

Proposal Outline:

The effect of inventor mobility on invention complexity

Ashwin Iyengar (1521001)
ashwin.iyengar15@iimb.ernet.in

January 6, 2017

1 Background

Several empirical studies have demonstrated the variation in the mobility of inventors across regions. Almeida and Kogut (1999) suggested that interfirm mobility of engineers influences the local transfer of knowledge. More recently, Ge et al. (2016) have combined data from linkedin.com and the USPTO to interpret the higher levels of mobility in silicon valley as the outcome of targeted retention of human capital. A question that remains unanswered is if the variation in inventor mobility can also explain the variation in complexity of future inventions.

2 Research Question

In this paper, I intend to study the relationship between the movement of some inventors into or out of a region and the average complexity of inventions of employees working in the affected regions.

3 Theory

The received wisdom earlier was that firms would have a greater incentive to keep highly dependent technology developed in weaker IPR countries secret (Cohen et al., 2000). However Zhao (2006) has more recently used patent data to argue that multinational enterprises may benefit from conducting R&D in countries with weak IPR protection by making up for the weaker IPR protection through better internal organization. The anecdotal increase in the mobility of employees at the weak IPR subsidiaries raises a potential paradox. If increased mobility of employees influences transfer of knowledge (Almeida and Kogut,

1999), should we expect higher complex inventions from inventors in those teams into which other inventors have moved in? The answer to this question is not completely explained by theory, and is therefore proposed here as an empirical study.

4 Data and Method

I propose to integrate data from the USPTO (made available on patentsview.org) and the public data of inventors available on linkedin.com to answer this question. Specifically, I intend to capture at the level of the region-year, the number of incoming and outgoing inventors. I additionally compute the complexity of the invention at the level of the region-year by a composite construct involving the number of subclass combinations of the invention and the number of subclass combinations of backward citations made. Controlling for the prior pool of patents, I intend to understand the effect of inventor movement into and out of regions on the productivity of those regions.

5 Challenges

A primary challenge in a such as this is in understanding the direction of causality. While I do not have an answer for this question, I hope to use the empirical context to explore the possible mechanisms that can help explain the phenomenon.

References

- Almeida, P. and Kogut, B. (1999). Localization of knowledge and the mobility of engineers in regional networks. *Management Science*, 45(7):905–917.
- Cohen, W. M., Nelson, R. R., and Walsh, J. P. (2000). Protecting their intellectual assets: Appropriability conditions and why u.s. manufacturing firms patent (or not). Working Paper 7552, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Ge, C., Huang, K.-W., and Png, I. P. L. (2016). Engineer/scientist careers: Patents, online profiles, and misclassification bias. *Strategic Management Journal*, 37(1):232–253.
- Zhao, M. (2006). Conducting r&d in countries with weak intellectual property rights protection. *Management Science*, 52(8):1185–1199.