# Downloaded from ascopubs.org by National Taiwan University Medical Library on July 6, 2024 from 140.112.150.032 Copyright © 2024 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

# **Palliative Care for Family Caregivers**

Sorayya Alam, MBChB<sup>1,2</sup>; Breffni Hannon, MBChB, MSc<sup>1,2</sup>; and Camilla Zimmermann, MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

Family caregivers provide substantial care for patients with advanced cancer, while suffering from hidden morbidity and unmet needs. The objectives of this review were to examine risk factors associated with caregiving for patients with advanced cancer, evaluate the evidence for pertinent interventions, and provide a practical framework for palliative care of caregivers in oncology settings. We reviewed studies examining the association of factors at the level of the caregiver, patient, caregiver-patient relationship, and caregiving itself, with adverse outcomes. In addition, we reviewed randomized controlled trials of interventions targeting the caregiver, the caregiver-patient dyad, or the patient and their family. Risk factors for adverse mental health outcomes included those related to the patient's declining status, symptom distress, and poor prognostic understanding; risk factors for adverse bereavement outcomes included unfavorable circumstances of the patient's death. Among the 16 randomized trials, the most promising results showed improvement of depression resulting from early palliative care interventions; results for quality of life were generally nonsignificant or showed an effect only on some subscales. Caregiving outcomes included burden, appraisal, and competence, among others, and showed mixed findings. Only three trials measured bereavement outcomes, with mostly nonsignificant results. On the basis of existent literature and our clinical experience, we propose the CARES framework to guide care for caregivers in oncology settings: Considering caregivers as part of the unit of care, Assessing the caregiver's situation and needs, Referring to appropriate services and resources, Educating about practical aspects of caregiving, and Supporting caregivers through bereavement. Additional trials are needed that are powered specifically for caregiver outcomes, use measures validated for advanced cancer caregivers, and test real-world interventions.

J Clin Oncol 38:926-936. © 2020 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

### INTRODUCTION

Family caregivers are partners, relatives, or friends who provide care that requires substantial time over months to years and involves performing a wide range of physically, socially, emotionally, or financially demanding tasks. 1,2 More than 40 million adults in the United States serve as family caregivers, and cancer is among the top five reasons for which a caregiver is required.3 Caregiving tasks are increasingly complex, particularly in advanced disease settings, and include assistance with activities of daily living, coordinating and attending hospital appointments, managing and providing medical care at home, and assisting with decision making.<sup>4</sup> For patients with advanced cancer, caregivers' cost of providing this care is estimated at more than \$US 35,000 yearly; this includes only time costs and excludes lost income from giving up employment or direct medical costs associated with cancer care.5

Modern medicine has tended to prioritize the rights and interests of patients, emphasizing patient

autonomy and confidentiality. 6 Although this approach has undoubtedly benefited patients, for caregivers it has resulted in unmet informational needs and hidden morbidity.7-10 An exception to this patient-centered approach is palliative care, which emphasizes family-centered care and improvement of outcomes for caregivers as well as patients. 11 A family-centered palliative care approach within oncology may lead to improved support for caregivers of patients with advanced cancer.

In this article, we review the literature regarding palliative care for family caregivers of patients with advanced cancer. Palliative care is defined as care that aims to improve the quality of life of patients and their families facing life-threatening illness<sup>11</sup>; advanced cancer is defined as cancer that is metastatic or lifelimiting. 12 We describe characteristics of caregivers in advanced cancer settings, discuss the impact of caregiving on this group, identify risk factors associated with adverse outcomes, and review potential interventions. On the basis of this literature, we provide

**ASCO** 

Author affiliations

applicable) appear

at the end of this

Accepted on March 6.

2019 and published at

ascopubs.org/journal/

2020: DOI https://doi.

org/10.1200/JC0.19.

00018

ico on February 5.

and support

information (if

a practical framework to guide palliative care for family caregivers.

# CHARACTERISTICS OF CAREGIVERS AND IMPACT OF CAREGIVING

Caregivers for patients with advanced cancer are most often female, are the spouse or adult child of the patient, and have a mean age of approximately 50 to 65 years. 4,13,14 Compared with caregivers for other patient populations with late-stage disease, they tend to care for patients who are younger 1,14 and provide more intense care (> 20 hours per week) over shorter periods of time (months to < 3 years rather than > 3 years). 14 The time spent performing caregiving duties ranges from a few hours per week to 17 hours daily. 4,13,14 Up to half of caregivers providing care for patients with advanced cancer continue to work, but many need to reduce their work hours or stop working. 13,15,16 This can result in long-term repercussions, including challenges returning to the workforce and loss of retirement savings. 1

Compared with noncancer caregivers, caregivers of patients with cancer may experience greater financial burden associated with caregiving, particularly for out-of-pocket expenses, including prescription drugs. <sup>14,15</sup> Cancer treatment has been shown to be associated with 3.1 additional hours weekly of caregiver input, corresponding to an average annual cost of \$US 1,200 per patient. <sup>17</sup> Caregiving time costs are related to cancer type and stage; higher time costs are associated with lung and GI compared with breast or prostate cancer and with metastatic compared with early-stage disease. <sup>5</sup>

Although caregiving can be associated with positive outcomes, including post-traumatic growth, a sense of enhanced personal strength, and a closer spousal relationship, 18-20 there may also be negative physical and psychological consequences. Sleep disturbance, weight loss, and fatigue are commonly reported,4,21 and the psychological impact of caregiving can be profound. The overall mental health of caregivers of patients with advanced cancer is equivalent to less than the 30th percentile for the general US population, 13,22 with an increased incidence of both major depressive episodes and generalized anxiety disorder.<sup>23</sup> Caregivers' distress may exceed that of the patients they care for 10,15,24 and is particularly pronounced at later stages of disease.<sup>25,26</sup> The prevalence of significant anxiety in caregivers of patients at advanced stages of illness is approximately 40% to 42%, 15,16,24 compared with 27% to 28% among patients. 15,24 Rates of depression in caregivers are reported to be from 16% to 67%, 10,15,24,27-31 increasing as the patient's death approaches. 15,27,30

