In the homometallic carbido and nitrido clusters, **3** and **4**, the bond distance from the heteroatom to the hinge metal (M_h) atoms is considerably longer than the distance from the heteroatom to the wingtip metal (M_w) atoms (Table 1). Such a distinct bonding preference is not observed for **2**. Additionally, the elongation of the M_h–M_w distance relative to the M_h–M_h distance in **3** and **4** is not observed in **2**. The Fe–Fe distances in **2** are nearly unperturbed from those seen in **1** (C_{3v} symmetry).[3f] As a consequence of these unique structural features, the M_w–L–M_w angle in **2** is more acute than in the nitrido and carbido clusters, **3** and **4**, respectively, and the dihedral angle between the two wings of the butterfly in **2** is approximately 10° larger than in **3** and **4**.

Table 1. Structural parameters of μ_4-L butterfly clusters [a].

	2	3	4
M_h-L [Å]	1.902(4)	1.96(3)	1.900(6)
M_w-L [Å]	1.872(3), 1.877(3) [b]	1.80(3)	1.771(5)
M_w-M_h [Å]	2.517(2), 2.61(2) [b]	2.64(1)	2.604(7)
M_h-M_h [Å]	2.499(1)	2.533(2)	2.512(1)
M_w-L-M_w [°]	168.3(1)	176.3(4)	179.0(3)
M_w-M_h-M_h-M_w [°]	113	102	102
Ref.	this work	[10]	[11]

[a] M_w, wingtip metal atom; M_h, hinge metal atom. [b] For the first entry, M = Fe; for the second entry, M = Mn.

The oxo clusters **1** and **2** were characterized by ^{17}O-NMR spectroscopy in acetone solution at room temperature on samples enriched with [17]O at the oxo ligand. For **1**, the spectrum consists of a resonance for the CO ligands ($\delta = 356$) and one for the μ_3-oxo ligand ($\delta = 107$). For **2**, two distinct resonances are seen for the CO ligands ($\delta = 385$ and 370, intensity ratio 1:3) and the μ_4-oxo ligand resonance is found at $\delta = 93$. The relative positions of the oxo ligand resonances in **1** and **2** is in qualitative agreement with the correlation observed between the oxygen atom coordination number and the [17]O chemical shift of the oxygen atom in polyoxometalate systems.[12]

Two resonances for the CO ligands of **2** ($\delta = 214.0$; 225.5; intensity ratio 3:1) were also observed in the ^{13}C-NMR spectrum (CD$_2$Cl$_2$/CHFCl$_2$ (1:2), room temperature). These two resonances correspond to the carbonyl ligands bound to the Mn atom and those bound to the Fe atoms. A fluxional process involving equilibration of the hinge and wingtip Fe-bound CO ligands must be occurring at room temperature to account for this pattern. At -50°C, this equilibration process is not rapid and distinct resonances are seen for the two types of Fe(CO)$_3$ fragments (Mn(CO)$_3$, $\delta = 225.0$; Fe(CO)$_3$, $\delta = 212.1$ and 214.2; intensity ratio 3:3:6).

Unlike the nitrido[5a] and carbido[5b] butterfly clusters and the parent cluster **1**, **2** does not display ready reactivity toward Brønsted acids. Addition of one equivalent of CF$_3$SO$_3$H to a CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of **2** results in only negligible protonation.

The rarity of low-valent oxo clusters seems surprising given the vast chemistry that has been developed for the carbido and nitrido clusters. The apparently facile loss of an oxo ligand as CO$_2$ in carbonyl-containing complexes may, in part, offer an explanation. For instance, **1** decomposes under CO (1 atm) in acetone over a period of several hours to give CO$_2$ and lower-nuclearity Fe compounds; such a ready decomposition route is not accessible for ni-

trido and carbido clusters. If this route for loss of the oxo ligand can be suppressed, higher-nuclearity oxo clusters of low-oxidation-state metals should be accessible utilizing lower-nuclearity oxo clusters as precursors.

[1] W. A. Herrmann, Angew. Chem. 98 (1986) 57; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 25 (1986) 56, and references cited therein.

