

# Piloting Diversity and Inclusion Workshops in Artificial Intelligence and Robotics for Children

1<sup>st</sup> AIR4Children

*dept. name of organization (of Aff.)*

*air4children: Artificial Intelligence and Robotics*

Xicohtzinco, México

air4children@gmail.com

**Abstract**—This document is a model and instructions for L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X. This and the IEEEtran.cls file define the components of your paper [title, text, heads, etc.]. \*CRITICAL: Do Not Use Symbols, Special Characters, Footnotes, or Math in Paper Title or Abstract. Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

**Index Terms**—component, formatting, style, styling, insert

## I. INTRODUCTION

Guarantee security, accessibility and human dignity can be considered the pillar for inclusivity. However, the disparity of advances in education and technology is not creating environments to construct a fairer society. Recently, Astobiza et al. reported the need of collaborations between industry and a multidisciplinary group of researchers to address concerns on the paradigm of inclusivity in robotics [1]. Similarly, Astobiza et al. suggested that inclusive robotics should be based on: "1) they should be easy to use and 2) they must contribute to making accessibility easier in distinct environments" [1]. Peixoto et al. in 2018 reported the use of robots as tool to promote diversity which lead to improve competences in communication, teamwork, leadership, problem solving, resilience and entrepreneurship [2], [3]. Pannier et al. pointed out the challenges of increasing the participation of women and underrepresented minorities in the areas of Mechatronics and Robotics Engineering as well as the creation of community of educators to promote diversity and inclusion [4]. Pannier et al. mentioned that the prevalence of free and open-source software and hardware made mechatronics more accessible to a diverse group of population [4]. Also, Pannier et al touched on the evidence and importance of offering workshops to different range of underrepresented students that lead to inspires other programs to create outreach activities for students, trainings, workshops,

This short paper presents our findings on the first pilot workshop to promote diversity and inclusion in Artificial Intelligence and Robotics.

## II. DIVERSITY AND INCLUSIVITY OF AIR4CHILDREN WITH NON-TRADITIONAL EDUCATION

### A. Alternative education programs

Alternative education programs such as Montessori, Waldorf and Regio Emilia considers children as active authors of their own development [5]. Such programs that in a way follow same philosophies have been adopted internationally. However the contributes changes of technologies have been started to evolve. For instance, Edwards pointed out the schools deriving from the same philosophy might also need to observe teacher-child interactions, its environments and interview to the past and present parents and children [5] Recently, Aljabreen pointed out the adoptions of new technologies and how early child education is re-conceptualised [6]. Rogoff, B. (2003). The cultural nature of human development. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

### B. Montessori education

Elkin et al. in 2014 explored the how robots can be used in the Montessori curriculum [7]. Authors conclude that the confidence and experience in robotics is crucial to deliver and communicate the right experience to encourage students [7]. Similarly Elkin et al. posed the question on the revision of new curriculums of technology that do not deviate from the purpose of the Montessori classroom [7]. Drigas and Gkeka in 2016 reviewed the application of information and communication technologies in the Montessori Method [8]. Drigas and Gkeka mentioned the Manipulatives, as objects to develop motor skills or understand mathematical abstractions, are based on cultural areas, language, mathematics and sensorial but little to none on technological areas. Drigas and Gkeka reviewed Montessori materials of the 21st century where interactive systems with sounds and lights, touch application to enhance visual literacy or the development of computational thinking and constructions of the physical world [8] These indicate that the incorporation of such manipulatives with the use of robotics might lead to reach scenarios to explore motor skill development, visualisation and computational thinking.

Recently, Scippo and Ardolino reported a longitudinal study of the use of computational thinking in five years participants of primary school in a Montessori school [9] Scippo

and Ardolino pointed out the importance of alignment of the Montessori material with the computational thinking activities.

### C. Other alternative education styles

Synthesis is new education program, started in 2014 with Josh Dahn and support of Elon Musk, which aim is to cultivate student voice, strategic thinking and collaborative problem solving [10].