### RISK FACTORS FOR ADVERSE OUTCOMES OF CAREGIVING

Risk factors for adverse outcomes of caregiving in advanced cancer settings can be divided into factors at the

level of the caregiver, patient, caregiver-patient relationship, and caregiving itself (Table 1). Risk factors for depression are the most well-documented. At the level of the caregiver, these risk factors include female sex,27-29 younger age,35 financial difficulties,28 and lack of social support.30,38 Among patient-related factors, younger age,30,40 advancing illness,27,28 poor well-being30 and performance status,<sup>29</sup> and poor prognostic derstanding<sup>24</sup> are all associated with depression. Relational factors, including a spousal relationship, 28-30,35 insecure caregiver attachment style (represented by significant levels of abandonment anxiety and interpersonal avoidance within an intimate relationship), 10,31,43 and family conflict, are also associated with a greater risk for depression.35 Finally, risk factors for depression related to caregiving include caregiver burden, 10,15,28-30 lack of confidence in caregiving, 30 and greater hours spent providing care. 13 Risk factors for general mental health, anxiety, caregiver burden, physical health, and quality of life have also been described and are listed in Table 1. Of note, older caregiver age is associated with worse physical well-being, whereas younger caregiver age is associated with worse psychological well-being.

It is also important to consider factors that may affect bereavement (Table 2). In particular, lack of preparation for the patient's death, <sup>26,44,45,49</sup> negative perception of the patient's death, <sup>45</sup> a hospital death, <sup>41</sup> and hospice enrollment shorter than 3 days <sup>48</sup> may all contribute to increased bereavement morbidity for the family caregiver.

# RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS OF INTERVENTIONS FOR FAMILY CAREGIVERS

Interventions for caregivers of patients with advanced cancer can be divided into three categories, according to whether the target of the intervention is the caregiver, the caregiver-patient dyad, or the patient and their family. The first two categories are composed of interventions delivered to a group or on an individual level; these interventions have a primary focus on psychoeducational aspects (managing symptoms, practical aspects of care, and the caregiverpatient relationship), skills training (coping, communication, and problem-solving), and therapeutic counseling (development of a therapeutic relationship to address concerns related to cancer or caregiving).51 The third category encompasses palliative care team interventions in outpatient, inpatient, or home settings. The palliative care interventions were focused primarily on the patient but also included the family as recipients of care.

The main outcomes that have been measured in studies of these interventions are quality of life, mental health, and outcomes related to caregiving. Quality of life has been measured both using generic measures, such as the 36-ltem Short Form Health Survey,<sup>52</sup> or using measures developed and validated specifically for caregivers, such as the Caregiver Quality of Life Index–Cancer.<sup>53</sup> Mental health

TABLE 1. Risk Factors for Adverse Outcomes of Caregiving in Advanced Cancer

### Potential Impact on Caregiver

Risk Factor	Mental Health	Physical Health, Quality of Life, Burden
Caregiver-related factors		
Female	Worse mental health, psychological distress, 9,13,28,32 depression, 27-29 anxiety 27	Increased burden <sup>33</sup>
Younger	Worse mental health, psychological distress, <sup>22,32,34</sup> depression <sup>35</sup>	
Older		Worse physical health <sup>9,22</sup>
Lower education	Increased depression <sup>28</sup>	Worse physical health <sup>22</sup>
Financial difficulties, unemployment	Increased depression <sup>28</sup>	Worse quality of life, 36 increased burden 37
Less social support	Worse psychological distress, <sup>22,32</sup> depression <sup>30,38</sup>	Increased burden <sup>38,37</sup>
Working outside caregiving duties		Increased burden <sup>33,39</sup>
Patient-related factors		
Younger age	Increased depression <sup>30,40</sup>	Worse quality of life <sup>41</sup>
Worse physical well-being, poor performance status, more advanced disease	Increased depression, <sup>27-30</sup> anxiety <sup>15,27</sup>	Worse quality of life, <sup>13,42</sup> increased burden <sup>39</sup>
Increased symptom distress	Worse psychological distress, <sup>32</sup> depression <sup>30</sup>	Increased burden <sup>37</sup>
Worse emotional well-being	Worse mental health <sup>13,22</sup>	
Worse social well-being		Worse physical health <sup>22</sup>
Poor prognostic understanding	Increased depression <sup>24</sup>	
Relational factors		
Spousal relationship	Worse mental health, 22 depression 28-30,32	Increased burden <sup>37</sup>
Family conflict	Increased depression <sup>35</sup>	
Caregiver's anxious or avoidant attachment	Increased depression <sup>10,31</sup>	
Caregiving-related factors		
Caregiver burden	Worse psychological distress, 32 depression, 10,15,28-30 anxiety 15	Worse quality of life <sup>42</sup>
Poor confidence in caregiving	Increased depression <sup>30</sup>	Worse quality of life, 42 increased burden 37
Care for other dependents		Worse quality of life <sup>13</sup>

Worse mental health<sup>13</sup>

has been quantified using validated measures for depression and anxiety or using the mental health subscale of quality-of-life measures.<sup>52</sup> The most commonly measured outcome related to caregiving is caregiver burden, a multidimensional construct that originated in the geriatric literature.<sup>54</sup> Caregiver burden encompasses the extent to which caregiving has had an adverse effect on the caregiver's emotional, social, financial, physical, and spiritual functioning and has been conceptualized both as a trial end point and as an important contributor to anxiety and depression.<sup>10,15,29</sup>

We reviewed randomized controlled trials published since 2005, reporting on quality of life, mental health, and caregiving outcomes for caregivers of patients with advanced cancer. Trials with outcomes for caregivers completed before 2005 assessed mainly palliative care team interventions and have been reported on in previous reviews. 55,56 as have outcomes for interventions in earlier

stages of cancer.<sup>51,57</sup> We also excluded pilot trials and small trials with fewer than 50 participants. Two systematic reviews, both published in 2011, have assessed interventions for caregivers of patients with advanced cancer<sup>4,58</sup>; however, nine out of 15 studies reviewed here, including four out of five of those assessing palliative care team interventions, were published since those reviews and were therefore not previously included.