[2] a) E. L. Muetterties, M. Tachikawa, Prog. Inorg. Chem. 28 (1981) 203; b) J. S. Bradley, Adv. Organomet. Chem. 22 (1983) 1; c) W. L. Gladfelter, ibid. 24 (1985) 41.

[3] a) A. Colombie, J.-J. Bonnet, P. Fompeyrine, G. Lavigne, S. Sunshine, Organometallics 5 (1986) 1154; b) C. P. Gibson, J.-S. Huang, L. F. Dahl, ibid. 5 (1986) 1676; c) A. Bertolucci, M. Freni, P. Romiti, G. Ciani, A. Sironi, V. G. Albano, J. Organomet. Chem. 113 (1976) C61; d) R. J. Goudsmit, B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis, P. R. Raithby, K. H. Whitmire, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1983, 246; e) V. A. Uchtman, L. F. Dahl, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91 (1969) 3763; f) A. Ceriotti, L. Resconi, F. Demartin, G. Longoni, M. Manassero, M. Sansoni, J. Organomet. Chem. 249 (1983) C35.

[4] G. A. Somorjai: Chemistry in Two Dimensions: Surfaces, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY, USA 1981, Chap. 5.

[5] See, for example, a) M. L. Blohm, D. E. Fjare, W. L. Gladfelter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108 (1986) 2301; b) J. A. Hriljac, P. N. Swepston, D. F. Shriver, Organometallics 4 (1985) 158.

[6] D. Drew, D. J. Darensbourg, M. Y. Darensbourg, Inorg. Chem. 14 (1975) 1579.

[7] Correct elemental analysis. IR (Et$_2$O): $\tilde{v} = 2057$ (vw), 2007 (s), 1988 (vs), 1963 (m), 1942 (ms), 1917 (w).

[8] **2**: $a = 17.100(2)$, $b = 15.890(1)$, $c = 17.417(2)$ Å, $\beta = 94.90(1)$° at -120°C. Space group $P2_1/c$ ($Z = 4$). The full matrix refinement of 613 parameters with 5607 reflections converged at $R = 0.037$, $R_w = 0.044$, GOF = 1.77. Further details of the crystal structure investigation may be obtained from the Fachinformationszentrum Energie, Physik, Mathematik GmbH, D-7514 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen 2 (FRG), on quoting the depository number CSD-52248, the names of the authors, and the journal citation.

[9] a) S. Harris, J. S. Bradley, Organometallics 3 (1984) 1086; b) S. D. Wijeyesekera, R. Hoffmann, C. N. Wilker, ibid. 3 (1984) 962.

[10] R. F. Boehme, P. Coppens; Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B 37 (1981) 1914.

[11] D. E. Fjare, W. L. Gladfelter, Inorg. Chem. 20 (1981) 3533.

[12] W. G. Klemperer, Angew. Chem. 90 (1978) 258; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 17 (1978) 246.

The Diradical 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylene-1,4-cyclohexanediyl ("1,2,4,5-Tetramethylenebenzene")**

By Wolfgang R. Roth,* Reinhard Langer, Martin Bartmann, Birgitt Stevermann, Günther Maier, Hans Peter Reisenauer, Rainer Sustmann, and Wolfgang Müller

The title compound **10**, a disjoint diradical with conjugated non-Kekulé structure, is of topical interest in discussing the limits of the Hunds rule.[1] High-level ab initio SCF-MO-CI calculations lead one to expect a singlet ground state for **10** which lies about 5–7 kcal/mol below the lowest triplet state.[2] We have now checked this prediction experimentally.

[*] Prof. Dr. W. R. Roth, Dipl.-Chem. R. Langer, Dr. M. Bartmann, Dipl.-Chem. B. Stevermann
Fakultät für Chemie der Universität
Universitätsstrasse 150, D-4630 Bochum 1 (FRG)

Prof. Dr. G. Maier, Dr. H. P. Reisenauer
Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität
Heinrich-Buff-Ring 58, D-6300 Giessen (FRG)

Prof. Dr. R. Sustmann, Dipl.-Chem. W. Müller
Institut für Organische Chemie der Universität
Postfach 103764, D-4300 Essen 1 (FRG)