ACM/CS 114 Parallel algorithms for scientific applications

Michael A. G. Aïvázis

California Institute of Technology

Winter 2010

Dense matrix problems

- ▶ we'll take a look at
 - inner and outer products of two vector
 - matrix-vector and matrix-matrix multiplication
 - ► LU factorization and Cholesky decomposition
 - ► QR factorization
 - computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors
 - fast Fourier transforms
- \blacktriangleright when solving a problem of size n on p processors, we will assume
 - that p, and occasionally \sqrt{p} divides n
 - \triangleright that p is a perfect square, when forming two-dimensional process grids
 - ▶ matrices are $n \times n$ square, not rectangular
 - we are memory constrained and data replication must be minimized
- these problems have been studied extensively and form the core of scientific computing on parallel machines
 - excellent implementations available
 - interest has been revived due to the expected disruption by multi-core architectures



Vector inner product

 \blacktriangleright the inner product of two *n*-vectors *x*, *y* is given by

$$x^T y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \tag{1}$$

which requires n multiplications and n-1 additions

- ▶ parallelization strategy:
 - ▶ *n* fine grain tasks, numbered i = 1, ..., n, that store x_i and y_i , and compute x_iy_i
 - ightharpoonup communication is a sum reduction over n fine grain tasks
 - \triangleright coarsening is achieved by coalescing n/p tasks together, assuming that each process can accommodate the data storage requirements
 - and mapping each coarse grain task to a process



Vector outer product

▶ the outer product of two *n*-vectors *x* and *y* is the $n \times n$ matrix *A* given by

$$A_{ij} = x_i y_j \tag{2}$$

which requires n^2 multiplications

- parallelization strategies are determined by the storage requirements
 - build a two-dimensional grid of n^2 fine grain tasks numbered (i, j), with i, j = 1, ..., n; each one computes $x_i y_i$
 - assuming no data replication is allowed
 - ▶ let task (i, 1) store x_i and task (1, i) store y_i
 - or, let task (i, i) store both x_i and y_i
 - either way, the task that owns each element must broadcast it to the other tasks: x_i along the ith task row, y_j along the jth task column
 - coarsening to p tasks can be accomplished by
 - ightharpoonup combining n/p rows or columns
 - forming $(n/\sqrt{p}) \times (n/\sqrt{p})$ grid of fine grain tasks
 - and each coarse grain task can be assigned to a process
- either way, naïve broadcasting of the components of x and y would require as much total memory as replication
 - storage can be reduced by circulating portions of x and y through the tasks, with each task using the available portion and passing it on

The product of a matrix with a vector

▶ given an $n \times n$ matrix A and an n-vector x, the matrix vector product yields an n-vector y whose components are given by

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j \tag{3}$$

requiring a total of n^2 multiply-add operations

- once again, the parallelization strategy is determined by how the data is distributed among fine grain tasks
 - build a two-dimensional grid of n^2 fine grain tasks numbered (i, j), with i, j = 1, ..., n; each one computes $A_{ij}x_i$
 - ▶ task (i,j) has $A_{i,j}$, but if no data replication is allowed
 - let task (i, 1) store x_i and task (1, i) store y_i
 - ightharpoonup or, let task (i, i) store both x_i and y_i
 - ▶ the task that owns x_j must broadcast it along the j^{th} task row, and y_i is formed by sum reduction along the i^{th} task column
 - ► coarsening into p tasks can be accomplished by combining n/p rows/columns, or by forming $(n/\sqrt{p}) \times (n/\sqrt{p})$ blocks
 - and each coarse grain task can be assigned to a process



Coarsening along rows or columns

- for one-dimensional coarsening into n/p task rows
 - if x is stored in one task, it must be broadcast to all others
 - if x is distributed among tasks, with n/p components per task, then multiple broadcasts are required
 - each task computes the inner product of its n/p rows of A with the entire x to produce n/p components of y
- for one-dimensional coarsening into n/p task columns
 - \triangleright n/p components of x are distributed among the tasks
 - each task computes the linear combination of its n/p columns with coefficients from its copy of x
 - since the right parts of x are already available, no communication is required
 - ▶ y is generated by a sum reduction across tasks
- ▶ these two are *duals* of each other
 - row coarsening begins with broadcast, followed by communication-free inner products
 - column coarsening begins with communication-free linear combinations, follows by a reduction