There were mixed results across studies and interventions (Table 3). The most consistently favorable results were for depression, particularly for caregivers participating in early palliative care interventions. Specifically, there were positive results for depression in a trial of early versus delayed palliative care, where caregivers of patients in the intervention group received three additional structured weekly telephone coaching sessions, monthly follow-up, and a bereavement call.<sup>73</sup> Results for caregivers' depression also favored the intervention group in a trial of early

Hours spent caregiving

### **TABLE 2.** Factors Affecting Bereavement Outcomes

Factor	Bereavement Outcome
Caregiver-related factors	
Less social support	Depression, <sup>44</sup> prolonged grief <sup>45</sup>
Lower socioeconomic status	Worse grief <sup>41</sup>
Less education	Depression, worse grief, complicated grief <sup>46</sup>
Pre-loss depression	Depression, 44,46 worse grief, complicated grief, 46 prolonged grief 45
Patient-related factors	
Young patient age	Worse grief, complicated grief <sup>46</sup>
Hospital death	Worse grief, 41 prolonged grief 47
Negative perception of patient's death	Prolonged grief <sup>45</sup>
Shorter hospice enrollment	Increased depression <sup>48</sup>
Lack of preparation for death	Depression, 44,49 prolonged grief <sup>45,49</sup>
Relational factors	
Close relationship to patient	Worse grief <sup>41</sup>
Spousal relationship to patient	Prolonged grief <sup>50</sup>
Poor family functioning	Prolonged grief <sup>50</sup>
Caregiving-related factors	
Caregiver burden	Depression <sup>44</sup>
Stopping work during caregiving	Worse grief <sup>41</sup>

outpatient palliative care for patients with advanced lung or noncolorectal GI cancers<sup>70</sup> and for one of two measures of depression in a trial of early inpatient palliative care for patients undergoing hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation.<sup>72</sup> Two interventions directed at patient-caregiver dyads, <sup>66,68</sup> one of which used an online intervention, <sup>66</sup> also had positive results for general measures of mental health and mood.

Most trials across all three categories of interventions assessed quality-of-life outcomes, but results were generally nonsignificant, both for caregiver-specific and nonspecific quality-of-life measures. Results favored the intervention group for only one trial where the intervention was directed at the caregiver alone<sup>64</sup> and one where the intervention was directed at the patient-caregiver dyad.<sup>68</sup> Other trials had positive results for some aspects of quality of life but not others. For example, inpatient early palliative care for patients undergoing hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation led to improvement in only administrative and financial domains of quality of life,<sup>72</sup> whereas patient-caregiver dyads who received nursing home visits and phone sessions had improved social and emotional, but not functional and physical, quality of life relative to controls.<sup>67</sup>

Studies used a wide variety of caregiving outcomes and subscales, including perceived burden, appraisal, coping, strain, preparedness, competence, self-efficacy, and rewards of caregiving. These tended to have mixed findings among outcomes or subscales. Where results for burden favored the intervention group, there tended to be a concomitant positive effect on either quality of life<sup>64</sup> or mental

health, <sup>66,73</sup> supporting research indicating an association with these outcomes. <sup>10,15,28-30,32,42</sup>

Of note, only three trials specifically measured bereavement outcomes in caregivers, with mostly nonsignificant results. 61,63,76 A third recruited caregivers of patients with late-stage disease, such that 70% of caregivers were bereaved at the time of analysis; there were improvements in anxiety immediately after the group therapy intervention and in depression at 12 months. 60

A number of challenges were evident related to conducting clinical trials with caregivers of patients with advanced disease. This area of research is still in an early stage of development, and more work needs to be done before there are interventions that are ready for widespread dissemination and implementation. All trials had methodological limitations, including low recruitment and high attrition rates of overtaxed caregivers. In addition, trials of palliative care interventions tended to focus on patient outcomes and to be underpowered to detect effects for caregivers. The level of attrition and resultant lack of power were especially problematic for bereavement outcomes. Control groups were often not well described, and it was unclear to which services participants routinely had access. Studies often had numerous or unspecified primary end points and used a large variety of measures, particularly for caregiving outcomes. Although caregiver-specific quality-of-life measures have been developed, these were no more likely to yield positive outcomes than nonspecific measures. This may be because they lack items specifically related to caring for patients who are seriously ill<sup>18</sup>; additional

Downloaded from ascopubs.org by National Taiwan University Medical Library on July 6, 2024 from 140.112.150.032 Copyright © 2024 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

riist Autiior	9	- obalacion		gaanity of Ellio		88	
terventions direc	Interventions directed at the caregiver alone						
Holm <sup>59</sup>	Community palliative care, Sweden	270 CGs, advanced cancer	Three manualized multidisciplinary group sessions	NS (nonspecific measure)	NS (depression, anxiety)	$MF^a$	
Fegg <sup>60</sup>	Inpatient palliative care, radiation, Germany	160 CGs, 81% advanced cancer, 19% noncancer	Six existential behavioral therapy group sessions	MF (nonspecific measures) <sup>b</sup>	MF (depression, anxiety) <sup>b</sup>	ΣN	NS (somatization)
Hudson <sup>61,62</sup>	Community palliative care, Australia	298 CGs, advanced cancer	Nursing assessment of needs, guidebook, care planning	ΣN	NS (distress)°	MFc	MF (bereavement distress) <sup>c</sup>
Walsh <sup>63</sup>	Community palliative care, United Kingdom	271 CGs, advanced cancer	Nurses and social workers providing advice and support	NS (nonspecific and specific)	NS (distress)	NS (strain)	NS (satisfaction, grief)
McMillan <sup>64</sup>	Community-based hospice, United States	329 CGs, advanced cancer	Three nursing visits to teach coping skills	FI (CG-specific measure)	MN	MFd	
Hudson <sup>e5</sup>	Community palliative care, Australia	106 CGs, advanced cancer	Two nursing home visits, phone call, guidebook, audiotapes	NM	NS (anxiety)	NSe	
terventions direc	Interventions directed at patient-caregiver dyads						
Du Benske <sup>66</sup>	Four cancer centers, United States	285 dyads, advanced lung cancer	Online information, communication, and coaching	MΝ	FI (mood)	MF	
Northouse <sup>67</sup>	Four cancer centers, United States	484 dyads, advanced cancer	Nursing home visits and phone sessions	MF (nonspecific measure) <sup>g</sup>	NM	MF®	
Northouse <sup>68</sup>	Three cancer centers, United States	263 dyads, prostate cancer	Three nursing home visits and two phone sessions	FI (nonspecific measure) <sup>n</sup>	FI (mental health, 4 months) <sup>n</sup>	MFħ	
Northouse <sup>69</sup>	Four cancer centers, United States	182 dyads, recurrent breast cancer	Nursing home visits and phone sessions	NS (CG-specific measure)	NS (mental health)	MFi	NS (uncertainty, hopelessness)
terventions direc	Interventions directed at the patient and their family	Vlir					
EI-Jawahri <sup>70</sup>	Outpatient clinics, cancer center, United States	275 CGs, advanced noncolorectal GI or lung cancer	Early PC addressing needs of patients and caregivers	NS (nonspecific measure)	FI (distress, depression at 12 weeks) <sup>i</sup>	MN	
			(continued on following page)	owing page)			