## III. DESIGNING DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION WORKSHOPS

Considering the challenges of low-to-middle-income countries faces, technologies such as robotics and artificial intelligence might not be available to towns. In that sense, we focus this work on a pilot experiment to promote diversity of and inclusion to children to teach AI and Robotics.

a) *Positioning Figures and Tables:* Place figures and tables at the top and bottom of columns. Avoid placing them in the middle of columns. Large figures and tables may span across both columns. Figure captions should be below the figures; table heads should appear above the tables. Insert figures and tables after they are cited in the text. Use the abbreviation “Fig. 2”, even at the beginning of a sentence.

TABLE I  
TABLE TYPE STYLES

Table Head	Table Column Head		
	Table column subhead	Subhead	Subhead
copy	More table copy <sup>a</sup>		

<sup>a</sup>Sample of a Table footnote.

Fig. 1. Example of a figure caption.

## IV. PILOTING DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION WORKSHOPS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Fig. 2. Example of a figure caption.

## V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetur id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

To Rocio Montenegro for her contributions with the design of the Montessori curriculum for the workshops. To Marta Pérez, for their support in organising the pilot of the workshops. To Diego Donato Badillo-Peréz and Antonio Badillo-Peré for volunteering as instructors of the workshops. To Leticia Vázquez for her support with the logistics and feedback to improve the workshops. To Elias Mendes for his support and feedback on the hardware design of the robot. To Dago Cruz for his contributions on open source AI and Robotics. To Angel Mandujano, Elva Corona and others who have contributed with feedback and support to keep reitering the project of AIR4children.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Monasterio Astobiza, M. Toboso, M. Aparicio, T. Ausín, D. López, R. Morte, and J. L. Pons, “Bringing inclusivity to robotics with inbots,” *Nature Machine Intelligence*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 164–164, Apr 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0040-5>
- [2] A. Peixoto, M. Castro, M. Blazquez, S. Martin, E. Sancristobal, G. Carro, and P. Plaza, “Robotics tips and tricks for inclusion and integration of students,” in *2018 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, 2018, pp. 2037–2041.
- [3] A. Peixoto, C. S. G. González, R. Strachan, P. Plaza, M. de los Angeles Martinez, M. Blazquez, and M. Castro, “Diversity and inclusion in engineering education: Looking through the gender question,” in *2018 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, 2018, pp. 2071–2075.
- [4] C. Pannier, C. Berry, M. Morris, and X. Zhao, “Diversity and inclusion in mechatronics and robotics engineering education,” *ASEE annual conference exposition proceedings*, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://par.nsf.gov/biblio/10184534>
- [5] C. Edwards, “Three approaches from europe: Waldorf, montessori, and Reggio Emilia,” *Early Childhood Research and Practice*, vol. 4, 03 2002.

- [6] H. Aljabreen, "Montessori, waldorf, and Reggio Emilia: A comparative analysis of alternative models of early childhood education," *International Journal of Early Childhood*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 337–353, Dec. 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13158-020-00277-1>
- [7] M. Elkin, A. Sullivan, and M. Bers, "Implementing a robotics curriculum in an early childhood Montessori classroom," *Journal of Information Technology Education: Innovations in Practice*, vol. 13, pp. 153–169, 01 2014.
- [8] A. Drigas and E. Gkeka, "Montessori method and ICTs," *International Journal of Recent Contributions from Engineering, Science, IT (iJES)*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. pp. 25–30, Mar. 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://online-journals.org/index.php/i-jes/article/view/5481>
- [9] S. Scippo and F. Ardolino, "Computational thinking in Montessori primary school," *Ricerche di Pedagogia e Didattica. Journal of Theories and Research in Education*, vol. 16, no. 2, p. 59–76, Jan. 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://rpd.unibo.it/article/view/12163>
- [10] "Synthesis: where kids learn how to think." <https://www.synthesis.is/>, accessed: 16 Jan 2022.