# Optimal Transport Notes

# Ivan Zhytkevych

# October 27, 2023

# Contents

1	Probability Measures	2
	1.1 Discrete measure	2
	1.2 Simplex	2
2	Monge Problem	2
3	Kantorovich Relaxation	3
4	Wasserstein distance	3

## 1 Probability Measures

Probability vectors gives a probability point mass in a vector form. For each of the outcomes of the random variable corresponds one row/column in the vector.

$$x_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.25 & 0.5 & 0.1 & 0.15 \end{pmatrix}$$

(TODO: what is a measure)

#### 1.1 Discrete measure

**Definition 1.1** (Discrete measure). A discrete measure with weights  $\alpha$  and locations  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$  reads

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \delta_{x_i}$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac delta function.

### 1.2 Simplex

Let  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  be a probability vector

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1$$

So simplex should be a set of probability vectors

$$\Sigma_n := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{R}^n_+ : \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1 \right\}$$

# 2 Monge Problem

Discrete measures:

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{n} = \mathbf{a}_{i} \delta_{x_{i}}$$
 and  $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbf{b}_{j} \delta_{y_{j}}$ 

Seek for a map that associates to each point  $x_i$  a single point  $y_i$  and which must push the mass of  $\alpha$  toward the mass of  $\beta$ :

$$T: \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \to \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$$

$$\forall j \in [[m]], \ \mathbf{b}_j = \sum_{i:T(x_i)=y_j} a_i$$

compactly

$$T_{\#}\alpha = \beta$$

This map should minimize the transportation cost which is the sum of each single point transportation:

$$\min_{T} \left\{ \sum_{i} c(x_i, T(x_i)) : T_{\#}\alpha = \beta \right\}$$

### 3 Kantorovich Relaxation

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := \left\{ \mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n \times m} : \mathbf{P} \mathbb{1}_{m} = \mathbf{a} \text{ and } \mathbf{P}^{T} \mathbb{1}_{n} = \mathbf{b} \right\}$$

$$\mathbb{1}_{n} = \left( a_{i,j} = 1 : i = n \right)$$

Kantorovich optimal transport reads:

$$L_{\mathbf{C}}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := \min_{\mathbf{P} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})} \langle \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{P} \rangle := \sum_{i,j} \mathbf{C}_{i,j} \mathbf{P}_{i,j}$$

### 4 Wasserstein distance

**Proposition 4.0.1.** Suppose that n = m and that for some  $p \ge 1$ 

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{D}^p = (\mathbf{D}_{i,j}^p)_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

where  $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n \times n}$  is a distance on [[n]], i.e.

- 1.  $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n \times n}$  is symmetric
- 2.  $D_{i,j} = 0 \Leftrightarrow i = j$
- 3.  $\forall (i, j, k) \in [[n]]^3, \ \mathbf{D}_{i,k} \leq \mathbf{D}_{i,j} + D_{j,k}$

Then

$$W_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) := L_{\mathbf{D}^p}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})^{1/p}$$

defines the *p-Wasserstein distance* on  $\Sigma_n$ , i.e.  $W_p$  is symmetric, positive,  $W_p(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = 0$  if and only of  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ , and it satisfies the triangle inequality.