

Efficient estimation of multivariate stochastic volatility models via Wishart processes

1 Efficient Importance Sampling Procedure

Multivariate stochastic volatility model via Wishart processes:

$$y_t = \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim N_k(0_k, \Omega^{-1}), \quad (1)$$

$$\Omega_t | v, \Omega_{t-1} \sim W_k(v, S_{t-1}), \quad (2)$$

$$S_t = \frac{1}{v} (A^{1/2}) (\Omega_t)^d (A^{1/2})'. \quad (3)$$

Likelihood function associated with observables \underline{y} :

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta | \underline{y}) &= \int \int f(\underline{y}, \underline{\Omega}; \theta) d\underline{\Omega} \\ &= \int \dots \int \prod_{t=1}^T f(y_t, \Omega_t | \underline{\Omega}_{t-1}, \underline{y}_{t-1}; \theta) d\underline{\Omega}_1 \dots d\underline{\Omega}_T, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Conditional densities in state space representation:

$$f(y_t, \Omega_t | \underline{\Omega}_{t-1}, \underline{y}_{t-1}; \theta) = g(y_t | \Omega_t, \underline{y}_{t-1}; \theta) \cdot p(\Omega_t | \underline{\Omega}_{t-1}, \underline{y}_{t-1}; \theta), \quad (5)$$

Likelihood function separated by measurement and transition densities:

$$L(\theta | \underline{y}) = \int \prod_{t=1}^T g(y_t | \Omega_t; \theta) \cdot p(\Omega_t | \underline{\Omega}_{t-1}; \theta) d\underline{\Omega}, \quad (6)$$

Introduction of importance samplers to the likelihood:

$$L(\theta | \underline{y}) = \int \prod_{t=1}^T \underbrace{\left[\frac{f(y_t, \Omega_t | \underline{\Omega}_{t-1}, \underline{y}_{t-1}; \theta)}{m(\Omega_t | \underline{\Omega}_{t-1}; \gamma_t)} \right]}_{\varphi(y_t, \Omega_t; \theta, \gamma)} \prod_{t=1}^T m(\Omega_t | \underline{\Omega}_{t-1}; \gamma_t) d\underline{\Omega} \quad (7)$$

IS-MC estimate of $L(\theta | \underline{y})$:

$$\tilde{L}_S(\theta | \underline{y}) = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i=1}^S \left\{ \prod_{t=1}^T \left[\frac{f(y_t, \tilde{\Omega}_t^i | \tilde{\underline{\Omega}}_{t-1}^i, \underline{y}_{t-1}; \theta)}{m(\tilde{\Omega}_t^i | \tilde{\underline{\Omega}}_{t-1}^i; \gamma_t)} \right] \right\}, \quad (8)$$

Decomposition of $m(\cdot)$ into a kernel $k(\cdot)$ and its integrating constant $\chi(\cdot)$:

$$m(\Omega_t | \Omega_{t-1}; \gamma_t) = \frac{k(\Omega_t; \gamma_t)}{\chi(\Omega_{t-1}; \gamma_t)}, \quad \chi(\Omega_{t-1}; \gamma_t) = \int k_t(\Omega_t; \gamma_t) d\Omega_t. \quad (9)$$

EIS minimization problem:

$$\hat{\gamma}_t(\theta) = \min_{\gamma_t} \sum_{i=1}^S \left\{ \ln \left[f(y_t, \tilde{\Omega}_t^i | \Omega_{t-1}, \underline{y}_{t-1}; \theta) \cdot \chi(\tilde{\Omega}_t^i; \gamma_{t+1}) \right] - c_t - \ln k(\tilde{\Omega}_t^i; \gamma_t) \right\}, \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_t(\theta) = \min_{\gamma_t} \sum_{i=1}^S \left\{ \ln \chi(\tilde{\Omega}_t^i; \gamma_{t+1}) - c_t - \ln \zeta(\tilde{\Omega}_t^i; \gamma_t) \right\}, \quad (11)$$