Two dimensional coarsening

- ▶ for two dimensional coarsening, we form $(n/\sqrt{p}) \times (n/\sqrt{p})$ blocks of fine grain task
 - each one holding a $(n/\sqrt{p}) \times (n/\sqrt{p})$ block of A
 - with components of x distributed either across one task row, or along the diagonal, n/p components per task
- the algorithm combines the features of row/column coarsening
 - components of x are broadcast along task columns
 - each task performs n^2/p multiplications locally and sums n/\sqrt{p} sets of products
 - sum reductions along task rows produce the components of y by combining the component products

Matrix multiplication

▶ the product of two $n \times n$ matrices A and B is an $n \times n$ matrix C given by

$$C_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik} B_{kj} \tag{4}$$

where each one of n^2 entries requires n multiply-adds for a total of n^3 operations

- matrix multiplication can be viewed as
 - \triangleright n^2 inner products
 - \blacktriangleright the sum of *n* outer products
 - n matrix vector products
- each one produces a parallel algorithm for matrix multiplication
- but we'll explore a direct solution instead



Partitioning and communication patterns

- we build a three dimensional array of n^3 fine grain tasks
 - with i, j, k = 1, ..., n, let task (i, j, k) be responsible for computing the product $A_{ii}B_{ik}$
 - assuming no data replication, we have to distribute the data for A and B among 2n² tasks
 - ▶ suppose that task (i,j,j) holds $A_{i,j}$ and task (i,j,i) holds $B_{i,j}$
 - we will refer to tasks along i and j as task rows and columns
 - and tasks along k as layers
- ▶ the communication requirements among tasks are satisfied if we
 - broadcast the entries of the k^{th} column of A from task (i, j, j) to each task row in the k^{th} layer
 - broadcast the entries of the k^{th} row of B from task (i, j, i) to each task column of the k^{th} layer
 - ▶ form the result C_{ij} by the sum reduction of the values held by all the tasks layers k



Coarsening

- ▶ there are four natural ways to coarsen our $n \times n \times n$ fine grain tasks into p coarse grain tasks
 - by task rows: combine the $(n/p) \times n \times n$ tasks along a given task row
 - by task columns: combine the $n \times (n/p) \times n$ tasks along a given task column
 - ▶ partition the layers in a two dimensional grid by combining $(n/\sqrt{p}) \times (n/\sqrt{p}) \times n$ fine grain tasks
 - ▶ using three dimensional blocks by combining $(n/\sqrt[3]{p}) \times (n/\sqrt[3]{p}) \times (n/\sqrt[3]{p})$ tasks
- the two one dimensional coarsening strategies are similar
 - ► for row coarsening
 - each task needs only the part of A it already has, but needs all B entries
 - ▶ so global communication is required to broadcast the n^2/p entries of B held by each task
 - conversely, for column coarsening
 - each task needs only the parts of B that it already has, but it needs all of A
 - ▶ so global communication is required to broadcast the n^2/p entries of A held by each task
 - if accumulating A or B on each processor is not feasible, tasks can circulate portions of the array in a ring

Coarsening using a two dimensional grid

- block matrix multiplication has the same overall form as actual product, with scalar operations replaced by the matrix product of blocks!
- you should verify that

$$C_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{p}} A_{ik} B_{kj} \tag{5}$$

for
$$i, j = 1, \dots, \sqrt{p}$$

- ▶ assume that task (i,j) has local access to block A_{ij} and B_{ij} and computes block C_{ij} of the result
- ▶ this requires all blocks A_{ik} and B_{kj} for $k = 1, ..., \sqrt{p}$ to be communicated
 - ▶ first, a global broadcast of A blocks across each task row
 - ▶ followed by a global broadcast of *B* blocks across each task column
- memory requirements can be addressed by either of the following:
 - broadcast blocks of A across rows while circulating blocks of B across columns in lock step, so that they arrive at a given task at the same time
 - circulate blocks of A horizontally and blocks of B vertically, after an initial circular shift, so that blocks meet at a given task at the right time



