

# Mutual Monitoring Update

Progress Report 2

A.J. Stein

[astein38@gatech.edu](mailto:astein38@gatech.edu)





# Problem

- Cloud service providers are everywhere, but their security is complex.
- Continuous monitoring is complex, tedious multi-party process.
  - FedRAMP is a very public example.
- Everything is manual.
- Information flows in one direction, not to everyone who needs it.
- Is there a better way?

## Solution

- Examine FedRAMP as a representative example.
- Re-imagine a new system with:
  - a new technological approach; and
  - change the process and how people interact.
- Estimate improved cost and performance.

## Solution Deliverables

-  **1** Critical analysis of FedRAMP
-  **2** Architecture specification
-  **3** Prototype code for mutual monitoring transparency service
-  **4** A quantitative cloud security measurement framework

# Status

- 🎁 1 I completed more desk research and fleshed out my deliverables' outline.
- 🎁 2 I made substantial progress on the architecture specification draft.
  - I have still some work to do, but finishing beginning of Week 5.
  - I developed automation for publishing drafts and final specification.
  - I tried customizing popular tools used by IETF authors, but it wasn't a good.
  - I will leverage this for deploying prototype code, mentioned below.
- 🎁 3 I coded the initial prototype code and created initial deployment automation.

# Status

Architecture specification draft: [conmotion.netlify.app](https://conmotion.netlify.app)



Source code and containers for transparency service: [github.com/aj-stein/conmotion](https://github.com/aj-stein/conmotion)



# Challenges

- Implementing against draft specifications
  - Balancing ambiguous tradeoffs
  - Understanding under-documented algorithms foundational to the design
  - Filling in gaps outside of the specification's scope
- Balancing developing development; research; and writing on a schedule
  - Testing and ironing out kinks is frustrating, but necessary

## Questions

1. How do I use polls and pseudonymous content on social media? Should I?
2. How do I use self-hosted or hosted LLMs for sentiment analysis? Should I?



## Next Weeks' Focus

1. 📁 1 Complete outline of FedRAMP critical analysis.
2. 📁 1 Start draft of FedRAMP critical analysis, get feedback from advisors.
3. 📁 2 Complete the architecture specification, get feedback from advisors.
4. 📁 3 Complete the submissions API, the core component of the prototype.

# Feedback

- You can provide feedback in multiple ways.
- Post in the class discussion board in Canvas.
- Open issues in my GitHub repo at [github.com/aj-stein/practicum/issues](https://github.com/aj-stein/practicum/issues).



# Conclusion

Et fin.

(See you all again in two weeks.)