Density kernels of $g(\cdot)$, $p(\cdot)$ and $k(\cdot)$:

$$g(y_t | \Omega_t; \theta) \propto |\Omega_t|^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} y_t' \Omega_t y_t \right\}, \quad (12)$$

$$p(\Omega_t | \Omega_{t-1}; \theta) \propto |\Omega_t|^{\frac{v-k-1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [S_{t-1}^{-1} \cdot \Omega_t] \right\}, \quad (13)$$

$$k(\Omega_t; \gamma) = g(y_t | \Omega_t; \theta) \cdot p(\Omega_t | \Omega_{t-1}; \theta) \cdot \zeta(\Omega_t; \gamma_t), \quad (14)$$

$$\zeta(\Omega_t; \gamma_t) \propto |\Omega_t|^{\frac{\gamma_{1,t}}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [\Gamma_t \cdot \Omega_t] \right\}, \quad (15)$$

$$k(\Omega_t; \gamma) \propto |\Omega_t|^{\frac{v+1+\gamma_{1,t}-k-1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} [(y_t \cdot y_t' + S_{t-1}^{-1} + \Gamma_t) \cdot \Omega_t] \right\}, \quad (16)$$

Degrees of freedom and scale matrix of $m(\cdot)$:

$$v^* = v + 1 + \gamma_{1,t}, \quad \text{and} \quad S_{t-1}^* = [y_t \cdot y_t' + S_{t-1}^{-1} + \Gamma_t]^{-1}, \quad (17)$$

Analytical expression for the integrating constant of the period t EIS sampler:

$$\chi(\Omega_{t-1}; \gamma_t) \propto \frac{|S_{t-1}^*|^{\frac{v^*}{2}}}{|S_{t-1}|^{\frac{v}{2}}}, \quad (18)$$

2 Code Steps

2.1 Code *lik_KChi_initial*

In order to analyze statistical gains from using the EIS optimization method, an initial sampler can be constructed based on the kernel (14) by setting $\gamma_t = 0 \forall t$, which yields the following initial degrees of freedom and scale matrix of $m(\cdot)$:

$$v^{*INI} = v + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad S_{t-1}^{*INI} = [y_t \cdot y_t' + S_{t-1}^{-1}]^{-1}.$$

Note that S_{t-1}^{*INI} incorporates contemporaneous information on the observables y_t (through $y_t \cdot y_t'$), but it can not explore information from EIS optimizations, which are not transferred to the importance sampler $m(\cdot)$. More specifically, the initial sampler does not incorporate information on Ω_t contained in y_{t+1} , because EIS parameters γ_t can not transfer information related to the EIS smoother through matrix Γ_t since $\gamma_t = 0 \forall t$.

Thus, the initial sampler is used to draw N trajectories of the latent precision matrices $\{\{\tilde{\Omega}_t^i\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$ which do not incorporate information on the dynamics of Ω_t contained in $f(y_{t+1}, \Omega_{t+1} | \underline{\Omega}_t, \underline{y}_t; \theta)$. For this reason, the initial sampler is used with comparison purposes.

Code *lik_KChi_initial* approximates the log-likelihood function described in Eq. (8) using the precision matrices $\{\{\tilde{\Omega}_t^i\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$ sampled with the initial sampler:

- a. The initial sampler is initialized using initial values for the precision matrix Ω_0 at time $t = 1$, the lower triangular matrix $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ containing the intertemporal sensitivity parameters of Ω_t , the persistence parameter d and the degrees of freedom v from the k -dimensional Wishart transition density. For example, the initial sampler can use values for $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$, d and v which follow the parameterization stated in Table 4 from Philipov and Glickman (2006);
- b. N draws of S_t , the scale matrix of the Wishart transition density, are computed $\forall t = 1, \dots, T$, using the initial parameterization defined above. Note that for $t = 1$, the N computed scale matrices are equal once the initial Ω_0 is the same for the entire MC sample. Next, N draws of S_{t-1}^{*INI} , the scale matrix of the EIS sampler, can be computed via Eq. (19);
- c. Once a sample of size N of scale matrices S_t , $\{\tilde{S}_t^i\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$, are computed, the computation of S_{t-1}^{*INI} is straightforward and one can sample N trajectories of $\{\{\tilde{\Omega}_t^i\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$ from the EIS sampler $\Omega_t | v^{*INI}, \Omega_{t-1} \sim W_k(v^{*INI}, S_{t-1}^{*INI})$;
- d. Ultimately, code *lik_KChi_initial* computes the IS-MC estimate of the log-likelihood of IS ratio $\varphi(y_t, \Omega_t; \theta, \gamma)$ for the entire sample period and through the MC sample, using:
 - (i) $\{\{\tilde{\Omega}_t^i\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$ for the measurement density;
 - (ii) $\{\{\tilde{\Omega}_t^i\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$, $\{\tilde{S}_t^i\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$ and v for the state transition density;
 - (iii) $\{\{\tilde{\Omega}_t^i\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$, $\{\tilde{S}_t^{*INI}\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$ and v^{*INI} for the importance sampler density.

2.2 Code *lik_KChi_R*

Code *lik_KChi_R* performs the EIS optimization by solving the backward sequence of ordinary least-square problems in (10):

2.2.1 Analyzing the first double loop for when $t = 1$:

- a. First, N equal draws of S_{t_1} are computed for $t = 1$ using the same initial parameterization defined for *lik_KChi_initial*¹. For the first iteration, the code also defines zero values to EIS parameters $\forall t$. That is, the first iteration consider samples of $\{\{\tilde{\Omega}_t^i\}_{t=1}^T\}_{i=1}^N$ which still do not incorporate information on Ω_t contained in y_{t+1} . Thus, effects of EIS optimizations spread with the number of iterations. Thereupon, N draws of S_{t-1}^* , the scale matrix of the complete EIS sampler, are computed for period $t = 1$ via Eq. (17);
- b. The knowledge of $\{\tilde{S}_{t_0}^{*i}\}_{i=1}^N$ and v^* allows one to sample N trajectories of $\{\tilde{\Omega}_{t_1}^i\}_{i=1}^N$ from the EIS sampler $\Omega_{t_1} | v^*, \Omega_{t_0} \sim W_k(v^*, S_{t_0}^*)$.

Obs: (i) parameter v^* is equal to $v + 1$ for the first EIS iteration since $\gamma_{1,t} = 0 \forall t$; and (ii) the subscript t_0 in $S_{t_0}^*$ is used to show that S_t^* at time $t = 1$ depends on the precision matrix Ω_{t_0} , which is the variable $V0 = VINI$ set in the code;

¹It is noteworthy to mention that depending on the optimization function, one has to define “natural” interval limits for the persistence parameter d and the degrees of freedom v (d can be equal to $\frac{d^2}{1+d^2}$, and v are added to the number of observed variables K) in order to avoid optimization searching between -Inf and +Inf.

- c. Set EIS regressors using the N simulated precision matrices $\{\tilde{\Omega}_{t_1}^i\}_{i=1}^N$ and the natural logarithm of its determinant: $XX(i, :, t)$ for $t = 1$:

$$XX_{N \times Nb+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\Omega}_{11}^1 & \tilde{\Omega}_{21}^1 & \tilde{\Omega}_{31}^1 & \tilde{\Omega}_{22}^1 & \tilde{\Omega}_{32}^1 & \tilde{\Omega}_{33}^1 & \ln |\tilde{\Omega}^1| \\ \tilde{\Omega}_{11}^2 & \tilde{\Omega}_{21}^2 & \tilde{\Omega}_{31}^2 & \tilde{\Omega}_{22}^2 & \tilde{\Omega}_{32}^2 & \tilde{\Omega}_{33}^2 & \ln |\tilde{\Omega}^2| \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \tilde{\Omega}_{11}^N & \tilde{\Omega}_{21}^N & \tilde{\Omega}_{31}^N & \tilde{\Omega}_{22}^N & \tilde{\Omega}_{32}^N & \tilde{\Omega}_{33}^N & \ln |\tilde{\Omega}^N| \end{bmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where Nb is the number of estimated parameters from the Γ_t matrix filled with $(K^2 + K)/2$ auxiliary parameters. Obs: $\ln |\tilde{\Omega}^i|$ and the main diagonal parameters of the simulated precision matrices $\tilde{\Omega}_{11}^i$, $\tilde{\Omega}_{22}^i$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{33}^i$ are also compensated by 0.5.

