

Mutual Monitoring Update

Progress Report 2

A.J. Stein

astein38@gatech.edu





Problem

- Cloud service providers are everywhere, but their security is complex.
- Continuous monitoring is complex, tedious multi-party process.
 - FedRAMP is a very public example.
- Everything is manual.
- Information flows in one direction, not to everyone who needs it.
- Is there a better way?

Solution

- Examine FedRAMP as a representative example.
- Re-imagine a new system with:
 - a new technological approach; and
 - change the process and how people interact.
- Estimate improved cost and performance.

Solution Deliverables

-  **1** Critical analysis of FedRAMP
-  **2** Architecture specification
-  **3** prototype code for mutual monitoring transparency service
-  **4** a quantitative cloud security measurement framework

Status

- 🎁 1 I completed more desk research and fleshed out my deliverables' outline.
- 🎁 2 I made substantial progress on the architecture specification draft.
 - I have still some work to do, but finishing beginning of Week 5.
 - I developed automation for publishing drafts and final specification.
 - I tried customizing popular tools used by IETF authors, but it wasn't a good.
 - I will leverage this for deploying prototype code, mentioned below.
- 🎁 3 I coded the initial prototype code and created initial deployment automation.

Status

Architecture specification draft: conmotion.netlify.app



Source code and containers for transparency service: github.com/aj-stein/conmotion



Challenges

- Implementing against draft specifications
 - Balancing ambiguous tradeoffs
 - Understanding under-documented algorithms foundational to the design
 - Filling in gaps outside of the specification's scope
- Balancing developing development; research; and writing on a schedule
 - Testing and ironing out kinks is frustrating, but necessary

Questions

1. How do I use polls and pseudonymous content on social media? Should I?
2. How do I use self-hosted or hosted LLMs for sentiment analysis? Should I?

Next Weeks' Focus

1. 📁 1 Complete outline of FedRAMP critical analysis.
2. 📁 1 Start draft of FedRAMP critical analysis, get feedback from advisors.
3. 📁 2 Complete the architecture specification, get feedback from advisors.
4. 📁 3 Complete the submissions API, the core component of the prototype.

Feedback

- You can provide feedback in multiple ways.
- Post in the class discussion board in Canvas.
- Open issues in my GitHub repo at github.com/aj-stein/practicum/issues.



Conclusion

Et fin.

(See you all again in two weeks.)