

# 02-613 Week 12

## Algorithms and Advanced Data Structures

Aidan Jan

November 19, 2025

## The Simplex Method

Suppose we have a system we want to optimize under some constraints. Say, maximize  $z = x_1 + x_2$  under the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 &\leq 3 \\x_1 - 3x_2 &\geq -1 \\x_2 &\leq 3\end{aligned}$$

Additionally, let all  $x_i \geq 0$ .

To use the simplex method, we first need to get these constraints into the **standard form**, which is to maximize  $\vec{c} \cdot \vec{x}$ , subject to  $A\vec{x} \leq \vec{b}$  and  $\vec{x} \geq 0$ .

In our case, we need to do some rewriting. First, we have a greater than sign, which is not allowed. Therefore, we can convert it to a  $\leq$  by multiplying both sides of the equation by  $-1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 &\leq 3 \\-x_1 + 3x_2 &\leq 1 \\x_2 &\leq 3\end{aligned}$$

Now, we add slack variables  $S_1, S_2, S_3$ . The purpose of doing this is that [FILL rest of example]

## The Simplex Algorithm

1. Write linear program with slack variables and get an initial feasible solution
2. Choose variable  $v$  in objective with positive coefficient.
3. Choose strictest constraint (will be one with negative coefficient on pivot)
4. Rewrite strictest constraint with  $v$  on the left hand side and subs in others
5. If all coefficients in the objective function are negative, then we are done. Otherwise, return to step 2.

## Pivot Rules

- Largest coefficient in objective
- Largest increase after pivot
- Random

- Steepest change
- Bland's Rule - choose pivot  $v$  with lower index (This prevents infinite loops by always choosing the smallest index.)

## NP Completeness

The phrase ‘NP-Hard’ tends to be used interchangeably with ‘non-polynomial’. However, they are not the same thing.

### Decision vs. Optimization Problems

- **Decision:** A problem that asks for a True/False solution.
- **Optimization:** A problem that asks for minimizing or maximizing some objective function.

Conveniently, any optimization problem can be turned into a decision problem with an extra parameter. For example:

- **Optimization:** Given graph  $G = (V, E)$ , and  $w$  weight on all edges, find the spanning tree with minimum total weight.
- **Decision:** Does there exist a spanning tree with at most  $\leq k$  weight?

We refer to decision questions as ‘problems’. Now we can define  $P$  and  $NP$ .

### **P** vs. **NP**

**Class P** is a set of problems. A problem  $Q \in P$ , if there exists an algorithm  $A_Q$  such that, for all instances  $I$  of  $Q$ ,  $A_Q(I)$  runs in polynomial( $|I|$ ) steps and

- If  $I$  is a yes instance  $A_Q(I) = \text{yes}$
- If  $I$  is a no instance  $A_Q(I) = \text{no}$

**Class NP** is a set of problems. A problem  $Q \in NP$  if a **non-deterministic** Turing machine can return YES on a YES instance in polynomial time. A problem  $Q \in NP$  if evidence of a Yes-instance can be verified in polynomial time. More formally, NP is a set of problems,  $Q$  for when there exists an algorithm  $C_Q(\cdot)$  such that for all  $I$  of  $Q$ :

- If  $I$  is YES, then  $C_Q(I, S) = \text{Yes}$ , for some  $S$
- If  $I$  is NO, then  $C_Q(I, S) = \text{No}$ , for all  $S$
- Additionally,  $C_Q(\cdot)$  runs in polynomial time.

This implies that problems can be both  $P$  and  $NP$ .  $P \subset NP$ .

### Example: NP-Hard

Consider the traveling salesman decision problem. Given distances  $d_{ij}$  between  $n$  cities and a number  $k$ , is there a way to visit all cities exactly once, with total length of less than or equal to  $k$ ?

- If given a valid certificate and a  $k$ -value (e.g., a YES instance), it is provable in polynomial time.
- Finding a valid certificate (e.g., an instance that satisfies the problem), is not possible though. Therefore, it is an NP-hard question.

**Theorem:**  $P \subseteq NP$

Proof: Suppose  $x \in P$ . There exists a polynomial algorithm  $A_x(\cdot)$ . To show  $X \in NP$ , need efficient certifier  $C_x(I, S) = A_x(I)$ . Therefore,  $P \subseteq NP$ . Now, does  $P = NP$ ? It turns out this is a hard problem in itself, and no proof exists for it.

## Problem Reductions

A reduction from problem  $X$  to  $Y$  (written as  $X \leq_P Y$ ), it means for instance  $I_X$  of  $X$ , create a new instance  $(I_X)_Y$  in polynomial time, such that  $\{(I_X)_Y \text{ is a yes instance} \iff I_X \text{ is a YES-instance}\}$ , and  $Y$  is **at least as hard** as  $X$ .