For $t = 2, \dots, T$:

- d. Repeat procedure (a.) using the N precision matrices simulated at period $t-1$, $\{\tilde{\Omega}_{t-1}^i\}_{i=1}^N$, to compute the N draws of S_t from subsequent period;
- e. The natural logarithm of the integrating constant $\chi(\Omega_{t_1}; \gamma_{t_2})$ defined in Eq. (18) is computed as from $t = 2$. The integrating constant $\chi(\Omega_{t_0}; \gamma_{t_1})$ is not computed for $t = 1$ because only $\chi(\Omega_{t_1}; \gamma_{t_2})$ is required to smooth estimates of $\chi(\Omega_{t_0}; \gamma_{t_1})$. Remembering that $\gamma_{1,t} = 0$ holds $\forall t$ to compute $\chi(\Omega_{t-1}; \gamma_t)$. As the integrating constant $\chi(\Omega_{t-1}; \gamma_t)$ is used as regressand for EIS regressions, matrix $Y(i, t-1)_{N \times T-1}$ stores its values for $t = 2, \dots, T$ following the structure below for $\forall t$:

$$Y_{t, N \times 1} = \begin{bmatrix} \ln(\chi(\tilde{\Omega}_{t-1}^1; \gamma_t^1)) \\ \ln(\chi(\tilde{\Omega}_{t-1}^2; \gamma_t^2)) \\ \vdots \\ \ln(\chi(\tilde{\Omega}_{t-1}^N; \gamma_t^N)) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

2.2.2 Analyzing the second loop for:

- Using matrices $X = [1_{N \times 1} \quad XX]$ and Y defined above for $\forall t = 1, \dots, T$ and $\forall t = 2, \dots, T$, respectively, this loop performs the backward EIS regressions described in (10) to estimate EIS parameters collected by the $Nb + 1 \times 1$ vector $beta_t$,

$$beta_t = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\gamma}_{2,t} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{3,t} \\ \vdots \\ \hat{\gamma}_{7,t} \\ \hat{\gamma}_{1,t} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

Note that EIS regressions are performed for $T - 1$ periods in order to estimate $\{beta_t\}_{t=1}^{T-1}$. The EIS regressions are represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{t_2, N \times 1} &= XX_{t_1, N \times Nb+1} \cdot beta_{t_1, Nb+1 \times 1} + \varepsilon_{t_1, N \times 1} \\ &\dots \\ Y_{T, N \times 1} &= XX_{T-1, N \times Nb+1} \cdot beta_{T-1, Nb+1 \times 1} + \varepsilon_{T-1, N \times 1} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The process of transferring back the integrating constant works like a smoother bringing information on Ω_t in y_{t+1} , because the aforementioned regressions relate period- $t + 1$ integrating constant to period- t matrix XX . Thus, parameters $\hat{\gamma}_t$ contain information on $\ln(\chi(\tilde{\Omega}_t; \gamma_{t+1})) \propto |S_t^*|^{\frac{v^*}{2}} / |S_t|^{\frac{v}{2}}$,

which in turn carries information on Ω_t contained within y_{t+1} through $S_t^* = [y_{t+1} \cdot y'_{t+1} + S_t^{-1} + \Gamma_{t+1}]^{-1}$.

