


PROCESS PEER REVIEW

During this project you have worked together as a group. During this collaboration you may have noticed certain good or bad behaviour from yourself, your group members, or your group as a whole. This process peer review exists out of two parts: 1) Group dynamics with Lewis self-test and reflection, 2) constructive feedback about behaviour during group work.

Be sure to submit the process peer review on time as it will be discussed during the project meeting with you tutor.

1. GROUP DYNAMICS REALTED CULTURAL DIFFERENCES

Include below the *Lewis triangular diagram*, containing plot of all group members, you made during WKS:



Specify below where do you see potential synergies and frictions between group members related to the expectation of PRJ?

Essentially its almost the same figures and I think we have similar type based on my scoring

2. CONSTRUCTIVE FEEDBACK

Below you find a table that is intended for you to convey what your impression is related to the work contribution of each member towards the project. Please indicate in percentages how you perceived the work division was per item.

Item worked on	Airell	Borek	Ismet	Tim
Process (making agenda's, minutes, being chairperson, etc.)	30%	30%	20%	10%
Project plan	25%	25%	25%	25%

URS	30%	30%	20%	20%
Testplan & Testreport	30%	30%	20%	20%
UML Class Diagram	40%	20%	20%	20%
C# application	10%	30%	30%	30%
Web application	70%	10%	10%	10%

Lastly, below you can find a table that is intended for you to include constructive feedback for your team members. Be sure to at least include one constructive feedback per member and this be about thing to keep and/or to improve at.

	Situation/behaviour	Effect/impact	Need/Way forward
Borek	Borek works fast and he can reach the deadline that we as a group had decided on time or even earlier	Big	Keep it up
Ismet	Ismet have a good and advanced coding that sometimes it can be a lesson for me and he did a great job in this project	Big	Keep it up
Tim	Tim is the most experienced member in our group, I learn a lot from his code and his code can be an inspiration to make my code better	Big	Keep it up

Below you are expected to assign a grade between 0 and 10 per group member (including yourself) on how well the person contributed to the project, and how proactive this person was.

	Airell	Borek	Ismet	Tim
Contribution to project	10	10	10	10
Proactive attitude	10	10	10	10