Downloaded from ascopubs.org by National Taiwan University Medical Library on July 6, 2024 from 140.112.150.032 Copyright © 2024 American Society of Clinical Oncology. All rights reserved.

Randomized Controlled Trials Assessing Outcomes for Caregivers of Patients With Advanced Cancer (continued) က TABLE

First Author	Setting	Population	Intervention	Quality of Life	Mental Health	Caregiving	Other
McDonald <sup>71</sup>	Outpatient clinics, cancer center, Canada	182 CGs, advanced cancer	Early PC addressing needs of patients and caregivers	NS (nonspecific and specific)	× Z	NZ.	FI (satisfaction with care)
El-Jawahri <sup>72</sup>	Inpatient tertiary care hospital, United States	94 CGs, inpatients receiving HCT	Early PC with focus on managing patient's symptoms	MF (CG-specific measure) <sup>k</sup>	MF (depression, anxiety) <sup>k</sup>	NZ.	FI (coping)
Dionne-Odom <sup>73</sup>	Approximately 50% rural, 50% urban, United States	122 CGs, advanced cancer	Early PC, including telephone coaching sessions with advanced practice nursel	NS (CG-specific measure)	FI (depression)	MFm	NS (post- bereavement depression, grief)
O'Hara <sup>74</sup>	Approximately 50% rural, 50% urban, United States	198 CGs, advanced cancer	Patient-focused early PC; nurse-led phone education sessions	₩ <sub>N</sub>	W.Z.	NS (burden)	
Clark <sup>75</sup>	Radiation therapy clinic, United States	83 CGs, cancer, palliative radiation	Eight-session multidisciplinary intervention (nationts)	NS (nonspecific measure)	MΝ	NS (burden)	

Subseque; HCT, hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation; MF, mixed findings: results statistically significant for some measures or end points but not others; NM, not measured in this trial; NS, Scale -Anxiety Subscale; HADS-D, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale Abbreviations: CG, caregiver; FI, results overall favor the intervention group; HADS-A, Hospital Anxiety and Depression PC, palliative care; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire - 9 items; QOL, quality of life.

alncreased preparedness for caregiving (primary end point) both postintervention and at 2 months; increased self-perceived competence at 3 weeks, nonsignificant results for rewards of caregiving, caregiver burden. 'No difference in distress (primary outcome) postintervention; improved preparedness and competence for 2-visit intervention group; no reduction in unmet needs or improvements in positive aspects of

caregiving. Distress post-bereavement reduced in the one-visit intervention group, but not in the two-visit group.

Significant results favoring intervention for anxiety and QOL post-treatment and for depression at 12 but not 3 months; primary end point not specified

<sup>4</sup>At 30 days, results were positive for caregiving burden but not caregiving mastery or coping.

<sup>e</sup>Nonsignificant caregiving outcomes included preparedness, confidence, rewards of caregiving, self-efficacy.

Results favored intervention at 6 months for burden but not disruptiveness.

elmprovement in social and emotional, but not functional and physical, QOL; caregiving results favored intervention for coping and self-efficacy, but not appraisal or interpersonal relationships. Results for QOL and mental health favored intervention at 4 months but not 8 or 12 months; caregiving results favored intervention for appraisal (4 months), self-efficacy (4 and 12 months).

communication with patients (all time points); active coping favored intervention at 12 months only; avoidant coping NS at all time points. Primary end point not specified

Results favored intervention for distress and depression, but not anxiety, at 12 weeks; no differences at 24 weeks; terminal decline showed intervention effects on distress, anxiety, and depression at 3 and Results favored intervention for appraisal, but not coping, at 3 months; no significant results at 6 months.

\*No difference in overall QOL but improvement in administrative and financial domains of QOL at 2 weeks; lower depression scores at 2 weeks (HADS-D, but not PHQ-9 measure); no difference in anxiety 6 months before patient death.

'Caregivers in the intervention group for this trial received an early palliative care intervention directed at the patient and their family, which also included a caregiver-specific component "Results favored intervention for stress burden but not objective or demand burden

research is required to update these measures or to develop ones that are more responsive in palliative care settings.

# RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CAREGIVER ASSESSMENT AND SUPPORT

On the basis of the literature presented, previous reviews, <sup>2,34,57,77,78</sup> and our clinical experience, we have developed a framework to address the needs of family caregivers in a time-limited oncology setting. This framework is grounded in the core principles of palliative care, which include support for family members during the patient's illness and in their own bereavement. <sup>11</sup> The CARES framework is currently in use at the Oncology Palliative Care Clinic at the Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, where there is an emphasis on early integrated palliative care intervention and collaborative care between oncologists and palliative care providers. <sup>79</sup> Table 4 summarizes components of the CARES framework, which is described in the current section.

### Consider Caregivers as Part of the Unit of Care

Family caregivers should be considered not only part of the patient's care team but also part of the unit of care. This

includes recognizing their important role, respecting their opinion, and inquiring about their well-being, which is often related to that of the patient. Participate actively in decision making, but others may wish to defer decisions to their caregiver. These preferences need to be established and documented. Caregivers are a valuable source of information about the patient's status, and their input may be important for planning treatment. Their requests for private communication with the physician should be respected; relevant health care information may be shared with the family caregiver provided that the patient agrees to this.