- 2.2.3 Convergence of EIS estimates are checked in order to continue or stop iterations. EIS iterations continues while $diff > tol$, where $diff$ equals the sum of individual differences between estimated parameters $\hat{\gamma}_{2,t}, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_{7,t}$ and their values at time $t - 1$. A maximum number of iterations, represented by $itmax$, is also set.
- 2.2.4 If EIS iterations continue, the next i^{th} iteration does not use zero values to EIS auxiliary parameters $(\gamma_{1,t}, \dots, \gamma_{7,t})$ anymore; it uses the estimated values from the last iteration. That is, both the degrees of freedom v^* and the scale matrix of the EIS sampler incorporate the $i - 1^{th}$ EIS parameters $\hat{\gamma}_{1,t}^{i-1}, \dots, \hat{\gamma}_{7,t}^{i-1}$.
- 2.2.5 Once EIS iterations stop, samples from the optimized EIS sampler are simulated. That is, new N trajectories of the latent precision matrices for $\forall t$, $\{\{\tilde{\Omega}_t^i\}_{i=1}^T\}_{t=1}^N$, are simulated using the optimized values for $\{\hat{\gamma}_t\}_{t=1}^T$. Ultimately, as described in item (d.) of subsection 2.1, code *lik_KChi_R* computes the mean of the log-likelihood of IS ratio $\varphi(y_t, \Omega_t; \theta, \gamma)$ from Eq. 7 for the entire sample period T and through the MC sample.
- 2.2.6 The processes described by functions *lik_KChi_initial* and *lik_KChi_R* are computed j multiple times to analyze the MC sampling variance of $L(\theta|y)$ described in (8), where the seed value is the only parameter that changes between each simulation j .

2.3 Model Estimation

The estimation of parameters from model (1)-(3) can be performed using the *fminsearch* or *fmincon* function to minimize the negative of the log-likelihood computed in *lik_KChi_R*. The minimization problem attempts to find a minimizer vector containing parameters $d, v, A_i^{1/2}$ to the output of function *lik_KChi_R*.

2.4 Overflow and Underflow Problems

The last step of *lik_KChi_initial* and *lik_KChi_R* codes is to compute the approximated log-likelihood described in Eq. (8). In order to overcome numerical problems such as overflow and underflow, the log-likelihood ratio is adjusted due to exponentiation. Thus, $\tilde{L}_S(\theta|y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^j)$ from (8) is computed through:

- $lik = \text{mean}(\exp(\text{sum}(\text{ratio-adj})))$;
- $\text{loglik} = \log(lik) + T \cdot \text{adj}$;

$$(\text{ratio-adj})_{T \times N} = \begin{bmatrix} \ln(r_{t_1}^1) & \ln(r_{t_1}^2) & \dots & \ln(r_{t_1}^N) \\ \ln(r_{t_2}^1) & \ln(r_{t_2}^2) & \dots & \ln(r_{t_2}^N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \ln(r_T^1) & \ln(r_T^2) & \dots & \ln(r_T^N) \end{bmatrix} - \text{adj}_{T \times N},$$

where r_t^j is the likelihood ratio computed at time t for the j^{th} MC sample.

$$\text{sum}(\text{ratio-adj})_{1 \times N} = [\sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^1) - T \cdot \text{adj} \quad \sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^2) - T \cdot \text{adj} \quad \dots \quad \sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^N) - T \cdot \text{adj}]$$

$$\exp(\text{sum}(\text{ratio-adj}))_{1 \times N} = \left[e^{\sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^1) - T \cdot \text{adj}} \quad \dots \quad e^{\sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^N) - T \cdot \text{adj}} \right]$$

$$\text{lik} = \text{mean}(\exp(\text{sum}(\text{ratio} \cdot \text{adj}))) = \frac{1}{N} e^{-T \cdot \text{adj}} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^j)}$$

$$\text{loglik} = \ln \left(\frac{1}{N} e^{-T \cdot \text{adj}} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^j)} \right) + T \cdot \text{adj}$$

$$\text{loglik} = \ln(e^{-T \cdot \text{adj}}) + \ln \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^j)} \right) + T \cdot \text{adj}$$

$$\text{loglik} = -T \cdot \text{adj} + \ln \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^j)} \right) + T \cdot \text{adj}$$

$$\text{loglik} = \ln \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\sum_{t=1}^T \ln(r_t^j)} \right)$$

References

Philipov, A. and M. E. Glickman (2006). Multivariate stochastic volatility via wishart processes. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 24(3), 313–328.