# ACM/CS 114
# Parallel algorithms for scientific applications

Michael A. G. Aïvázis

California Institute of Technology

Winter 2010

# Unstructured grids

- solving differential equations using structured grids
  - finite differences
  - finite volumes
- finite elements take a different approach
  - subdivide the domain into elements
  - construct basis functions over each element
  -
- a *simplicial mesh*
- what follows is an overview of very common but surprisingly hard problems that occur in simulations of physical systems

# Finite elements

- 
- 

# Meshing

- creating simplicial meshes is a surprisingly hard problem
- in two dimensions
- in three dimensions

# Parallelization

- typically, the finest grain task is the element update that models the response of the material to the deformation induced by the motion of the vertices
  - that's where all the physics is
  - computationally intensive for non-trivial responses
    - usually involves solving a complicated variational problem, such as energy minimization
  - little or no interaction with neighboring elements, although non-local updates are becoming more common
    - e.g. thin shells, fracture based on deformation energy in a neighborhood
- coarse grain tasks are defined through *graph partitioning* that decomposes the mesh into smaller subgraphs
  - with uniform element and node distributions
  - well characterized boundaries
- performance characteristics
  - computational cost grows with the number of elements
  - communication cost grows with the number of nodes on partition boundaries



# Graph partitioning

# Uniform subdivision

- high fidelity simulations require high resolution
  - i.e. small elements, which implies large element counts
  - many interesting problems require tens or hundreds of millions of elements before they converge
  - creating input meshes of this size sequentially is impractical
- one possibility is *parallel uniform subdivision*
  - the input mesh is partitioned and distributed among processes
  - each process subdivides its simplices
    - edges get split in two, triangles in four, tetrahedra in eight
    - mesh quality is not affected significantly: only two new classes of simplices are introduced, regardless of the number of subdivision levels
    - the formation of new entities on partition boundaries implies the recalculation of the communications maps among processes





- can be done in linear time
  - scales well and can create enormous meshes (billions of elements)

# Non-uniform subdivision and adaptive remeshing

- there are many good non-uniform subdivision algorithms
  - e.g. longest edge subdivision: each tetrahedron that has an edge longer than some threshold gets subdivided by splitting that edge in two and connecting the new node to the two non-adjacent vertices
- they tend to be difficult to parallelize because they induce *one-sided* topological changes on the partition boundaries that must be communicated to the neighboring process
  - hence they are rarely used in large scale calculations
  - this is an *architectural* limitation, not an *algorithmic* one!
  - it requires restructuring the solver to support non-trivial communication with its neighbors
- similar considerations apply to adaptive remeshing
  - where a process discovers that it requires a higher element density to satisfy some correctness criterion
  - but difficult to implement when the refinement reaches the partition boundary

# Fracture and fragmentation

- when the material is under sufficient tension, modeling the physics correctly requires topological changes to the mesh
  - the material *fractures* when it is energetically favorable for an opening to form between two elements that are being pulled apart
- modeling this typically involves *cohesive elements*
  - prismatic elements that are inserted in the place of what used to be the common face of two tetrahedra and absorb excess energy
- when some threshold is exceeded, the cohesive element fractures and becomes free surface
- cohesive element insertion involves node, edge and face splitting
- difficulties arise as cracks run up to process boundaries and must be propagated across
- eventually, fragments form as cracks disconnect portions of the mesh



# Contact detection

- modeling impact requires detecting when bodies come into contact and computing appropriate forces
  - both are difficult problems, especially for non-smooth, distributed meshes
  - the computations involve only elements on the free boundary
- the element updates and contact search have very different communication patterns
  - contact is *global*, since any two surface triangles can collide
  - and it is expensive, since it involves geometrical queries
  - it is best solved as an auxiliary parallel problem with its own partitioning
    - boundary partitioned using geometric criteria, such as axis-aligned cutting planes
    - requires frequent re-balancing as mesh geometry and topology change
- in *surface driven* contact detection
  - the boundary is partitioned and the centers of all the faces are inserted in some kind of spatial data structure
    - so that coarse calculations about contact candidates can be made efficiently
    - typically bucket or sparse-bucket arrays that support *orthogonal range queries* (ORQ)
  - candidates can be checked pairwise for actual contact using ray-triangle and edge-triangle intersection algorithms

# Volume based contact detection

- volume based approaches replace the elements on the boundary with equivalent spheres
- a variety of geometrical criteria can be used:
  - equivalent volume
  - diameter determined by the longest edge
- contact detection can take place very efficiently using ORQ algorithms
- simple spring models resolve the contact forces
  - based on simple potentials that take into account the sphere inter-penetration





# Element erosion

# Mesh optimization

# Implicit representations of surfaces

# Summary of open problems

- many interesting physics problems require making topological changes to the simplicial meshes, and propagating them across process boundaries
  - good sequential algorithms exist, but they are difficult to parallelize
  - naïve parallelization is almost always prohibitively expensive
    - because maintaining coherence and consistency of the global information requires a lot of communication
- changing the solver architecture may be key to efficient parallel implementations
  - evolving mesh topology requires complicated interactions among processes
  - *event based* solutions enable such interactions
  - and improve simulation control by external agents
    - better monitoring through probes and sensors
    - *instrumentation* for virtual experiments