### Assess the Caregiver's Situation, Perceptions, and Needs

The caregiver should be assessed together with the patient and, ideally, also separately.<sup>6</sup> The assessment may be provided by a nurse or social worker,<sup>78</sup> but knowledge of this assessment is also important for the physician, because it can influence care not only for the caregiver but also for the patient. Question prompt lists may help caregivers to ask relevant questions about their own needs, while also stimulating discussion about prognosis and end-of-life issues.<sup>81</sup>

TABLE 4. The CARES Framework for Family Caregivers

Domain	Description
Consider caregivers as part	Consider caregivers as part of the unit of care as well as part of the care team
of the unit of care	Acknowledge the importance of the caregiving role
	Respect the patient's wishes regarding the nature and degree of caregiver participation in decision making
Assess the caregiver's situation, perceptions, and needs	Document the caregiver's relationship to the patient, their living situation, employment, and whether care is being provided for other dependents (eg, children)
	Assess the caregiver's capacity and willingness to provide care
	Inquire about the caregiver's physical and mental health
	Assess the impact of caregiving, including social isolation and financial strain
	Inquire about the caregiver's perception of the patient's status and ability for self-care
Refer to appropriate services and	Refer the caregiver to locally available resources:
resources	Palliative care teams, hospice,
	Home care services, respite care
	Social work, psychology, spiritual care
	Community resources, support groups, online resources
Educate about practical aspects of caregiving	Ensure the caregiver and patient have a joint understanding of the patient's cancer, its treatment, its typical course, and signs of advancing disease
	Check understanding of pain control (eg, dosing, adverse effects, addiction potential)
	Ensure education for practical skills (eg, dressing changes, injections, lifting/transferring)
	Highlight the importance of personal health and self-care and the availability of benefits and services for caregivers
Support caregivers through bereavement	Clarify when it is important to call and who should be called
	Be available by phone or in person to discuss caregiver concerns
	Offer referral to local bereavement support services
	Call or send a card to the caregiver after bereavement

It is important to determine whether the primary caregiver lives or will live with the patient, whether they have other dependents or work outside the home, and whether others will also provide assistance. Assessment of the caregiver's capacity and willingness to provide care is crucial; although it may be the patient's wish to stay at home and ultimately to die there, the caregiver may not be able to support this. The caregiver's physical and mental health, the impact of caregiving, and available social and financial resources should all be considered in assessing their well-being and their capacity to provide care. Last, caregivers can provide an important perspective on the functional and cognitive status of the patients they care for and their ability to provide self-care. The caregiver is the patients they care for and their ability to provide self-care.

### Refer to Appropriate Services and Resources

Physicians should be aware of and provide timely referral to resources that provide assistance and support for patients as well as caregivers. Complex caregiver-specific or dyadic interventions are often not readily available.<sup>34</sup> However, most cancer centers have palliative care teams, which offer team-based, family-centered support for patients and their caregivers. In addition to evidence from randomized controlled trials showing improved mental health and satisfaction with care, <sup>70-73</sup> qualitative accounts from caregivers describe the support for both patients and caregivers as a unique benefit of the palliative care consultation.<sup>82,83</sup> Expansion of palliative care services into outpatient settings and earlier referral to these services can provide much-needed support for caregivers.

Referral to other support services can be provided by the oncology team or facilitated by the palliative care team. These include home services, such as medical or non-medical home care; community services, such as support groups; services to promote safety and assist with daily needs, such as medical alert services or meal delivery; referral to professional counseling from social workers or psychologists; and admission for respite care to provide relief for the caregiver.<sup>77</sup> There are also numerous online resources available for caregivers, including through national cancer organizations as well as through the National Alliance for Caregiving and the Family Caregiver Alliance.<sup>84,85</sup>

### **Educate About the Practical Aspects of Caregiving**

Education about the cancer itself is as important for caregivers as for patients, including information about the stage of disease, the proposed treatment, possible adverse effects and their management, and realistic short- and long-term goals of care. <sup>86</sup> This information will have a direct impact not only on treatment decisions but also on decisions for the caregiver related to taking time off work, hiring professional help, advising family members living abroad to visit, or arranging transfer to an inpatient facility. Caregivers are involved in increasingly complex medical care, including managing medications, giving injections,

and wound care; this requires appropriate training, which can be provided by a nurse and/or pharmacist. Pain management and recognition of signs of decline in patient status are topics of particular relevance in advanced cancer settings. <sup>7,87</sup>

Caregivers are at risk for burn-out and should be encouraged to take time for themselves and to see their own physician regularly.<sup>34</sup> In addition, they should be informed of any personal benefits that may provide relief. For example, in Canada, the Compassionate Care Benefit provides up to 28 weeks of paid leave to caregivers providing care for a terminally ill patient.<sup>88</sup>

### Support the Caregiver Through Bereavement

Support for family caregivers includes all of the domains above, including acknowledging them as providing as well as needing care, assessing their needs, referring to appropriate resources, and providing education. Above all, caregivers want to be respected and heard. Complaints about end-of-life care by bereaved caregivers are often about the quality and quantity of communication.89 Resources for bereavement support should be offered routinely, and physicians should be available to answer any outstanding questions that the caregiver may have. This is particularly important if risk factors for adverse bereavement outcomes have been identified, such as poor social support, 44,45 pre-loss depression, 44-46 or lack of preparation for the patient's death. 44,45,49 Bereavement support by the physician in the form of a telephone call or card is perceived by caregivers as supportive and enabling closure. 90,91

Family caregivers constitute a large proportion of the health care work force for patients with advanced cancer but are at risk for a number of adverse outcomes, both while caring for the patient and after bereavement. Anxiety and depression are particularly prevalent and may be compounded by financial strain, poor social support, and the patient's advancing illness and declining status. There is an encouraging recent surge in trials assessing caregiver outcomes, but it is difficult to draw firm conclusions from these or to recommend a particular intervention. There is a need for additional trials that are specifically powered for caregiver outcomes, use measures that are validated for caregivers in advanced cancer settings, and assess interventions that are easily provided to this overburdened population. Early palliative care interventions are promising and have the advantage of using a resource that is already recommended as part of routine cancer care 12; embedding caregiver-specific interventions into these trials should be further explored. The provision of online support for caregivers is also a practicable option that should be further tested in randomized trials. In the meantime, the CARES approach may offer a practical framework to provide palliative care for family caregivers while engaging them as active participants in patient care.