LU factorization

- systems of linear equations are ubiquitous in numerical analysis
- let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, b a known n-vector; we are looking for x such that

$$Ax = b \tag{6}$$

a commonly used direct method for solving this system is to convert A into the product of a lower triangular matrix L with an upper triangular matrix U

$$A = LU \tag{7}$$

known as LU factorization

► Eq. 6 becomes

$$LUx = b (8)$$

which we can now solve in two simpler steps

$$Ly = b (9)$$

$$Ux = y (10)$$

where we first solve the lower triangular system by forward substitution, followed by solving the upper triangular system by back substitution to obtain x

LU by Gaussian elimination

 \blacktriangleright we can compute the LU factorization of A using Gaussian elimination

Algorithm 1: LU(A)

```
1 for k = 1 to n - 1 do

2 for i = k + 1 to n do

3 L_{ik} = A_{ik}/A_{kk}

4 for j = k + 1 to n do

5 for i = k + 1 to n do

6 A_{ij} = A_{ij} - L_{ik}A_{kj}
```

which encodes L and U in place by overwriting A

- ▶ Alg. 1 requires roughly $n^3/3$ multiply-adds and $n^2/2$ divisions
- we may also need *pivoting* to ensure numerical stability (and existence)
- ► Alg. 1 is one of many algorithms expressed essentially as a triply nested loop
 - the three indices can be ordered in any of 3! ways, with totally different memory access patterns
 - in parallel, the kij and kji forms may be the most efficient



Parallel LU decomposition

- ▶ number fine grain tasks as (i,j) with i,j = 1, ..., n; each task
 - ightharpoonup stores A_{ij}
 - ▶ computes and stores U_{ij} , if $i \le j$
 - computes and stores L_{ij} , if i > j

yielding a two dimensional array of n^2 tasks

- no need to compute and store
 - ightharpoonup the zeroes in the lower triangle of U
 - ightharpoonup the unit diagonal and the zeroes in the upper triangle of L
- ightharpoonup in order to create p coarse grain tasks we could combine
 - ightharpoonup n/p rows or columns of fine grain tasks
 - $(n/\sqrt{p}) \times (n/\sqrt{p})$ blocks of tasks

and map each one to a process

Communication patterns for parallel LU decomposition

```
Algorithm 2: LU(A, task=(i,j))
```

```
1 for k = 1 to min(i, j) - 1 do
        \operatorname{recv} A_{ki}
        \operatorname{recv} L_{ik}
       A_{ii} = A_{ij} - L_{ik}A_{kj}
5 if i \leq j then
         broadcast A_{ii} to (k,j), k = i+1,\ldots,n
7 else
        recv Aii
        L_{ii} = A_{ii}/A_{ii}
         broadcast L_{ii} to (i, k), k = i + 1, \ldots, n
10
```

Row coarsening

- with one dimensional row coarsening
 - we forgo parallelism in updating rows
 - there is no need to broadcast the multipliers L_{ij} since each row is contained entirely within a task
 - we still need the vertical broadcasts of matrix rows to the tasks below

Algorithm 3: LU(A, task=(i,j)) by rows

```
      1 for k = 1 to n - 1 do

      2 if k \in myrows then

      3 broadcast \{A_{kj} : k \leq j \leq n\}

      4 else

      5 recv \{A_{kj} : k \leq j \leq n\}

      6 for i \in myrows, i > k do

      7 L_{ik} = A_{ik}/A_{kk}

      8 for j = k + 1 to n do

      9 for i \in myrows, i > k do

      10 A_{ij} = A_{ij} - L_{ik}A_{ki}
```

