# **Mutual Monitoring Update**

Final Report

A.J. Stein
OMS Cybersecurity Masters Practicum
Georgia Institute of Technology
PUBP-6727 OCY

### The Problem

- Cloud computing infrastructure is essentially ubiquitous.
- Cloud service providers must cater to customers, especially regulated ones.
- A major barrier is ongoing evaluation of the provider's cybersecurity posture.
- The results are often centralized bureaucracies.

### The Problem

- Are cloud security bureaucracies the right way?
- Are they the only way?
- Who watches the watchers?



# FedRAMP

### The Solution

- Analyze FedRAMP ConMon's strengths and weaknesses.
- Design an alternative model.
  - Stakeholders mutually monitor each other with transparency services.
  - o Forgo control-driven assessment, focus measurable security properties.
  - Use a simple quantitative framework for measuring properties.
- Do not certify, do not authorize, but **measure** *each other*.

# Background

- What is FedRAMP?
- What is FedRAMP ConMon?
- What works? What doesn't?

### What is FedRAMP?

In mid-2009, an interagency effort, created under the Federal Cloud Computing Initiative, was established to focus on solving a single problem statement—How do we best perform security authorization and *continuous monitoring for outsourced and multiagency systems*?

Metheny, M. (2017). Introduction to the federal cloud computing strategy. In Federal Cloud Computing (pp. 239). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-809710-6.00001-9

### **How Did FedRAMP Evolve?**

2011 December: FedRAMP policy signed

2012 May: Announcement of third party auditors

2012 June: FedRAMP officially launches

2012 December: First provisional FedRAMP authorization

2013 May: First full authorization of AWS with HHS

https://www.nextgov.com/modernization/2016/05/a-brief-history-of-fedramp/218162/

### **How Did FedRAMP Evolve?**

2014 June: Deadline fo agency compliance from policy

2014 December: Announcement of FedRAMP Forward

2016 March: Revised JAB process and prioritization timelines

2022 December: FedRAMP Act codified into law

2023 October: Draft modernization memo published

https://www.nextgov.com/modernization/2016/05/a-brief-history-of-fedramp/218162/

### **How Did FedRAMP Evolve?**

2024 March: Centralized platform announced

2024 July: M-24-15 disbands JAB; established new FedRAMP board

2024 July: M-24-15 requires agency uploads to platform by July 2025

2025 March: Centralized platform contract halted

2025 April: FedRAMP downsizes most contractor staff


2025 March: 20x Modernization Pilots and KSIs announced

2025 May: Announcement of secure storage standard

https://www.fedramp.gov/updates/changelog/

### What Were the FedRAMP Processes? (+ JAB)

# **FedRAMP Authorization Process**



**<u>Note</u>**: Readiness Assessment is required for the JAB Process and is optional but highly recommended for the Agency Process

### What Are the FedRAMP Processes? ( — JAB)

01

**Preparation** 

02

**Authorization** 

03

**Continuous Monitoring** 

#### **Readiness Assessment**

(Optional, but highly recommended)

- O
- RAR Development
- FedRAMP PMO Review of RAR
- Remediation (if needed)
- **✓**

FedRAMP Marketplace Designation – Readv



#### Pre-Authorization

- Partnership Establishment
  - Authorization Planning
  - Kickoff Meeting
- FedRAMP Marketplace Designation In Process

#### **Full Security Assessment**



Security Authorization Package (SSP, SAP, SAR, POA&M)\*



#### **Agency Authorization Process**

- Agency Review of Security Authorization Package
- SAR Debrief
- Remediation
- Agency Final Review
- Agency Issues ATO
- FedRAMP PMO Review
- Remediation (if needed)
- FedRAMP Marketplace Designation Authorized

#### **Post Authorization**



Ongoing Continuous Monitoring Deliverables



Annual Assessment

\* The full security assessment may be prepared in advance of the authorization phase, or completed during the authorization phase. This is dependent on the agency's review approach.

### What is FedRAMP ConMon?

- Monthly assessments
  - Updated inventory scans
  - Updated vulnerability scans
- Significant change requests
- Annual assessments

### What works?

What doesn't?

# **Solution**

# **Solution Evaluation**

# **Solution Limitations**

# **Next Steps and Future Work**

### Feedback

- You can provide feedback in multiple ways.
- Post in the class discussion board in Canvas.
- Open issues in my GitHub repo at github.com/aj-stein/practicum/issues.



## **Conclusion**

Et fin.

(Find me on the Internet if you want to learn more.)