Updates: Region characteristics of patent citations

Ashwin Iyenggar (1521001) ashwin.iyenggar15@iimb.ernet.in

January 14, 2017

1 Linear Regression

reg lncit_recd_total lncit_made_localinternal lncit_made_localexternal
 lncit_made_nonlocalinternal lncit_made_nonlocalexternal
 lncit_made_other lnpatents lnpool d2002-d2012 if (year>=2001 & year
<=2012)</pre>

The results are in Table 1

2 Negative Binomial Regression on All Data

xtnbreg cit_recd_total lncit_made_localinternal lncit_made_localexternal
 lncit_made_nonlocalinternal lncit_made_nonlocalexternal
 lncit_made_other lnpatents lnpool d2002-d2012 if (year>=2001 & year
 <=2012), i(regionid) fe</pre>

note: 13214 groups (13214 obs) dropped because of only one obs per group note: 8390 groups (24742 obs) dropped because of all zero outcomes

initial values not feasible

Table 1: Linear Regression Results

Linear Regression

Linear Regression		
VARIABLES	(1) Log(Total Citations Received)	
VARIABLES	Log(Total Citations Received)	
Log(Cit[Same Region, Same Assignee])	0.1178*** (0.0096)	
Log(Cit[Same Region, Different Assignee])	0.1600*** (0.0084)	
Log(Cit[Different Region, Same Assignee])	0.0565*** (0.0052)	
Log(Cit[Different Region, Different Assignee])	0.0177*** (0.0027)	
Log(Cit[Other])	-0.1010*** (0.0028)	
Log (Num Patents)	0.9053*** (0.0034)	
Log (Patent Pool Size)	0.0315*** (0.0021)	
d2002	-0.0591*** (0.0123)	
d2003	-0.1308*** (0.0124)	
d2004	-0.2346*** (0.0124)	
d2005	-0.3988*** (0.0124)	
d2006	-0.5076***	
d2007	(0.0124) -0.6781***	
d2008	(0.0123) -0.8623***	
d2009	(0.0123) -0.9980***	
d2010	(0.0124) -1.1608***	
d2011	(0.0125) -1.3260***	
d2012	(0.0125) -1.4510***	
Constant	(0.0126) 0.9497***	
	(0.0097) Page 3 of 5	
Observations R^2	189,037 0.6209	

3 Negative Binomial Regression with Average Citations > 50

local cutoff 50

xtnbreg cit_recd_total lncit_made_localinternal lncit_made_localexternal
 lncit_made_nonlocalinternal lncit_made_nonlocalexternal
 lncit_made_other lnpatents lnpool d2002-d2012 if (year>=2001 & year
 <=2012 & mean_patent_rate12 > 'cutoff'), i(regionid) fe

The results are in Table 2

Table 2: Effect of Geographic Distribution of Citations Made on Citations Received

	(1) Citations Received
Citations Received	
Log(Cit[Same Region, Same Assignee])	-0.00995
18(1 · [a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	(-1.63)
Log(Cit[Same Region, Different Assignee])	-0.0106
	(-1.95)
Log(Cit[Different Region, Same Assignee])	0.00240
	(0.45)
Log(Cit[Different Region, Different Assignee]	0.0192***
	(4.33)
Log(Cit[Other])	-0.00495
	(-0.96)
Log (Num Patents)	0.811***
,	(43.94)
Log (Patent Pool Size)	-0.0730***
,	(-4.28)
d2002	-0.0751***
	(-5.62)
d2003	-0.196***
	(-12.71)
d2004	-0.315***
	(-17.56)
d2005	-0.471***
	(-22.83)
d2006	-0.626***
	(-26.97)

Page 4 of 5

d2007	-0.784***
	(-30.68)
d2008	-1.023***
	(-36.32)
d2009	-1.247***
	(-40.27)
d2010	-1.517***
	(-44.82)
d2011	-1.849***
	(-49.98)
d2012	-2.323***
	(-56.01)
Constant	-1.060***
	(-12.95)
Region Fixed Effects	Yes
N	6395

t statistics in parentheses

^{*} p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001