Observations on row coarsening

- each task becomes idle as soon as it last row is completed
 - if rows are contiguous, a task may finish long before the overall computation is done
 - even worse, updating rows requires progressively less work with increasing row number
- we may improve concurrency and load balance
 - by assigning rows to tasks in a cyclic manner where row i is updated by task i mod p
 - other mappings may be useful
- other improvements involve overlapping computation with communication
 - at step k, each task completes updating its portion of the remaining unreduced matrix before moving on to step k + 1
 - ▶ however, the task that owns the k + 1 row could broadcast it as soon as it becomes available, before moving on to the step k update
 - this send ahead strategy may grant other tasks earlier access to the data necessary to start working on the next step



Column coarsening

Algorithm 4: LU(A, task=(i,j)) by columns

```
1 for k = 1 to n - 1 do

2 if k \in mycolumns then

3 for i = k + 1 to n do

4 L_{ik} = A_{ik}/A_{kk}

5 broadcast \{L_{ik} : k < i \le n\}

6 else

7 recv \{L_{ik} : k < i \le n\}

8 for i \in mycolumns, j > k do

9 for i = k + 1 to n do

10 A_{ij} = A_{ij} - L_{ik}A_{kj}
```

observations similar to row coarsening apply



Block coarsening

Algorithm 5: LU(A, task=(i,j)) by blocks

```
1 for k = 1 to n - 1 do
       if k \in myrows then
 2
            broadcast \{A_{ki}: j \in mycolumns, j > k\} to all tasks in my task
 3
            column
       else
 4
            recv \{A_{kj}: j \in mycolumns, j > k\}
 5
       if k \in mycolumns then
 6
            for i \in myrows, i > k do
 7
                L_{ik} = A_{ik}/A_{kk}
 8
            broadcast \{L_{ik}: i \in myrows, i > k\} to all tasks in my task row
 9
       else
10
            recv \{L_{ik}: i \in myrows, i > k\}
11
       for j \in mycolumns, j > k do
12
            for i \in myrows, i > k do
13
               A + ij = A_{ii} - L_{ik}A_{ki}
14
```

Observations on block coarsening

- each task becomes idle as soon as it last row and column are completed
 - if rows and columns are in contiguous blocks, a task may finish long before the overall computation is done
 - even worse, computing multipliers and updating blocks requires progressively less work with increasing row and column numbers
- we may improve concurrency and load balance
 - by assigning rows and columns to tasks in a cyclic manner where A_{ij} is assigned to task $(i \mod \sqrt{p}, j \mod \sqrt{p})$
 - other mappings may be useful
- other improvements involve overlapping computation with communication
 - ▶ at step k, each task completes updating its portion of the remaining unreduced submatrix before moving on to step k + 1
 - ▶ the broadcast of each segment of row k + 1, and the computation and broadcast of each segment of multipliers for step k + 1, can be initiated as soon as the relevant segments of row k + 1 and column k + 1 have been updated by their owners, before moving to competing the update for step k
 - this send ahead strategy may grant other tasks earlier access to the data necessary to start working on the next step

Pivoting

- ▶ the order of rows of *A* does not affect the solution to the system of equations
 - partial pivoting sorts the rows by the largest absolute value of the leading column of the remaining unreduced matrix
 - this choice ensures that the magnitude of the multipliers do not exceed 1, which
 - reduces amplification of round-off errors
 - ensures existence
 - improves numerical stability
- partial pivoting introduces a permutation matrix P, which leads to the factorization

$$PA = LU \tag{11}$$

which implies that the solution x is obtained through

$$Ly = Pb (12)$$

$$Ux = y (13)$$

with forward substitution in the lower triangular system, followed by back substitution in the upper triangular system



Pivoting in parallel

- increased numerical stability costs increased parallel complexity and significant performance implications
- ▶ for one dimensional coarsening by column, the search for the pivot element requires no extra communication, but it is purely serial
 - once the pivot is found, the index of the pivot row must be communicated to the other tasks, and rows must be explicitly or implicitly interchanged in each task
- for coarsening by rows, the search for the pivot is parallel, but it requires communication among tasks and inhibits the overlapping of successive steps
 - $\,\blacktriangleright\,\,$ if rows are explicitly interchanged, then only two tasks are involved
 - if rows are implicitly interchanged, changes to the assignment of rows to tasks are required, which has effects on concurrency and load balance
- ▶ in the presence of partial pivoting, column and row coarsening trade off on the relative speeds of computation versus communication
- with two dimensional coarsening, pivot search is parallel but requires communication among tasks along columns and destroys the possibility of overlapping successive steps