### **AFFILIATIONS**

<sup>1</sup>Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

<sup>2</sup>University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

### **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

Camilla Zimmermann, MD, PhD, Department of Supportive Care, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, 610 University Ave, 16-712, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, M5G 2M9; e-mail: camilla.zimmermann@uhn.on.ca.

### **SUPPORT**

Supported by the Rose Family Chair in Palliative Medicine and Supportive Care, Department of Medicine, University of Toronto (C.Z.).

# AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AND DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Disclosures provided by the authors and data availability statement (if applicable) are available with this article at DOI https://doi.org/10.1200/JC0.19.00018.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conception and design: Breffni Hannon, Camilla Zimmermann

Financial support: Camilla Zimmermann Administrative support: Camilla Zimmermann Data analysis and interpretation: All authors Collection and assembly of data: All authors

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

### REFERENCES

- Girgis A, Lambert S, Johnson C, et al: Physical, psychosocial, relationship, and economic burden of caring for people with cancer: A review. J Oncol Pract 9: 197-202, 2013
- Kent EE, Rowland JH, Northouse L, et al: Caring for caregivers and patients: Research and clinical priorities for informal cancer caregiving. Cancer 122: 1987-1995, 2016
- National Alliance for Caregiving (NAC) and the AARP Public Policy Institute: Caregiving in the U.S. research report, 2015. https://www.caregiving.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/05/2015\_CaregivingintheUS\_Final-Report-June-4\_WEB.pdf
- 4. Candy B, Jones L, Drake R, et al: Interventions for supporting informal caregivers of patients in the terminal phase of a disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 6: CD007617, 2011
- 5. Yabroff KR, Kim Y: Time costs associated with informal caregiving for cancer survivors. Cancer 115:4362-4373, 2009 (suppl 18)
- 6. Mitnick S, Leffler C, Hood VL: Family caregivers, patients and physicians: Ethical guidance to optimize relationships. J Gen Intern Med 25:255-260, 2010
- Hannon B, Swami N, Krzyzanowska MK, et al: Satisfaction with oncology care among patients with advanced cancer and their caregivers. Qual Life Res 22: 2341-2349, 2013
- Mohammed S, Swami N, Pope A, et al: "I didn't want to be in charge and yet I was": Bereaved caregivers' accounts of providing home care for family members with advanced cancer. Psychooncology 27:1229-1236, 2018
- Williams AL, McCorkle R: Cancer family caregivers during the palliative, hospice, and bereavement phases: A review of the descriptive psychosocial literature Palliat Support Care 9:315-325, 2011
- 10. Braun M, Mikulincer M, Rydall A, et al: Hidden morbidity in cancer: Spouse caregivers. J Clin Oncol 25:4829-4834, 2007
- 11. World Health Organization: WHO definition of palliative care. https://www.who.int/cancer/palliative/definition/en/
- 12. Ferrell BR, Temel JS, Temin S, et al: Integration of palliative care into standard oncology care: ASCO Clinical practice guideline update summary. J Oncol Pract 13:119-121, 2017
- 13. Wadhwa D, Burman D, Swami N, et al: Quality of life and mental health in caregivers of outpatients with advanced cancer. Psychooncology 22:403-410, 2013
- 14. Washington KT, Pike KC, Demiris G, et al: Unique characteristics of informal hospice cancer caregiving. Support Care Cancer 23:2121-2128, 2015
- 15. Grunfeld E, Coyle D, Whelan T, et al: Family caregiver burden: Results of a longitudinal study of breast cancer patients and their principal caregivers. CMAJ 170: 1795-1801. 2004
- 16. Hudson PL, Thomas K, Trauer T, et al: Psychological and social profile of family caregivers on commencement of palliative care. J Pain Symptom Manage 41: 522-534, 2011
- 17. Hayman JA, Langa KM, Kabeto MU, et al: Estimating the cost of informal caregiving for elderly patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol 19:3219-3225, 2001
- 18. McDonald J, Swami N, Pope A, et al: Caregiver quality of life in advanced cancer: Qualitative results from a trial of early palliative care. Palliat Med 32:69-78, 2018
- Ruf M, Büchi S, Moergeli H, et al: Positive personal changes in the aftermath of head and neck cancer diagnosis: A qualitative study in patients and their spouses. Head Neck 31:513-520, 2009
- 20. Zwahlen D, Hagenbuch N, Carley MI, et al: Posttraumatic growth in cancer patients and partners--effects of role, gender and the dyad on couples' posttraumatic growth experience. Psychooncology 19:12-20, 2010
- 21. Stenberg U, Ruland CM, Miaskowski C: Review of the literature on the effects of caring for a patient with cancer. Psychooncology 19:1013-1025, 2010
- Shaffer KM, Jacobs JM, Nipp RD, et al: Mental and physical health correlates among family caregivers of patients with newly-diagnosed incurable cancer: A hierarchical linear regression analysis. Support Care Cancer 25:965-971, 2017
- 23. Trevino KM, Prigerson HG, Maciejewski PK: Advanced cancer caregiving as a risk for major depressive episodes and generalized anxiety disorder. Psychooncology 27:243-249, 2018
- 24. Nipp RD, El-Jawahri A, Fishbein JN, et al: Factors associated with depression and anxiety symptoms in family caregivers of patients with incurable cancer. Ann Oncol 27:1607-1612, 2016
- 25. Northouse LL, Mood DW, Montie JE, et al: Living with prostate cancer: Patients' and spouses' psychosocial status and quality of life. J Clin Oncol 25:4171-4177, 2007
- 26. Kim Y, Given BA: Quality of life of family caregivers of cancer survivors: Across the trajectory of the illness. Cancer 112:2556-2568, 2008 (suppl 11)
- 27. Burridge LH, Barnett AG, Clavarino AM: The impact of perceived stage of cancer on carers' anxiety and depression during the patients' final year of life. Psychooncology 18:615-623, 2009
- 28. Geng HM, Chuang DM, Yang F, et al: Prevalence and determinants of depression in caregivers of cancer patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis Medicine (Baltimore) 97:e11863, 2018

