Experimental, Standard, Deprecated or Obsolete. More detail about the process
- 32 of specification may be found in the FIPA Procedures for Technical Work. A complete overview of the FIPA
- 33 specifications and their current status may be found in the FIPA List of Specifications. A list of terms and abbreviations
- 34 used in the FIPA specifications may be found in the FIPA Glossary.
- 35 FIPA is a non-profit association registered in Geneva, Switzerland. As of January 2000, the 56 members of FIPA
- 36 represented 17 countries worldwide. Further information about FIPA as an organization, membership information, FIPA
- 37 specifications and upcoming meetings may be found at http://www.fipa.org/.

Contents

38

39	1	Scope	1
40	2	Failure	2
41			
42			

1 Scope

This document specifies the Failure communicative act that is compliant to [FIPA00037] requirements.

2 Failure

Summary	The action of telling another agent that an action was attempted but the attempt failed.				
Content	A tuple, consisting of an action expression and a proposition giving the reason for the failure.				
Description	The failure act is an abbreviation for informing that an act was considered feasible by the s but was not completed for some given reason.				
	The agent receiving a failure act is entitled to believe that:				
	the action has not been done, and,				
	the action is (or, at the time the agent attempted to perform the action, was) feasible				
	The (causal) reason for the refusal is represented by the proposition, which is the third term of t tuple. It may be the constant <i>true</i> . Often it is the case that there is little either agent can do further the attempt to perform the action.				
Formal Model	odel <i,)="" a,="" failure(j,=""></i,>				
	$, inform(j, (e) Single(e) Done(e, Feasible(a) I_i Done(a))$				
	$Done(a)$ $I_i Done(a))>$				
	FP: B_i B_i (Bif, Uif,)				
	RE: B _i				
	Where:				
	= (e) Single(e) Done(e, Feasible(a) I _i Done(a))				
	Done(a) I_i Done(a)				
	Agent <i>i</i> informs agent <i>j</i> that, in the past, <i>i</i> had the intention to do action <i>a</i> and <i>a</i> was feat performed the action of attempting to do <i>a</i> , that is, the action/event <i>e</i> is the attempt to do <i>a</i> , that a has not been done and <i>i</i> no longer has the intention to do <i>a</i> , and is true.				
	The informal implication is that is the reason that the action failed, though this causality is not expressed formally in the semantic model.				
Example	Agent j informs i that it has failed to open a file.				
	<pre>(failure :sender j :receiver i :content ((action j "open(\"foo.txt\")") (error-message "No such file: foo.txt")) :language FIPA-SL)</pre>				

References 3 48

FIPA Communicative Act Library Specification. Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents, 2000. $\verb|http://www.fipa.org/specs/fipa00037/|$ [FIPA00037] 49 50