Alternatives to pivoting

- various alternatives have been proposed
 - constraining pivoting to blocks of rows
 - pivoting when the multiplier exceeds a given threshold
 - pairwise pivoting
- these strategies are not foolproof, and trade off some stability and accuracy for speed

Cholesky factorization

when A is a positive definite symmetric matrix is has a Cholesky factorization

$$A = LL^T \tag{14}$$

with L a lower triangular matrix with positive entries along the diagonal

ightharpoonup so the linear system Ax = b can be solved through

$$Ly = b (15)$$

$$L^T x = y (16)$$

- the factorization is derived by equating corresponding entries of A with those of LL^T and generating them in the correct order
 - for example, in the 2×2 case

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{21} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & 0 \\ L_{21} & L_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L_{11} & L_{21} \\ 0 & L_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
(17)

yields

$$L_{11} = \sqrt{A_{11}}$$
 $L_{21} = A_{21}/L_{11}$ $L_{22} = \sqrt{A_{22} - L_{21}^2}$ (18)

Computing the Cholesky factorization

Algorithm 6: CHOLESKY(A)

```
1 for k = 1 to n do

2 A_{kk} = \sqrt{A_{kk}}

3 for i = k + 1 to n do

4 A_{ik} = A_{ik}/A_{kk}

5 for j = k + 1 to n do

6 for i = j to n do

7 A_{ij} = A_{ij} - A_{ik}A_{jk}
```

- note that
 - ▶ *n* square roots are required, all of positive numbers
 - only lower triangle of A is accessed, so the strict upper triangular part need not be stored
 - ightharpoonup A becomes L in place
 - the algorithm is stable so no pivoting is required
- ▶ it takes roughly half the number of LU operations: approximately $n^3/6$ multiply-adds

Parallelizing Cholesky

- ▶ number fine grain tasks as (i,j) with i,j = 1, ..., n; each task
 - ▶ stores A_{ij}
 - ▶ computes and stores L_{ij} , if $i \ge j$
 - computes and stores L_{ji} , if i < j

yielding a two dimensional array of n^2 tasks

no need to compute and store the zero entries in the upper triangle

Communication patterns for parallel Cholesky

Algorithm 7: CHOLESKY(A, task=(i,j))

```
1 for k = 1 to min(i, j) - 1 do
         recv A_{ki}
         recv Aik
        A_{ii} = A_{ii} - A_{ik}A_{ki}
 5 if i = j then
        A_{ii} = \sqrt{A_{ii}}
         broadcast A_{il} to tasks (k, i) and (i, k), k = i + 1, \dots, n
 8 if i < j then
         recv Aii
 9
        A_{ii} = A_{ii}/A_{ii}
10
         broadcast A_{ii} to (k, j), k = i + 1, \ldots, n
11
12 if i > j then
13
         recv Aii
        A_{ii} = A_{ii}/A_{ii}
14
         broadcast A_{ii} to (i, k), k = j + 1, \ldots, n
15
```

Coarsening

- ▶ strategies very similar to *LU* factorization
 - one dimensional by row or column
 - two dimensional blocks

with column coarsening used most often in practice

- each choice of index in the outer loop yields different algorithm, named after the portion of the matrix that is updated by the basic operation in the inner loops
 - submatrix Cholesky: with k as the outer loop index, the inner loops perform a rank 1 update of the remaining unreduced submatrix, using the current column
 - ► column Cholesky: with *j* in the outer loop, inner loops compute the current column, using matrix-vector multiplies that accumulates the effects of previous columns
 - row Cholesky: with i in the outer loop, inner loops compute current row by solving a triangular system involving the previous rows



Cholesky memory access patterns