- 29. Rhee YS, Yun YH, Park S, et al: Depression in family caregivers of cancer patients: The feeling of burden as a predictor of depression. J Clin Oncol 26: 5890-5895, 2008
- Tang ST, Chang WC, Chen JS, et al: Course and predictors of depressive symptoms among family caregivers of terminally ill cancer patients until their death. Psychooncology 22:1312-1318, 2013
- 31. Fasse L, Flahault C, Brédart A, et al: Describing and understanding depression in spouses of cancer patients in palliative phase. Psychooncology 24:
- Dumont S, Turgeon J, Allard P, et al: Caring for a loved one with advanced cancer: Determinants of psychological distress in family caregivers. J Palliat Med 9: 912-921, 2006
- Schrank B, Ebert-Vogel A, Amering M, et al: Gender differences in caregiver burden and its determinants in family members of terminally ill cancer patients. 33. Psychooncology 25:808-814, 2016
- 34. Northouse L, Williams AL, Given B, et al: Psychosocial care for family caregivers of patients with cancer. J Clin Oncol 30:1227-1234, 2012
- Siminoff LA, Wilson-Genderson M, Baker S Jr: Depressive symptoms in lung cancer patients and their family caregivers and the influence of family environment. Psychooncology 19:1285-1293, 2010
- 36. Yun YH, Rhee YS, Kang IO, et al: Economic burdens and quality of life of family caregivers of cancer patients. Oncology 68:107-114, 2005
- 37. Lee KC, Chang WC, Chou WC, et al: Longitudinal changes and predictors of caregiving burden while providing end-of-life care for terminally ill cancer patients. J Palliat Med 16:632-637, 2013
- 38. Daly BJ, Douglas S, Lipson A, et al: Needs of older caregivers of patients with advanced cancer. J Am Geriatr Soc 57:S293-S295, 2009 (suppl 2)
- Hsu T, Loscalzo M, Ramani R, et al: Factors associated with high burden in caregivers of older adults with cancer. Cancer 120:2927-2935, 2014
- Shahi V, Lapid MI, Kung S, et al: Do age and quality of life of patients with cancer influence quality of life of the caregiver? J Geriatr Oncol 5:331-336, 2014
- 41. Roulston A, Campbell A, Cairnduff V, et al: Bereavement outcomes: A quantitative survey identifying risk factors in informal carers bereaved through cancer Palliat Med 31:162-170, 2017
- Tang ST, Li CY, Chen CC: Trajectory and determinants of the quality of life of family caregivers of terminally ill cancer patients in Taiwan. Qual Life Res 17: 387-395 2008
- Rodin G, Walsh A, Zimmermann C, et al: The contribution of attachment security and social support to depressive symptoms in patients with metastatic cancer Psychooncology 16:1080-1091, 2007
- Kuo SC, Chou WC, Chen JS, et al: Longitudinal changes in and modifiable predictors of the prevalence of severe depressive symptoms for family caregivers of terminally ill cancer patients over the first two years of bereavement. J Palliat Med 20:15-22, 2017
- Tsai WI, Prigerson HG, Li CY, et al: Longitudinal changes and predictors of prolonged grief for bereaved family caregivers over the first 2 years after the terminally ill cancer patient's death. Palliat Med 30:495-503, 2016
- Allen JY, Haley WE, Small BJ, et al: Bereavement among hospice caregivers of cancer patients one year following loss: Predictors of grief, complicated grief, and symptoms of depression. J Palliat Med 16:745-751, 2013
- 47. Wright AA, Keating NL, Balboni TA, et al: Place of death: Correlations with quality of life of patients with cancer and predictors of bereaved caregivers' mental health. J Clin Oncol 28:4457-4464, 2010
- Bradley EH, Prigerson H, Carlson MD, et al: Depression among surviving caregivers: Does length of hospice enrollment matter? Am J Psychiatry 161: 2257-2262, 2004
- Kim Y, Carver CS, Spiegel D, et al: Role of family caregivers' self-perceived preparedness for the death of the cancer patient in long-term adjustment to bereavement. Psychooncology 26:484-492, 2017
- Thomas K, Hudson P, Trauer T, et al: Risk factors for developing prolonged grief during bereavement in family carers of cancer patients in palliative care: A longitudinal study. J Pain Symptom Manage 47:531-541, 2014
- Northouse LL, Katapodi MC, Song L, et al: Interventions with family caregivers of cancer patients: Meta-analysis of randomized trials. CA Cancer J Clin 60: 317-339 2010
- Ware JE Jr, Kosinski M, Gandek B, et al: The factor structure of the SF-36 health survey in 10 countries: Results from the IQOLA project. International Quality of Life Assessment, J Clin Epidemiol 51:1159-1165, 1998
- Weitzner MA, Jacobsen PB, Wagner H Jr, et al: The Caregiver Quality of Life Index-Cancer (CQOLC) scale: Development and validation of an instrument to measure quality of life of the family caregiver of patients with cancer. Qual Life Res 8:55-63, 1999
- Zarit SH, Todd PA, Zarit JM: Subjective burden of husbands and wives as caregivers: A longitudinal study. Gerontologist 26:260-266, 1986
- Zimmermann C, Riechelmann R, Krzyzanowska M, et al: Effectiveness of specialized palliative care: A systematic review. JAMA 299:1698-1709, 2008
- Kavalieratos D, Corbelli J, Zhang D, et al: Association between palliative care and patient and caregiver outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA 316:2104-2114. 2016
- 57. Ferrell B, Wittenberg E: A review of family caregiving intervention trials in oncology. CA Cancer J Clin 67:318-325, 2017
- Harding R, List S, Epiphaniou E, et al: How can informal caregivers in cancer and palliative care be supported? An updated systematic literature review of interventions and their effectiveness. Palliat Med 26:7-22, 2012
- Holm M, Årestedt K, Carlander I, et al: Short-term and long-term effects of a psycho-educational group intervention for family caregivers in palliative home care---results from a randomized control trial. Psychooncology 25:795-802, 2016
- 60. Fegg MJ, Brandstätter M, Kögler M, et al: Existential behavioural therapy for informal caregivers of palliative patients: A randomised controlled trial. Psychooncology 22:2079-2086, 2013
- 61. Hudson P, Trauer T, Kelly B, et al: Reducing the psychological distress of family caregivers of home based palliative care patients: Longer term effects from a randomised controlled trial. Psychooncology 24:19-24, 2015
- 62. Hudson P, Trauer T, Kelly B, et al: Reducing the psychological distress of family caregivers of home-based palliative care patients: Short-term effects from a randomised controlled trial. Psychooncology 22:1987-1993, 2013
- Walsh K, Jones L, Tookman A, et al: Reducing emotional distress in people caring for patients receiving specialist palliative care. Randomised trial. Br J Psychiatry 190:142-147, 2007
- McMillan SC, Small BJ, Weitzner M, et al: Impact of coping skills intervention with family caregivers of hospice patients with cancer: A randomized clinical trial. Cancer 106:214-222 2006
- Hudson PL, Aranda S, Hayman-White K: A psycho-educational intervention for family caregivers of patients receiving palliative care: A randomized controlled trial. J Pain Symptom Manage 30:329-341, 2005

