Links

- https://research.neustar.biz/2014/09/08/differential-privacy-the-basics/
- $\bullet \ \ https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/a-firm-foundation-for-private-data-analysis$
- http://www.win-vector.com/blog/2015/10/a-simpler-explanation-of-differential-privacy/

General Notes on DP

- Laplace mechanism for non-categorical data, exponential mechanism for categorical data.
 - Laplace(μ , b) where μ is the centre point, and b is the scale
 - Laplace distribution is like two Exp distributions stuck back-to-back at centre μ
 - VARIATE: A Laplace(0, b) variate can also be generated as the difference of two i.i.d. Exponential(1/b) random variables.
- Why can't you do a bunch of queries and take the average? Total privacy budget ε_{total}
- "63% of people in the US can be uniquely identified by just their birth date, zip code and gender."

Experiment Notes

- Need to find suitable data. Needs to be accurate, not pre-randomized. Needs to be large (so statistical analysis is useful). (Census data?)
- Implement the following SQL statements/keywords:
 - SELECT allowing selection of the following. (Not sure if all of these are necessary or feasible.
 Prioritised by importance.)
 - * SUM(col)
 - * AVG(col)
 - * MEDIAN(col)
 - * COUNT[(col)]
 - * MAX(col)
 - * MIN(col)
 - FROM
 - WHERE

Report Ideas

Have cool graphs like this: http://content.research.neustar.biz/blog/differential-privacy/DensityWidget.html

Proposed Outline

- Introduction to DP
 - previous attempts to anonymize data and problems with them
 - DP's contributions
- DP Methods
 - Laplace
 - Exponential
- Our Experiment
 - Describe Dataset
 - Describe Database and statistical data

- Describe targeted queries
- Our DP methods
 - Laplace???
- Results
 - Show that targeted queries are more difficult when DP is used
 - Show that DP does not adversely affect the statistical accuracy of the queries
 - Graphs
- ullet Conclusion