- 66. DuBenske LL, Gustafson DH, Namkoong K, et al: CHESS improves cancer caregivers' burden and mood: Results of an eHealth RCT. Health Psychol 33: 1261-1272, 2014
- 67. Northouse LL, Mood DW, Schafenacker A, et al: Randomized clinical trial of a brief and extensive dyadic intervention for advanced cancer patients and their family caregivers. Psychooncology 22:555-563, 2013
- 68 Northouse LL, Mood DW, Schafenacker A, et al: Randomized clinical trial of a family intervention for prostate cancer patients and their spouses. Cancer 110:
- Northouse L, Kershaw T, Mood D, et al: Effects of a family intervention on the quality of life of women with recurrent breast cancer and their family caregivers. Psychooncology 14:478-491 2005
- 70. El-Jawahri A, Greer JA, Pirl WF, et al: Effects of early integrated palliative care on caregivers of patients with lung and gastrointestinal cancer: A randomized clinical trial. Oncologist 22:1528-1534, 2017
- 71. McDonald J, Swami N, Hannon B, et al: Impact of early palliative care on caregivers of patients with advanced cancer: Cluster randomised trial. Ann Oncol 28: 163-168, 2017
- 72. El-Jawahri A, LeBlanc T, VanDusen H, et al: Effect of inpatient palliative care on quality of life 2 weeks after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA 316:2094-2103, 2016
- Dionne-Odom JN, Azuero A, Lyons KD, et al: Benefits of early versus delayed palliative care to informal family caregivers of patients with advanced cancer: Outcomes from the ENABLE III randomized controlled trial. J Clin Oncol 33:1446-1452, 2015
- O'Hara RE, Hull JG, Lyons KD, et al: Impact on caregiver burden of a patient-focused palliative care intervention for patients with advanced cancer. Palliat Support Care 8:395-404, 2010
- 75. Clark MM, Rummans TA, Sloan JA, et al: Quality of life of caregivers of patients with advanced-stage cancer. Am J Hosp Palliat Care 23:185-191, 2006
- Dionne-Odom JN, Azuero A, Lyons KD, et al: family caregiver depressive symptom and grief outcomes from the ENABLE III randomized controlled trial. J Pain Symptom Manage 52:378-385, 2016
- 77. Adelman RD, Tmanova LL, Delgado D, et al: Caregiver burden: A clinical review. JAMA 311:1052-1060, 2014
- 78. Berry LL, Dalwadi SM, Jacobson JO: Supporting the supporters: What family caregivers need to care for a loved one with cancer. J Oncol Pract 13:35-41, 2017
- 79. Hannon B, Swami N, Pope A, et al: The oncology palliative care clinic at the Princess Margaret Cancer Centre: An early intervention model for patients with advanced cancer. Support Care Cancer 23:1073-1080, 2015
- Laidsaar-Powell R, Butow P, Bu S, et al: Family involvement in cancer treatment decision-making: A qualitative study of patient, family, and clinician attitudes and experiences. Patient Educ Couns 99:1146-1155, 2016
- Clayton JM, Butow PN, Tattersall MH, et al: Randomized controlled trial of a prompt list to help advanced cancer patients and their caregivers to ask questions about prognosis and end-of-life care. J Clin Oncol 25:715-723, 2007
- Hannon B, Swami N, Rodin G, et al: Experiences of patients and caregivers with early palliative care: A qualitative study. Palliat Med 31:72-81, 2017
- Hannon B, Swami N, Pope A, et al: Early palliative care and its role in oncology: A qualitative study. Oncologist 21:1387-1395, 2016
- Family Caregiver Alliance: Caregiving Issues and Strategies. https://www.caregiver.org/caregiving-issues-and-strategies
- National Alliance for Caregiving: General Caregiving, https://www.caregiving.org/resources/general-caregiving/
- von Gunten CF, Ferris FD, Emanuel LL: The patient-physician relationship. Ensuring competency in end-of-life care: Communication and relational skills. JAMA 284:3051-3057, 2000
- Docherty A, Owens A, Asadi-Lari M, et al: Knowledge and information needs of informal caregivers in palliative care: A qualitative systematic review. Palliat Med 87 22:153-171, 2008
- 88 Government of Canada: Compassionate Care Leave. https://www.canada.ca/en/employment-social-development/services/labour-standards/reports/ compassionate-care.html
- Cohen-Mansfield J. Skornick-Bouchbinder M. Cohen R. et al: Treatment and communication---that is what matters: An analysis of complaints regarding end-oflife care. J Palliat Med 20:1359-1365, 2017
- Chau NG, Zimmermann C, Ma C, et al: Bereavement practices of physicians in oncology and palliative care. Arch Intern Med 169:963-971, 2009
- Makarem M, Mohammed S, Swami N, et al: Experiences and expectations of bereavement contact among caregivers of patients with advanced cancer. J Palliat Med 21:1137-1144, 2018

### **AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

### Palliative Care for Family Caregivers

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/journal/jco/site/ifc.

No potential conflicts of interest were reported.